



Development Fees and Charges Study Report of Findings

City of Riverside, CA

FEBRUARY 5, 2025

CITY OF RIVERSIDE DEVELOPMENT FEES AND CHARGES STUDY FEBRUARY 5, 2025

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
Introduction	2
Study Scope and Objectives	2
Study Findings	3
Methodology	6
Hourly Rates	6
Time Spent	
Fee Calculations and Revenue Projections	
Legal, Economic & Policy Considerations	7
USER FEE SUMMARIES BY DEPARTMENT	9
Community Development	9
Building & Safety	9
Planning	
Comparative Data	11
Disproportionate Impact	11
Building User Fee Summary Sheet	12
Planning User Fee Summary Sheet	19
Peer Fee Comparison – Building & Safety	23
Peer Fee Comparison – Planning	24
Public Works	25
Engineering	25
Refuse	
Comparative Data	
Disproportionate Impact	26
Engineering User Fee Summary Sheet	27
Peer Fee Comparison - Engineering	35
Parks, Recreation and Community Services	37
Administration	
Comparative Data	
Recommendations Going Forward	39



Executive Summary

Introduction

MGT is pleased to present the City of Riverside with this summary of findings for the recently updated fees and charges study for related city departments.

The City of Riverside had not had an external cost of service study performed since 2016. The City contracted with MGT in May of 2021 to perform a cost-of-service study using fiscal year 2020-2021 budgeted figures, staffing, and operational information.

After MGT completed the cost analysis for the departments' fees and charges, the final phase of the study, including fee recommendations and presentation to council, was put on hold by the City. In 2023, the City elected to have their own staff, with training and guidance from MGT, update MGT's costing models with fiscal year 2023-2024 budgeted expenditures and salaries/benefits, and 2023 annual volume statistics. The findings in this report represent a combination of MGT's original cost analysis and the City's updates. Note that the findings for non-development departments and divisions were presented in April 2024. This report represents the updated cost analysis for development departments and division, as noted below.

This report is the culmination of an extensive study conducted by MGT in collaboration with the City's management and staff. MGT would like to take this opportunity to gratefully acknowledge all management and staff who participated in this project for their efforts and coordination. Their responsiveness and continued interest in the outcome of this study contributed greatly to its success.

Study Scope and Objectives

This report includes the study conducted for the development-related fee for service activities within the following departments:

- Community Development:
 - Building & Safety
 - Planning
- Public Works:
 - Engineering
 - o Refuse
- Parks, Recreation and Community Services
 - Administration/Plan Check fees

The study was performed under the general direction of the Finance Department with the participation of representatives from each department. The primary goals of the study were to:

- Determine the City's costs to provide specific fee-related services.
- Determine whether there are any opportunities to implement new fees.



- Identify service areas where the City might adjust fees to better reflect the full cost of services or to subsidize fees with general fund revenue to reflect other economic or policy considerations.
- Develop fiscal projections based on recommended increases (or decreases) to fees.
- Provide comparative data for what neighboring cities are charging for similar services.
- Review potential disproportionate impact of existing and recommended City fees and charges on sectors of the community and recommend mitigating actions to ensure/enhance equity in the application of City fees.

The information summarized in this report addresses each of these issues and provides the City with the tools necessary to make informed decisions about any proposed fee adjustments and the resulting impact on restricted and general fund revenues.

Study Findings

The study's primary objective was to provide the City's decision makers with the basic data needed to make informed pricing decisions. This report details the full cost of each service for which a fee is charged and presents proposed fees and fiscal projections based on recommended cost recovery levels. The fee analysis adheres to Proposition 26 which is based on the "estimated reasonable cost of providing a service". Recommendations were based upon careful consideration of the results of the cost analysis, historical cost recovery levels, and market comparisons.

The exhibit on the following page displays the costs and projections of each department/division into the following categories:

Column A, User Fee Costs – This column represents what the actual cost is for each of the departments to provide annual user fee services based on the annual volume statistics. In total, this study evaluated \$11,099,434 in costs to provide development user fee services. It is this amount that was the focus of this study and represents the total potential for user fee-related revenues for the City.

Column B, Current Revenues – This column represents what the City is currently recovering in revenue for these same services based on the annual volume statistics. Based on current fee recovery levels, the city receives fee-related cost recovery in the amount equal to \$7,777,191 and is experiencing an overall 70% cost recovery level. Cost analysis of individual fees for each department may be found in subsequent sections of this report.

Column C, Current Subsidy – Current fee levels recover 70% of full cost, leaving 30%, or \$3,322,243, to be funded by other sources. This subsidy represents an opportunity for an updated and more focused cost recovery effort by the City for fee-related services.

Column D, Recommended Recovery – City Staff recommend phasing in 100% cost recovery for most development-related fees over a two-year period. In the first year (remainder of FY 2024/25 and FY 2025/26), staff recommend limiting increasing fees to no more than approximately 80% of costs, except for fees that: 1) were already recovering over 80% of costs (proposed to be maintained at current recovery rate), 2) currently recover over 100% of costs (proposed to be reduced to 100% cost recovery), or 3) where adjustments would otherwise result in a lower equivalent fee to applicants if reduced to 80 percent. Beginning in FY 2026/27, fees would increase to recover 100% of costs. This phased-in approach helps minimize sharp fee increases



in one year, by staggering increased fees to recover costs over a two-year period. It is estimated that adoption of the recommended fees would increase cost recovery to \$10,992,928 by the second year of implementation. This would bring the overall cost recovery level up to 99%.

Column E, Increased Revenue – Assuming activity levels remain relatively static, approximately \$3,215,737 in additional cost recovery could be received by the City by the second year of implementation. This would represent a 41% increase over the amounts currently being collected for these activities by the City on an annualized basis.



City of Riverside User Fee Cost & Revenue Analysis FY 2024-2025

			Current	Ħ					Recommended (First Year of Phase in)	Recommended st Year of Phase	ded	in)		Recommended (Second Year of Phase in)	Recommended	nded	(ui es
User Fee Department	3	(A) Full Cost User Fee	(B) Current	#				<u> </u>	(D) Cost Recovery (E) Increased	rery	(E)	ncreased	F	(F) Cost Recovery	very	(9)	(G) Increased
		Services	Revenue		<u>(၁</u>	(C) Current Subsidy	sidy		Policy		æ	Revenue		Policy		<u> </u>	Revenue
Community Development																	
Building and Safety	↔	4,659,728	4,659,728 \$ 3,713,600 80% \$ 946,128	%08	↔	946,128	20%	\$ 4	20% \$ 4,192,290	\$ %06	↔	478,690	↔	478,690 \$ 4,659,728 100%	100%	↔	946,128
Planning	↔	1,929,291	1,929,291 \$ 1,202,682	\$ 82%	↔	726,609	38%	\$	38% \$ 1,492,953	77%	↔	290,271 \$	↔	1,822,785	94%	↔	620,103
Public Works																	
Engineering and Maintenance	↔	4,479,117	4,479,117 \$ 2,860,909 64% \$ 1,618,208	64%	↔	1,618,208	36%	ზ —	36% \$ 3,701,894	83%	↔	840,985 \$	↔	4,479,117	100%	↔	1,618,208
Parks, Recreation, & Comm Svcs																	
Plan Check Fees	↔	31,298	· \$	%0	↔	0% \$ 31,298 100% \$ 25,038 80% \$	100%	↔	25,038	%08	↔	25,038	↔	31,298 100% \$	100%	↔	31,298
Totals:	₩	Totals: \$ 11,099,434 \$ 7,777,191 70% \$ 3,322,243 30% \$ 9,412,175 85% \$ 1,634,984 \$ 10,992,928 99% \$	\$ 7,777,191	70%	\$	3,322,243	30%	6 \$,412,175	85%	↔	1,634,984	\$	10,992,928	%66	₩	3,215,737



Methodology

A cost-of-service study is comprised of two basic elements:

- Hourly rates of staff providing the service.
- Time spent providing the service.

The product of the hourly rate calculation and the time spent yields the cost of providing the service.

Hourly Rates

The hourly rate methodology used in this study builds indirect costs into city staff hourly salary and benefit rates to arrive at fully burdened hourly rates. Fully burdened hourly rates are a mechanism used to calculate the total cost of providing services. Total cost is generally recognized as the sum of the direct cost together with a proportionate share of allowable indirect costs. The proper identification of all costs (including labor, operating expense, department administration and citywide support) as "direct" or "indirect" is crucial to the determination of the total cost of providing services.

Direct costs are typically defined as those that can be identified specifically to a particular function or activity, including the labor of persons working directly on the specific service for which the fee is charged, and possibly materials or supplies those people use for the task. Indirect costs are those that support more than one program area and are not easily identifiable to specific activities. Examples of indirect costs are: 1) departmental administrative and support staff, 2) training and education time, 3) public counter and telephone time, 4) some service and supply costs, and 5) citywide overhead costs from outside of the department as identified in the City's cost allocation plan.

MGT's hourly rate calculation methodology includes the following:

Personnel Services Analysis – each staff classification within the department or division is analyzed in the study. The first burden factor is comprised of compensated absences such as vacation/holidays/sick leave days taken in a year's time. Staff classifications are then categorized as either direct (operational) or indirect (administrative or supervisory) labor. In some cases, a classification will have both direct and indirect duties. The total indirect portion of staff cost is incorporated into hourly overhead rates.

Indirect Cost Rate – a ratio of indirect cost to direct labor (salaries plus benefits) is established. There are three elements of indirect cost incorporated, including:

- Indirect Labor includes total compensation, administrative and supervisory staff costs.
- Other Operating Expenses most services and supplies are included as a second layer of indirect cost and are prorated across all fees and services. There are some service and supply expenses classified as "allowable direct". Some examples of these are professional services expenses, or sports supplies. These allowable direct expenses would be directly associated with specific fees or programs, as opposed to being allocated across all activities through the indirect overhead.
- External Indirect Allocations this represents the prorated portion of citywide overhead (from the City's cost allocation plan) which is attributable to the service for which the fee is charged.



Fully Burdened Hourly Rates – incorporates all the elements that comprise the hourly rates used in this cost analysis.

- Each direct or operational staff classification is listed, together with the average annual salary.
- The hourly salary rate is calculated by taking the annual salary of an employee and dividing by 2,080 available productive hours in a year.
- The benefit rate reflects the average benefit rate as a percentage of the salary rate.
 Multiplying this percentage by the hourly salary rate determines the benefit rate to be included in the fully burdened rate.
- The overhead rate is derived by multiplying the internal and external indirect cost rates against the salary plus benefit rates.

The total combines the salary, benefits, and overhead rates. This is the fully burdened rate for each staff classification. MGT prepared indirect overhead rates and corresponding hourly rate calculations using FY 2020-21 budgeted expenditures, and City staff updated the rates using FY 2023-2024 budgeted expenditures.

Time Spent

Once fully burdened hourly rates were developed for city staff, the next step in the process was to identify staff time spent directly on each of the user fee activities. Each staff person involved in the user fee services identified time spent to complete each task associated with all user fee services. Annual volume statistics were also gathered in order to develop total annual workload information. This information is provided in detailed user fee workbooks which were provided to the City upon completion of the study.

Fee Calculations and Revenue Projections

Given this information, MGT was able to calculate the cost of providing each service, both on a per-unit and total annual basis (per-unit cost multiplied by annual volume equals total annual cost). As mentioned above, costs were calculated by multiplying per-unit time estimates by the hourly labor rates; additional operating expenses directly associated with certain services were also added in. Finally, if other departments or divisions provided support into certain user fee activities, this time was accounted for and added into the analysis as a crossover support activity. Full costs are then compared to current fees/revenues collected, and subsidies (or over-recoveries) are identified.

User fee summaries by department may be seen in in the next section of this report.

Legal, Economic & Policy Considerations

Calculating the true cost of providing city services is a critical step in the process of establishing user fees and corresponding cost recovery levels. Although it is an important factor, other factors must also be given consideration. City decision makers must also consider the effects that establishing fees for services will have on the individuals purchasing those services, as well as the community as a whole.

The following legal, economic and policy issues help illustrate these considerations.

 State law - In California, user fees are limited to the "estimated reasonable cost of providing a service" by Government Code section 66014(a) and other supplementary



legislation. California voters approved Proposition 26 in November of 2010, which defined "taxes" as "any levy, charge, or exaction of any kind imposed by a local government" subject to seven exceptions. Most of the exceptions require that the City charge a fee which does not exceed the reasonable cost to the City to provide the service for which the fee is charged. Thus, if the fee exceeds the reasonable cost of service, it may be considered a "tax" which must be approved by the voters. We have calculated each fee to recover no more than the reasonable cost of each service so that none of the fee adjustments recommended herein will be considered taxes under Proposition 26. Additionally, it should be noted that some fees (e.g., certain animal control fees or oversize permit fees) may be capped by state law and may not change, regardless of any cost analysis performed.

- **Economic barriers** It may be a desired policy to establish fees at a level that permits lower income groups to use services that they might not otherwise be able to afford.
- Community benefit The Council may wish to subsidize some user fees in order to reflect policy considerations which supersede cost recovery. For example, many Community Services fees have very moderate cost recovery levels. Some programs are provided free of charge or for a minimal fee regardless of cost. Youth and senior programs tend to have the lowest recovery levels. Miscellaneous classes tend to have a moderate cost recovery level and adult sports programs typically have a higher cost recovery level.
- Private benefit If a user fee primarily benefits the fee payer, we recommend the fee be set at, or close to, 100% full cost recovery. Development-related fees generally fall into this category; however, exceptions are sometimes made for services such as appeal fees or fees charged exclusively to residential applicants.
- Service driver In conjunction with the third point above, the issue of who is the service recipient versus the service driver should also be considered.
- Managing demand For those fees which are not subject to pure cost recovery limitations, other market considerations may inform recommended fee levels. Elasticity of demand is a factor in pricing certain city services; increasing the price of some services results in a reduction of demand for those services, and vice versa.
- Competition Certain services, such as recreation classes, may be provided by neighboring communities or the private sector, and therefore demand for these services can be highly dependent on what else may be available at lower prices. Furthermore, if the City's fees are too low, demand enjoyed by private-sector competitors could be adversely affected.
- Incentives Fees can be set low to encourage participation in a service, such as a youth sports program or the issuance of a water heater permit.
- Comparative Data One additional tool that many agencies use when considering how to establish fees for services is a comparison of what other agencies are charging for similar services. As part of this study, MGT collected fee schedules from surrounding area cities and extracted a sampling of fees to compare with fees charged by the City of Riverside. The City's Executive Steering Committee selected five neighboring agencies that would provide the best comparison. The fees to compare were selected by the departments with guidance from MGT. The results of the comparative survey may be found for each department within their respective summary sections.



User Fee Summaries by Department

Community Development

The user fee/cost analyses for this department mirrors the structure of City's fee schedule and was developed separately for each division:

- Building and Safety
- Planning

Fees are charged in a variety of ways including:

- Flat (or fixed) fees the fee is always the same, regardless of size or complexity of the service provided in each instance.
- Per square (or linear) foot the fee is calculated based on the size of the project under review
- Hourly (or time-and-materials) city staff track time and materials expenses, and fees are calculated to recover actual costs.
- Actual cost this fee is charged to recover consultant costs as billed to the City.
- Percentage of permit the fee is calculated as a percentage of the original permit fee.

Building & Safety

Building permit and plan check fees benefit individuals and the development community and are therefore eligible for cost recovery. The City's policy is to generally set fees to recover 100% of all costs associated with providing fee-for-service activities. City staff recommend this cost recover policy to be phased in over two years.

Within the Building & Safety division, current fees recover 80% of costs to provide services for which fees are charged, leaving 20% to be subsidized by other funding sources. This 20% represents a total dollar amount of \$946,128 annually. Staff recommends increasing recovery levels to 100% to be phased in over two years. Assuming no loss in demand, fee adjustments should result in additional annual revenues of \$946,128 by the second year. Building & Safety restructured some of their fee categories to better reflect the current process, staffing levels, and to be a more user-friendly fee schedule, such as moving building permit and inspection from a valuation to a square-foot model.

The Building analysis included the following approach:

- MGT developed a fully burdened hourly rate and applied it to the average time spent performing services that were listed as fixed price permits. Subtracting the cost of fixed price permits from the total cost of the division, we arrive at the cost of variable price (construction) permits. Dividing this figure by the square footage of projects permitted, we arrive at a cost per square foot for plan review and a cost per square foot for inspections.
- Total cost Cost of fixed price permits = Cost of variable price permits. Construction
 permits have an analysis supplied by the Building division of how each position's effort
 is dedicated to plan review and inspection of construction permits. "Plan review" covers



all activities related to the approval of the building plans and incorporates the crosssupport costs from the permit division for additional time spent in the initial intake of the permit, and Engineering and Planning cross support for their approval of the plans. "Inspection" covers all activities to confirm that construction is according to approved plans and to close out the permit when work is completed. Additional contractor costs were also incorporated where appropriate.

The following new fees are proposed for institution:

- Mechanical Permits Nine (9) new fees were added to the mechanical permits fee section. They are commercial spray booth, AC fan coil, evaporative cooler, commercial cooking equipment, fire/smoke damper, wall heater, clothes dryer (res.), clothes dryer (comm.) and decorative fireplace.
- Plumbing Permits Seventeen (17) new fees were added to the plumbing permits fee section. They are dwelling re-pipe (partial), add/alter gas piping, new gas service, water closet, urinal, tub/shower, clothes washer, jacuzzi tub, floor sink/drain, gravity grease interceptors, septic tank system demolition, sump pumps, pressure regulator, swimming pool piping/repairs, solar or hydronic systems, and other fixtures not otherwise specified.
- Electrical Permits Nine (9) new fees were added to the electrical permits fee section.
 They are AC heat pumps, other devices not specified, EV charger (residential), EV charger (commercial), lighting standard, light switches and occupancy sensors, dedicated circuit, lighting fixtures, and illuminated sign-wall mounted.
- Miscellaneous Permits Seventeen (17) new fees were added to the miscellaneous permits fee section. They are building plan check (hourly), building inspection (hourly), temporary certificate of occupancy request, temporary power release request, solar PV residential > 15 kw, solar PV commercial > 50 kw, expedited solar PV system, commercial demising walls/partitions, residential garage conversion, residential windows and skylights, swimming pool fiberglass/vinyl, ponds/fountains, shell only, foundation only, residential foundation repair/seismic retrofit, membrane structures/canopies, and structures other than buildings.

The User Fee Summary Sheet following this narrative provides further details of MGT's fee analysis for Building. The recommended fee and charges amount listed reflect the recommended amounts to be implemented in the second year assuming 100% cost recovery.

Planning

The Planning division services benefit the development community and are therefore eligible for cost recovery. The City's policy is to generally - but with some exceptions (Historic Preservation Fees and Minor Temporary Use Permits) - set fees to recover 100% of all costs associated with providing fee-for-service activities. City staff recommend this cost recover policy to be phased in over two years.

Within the Planning division, current fees recover 62% of related fee-for-service costs. A combination of fee increases and decreases are recommended, generating a net \$620,103 additional annual revenue by the second year.

Highlights of the Planning fee analysis are provided below:



- New Fees Six (6) new fees are proposed to be added to the planning fee schedule. They
 are environmental CEQA review—minor scope, certificate of appropriateness (over the
 counter, administrative, board), annexation, and reasonable accommodation fee.
- Fee Removals Seven (7) fees are recommended for removal from the current planning fee schedule. They are day care permit, environmental initial study (new development), environmental review new development (completed by city) environmental review existing development (completed by city), parcel map/waiver of parcel map new application, parcel map revision, administrative sign review, and landscape/irrigation-minor.

The User Fee Summary Sheet following this narrative provides further details of MGT's fee analysis for Planning. The recommended fee and charges amount listed reflect the recommended amounts to be implemented in the second year assuming 100% cost recovery for most fees.

Comparative Data

Surveys showing a selected group of Building and Planning fees in comparison to neighboring agencies can be found following this narrative. Overall, the department fees are within the range of their peers.

Disproportionate Impact

Community Development's fees are primarily charged to developers and business owners. The department did not identify any fees that may present a disproportionate impact on low-income or other sectors of the community.



Building User Fee Summary Sheet

					Curre	nt					Re	commenda	tions			
				Per Unit			Annual			Per Unit				Annual		
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue		Annual Subsidy	Recovery Fee @ Level L	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	<u> </u>	Increased Revenue	Recommended Subsidy	ommended Subsidy
BUILDING PERMIT AND INSPECTION			S		%0	. \$	s	\$		S	\$.	٠	s		S	
PERMIT ISSUANCE FEE^	Flat Fee	3,197 \$		48	829	\$ 154,663	103,	03 \$	51,560	100% \$	48 \$	154,		51,560	s.	
BUILDING PLAN CHECK ²		S	323 \$	323	100%	. \$	S	s.		100% \$	323 \$	•	s	•	S	•
Each repetitive building (of such discrete model)					%0	. \$	S	\$	٠	s,		•	s	٠	s	
Building Plan Check Hourly	New Hourly Fee	1	S	103	%0	\$ 103	S	\$	103	100% \$	103 \$	103	\$ \$	103	s	
Repeat Buildings	Flat Fee	305 \$	323 \$	293	110%	\$ 89,365	\$ 98,363	\$ 89	(8,998)	100% \$	293 \$	89,365	\$	(8,998)	s	
BUILDING PERMITS^ (PER SQUARE FEET)					%0	,	s	\$ -		s,		•	S	•	s	,
A-1: Auditorium, Theatres	Sq Foot	S	102 \$	102	100%	,	S	S	٠	100% \$	102 \$	•	s	٠	s	
A-2: Restaurants, Bars	Sq Foot	S	114 \$	114	100%	,	S	s.		100% \$	114 \$	•	s	•	s	
A-3: Churches, Halls	Sq Foot	\$	100 \$	100	100%	,	s	s		100% \$	100 \$	•	s	•	s	
A-4: Arenas, Stadiums	Sq Foot	S	102 \$	102	100%	,	S	s.		100% \$	102 \$	•	s	•	s	
B: Offices, Banks, etc.	Sq Foot	\$	\$ 56	95	100%	,	s	s		100% \$	\$ 56	•	s	,	s	
E: Educational, Schools	Sq Foot	S	\$ 86	98	100%	,	S	s.		100% \$	\$ 86	•	s	•	s	•
F: Industrial, Factory, Etc.	Sq Foot	\$	52 \$	52	100%	,	s	s		100% \$	52 \$	•	s	,	s	
H: Hazardous	Sq Foot	\$	63 \$	63	100%		s	\$		100% \$	63 \$	•	s		s	
I-4: Daycare Facilities	Sq Foot	\$	118 \$	118	100%	,	s	s		100% \$	118 \$	•	s	,	s	
M: Retail, Market, Gas	Sq Foot	\$	\$ 92	76	100%	,	s	\$		100% \$	3 92	•	s	•	s.	•
Service Canopies	Sq Foot	Ş	33 \$	33	100%		s	s.		100% \$	33 \$	•	s		s	
R-1: Hotels, Motels	Sq Foot	\$	\$ 86	98	100%		s	\$		100% \$	\$ 86	•	s	•	s	
R-2: Apartments and Condominiums	Sq Foot	S	103 \$	103	100%	,	s	s.		100% \$	103 \$	•	s	٠	s	
R-3: Single Family, Duplex	Sq Foot	S	100 \$	100	100%	,	S	s.		100% \$	100 \$	•	s		S	
Room Additions	Sq Foot	S	\$ 11	11	100%	,	s	s		100% \$	77 \$	•	s	·	s	ì
Garage Conversions	Sq Foot	S	22 \$	22	100%	,	s	s.		100% \$	22 \$	•	s		s	•
Home Remodels	Sq Foot	S	54 \$	54	100%	,	s	S.		100% \$	54 \$	•	s	٠	s	
R-4: Residential Care	Sq Foot	S	108 \$	108	100%	,	S	s.		100% \$	108 \$	•	s		S	
S: Storage, Warehouse	Sq Foot	S	40 \$	40	100%	,	s	s.		100% \$	40 \$	•	s	٠	s	
U: Utility, Private Garages, Accessory, Etc.	Sq Foot	\$	29 \$	29	100%	,	S	\$		100% \$	29 \$	•	s	•	S	
Special Uses:					%0	,	s	S		100% \$	\$	•	s		s	
I-2.1: Licensed Clinics	Sq Foot	\$	118 \$	118	100%		s	\$		100% \$	118 \$	•	S	•	s.	
R-3.1: Licensed Residential Assisted Living	Sq Foot	S	108 \$	108	100%	,	s	ς.		100% \$	108 \$	•	s		s.	
Miscellaneous					%0	,	S	\$ -		100% \$	\$	•	s		s	•
Storage Shed, Greenhouses	Sq Foot	S	15 \$	15	100%	,	s	S.		100% \$	15 \$	•	s		s.	
Decks, Balconies, and Stairways	Sq Foot	\$	22 \$	22	100%	,	S	\$ -		100% \$	22 \$	٠	s		s.	•
Patio Cover - Lattice	Sq Foot	S	\$ 6	6	100%	,	s	\$		100% \$	\$ 6	•	s	٠	s	
Patio Cover - Solid	Sq Foot	\$	11 \$	11	100%	,	S	s.		100% \$	11 \$	•	s		S	
Retaining Walls (Per Linear Feet)	Sq Foot	\$	\$ 6	6	100%	,	s	\$ -		100% \$	\$ 6	•	s	٠	s	
Block Walls (Per Linear Feet)	Sq Foot	\$	\$ 9	9	100%	,	S		٠	100% \$	9	•	s		S	•



							ı	ı	ı	i				١				
			ı	ı	Curr	ent				ı			Recommendations	ndation	S			
				Per Unit			Ā	Annua!			Per Unit				Annual	nal		
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	R A	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy		Recovery Fee	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	al ue2	Increased Revenue		Recommended Subsidy	oded y
Swimming Pools	Per Contract				%0	. \$	s	•	s	,	100% \$	٠	s.	,	Ş	\$ -		
Sings	Per Contract				%0	. \$	s	٠	s		100% \$	٠	s	,	s	\$		
Demolitions	Per Contract				%0	. \$	s	1	s		100% \$	•	s	,	s	\$.		,
Re-Roof	Sq Foot				%0	- \$	s	٠	s		100% \$	٠	s	,	s	\$		
Overlays (2 maximum)	Sq Foot		\$ 2	\$ 2	100%	. \$	s	•	S	ì	100% \$	2	s	,	"	\$ -		
Tear Off and Re-Roof	Sq Foot		\$ 2	\$ 2	100%	. \$	S	٠	s		100% \$	2	S	,	ω.	\$		
Tear Off, New Sheathing, and Re-Roof	Sq Foot		\$	\$	100%	. \$	s	1	s	,	100% \$	8	S	,	s	\$ -		,
Tenant Improvements	Sq Foot		\$ 75	\$ 75	100%	. \$	s	٠	s,		100% \$	75	s	,	"	\$		
Building Permit Valuation					%0	- \$	s	1	s	ì	S	1	s	,	"	\$		į,
\$1-\$500	Remove		\$ 30		%0	. \$	s.	٠	s		S	٠	S	,	"	\$		
\$501 - \$2,000	Remove				%0	. \$	s	•	s	ì	S	•	s	,	"	\$,
First \$500	Remove		\$ 30		%0	- \$	s	٠	s	ì	S	٠	s	,	"	\$		
Each additional \$500 or fraction thereof, to and	Remove		\$ 10		%0	. \$	s	•	s	ì	S	•	s	,	"	\$ -		,
\$2,001 - \$25,000	Remove				%0	- \$	s	٠	s	ì	S	٠	s	,	"	\$		
First \$2,000	Remove		9 \$		%0	. \$	s	•	s	ì	S	•	s	,	"	\$ -		,
Each additional \$1,000 or fraction thereof, to and	Remove		6		%0	. \$	s	٠	s,		S	٠	s	,	"	\$		
\$25,001 - \$50,000	Remove				%0	. \$	s	1	s	,	S	•	s	,	"	\$ -		,
First \$25,000	Remove		\$ 267		%0	. \$	s	٠	s	ì	S	٠	s	,	s).	\$		į,
Each additional \$10,000 or fraction thereof, to and	Remove		\$ 65		%0	- \$	s	•	s	,	S	•	s	,	"	\$,
\$50,001 - \$100,000	Remove				%0	- \$	s	٠	s	į	S	٠	s	,	s	\$		į,
First \$50,000	Remove		\$ 430		%0	. \$	s	٠	s	,	S	•	s	,	"	\$		
Each additional \$10,000 or fraction thereof, to and	Remove		\$ 45		%0	- \$	s	٠	s	ì	S	1	s	,	"	\$		į,
\$100,000 - \$500,000	Remove				%0	. \$	s	•	s	,	S	•	s	,	"	\$.		,
First \$100,000	Remove		\$ 655		%0	- \$	s	٠	s	ì	S	٠	s	,	s	\$		į,
Each additional \$10,000 or fraction thereof, to and	Remove		\$ 35		%0	. \$	s	٠	s,		S	•	s	,	"	\$ -		
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	Remove				%0	- \$	s	٠	s	·	s	1	s	,	"	\$		į,
First \$500,000	Remove		\$ 2,055		%0	. \$	s	•	s		S	•	s	,	"	\$ -		,
Each additional \$10,000 or fraction thereof, to and	Remove		\$ 30		%0	•	s	٠	s,		S	٠	s	,	s	\$		
\$1,000,001+	Remove				%0	. \$	s	•	s		S	•	s	,	"	\$ -		
First \$1,00,000	Remove		\$ 3,555		%0	- \$	s	٠	s	·	s	1	s	,	s	\$		į,
Each additional \$10,000 or fraction thereof	Remove		\$ 20		%0	. \$	S	٠	s,		S	•	s	,	"	\$ -		
CALIFORNIA BUILDING STANDARDS COMMISSION FEE					%0	- \$	s	٠	s	,	s	1	s	,	s	\$		į,
Per every \$25,000 or portion thereof in construction valuation	Flat Fee		5	\$ 1	100%	. \$	S	٠	s,		100% \$	1	s	,	"	\$ -		
MICROFILM FEE (per sheet of plans, calculations, and related doci	Flat Fee	69,300	\$ 2	\$ 2	112%	\$ 124,092	s	138,600	s	14,508)	100% \$	2	\$ 124	124,092	\$ (14	14,508) \$		
PLAN CHECK FEE [^] - POOLS	Flat Fee		\$ 81	\$ 81	100%	٠ ه	S	•	S		100% \$	81	s.	,	"	\$		



					Current				i		æ	ecommendati	ions		
				Per Unit			Annual			Per Unit			Annual	na/	
Service Name	Fee Description Vol	nual Cur	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current An	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	An	Annual R Subsidy	Recovery Fee	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	Increased Revenue		Recommended Subsidy
STRONG MOTION INSTRUMENTATION PROGRAM (SMIP)					\$ %0	S		s		S	,	,	s	s	
	Valuation x \$0.00013				\$ %0	\$ -	•	s		s	,	. \$	s	s.	٠
Commercial Structures	Valuation x \$0.00013				\$ %0	\$	•	S		s,	,	. \$	s).	s.	٠
TENTS, GRANDSTANDS, OR OTHER TEMPORARY STRUCTURES	Flat Fee	15 \$		184	\$ %62	2,758 \$	806	\$ 9	1,951	100% \$	184	\$ 2,758	\$	\$ 156	•
TRANSFER OF ISSUED PERMIT	Flat Fee	18 \$	32 \$	82	\$ %68	1,480 \$	581	1 \$	006	100% \$	82		S	\$ 006	•
PERMIT REFUND FEEA	Flat Fee	34 \$	32 \$	82	\$ %68	2,796 \$	1,097	\$ 1	1,700	100% \$	82	\$ 2,796	\$	1,700 \$	•
BUILDING MODIFICATION/ALTERNATE MATERIALS REVIEW	Flat Fee	5 \$	641 \$	556	115% \$	2,782 \$	3,204	\$ 4	(422)	100% \$	556	\$ 2,782	s	(422) \$	٠
APPEAL OF BUILDING OFFICIAL	Flat Fee	\$	1,130 \$	1,064	106% \$		٠	s		100% \$	1,064	· \$	s	s.	٠
MECHANICAL PERMITS					\$ %0		•	s		S	,		s	s.	٠
PERMIT ISSUANCE FEE^ (each)	Flat Fee	852 \$	32 \$	48	\$ %29	41,218 \$	27,477	\$ 1	13,741	100% \$	48	\$ 41,218	\$ 13	13,741 \$	٠
DUCT (each system)	Flat Fee	\$ 89	16 \$	39	42% \$	2,632 \$	1,097	5 2	1,535	100% \$	39	\$ 2,632	\$	1,535 \$	٠
APPLIANCE VENT- APPLIANCE NOT INCLUDED (each)	Flat Fee	23 \$	11 \$	16	\$ %59	378 \$	247	\$ 1	131	100% \$	16	\$ 378	s.	131 \$	٠
BOILERS AND AIR CONDITIONING UNIT (each)			S		\$ %0	\$	•	s		100% \$,	. 8	S	s.	•
0 - 3 HP / Tons OR 100,000 BTU	Flat Fee	350 \$	43 \$	28	74% \$	20,319 \$	15,050	\$ 0	5,269	100% \$	28	\$ 20,319	s	5,269 \$	٠
3 - 50 HP / Tons OR 100,000 - 175,000 BTU	Flat Fee	305 \$	54 \$	92	\$ %85	28,035 \$	16,394	4 \$	11,641	100% \$	95	\$ 28,035	S	11,641 \$	•
50+ HP/ Tons or 175,000+ BTU	Flat Fee	2 \$	81 \$	155	52% \$	310 \$	191	1 \$	148	100% \$	155	\$ 310	s	148 \$	١
Air Handler	Flat Fee	74 \$	43 \$	28	74% \$	4,296 \$	3,182	2 \$	1,114	100% \$	28	\$ 4,296	\$	1,114 \$	•
AC FAN COIL (each)	New Flat Fee	S	\$	29	\$ %0		Ì	s		100% \$	29		s	s.	1
EVAPORATIVE COOLER (each)	New Flat Fee	S	\$	28	\$ %0	\$	•	s		100% \$	28	. \$	s	\$	•
COMMERCIAL COOKING EQUIPMENT (each)	New Flat Fee	S	\$	63	\$ %0		1	s		100% \$	63		s	s.	1
COMMERCIAL INCINERATOR	Flat Fee	1 \$	20 \$	102	\$ %69	102 \$	70	\$ 0	32	100% \$	102	\$ 102	s	32 \$	•
FIRE/SMOKE DAMPER (each)	New Flat Fee	S	\$	89	\$ %0	٠,	•	s		100% \$	89	. \$	S	s.	•
FURNANCE (each)	Flat Fee	\$ 505	43 \$	28	74% \$	29,317 \$	21,715	\$ 5	7,602	100% \$	28	\$ 29,317	\$	7,602 \$	•
WALL HEATER (each)	New Flat Fee	23 \$	\$ -	89	\$ %0	1,558 \$	•	s	1,558	100% \$	89	\$ 1,558	\$	1,558 \$	٠
MECHANICAL EXHAUST HOOD (each)			S		\$ %0		•	s		100% \$			s	s.	•
Commercial (Type I/II)	Flat Fee	1 \$	81 \$	571	14% \$	571 \$	81	1 \$	490	100% \$	571	\$ 571	s	490 \$	٠
Residential	Flat Fee	15 \$	11 \$	29	37% \$	435 \$	161	1 \$	274	100% \$	29	\$ 435	S	274 \$	•
CLOTHES DRYER - Residential	New Flat Fee	s		63	\$ %0	,	•	s		100% \$	63	,	s	s.	٠
CLOTHES DRYER - Commercial	New Flat Fee	S	\$.	150	\$ %0		•	S		100% \$	150		S	\$.	•
DECORATIVE FIREPLACE (Wood or Gas)	New Flat Fee	S		99	\$ %0	,	•	s		100% \$	89	,	s	s.	٠
OTHER HEATER (each)	Flat Fee	3 \$	43 \$	89	\$ %89	203 \$	129	\$ 6	74	100% \$	89	\$ 203	s	74 \$	•
VENTILATION FAN (each)	Flat Fee	11 \$	11 \$	29	37% \$	319 \$	118	\$	201	100% \$	29	\$ 319	s	201 \$	1
COMMERCIAL SPRAY BOOTH (each)	New Flat Fee	S	\$.	692	\$ %0		•	S		100% \$	692		S	\$.	٠
NOT OTHERWISE LISTED	Flat Fee	30 \$	11 \$	34	32% \$	1,020 \$	323	3 \$	869	100% \$	34	\$ 1,020	S	\$ 869	•
CALCULATION METHOD FOR PER SQUARE FEET METHOD					\$ %0		•	s		100% \$,		s	s·	•
Dwellings	Sq Foot	S	\$ 0	0	100% \$	٠,	•	s		100% \$	0		s	s ·	٠
Residential Parking Garages	Sq Foot	S	\$ 0	0	100% \$		•	s		100% \$	0		s	s·	•
Restaurants	Sq Foot	S	\$ 0	0	100% \$	٠,	•	s		100% \$	0	. \$	s	s.	٠
Industrial Plants	Sq Foot	S	\$ 0	0	100% \$		•	s		100% \$	0		S	s.	٠
Stores, Churches, and Offices	Sq Foot	S	\$ 0	0	100% \$		•	s		100% \$	0	. \$	s.	\$.	•

			ı	ı			ı	ı				,		
			ı	ı	Curre	nt	ı				Recommendations	tions		
				Per Unit			Annual		Pe	Per Unit		Annual	al	
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Level	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	Increased Revenue		Recommended Subsidy
Service Stations / Mini-Marts	Sq Foot	\ 	0	0 \$	100%	٠. \$	· s	\$	100%	0 \$ %	· s	s	s .	
Warehouses, Canopies, Covered Parking Structures, and Aircraft Hangers	angers				%0	. \$	\$	Š	100%	- \$ %	\$	s	\$ -	
Aircraft Hangers (Per Fixture)					%0	٠.	\$	\$	100%	- \$ %	\$	s	\$ -	1
0 - 50,000 Square Feet	Sq Foot	S	0	0	100%		\$	s	100%	0 \$ %	\$	s	s.	
50,001+ Square Feet	Sq Foot	Ş	0	0	100%	. \$	\$	\$	100%	0 \$ %	\$	S	s.	•
Not Otherwise Listed	Sq Foot	S	0	0	100%	٠.	\$	\$	100%	0 \$ %	\$	s	\$ -	1
PLUMBING PERMITS			•	34	%0	. \$	\$	Ś	100%	%	\$	S	\$ -	•
PERMIT ISSUANCE FEE^ (each)	Flat Fee	542 \$	32	48	%29	\$ 26,221	\$ 17,480	8,741	1 100%	% \$ 48	\$ 26,221	s.	8,741 \$	
BACKFLOW PROTECTION DEVICES (each)	Flat Fee	9	2 9	4	29%	\$ 23	\$ 18	3 \$ 10	0 100%	8 \$ 4	\$ 23	3 \$	10 \$	
BUILDING SEWERS (each)			•		%0	. \$	\$	Š	100%	- \$ %	\$	s	\$	
Non-Residential	Flat Fee	1 \$	75	3 160	47%	\$ 160	\$ 75	5 \$ 84	4 100%	% \$ 160	\$ 160	\$ 0	84 \$	•
Residential	Flat Fee	35 \$	38	5 77	49%	\$ 2,709	\$ 1,317	, \$ 1,392	2 100%	77 \$ %	\$ 2,709	s	1,392 \$	
DRAINS, SINKS, AND ETC. (each)	Flat Fee	30 \$	5	80	70%	\$ 232	\$ 161	1 \$ 71	1 100%	8 \$ %	\$ 232	2 \$	71 \$	•
DWELLINGS RE-PIPE - FULL	Flat Fee	39 \$	54	140	38%	\$ 5,472	\$ 2,096	5 \$ 3,375	5 100%	% \$ 140	\$ 5,472	s	3,375 \$	
DWELLINGS RE-PIPE - PARTIAL	New Flat Fee	\$,	77	%0	. \$	\$	\$	100%	77 \$ %	\$	S	\$ -	
GARBAGE DISPOSALS OR DISHWASHERS (each)	Flat Fee	2 \$	50	39	14%	\$ 77	\$ 11	29 \$ 1	7 100%	68 \$ %	\$ 77	\$ 2	\$ 19	
GAS OUTLETS (each)	Flat Fee	12 \$	1	9	19%	\$ 70	\$ 13	\$ \$ 57	7 100%	9 \$ %	\$ 70	\$ 0	\$ 25	
ADD/ALTER GAS PIPING (each)	New Flat Fee	S	,	3 26	%0		\$	s	100%	% \$ 26	\$	s	s.	
NEW GAS SERVICE	New Flat Fee	\$,	3 155	%0	. \$	\$	\$	100%	% \$ 155	\$	S	s.	•
MOVED BUILDINGS (each)	Flat Fee	1 \$	54	1111	48%	\$ 111	\$ 54	85 \$ 1	8 100%	% \$ 111	\$ 111	1 \$	\$ 85	
PRIVATE SEWAGE SYSTEMS (each)	Flat Fee	2 \$	75	184	41%	\$ 368	\$ 151	1 \$ 217	7 100%	% \$ 184	\$ 368	S	217 \$	
RAINWATER SYSTEMS (per drain)	Flat Fee	1 \$	5	3 20	26%	\$ 20	S	5 \$ 15	5 100%	% \$ 20	\$ 20	\$ 0	15 \$	
REPAIR OR ALTERATION OF DRAINS OR VENTS (each)	Flat Fee	\$ 5	5	\$ 44	12%	\$ 218	\$ 27	, \$ 191	1 100%	% \$ 44	\$ 218	s	191 \$	•
GRAVITY GREASE INTERCEPTORS (each)	New Flat Fee	S	,	643	%0	. \$	\$	s.	100%	% \$ 643	\$	s	s.	,
SAND TRAPS OR GREASE TRAPS (each)	Flat Fee	1 \$	20	3 252	20%	\$ 252	\$ 50	3 \$ 202	2 100%	% \$ 252	\$ 252	s	202 \$	1
TRAPS (each)	Flat Fee	1 \$	5	3 21	25%	\$ 21	S	5 \$ 16	6 100%	% \$ 21	\$ 21	1 \$	16 \$	1
WATER HEATERS			u,		%0		\$	\$	100%	- \$%	\$	S	\$ -	
Up to 100,000 BTU	Flat Fee	305 \$	10	\$ 44	22%	\$ 13,280	\$ 2,952	\$ 10,327	7 100%	% \$ 44	\$ 13,280	s	10,327 \$	
100,001 - 1,000,000 BTU	Flat Fee	23 \$	24	89	36%	\$ 1,558	\$ 256	5 \$ 1,001	1 100%	89 \$ %	\$ 1,558	s,	1,001	
1,000,001+BTU	Flat Fee	1 \$	61	3 121	20%	\$ 121	\$ 61	09 \$ 1	0 100%	% \$ 121	\$ 121	1 \$	\$ 09	
WATER CLOSET (each)	New Flat Fee	\$,	\$ 48	%0	,	. \$	· S	100%	% \$ 48	\$	s	s -	
URINAL (each)	New Flat Fee	S	,	48	%0		\$	· s	100%	% \$ 48	· \$	s	s.	
TUB/SHOWER (each)	New Flat Fee	S	,	89	%0		\$	\$	100%	89 \$ %	\$	S	\$ -	



		Ī				Currer	ıt						Recommendations	tions		
				Pe	Per Unit			Annual	ıal		Per Unit			A	Annual	
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee		Full Cost	Current /	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	ler aur	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Fe Level	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	Incr	Increased R Revenue	Recommended Subsidy
CLOTHES WASHER (each)	New Flat Fee			s	53	\$ %0		Ş	\$ -		100% \$	53	. \$	Ş	\$ -	
JACUZZI TUB (each)	New Flat Fee		9	s	87	\$ %0	•	S	\$	ì	100% \$	87	· \$	s	\$ -	٠
FLOOR SINK/DRAIN (each)	New Flat Fee			S	34	\$ %0	٠	s.	\$	٠	100% \$	34	\$	s	\$ -	•
OTHER FIXTURES OR APPARATUS - not otherwise specified (each)	New Flat Fee			s	82	\$ %0	٠	s,	\$ -	٠	100% \$	82	· s	s	\$	٠
SEPTIC TANK SYSTEM DEMOLITION	New Flat Fee			S	140	\$ %0	•	s,	\$	•	100% \$	140	· s	s,	\$ -	•
SUMP PUMPS (each)	New Flat Fee		10	s	116	\$ %0	٠	s	\$	٠	100% \$	116	. \$	s	. \$	٠
PRESSURE REGULATOR (each)	New Flat Fee		,	s	29	\$ %0	٠	S	\$	٠	100% \$	29	\$	s	\$	•
SWIMMING POOL PIPING/REPAIRS	New Flat Fee		· •	s	121	\$ %0	٠	s,	٠ \$	٠	100% \$	121	. \$	s	. \$	٠
SOLAR OR HYDRONIC SYSTEMS	New Flat Fee		,	s	256	\$ %0	٠	S	\$	٠	100% \$	256	\$	s	\$	•
Water Heater Gas Vent (each)	New Flat Fee	2	\$ 11	1 \$	21	51% \$	43	s.	22 \$	21	100% \$	21	\$ 43	s	21 \$	٠
WATER PIPING (each)	Flat Fee	S	"	\$ 5	6	62% \$	44	S	27 \$	17	100% \$	6	\$ 44	s	17 \$	•
WATER SERVICE	Flat Fee	23	5 54	\$	77	\$ %69	1,780	S	1,236 \$	544	100% \$	77	\$ 1,780	s	544 \$	٠
WATER SOFTENERS (each)	Flat Fee	99	\$ 32	5 \$	48	\$ %29	2,709	S	1,806 \$	903	100% \$	48	\$ 2,709	s	\$ 808	•
YARD SPRINKLERS (each)	Flat Fee	н	(0.	\$	12	74% \$	12	s,	6	3	100% \$	12	\$ 12	S	3	٠
ELECTRICAL PERMITS						\$ %0	•	s	\$	•	100% \$	•	· \$	s	. \$	•
PERMIT ISSUANCE FEE^ (each)	Flat Fee	747	\$ 32	5 2	48	\$ %29	36,138	\$ 2	24,091 \$	12,047	100% \$	48	\$ 36,138	s,	12,047 \$	٠
DWELLING RE-WIRE				s		\$ %0	•	s.	\$ -	•	100% \$	•	· \$	s	\$ -	•
Partial	Flat Fee	10	5 54	\$	121	44% \$	1,209	s	538 \$	672	100% \$	121	\$ 1,209	s	672 \$	٠
Full	Flat Fee	37	\$ 81	1 \$	223	36%	8,234	S	2,983 \$	5,251	100% \$	223	\$ 8,234	s.	5,251 \$	•
MOTORS, GENERATORS, TRANSFORMERS, AND APPLIANCES - H.P./K.W./K.V.A. (each)	V./K.V.A. (each)			s	ì	\$ %0	,	s	\$	٠	100% \$	٠	· \$	s	\$ -	٠
0-3	Flat Fee	81	"	S-	13	64% \$	1,019	s).	653 \$	366	100% \$	13	\$ 1,019	s.	366 \$	•
3 - 50	Flat Fee	29	\$ 22	5 \$	29	74% \$	842	s	624 \$	218	100% \$	29	\$ 842	s	218 \$	٠
51+	Flat Fee	00	\$ 43	\$	89	63% \$	542	S	344 \$	198	100% \$	89	\$ 542	S	198 \$	•
AC HEAT PUMPS (each)	New Flat Fee		"	s	39	\$ %0	•	s	\$ -	٠	100% \$	39	· \$	s	\$ -	٠
OTHER EQUIPMENT OR DEVICES - not specified (each)	New Flat Fee			s	89	\$ %0	•	S	\$ -	•	100% \$	99	· S	s	\$ -	
EV CHARGER - RESIDENTIAL (each)	New Flat Fee			s	77	\$ %0	٠	s.	\$ -	٠	100% \$	77	· \$	s	\$ -	٠
EV CHARGER - COMMERCIAL (each)	New Flat Fee			s	194	\$ %0	,	S	\$ -	•	100% \$	194	· \$	s	\$ -	
LIGHTING STANDARDS - Commercial (each)	New Flat Fee			s	53	\$ %0	•	s.	\$	٠	100% \$	53	· \$	s,	\$ -	٠
MOVED BUILDINGS (each)	Flat Fee	1	\$ 81	1 \$	160	51% \$	160	S	81 \$	79	100% \$	160	\$ 160	\$	\$ 62	
OUTLETS AND RECEPTACLES (each)	Flat Fee	211	"	1 \$	2	28% \$	408	s,	114 \$	294	100% \$	2	\$ 408	s	294 \$	٠
LIGHT SWITCHES AND OCCUPANCY SENSORS (each)	New Flat Fee			s	2	\$ %0	٠	S	\$ -	•	100% \$	2	· \$	s	\$ -	
SERVICE				s	ì	\$ %0		s,	\$ -	٠	100% \$	٠	· s	s	\$ -	٠
0 - 200A	Flat Fee	466	5 54	\$	106	51% \$	49,597	\$ 2	25,048 \$	24,549	100% \$	106	\$ 49,597	s	24,549 \$	•
201A - 1,000A	Flat Fee	82	\$ 65	\$	189	34% \$	16,037	S	5,483 \$	10,555	100% \$	189	\$ 16,037	s	10,555 \$	•
1,000+ A	Flat Fee	1	\$ 81	\$ 1	416	19% \$	416	S	81 \$	335	100% \$	416	\$ 416	\$	335 \$	



			ı		o Litter		ı		ı		Deco	Decommendations	u u			
				Per Unit			Annual		l	Per Unit			Annua	16		
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current A	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery	ery Fee @ Policy		Annual Revenuez	Increased Revenue		Recommended Subsidy	led
METER PEDESTAL	Flat Fee	1	\$ 54	\$ 116	\$ 46% \$	116 \$	54	s	62	\$ %001	116 \$	116	s	62 \$		
METER RESET	Flat Fee	16	\$ 12	\$ 68	18% \$	1,084 \$	192	s	892	\$ %001	\$ 89	1,084	s	892 \$		
DEDICATED CIRCUIT (each)	New Flat Fee			\$ 12	\$ %0	\$	٠	S		100% \$	12 \$		s	S		
LIGHTING FIXTURE (each)	New Flat Fee			\$ 13	\$ %0	\$	٠	S		\$ %001	13 \$		s	S		
SWITCHBOARD SUB-PANELS (each)				. \$	\$ %0	\$ -	•	s,		100% \$	s.	•	s	S		
0 - 600V	Flat Fee	28	\$ 32	\$ 48	\$ %29	2,806 \$	1,871	s	935	100% \$	48 \$	2,806	s	\$ 586	į	
V+009	Flat Fee	11	\$ 65	\$ 97	\$ %29	1,064 \$	710	s,	355	100% \$	\$ 26	1,064	s.	355 \$		
TEMPORARY POWER POLES (each)	Flat Fee	49	\$ 22	\$ 39	\$ %95	1,896 \$	1,054	s	843	100% \$	39 \$	1,896	s	843 \$		
Additional poles with fixtures and/or outlets (each)	Flat Fee	5	\$	\$ 12	\$ %69	58 \$	40	S	18	100% \$	12 \$	28	s	18 \$		
YARD LIGHTING STANDARDS (each)	Flat Fee	16	\$	\$	\$ %04	124 \$	86	s	38	\$ %001	8	124	s	38 \$		
ILLUMINATED SIGN - WALL MOUNTED (each)	New Flat Fee		. \$	\$ 111	\$ %0		•	s		100% \$	111 \$	٠	s	S		
MISCELLANEOUS PERMITS				٠ \$	\$ %0	\$	•	S		\$ %001	\$	٠	s	s.		
BUILDING INSPECTION - Hourly	New Hourly	1	. \$	\$ 103	\$ %0	103 \$	•	Ş	103	100% \$	103 \$	103	S	103 \$		
TEMPORARY CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY REQUEST	New Flat Fee	1	. 8	\$ 208	\$ %0	208 \$	1	s	208	100% \$	208 \$	208	s	208 \$		
TEMPORARY POWER RELEASE REQUEST	New Flat Fee	1	. \$	\$ 121	\$ %0	121 \$	1	S	121	100% \$	121 \$	121	S	121 \$		
BUILDING ADDRESSING FEE	Flat Fee	334	\$ 32	\$ 98	33% \$	32,639 \$	10,772	\$ 21,868	89	100% \$	\$ 86	32,639	\$ 21,868	\$ 898		
BUILDING REINSPECTION FEE	Flat Fee	33	\$ 32	\$ 116	28% \$	3,832 \$	1,064	\$ 2,7	2,767	100% \$	116 \$	3,832	\$ 2,	2,767 \$		
BUILDING SPECIAL INSPECTION FEE - unpermitted activity	Flat Fee	13	\$ 131	\$ 145	\$ %06	1,887 \$	1,705	Ş	182	100% \$	145 \$	1,887	s	182 \$		
SELF CERT RE-ROOF PERMIT	Flat Fee	175	\$ 42	\$ 73	\$ %85	12,699 \$	7,338	\$ 5,361	61	100% \$	73 \$	12,699	\$ 5,	5,361 \$		
SOLAR PV RESIDENTIAL < 15 KW	New Per Kw	551	\$ 275	\$ 266	\$ %801	146,608 \$	151,525	\$ (4,917	(11)	\$ %001	266 \$	146,608	\$ (4,	\$ (4,917)		
SOLAR PV RESIDENTIAL > 15 KW (Add \$15 per kW above 15)	New Per Kw			. \$	\$ %0	\$	٠	s		\$ %001	\$		s	S		
SOLAR PV COMMERCIAL < 50 KW	New Per Kw	132	\$ 1,000	\$ 871	115% \$	114,945 \$	132,000	\$ (17,055)	(22)	\$ %001	871 \$	114,945	\$ (17,055	\$ (55)		
SOLAR PV COMMERCIAL > 50 KW (Add \$7 per kW above 50 to 250 l	New Per Kw			. \$	\$ %0	\$.	٠	s,		100% \$	\$.	٠	s	\$		
EXPEDITED SOLAR PV SYSTEM (up to 38 Kw)	New Flat Fee			\$ 237	\$ %0	\$	٠	s,		100% \$	237 \$	٠	s	s.		
COM DEMISING WALLS/PARTITIONS (each 1,000 I.f.)	New Per I.f.			\$ 653	\$ %0	\$.	٠	s,		\$ %001	653 \$		s	\$.		
TELECOMMUNICATION TOWER/CELL SITE EQUIPMENT	Flat Fee	37	\$ 320	\$ 460	\$ %94	17,005 \$	12,950	\$ 4,0	4,055	\$ %001	460 \$	17,005	\$ 4,	4,055 \$		
MONUMENT SIGNS (each)	Flat Fee	127	\$ 180	\$ 242	74% \$	30,720 \$	22,860	\$ 7,8	7,860	100% \$	242 \$	30,720	\$ 7,	\$ 098'1		
DECKS, BALCONIES, STAIRWAYS (first 500 s.f. add \$150 each 500 s.	Sq Foot	7	\$ 325	\$ 411	\$ %62	2,878 \$	2,275	s	603	\$ %001	411 \$	2,878	s	\$ 609		
RETAINING WALLS (first 100 I.f. add \$90 each 100 I.f. thereafter)	Per I.f.	40	\$ 186	\$ 242	\$ %//	\$ 9/9′6	7,440	\$ 2,2	2,236	100% \$	242 \$	9,676	\$ 2,	2,236 \$		
BLOCK WALLS/FENCE (first 100 I.f. add \$35 each 100 I.f. thereafter	Per I.f.	6	\$ 134	\$ 145	\$ 826	1,306 \$	1,206	s	100	\$ %001	145 \$	1,306	s	100 \$		
RES LATTICE PATIO COVER (first 400 s.f. add \$30 each 400 s.f. there	Sq Foot	4	\$ 156	\$ 194	81% \$	774 \$	624	S	150	\$ %001	194 \$	774	s	150 \$		
RES SOLID PATIO COVER (first 400 s.f. add \$40 each 400 s.f. there	Sq Foot	202	\$ 204	\$ 242	84% \$	48,861 \$	41,208	\$ 7,6	7,653	\$ %001	242 \$	48,861	\$ 7,	\$ 859'4		
COM PATIO COVER (first 400 s.f. add \$50 each 400 s.f. thereafter)	Sq Foot	13	\$ 312	\$ 363	\$ %98	4,717 \$	4,056	s	661	\$ %001	363 \$	4,717	s	661 \$		
RES RE-ROOF - OVERLAYS (first 1,000 s.f. add \$25 each 500 s.f. the	Sq Foot	46	96 \$	\$ 121	\$ %62	\$ 595'5	4,416	\$ 1,147	47	\$ %001	121 \$	5,563	\$ 1,	1,147 \$		
RES RE-ROOF - TEAR OFF AND RE-ROOF (first 1,000 s.f. add \$25 eac	Sq Foot	537	\$ 107	\$ 145	74% \$	\$ 986'11	57,459	\$ 20,477	77	100% \$	145 \$	77,936	\$ 20,477	\$ 221		
RES RE-ROOF - TEAR OFF AND NEW SHEATHING (first 1,000 s.f. add	Sq Foot	187	\$ 168	\$ 169	\$ %66	31,663 \$	31,416	s,	47	100% \$	169 \$	31,663	so.	247 \$		



						Current	ent						Recomm	Recommendations			
					Per Unit			Annual	na/		Per Unit	nit			Annual		
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee	t Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery%	AnnualCost	Annual Revenu	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Level	Fee @ Policy Level	/ Annual Revenuez		Increased Revenue	Recommended Subsidy	led
RES GARAGE CONVERSION (first 400 s.f., \$50 s.f. each 100 s.f. there	New Sq Foot		\$	\$	629	%0	· \$	\$	٠,	٠	100%	\$ 629	\$ 6	⋄		10	
COM RE-ROOF - OVERLAYS (each 35,000 s.f.)	Sq Foot	29	ψ,	\$ 505	484	104%	\$ 14,029	ψ.	\$ 549'41	(616)	100%	\$ 484	\$	14,029 \$	(616)	10.	
COM RE-ROOF - TEAR OFF AND RE-ROOF (each 35,000 s.f.)	Sq Foot	88	\$	550 \$	581	95%	\$ 51,667	٠,	\$ 906,84	2,762	100%	\$ 581	\$	51,667 \$	2,762	10.	
COM RE-ROOF - TEAR OFF AND NEW SHEATHING (each 35,000 s.f.)	Sq Foot	6	\$	640 \$	750	85%	\$ 6,749	ψ.	\$,756 \$	993	100%	\$ 750	ψ.	6,749 \$	666	10	
RES WINDOWS AND SKYLIGHTS - NEW/CHANGE OUT	New Flat Fee		ς,	٠	218	%0	· \$	ψ.	٠,	•	100%	\$ 218	\$	· \$		٠,	
STORAGE RACKS (first 500 I.f. add \$50 per 100 I.f. thereafter)	Per I.f.	16	\$	501 \$	616	81%	\$ 9,863	\$	\$,016 \$	1,847	100%	\$ 616	\$ 9	\$ 898'6	1,847	٠,	
RES DEMOLITION (Per Structure)	Per Structure	42	\$	119 \$	144	83%	\$ 6,041	\$	\$ 866,4	1,043	100%	\$ 144	\$	6,041 \$	1,043	45	
COM DEMOLITION (Per Structure)	Per Structure	13	ψ,	362 \$	363	100%	\$ 4,717	↔	4,706 \$	11	100%	\$ 363	3 \$	4,717 \$	11	٠,	
SWIMMING POOL FIBERGLASS/VINYL	New Flat Fee		\$		460	%0	- \$	\$,	•	100%	\$ 460	\$ 0	٠		45	
RES SWIMMING POOLS/SPAS (first 800 s.f, add \$30 per 100 sq. ft t	Sq Foot	84	\$	\$ 055	581	95%	\$ 48,765	s	46,200 \$	2,565	100%	\$ 581	٠	48,765 \$	2,565	10	
COM SWIMMING POOLS/SPAS (first 1000 s.f., add \$30 each 100 s.	Sq Foot	2	\$	\$ 608,1	1,306	100%	\$ 2,612	\$	2,618 \$	(9)	100%	\$ 1,306	s	2,612 \$	(9)	10	
PONDS/FOUNTAINS (each)	New Flat Fee		\$	٠	242	%0	· \$	↔	٠,	٠	100%	\$ 242	\$	٠,		10	
MOVED BUILDING (each)	Flat Fee	1	\$	1,249 \$	1,282	%26	\$ 1,282	\$	1,249 \$	33	100%	\$ 1,282	\$ 2	1,282 \$	33	10.	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS (first 3,000 s.f. add \$100 each 3000 s.f. there	Flat Fee	64	\$	1,250 \$	1,234	101%	\$ 78,952	ş	\$ 000'08	(1,048)	100%	\$ 1,234		78,952 \$	(1,048)	10	
SHELL ONLY (60% of Building Permit Fee)	New Fee		\$			%0	· \$	\$	٠,	•	100%	- \$	❖	٠		10	
FOUNDATION ONLY (25% of Buil ding permit Fee)	New Fee		\$,		%0	· \$	↔	٠,	٠	100%	- \$	❖	٠		10	
RES FOUNDATION REPAIR/SEISMIC RETROFIT	New Flat Fee		\$	٠	242	%0	· \$	\$	٠,	•	100%	\$ 242	\$ 2	٠		10.	
MEMBRANE STRUCTURES/CANOPIES (each)	New Flat Fee		\$	٠	314	%0	· \$	↔	٠,	٠	100%	\$ 314	\$	٠		10	
STRUCTURES OTHER THAN BUILDINGS (each)	New Flat Fee		\$	·	532	%0	· \$	↔	٠,	٠	100%	\$ 532	\$ 2	\$		10	



Planning User Fee Summary Sheet

Agency: City of Riverside Department: Planning														
Fiscal Year 2024-25														
					Current	ent					Recommendations	dations		
				Per Unit			Annual		Per	Per Unit		A	Annual	
Ord Service Name	Fee Annual Description Volume	Ť	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Level	Fee (a Policy Level	Annual	Increased Revenue		Recommended Subsidy
1 ADMINISTRATIVE		l	ĺ	l									l	
2 ALCOHOL LICENSE REVIEW (per application)^	Flat Fee	2 \$	1,322	\$ 2,533	52%	\$ 5,067	\$ 2,644	\$ 2,423	100%	\$ 2,533	5 5,067	v	2,423 \$	
3 DAY CARE PERMIT (per application)	Remove	\$		Staf has rea	mmended to re	nove this fee	from the fee s	chedule.						
4 NON-CONFORMING STATUS REVIEW (per	Flat Fee	2 \$	2,853	\$ 3,234	%88 t	\$ 6,469	\$ 5,705	\$ 763	100%	\$ 3,234	6,469	\$ 6	763 \$	
5 RECYCLING PERMIT	Flat Fee	2 \$	1,102	5 1,747	93%	\$ 3,495	\$ 2,204	\$ 1,291	100%	\$ 1,747	3,495	5 \$	1,291 \$	•
7 Temporary Use Permit (per application)^														
8 Minor	Flat Fee	\$	189	\$ 709	3 27%	٠ - \$	٠ - \$		20%	" \$ 355	- 8	S	- \$	
9 Major	Flat Fee	s	296	\$ 1,497	65%				100%	\$ 1,497	s	s		
10 ZONING LETTER (per application)^	Flat Fee	48 \$	206	\$ 293	3 70%	\$ 14,054	\$ 9,905	\$ 4,149		\$ 293		s	4,149 \$	
11 ZONING REBUILD LETTER^	Flat Fee	1 \$	612	\$ 835	5 73%	\$ 835	\$ 612	\$ 223	100% \$	\$ 835	\$ 835	5 \$	223 \$	
12 APPEALS & TIME EXTENSION														
13 Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC)^	Flat Fee	s	1,262	\$ 3,399	37%	٠ .	٠ - \$		100%	\$ 3,399	s	s		•
14 Planning Commission and CEDD DIRECTOR Cases^	Flat Fee	2 \$	2,385	\$ 4,476	5 53%	\$ 8,953	\$ 4,770	\$ 4,183			5 8,953	s	4,183 \$	•
14.1 Cultural Heritage Board^	Flat Fee	1 \$	2,385		3 57%	\$ 4,213	\$ 2,385	\$ 1,828	30% \$	\$ 1,264		s	(1,121) \$	2,949
15 Time Extensions^														
16 Per Application	Flat Fee	12 \$	662	\$ 765		\$ 9,181	\$ 7,947	\$ 1,233		\$ 765	181,6 \$ 6	S	1,233 \$	
17 Public Hearing	Flat Fee	s -	1,649	\$ 3,144	1 52%	٠ -	٠ -	· · s	100% \$	\$ 3,144		S	- \$	
18 ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW														
19 CEQA Review - Environmental Impact Report^	Flat Fee + Consultant	1 \$	87,053	\$ 139,146	63%	\$ 139,146	\$ 87,053	\$ 52,093	100%	\$ 139,146	\$ 139,146	S	52,093 \$	•
20 CEQA Review - Minor Scope^	New Flat Fee + Consultant Cost (if necessary)	. s	•	955'66 \$	%0	39,556	· •	955'68 \$	100%	\$ 39,556	39,556	S	\$ 955'68	,
CEQA Review - Technical Review, Peer Review (Consultant)^	Flat Fee + Consultant	\$ 9	2,028	\$ 4,592	44%	\$ 27,555	\$ 12,168	\$ 15,386	100%	\$ 4,592	\$ 27,555	S	15,386 \$	•
22 New Development (completed by City)^	Remove	S	6,113	Staf has red	Staf has recommended to remove this fee from the fee schedule.	nove this fee	from the fee s	chedule.						
23 Existing Development (completed by City)^	Remove	s -	4,084	Staf has rea	Staf has recommended to remove this fee from the fee schedule.	nove this fee	from the fee s	chedule.						
24 WILLIAMSON ACT CONTRACT REVIEW (per application)^	Flat Fee	S	1,110	\$ 1,615	%69	·		·	100%	\$ 1,615		s		
25 DESIGN REVIEW									, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		•	,		
2b CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT REVIEW (per submittal)** 27 General (per submittal) - Design Review**	Flat Fee	47.5	1,614	2,686	90%	\$ 112,808	\$ 67,789	\$ 45,018	100%	2,686	\$ 112,808	'n	45,018 \$	
28 Commercial, Industrial, and Residential	Flat Fee	\$ 99	3,209	\$ 5,847	25%	\$ 385,930	\$ 211,795	\$ 174,135	100%	\$ 5,847	\$ 385,930	s	174,135 \$	
Commercial, Industrial, and Residential Revision / Substantial Conformance	Flat Fee	12 \$	2,004	\$ 4,880	41%	\$ 58,556	\$ 24,045	\$ 34,511	100%	\$ 4,880	5 58,556	s	34,511 \$	•
NEWISION & GOOSTALISM COMPONICATION														



Agenc City of Riverside
Depar Planning
Fiscal 2024-25

Fiscal 2024;-25

			ı	ı	uliten	ent	ı				Recommendations	tions	
				Per Unit			Annual		Per Unit			Annua	,,
Ord Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current st Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Fe Level	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	Increased Revenue	Recommended Subsidy
29 Single Family Residential (RC Zones)^	Flat Fee	12	\$ 312	s	2,175 14%	\$ 26,102	\$ 3,748	\$ 22,355	100% \$	2,175	\$ 26,102	\$ 22,355	. \$ 5
30 Landscape and Irrigation (Subject To MWELO)^													
31 Commercial, Industrial, and Multi-Family	Flat Fee	14	\$ 867	s	689 126%	\$ 9,641	\$ 12,133	\$ (2,493)	100% \$	689	\$ 9,641	\$ (2,493)	- \$ (8
32 Single Family Residential	Flat Fee	•	\$ 358	s	492 73%		. \$. \$	100% \$	492	. \$	\$	· S
34 DEVELOPMENT													
35 AMENDMENT TO ZONING TEXT / INTERPRETATION OF ZONING TEXT (per application)^	Flat Fee	4	\$ 5,017	s	10,115 50%	\$ 40,458	\$ 20,067	\$ 20,391	100% \$	10,115	\$ 40,458	\$ 20,391	1 \$ -
36 CONDOMINIUM CONVERSION (per application)^	Flat Fee	٠	\$ 9,472	s	12,178 78%		. \$. \$	100% \$	12,178	. \$	\$	\$
37 Development Agreement^													
38 Perapplication^	Flat Fee	٠	\$ 11,736	s	14,182 83%		. \$. \$	100% \$	14,182	. 8	S	S
39 Revisions^	Flat Fee		\$ 7,936	s	10,374 76%		,	. \$	100% \$	10,374	. \$	\$	S
40 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENTA	Flat Fee	9	\$ 9,002	s	13,162 68%	\$ 78,970	\$ 54,010	\$ 24,960	100% \$	13,162	\$ 78,970	\$ 24,960	- \$ 0
41 Local Development Mitigation Fee (LDMF) - MSHCPA													
ä	Remove	•	\$ 4,358		Staff has recommended removing this fee from the fee schedule.	wing this fee fro	n the fee sche	dule.					
Residential - 8.0 to 14.0 dwellings units per acre (DUAC)	Remove	٠	\$ 1,817		Staff has recommended removing this fee from the fee schedule.	wing this fee fro	m the fee sche	dule.					
Residential - More than 14.0 dwellings units per 4 acre (DUAC)	Remove	•	\$ 803		Staff has recommended removing this fee from the fee schedule.	wing this fee fro	n the fee sche	dule.					
45 Commercial (per acre)	Remove		\$ 19,615		Staff has recommended removing this fee from the fee schedule.	wing this fee fro	m the fee sche	dule.					
46 Industrial (per acre)	Remove		\$ 19,615		Staff has recommended removing this fee from the fee schedule	wing this fee fro	m the fee sche	dule.					
47 PLANNED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT (per application)^	Flat Fee	4	\$ 12,180		14,971 81%	\$ 59,883	\$ 48,721	\$ 11,162	100% \$	14,971	\$ 59,883	\$ 11,162	- \$ 2
48 RE-ZONING REQUEST (per application)^	Flat Fee	12	\$ 6,232	s).	10,954 57%	\$ 131,446	74,788	\$ 56,658	100% \$	10,954	\$ 131,446	\$ 56,658	. \$ 8
49 Site Plan Review^													
50 Per initial application	Flat Fee	2	\$ 12,750	s	16,307 78%	\$ 32,614	\$ 25,499	\$ 7,114	100% \$	16,307	\$ 32,614	\$ 7,114	
51 Revision to application	Flat Fee	ì	\$ 8,617	s,	9,691 89%		. \$	- \$	100% \$	9,691	. \$	\$	· S
52 Specific Plan Review^													
53 Per application or actual cost (if greater)	Flat Fee		\$ 24,261	\$ 32,0	32,094 76%		٠ \$. \$	100% \$	32,094	· \$	\$	
54 Revision to existing Specific Plan	Flat Fee	4	\$ 15,610	s	19,733 79%	\$ 78,933	\$ 62,439	\$ 16,494	100% \$	19,733	\$ 78,933	\$ 16,494	
55 STREET NAME CHANGEA	Flat Fee	•	\$ 5,910	\$ 7,	7,364 80%			. \$	100% \$	7,364	. \$	· S	s
56 TENTATIVE TRACT MAPS, PARCELS MAPS & REVERSION TO ACEAGEA													
57 Per application with 10 lots or less	Flat Fee	ì	\$ 8,738	s.	10,188 86%		. \$	- \$	100% \$	10,188	. \$	\$	· S
58 Revision to application	Flat Fee		\$ 5,445	s	7,473 73%		. \$. \$	100% \$	7,473	. \$	\$	\$
59 Per application with more than 10 lots	Flat Fee	9	\$ 13,151	s	13,702 96%	\$ 82,211	\$ 78,905	\$ 3,306	100% \$	13,702	\$ 82,211	\$ 3,306	. \$ 9
60 Revision to application	Flat Fee	٠	\$ 8,899	s	10,817 82%	\$			100% \$	10,817	· \$	\$	ss.



Agency: City of Riverside Department: Planning Fiscal Vear 2024-25													
		_			Curren	ent					Recommendations	ons	
		Ī		Per Unit		İ	Annual		Per Unit	it	İ	Annual	
Ord Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Level P	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	Increased R	Recommended Subsidy
61 PARCEL MAP / WAIVER OF PARCEL MAP^	Flat Fee	9	6,482	\$ 7,956	6 81%	\$ 47,736	\$ 38,894	\$ 8,841	100% \$	7,956	\$ 47,736 \$	\$ 8,841 \$	
62 Per New Application	Remove		3,995	Staf has re	Staf has recommended to remove this fee from the fee schedule.	move this fee f	rom the fee s	hedule.					
63 Per Revised Application	Flat Fee	,	2,288	\$ 3,091	11 74%	- \$	٠ - \$	· · s	100% \$	3,091	s - s	\$ - \$	
64 PARCEL MAP REVISION^	Remove	,	4,002	Staf has re	has recommended to remove this fee from the fee schedule.	move this fee f	rom the fee s	hedule.					
64.1 SUMMARY VACATION REVIEW (per application)^	Flat Fee	4				14,418	\$ 7,454	\$ 6,964	100% \$	3,604	\$ 14,418 \$	\$ 6,964 \$	
65 TRAFFIC PATTERN MODIFICATION (per application)^	Flat Fee	,	5,189	\$ 9,545	5 54%	٠.	٠ -	·	100% \$	9,545	٠	٠	
66 WALKWAYS^	Flat Fee	2 \$	5,771	\$ 10,128	8 57%	\$ 20,256	\$ 11,542	\$ 8,714	100% \$	10,128	\$ 20,256	\$ 8,714 \$	
67 Vesting Map Review^													
68 Per application with 10 lots or less	Flat Fee	\$ -	15,406	\$ 18,434	44 84%		· · s		100% \$	18,434		s - s	
69 Per application with more than 10 lots	Flat Fee	,	22,578	\$ 23,207	21 61%		- 8	٠	100%	23,207			
70 HISTORIC PRESERVATION													
71 Certificate of Appropriateness													
72 Over the Counter	New Fee		•	\$ 118	.8 0%	\$ 3,789	٠ - \$	\$ 3,789		36	\$ 1,137	\$ 1,137 \$	2,653
73 Administrative	New Fee			\$ 2,255	90 09	\$ 49,601	٠ - \$	\$ 49,601	30% 5	9/9	\$ 14,880 \$	14,880	34,721
74 Board	New Fee	10	1	\$ 4,820	%0 0;	\$ 48,204	٠ - \$	\$ 48,204	30% \$	1,446	\$ 14,461	\$ 14,461 \$	33,743
75 Mills Act Preservation Review													
76 Per application	Flat Fee	8	126	\$ 2,589			\$ 1,008	\$ 19,708		111		\$ 5,207 \$	
77 Per Contract / Review / Initiation Process	Flat Fee	80	957	\$ 3,204	30%	25,628	\$ 7,656	17,972	30% \$	961	7,688	32	17,940
78 SIGNS													
79 ADMINISTRATIVE SIGN REVIEW - Per Application	Remove	,	189	Staf has re	Staf has recommended to remove this fee from	move this fee f	rom the fee schedule.	hedule.					
80 Sign Program^	Flat Fee	8	867	\$ 1,913	.3 45%	\$ 15,306	\$ 6,933	\$ 8,373	100% \$	1,913	\$ 15,306 \$	\$ 8,373 \$	
81 ADMINISTRATIVE SIGN REVIEW^	Flat Fee	124	239	\$ 622	.2 38%	75,097	\$ 29,586	\$ 47,511	100% \$	622	77,097	47,511	
VARIANCES, MODIFICATIONS, EXCEPTIONS, AND FAIR BY HOUSING REQUESTS													
83 WITH SIGNATURES - RESIDENTIAL ZONES ONLY^	Flat Fee	10	1,626	\$ 4,777	7 34%	\$ 47,770	\$ 16,263	\$ 31,507	100% \$	4,777	\$ 47,770 \$	\$ 31,507 \$	
84 WITHOUT SIGNATURES - ALL ZONES^	Flat Fee	8	2,439	\$ 5,462	2 45%	\$ 43,693	\$ 19,509	\$ 24,184	100% \$	5,462	\$ 43,693	\$ 24,184 \$	
84.1 Reasonable Accommodation Fee^	New Fee	,	•	\$ 4,496	960 94	٠ - \$	٠ - \$		100%	4,496			
85 ZONING PLAN CHECK WITH BUILDING PERMITS													
86 PLAN CHECK - AFTER HOURS (per hour)	Per Hour	\$ -	210	\$ 196	107%				100% \$	196		s - s	
COMMERCIAL, INDUSTRIAL, AND MULTI-FAMILY 87 TENANT IMPROVEMENTS, MINOR ADDITIONS, OR EXTERIOR REMODEL (per submittal)*	Flat Fee	250 \$	283	\$ 196	144%	\$ 49,034	\$ 70,833	\$ (21,799)	100% \$	196	\$ 49,034 \$	\$ (21,799) \$	
88 LANDSCAPE / IRRIGATION - MINOR (All are subject to WELO\WQIMP)^	Remove	,	47	Staf has re	Staf has recommended to remove this fee from the fee schedule.	move this fee f	rom the fee s	hedule.					



Fisoal'Year: 2024-25													
					Current	ent					Recommendations	tions	
				Per Unit			Annual		Per	Per Unit		Annual	
Ord Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Level	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	Increased Revenue	Recommended Subsidy
89 NEW CONSTRUCTION (per submittal)^ 90 Commercial, Industrial, and Multi-Family	Flat Fee	125 \$	\$ 507	\$ 785	929	\$ 98,069	\$ 63,377	\$ 34,692	100%	, \$ 785	690'86 \$	\$ 34,692	S
91 Single-Family Residential	Flat Fee	9	\$ 254	S		2	-		100%	, w			s
Single-Family Residential (Over the Counter- 92 Includes minor additions, accessory structures,	Flat Fee	750 \$	\$ 47	\$	48%	\$ 73,552	\$ 35,526	\$ 38,025	100%	s 98	\$ 73,552	\$ 38,025	s
93 CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT													
94 Conditional Use Permit (per application)^	Flat Fee	36 \$	\$ 7,542	\$ 9,919	%92 (\$ 357,091	\$ 271,501	\$ 85,590	Ĺ	\$ 9,919	\$ 357,091	\$ 85,590	·
95 MINOR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT (per application)^	Flat Fee	14 \$	\$ 3,565	\$ 4,795	74%	\$ 67,132	\$ 49,912	\$ 17,220	100% \$	\$ 4,795	\$ 67,132	\$ 17,220	
96 OTHER FEES													
97 COPY OF ZONING OR GENERAL PLAN MAPS	Flat Fee +	,	\$ 25	\$ 66	38%			·	38%	\$ 25			
99 PLANNING INSPECTIONS^	Flat Fee	,	,	\$ 246	960				100%	\$ 246		•	s
100 PLANNING RE-INSPECTION (per inspection)	Flat Fee	,	\$ 462	\$ 98	471%				100%	\$ 462		·	
101 (per applications / CLARIFICATION OF CONDITIONS	Flat Fee	4	\$ 1,497	\$ 2,121	71%	\$ 8,485	\$ 5,989	\$ 2,495	100%	\$ 2,121	\$ 8,485	\$ 2,495	vs
102 PRINTED OR PHOTO COPIES OF PRINTED MATERIALS (per page)	Per Page	,	\$ 0.10	s	%0		· s		100%	\$ 0.10			· •
103 PUBLIC HEARING RE-ADVERTISEMENTS^	Flat Fee	,	\$ 442	\$ 704	93%		· s	٠.	100%	\$ 704	٠.	· · s	· S
SPECIALIZED REPORT REQUESTS (per half hour or portion)^	Per Half Hour	,	\$ 23	\$ 49	48%	•	s		100%	\$ 49	·		
105 ANNEXATION^	New Fee	,	- 8	\$ 42,763	%0				100% \$	\$ 42,763	- 8		
Total User Fees % of Full Cost						\$1,929,291	\$1,202,682 62%	\$726,609 38%			\$1,822,785 94%	\$620,103 52%	\$106,506 6%
Footnotes													

Fees #79, 81 - Remove Administrative Sign Review per application fee, which is no longer utilized. Change name of New or Replacement Sign to Administrative Sign Review.
Fees marked with the symbol ^ will have a 14% surchange added to the listed amount. This surchange includes a 10% General Plan Maintenance Fee and a 4% Technology Fee, which fund the General Plan Amendment review and technological solutions supporting the fees and changes program.



Peer Fee Comparison - Building & Safety

Activity	Discovering of the property of	of bosonia objection	on inchasion A	and a	Ostorio	objection of Discourage	dond noo	Noncomple	on opened
S,000 SF (Construction Type: IIA classified as CBC group A- 2) one story restaurant on a 25,000 SF lot Valuation - 51,231,400	Valuation Model - \$4,035	Square Foot Model - \$5,700	Square Foot Model - \$7,511	Square Foot Model - \$16,079	Valuation Model - \$6,456	Commericial New Building Deposit - \$11,567.28	Valuation Model - \$6,717	Square Foot Model - \$10,685	Valuation Model - \$8,431
25,000 SF (Construction Type: IA classified as CBC group B) two story office building on a 60,000 SF lot Valuation - \$7,312,000	Valuation Model - \$16,195	Square Foot Model - \$24,500	Square Foot Model - \$19,030	Square Foot Model - \$35,229	Valuation Model - \$28,648	Commericial New Building Deposit - \$11,567.28	Valuation Model - \$36,996	Square Foot Model - \$20,495	Valuation Model - \$44,911
2,000 SF (Construction Type: Ill B. classified as CBC Group R- 3) single family dwelling Valuation - \$394,260	Valuation Model - \$1,705	Square Foot Model - \$2,360	Square Foot Model - \$2836	Square Foot Model - \$4,514	Valuation Model - \$2,646	Residential Single Family Detached Dwelling De posit - \$5,353.56	Valuation Model - \$3,037	Square Foot Model - \$4,615	Valuation Model - \$3,409
200,000 SF 4 story (150) unit (Construction Type: IA dassified as CBC Group R-2) apartment building on a 100,000 SF lot Valuation - \$43,722,000	Valuation Model - \$91,015	Square Foot Model - \$120,000	Square Foot Model - \$79,936	Square Foot Model - \$75,198	Valuation Model - \$165,194	Multi-Family Dwelling - \$4,788.69	Valuation Model - \$223,298	Square Foot Model - \$183,900	Valuation Model - \$269,371
150,000 SF (Construction Type: IIB classified as CBC group 5-1) one story Industrial Warehouse Building on a 300,000 sflot Valuation - \$19,957,500	Valuation Model - \$33,535	Square Foot Model - \$33,000	Square Foot Model - \$44,472	Square Foot Model - \$31,068	Valuation Model - \$60,322	Industrial New Building Deposit - \$16,174.38	Valuation Model - \$80,212	Square Foot Model - \$24,970	Valuation Model - \$96,979
Water heater change-out (up to 100,000 BTU)	\$10	\$44	\$139	\$196	\$12	\$188	\$107	09\$	\$38
200 Amp Electrical Service Only Commercial	\$54	\$106	\$126	\$196	\$172	\$304	\$114	\$155	69\$
Installing Furnace, Burner or Absorption System-up to 100,000 B.T.U.	\$43	\$58	\$84	\$52	\$215	\$188	\$106	\$230	\$47
Note: The valuations for average construction costs are based on the International Code Council Building Valuation Data as of August 2024.	e construction costs are based	on the International Code Co	uncil Building Valuation Date	as of August 2024.					



Peer Fee Comparison - Planning

	Riverside Current Fee	Riverside Proposed Fee	Anaheim	Corona	Ontario	County of Riverside	Long Be ach	Moreno Valley	Pasadena
General Plan Amendment	\$10,678	\$16,800	\$207/hr Min. Initial Deposit \$12,730	\$9,085 - Base Fee + \$16 per acre	Text \$5,000 Map \$7,500 (deposit)	\$7,479.66 - Deposit Average Cost \$15K - \$20K	\$21,386 (text) 23,310.74 (surcharge) \$16,464 (map) \$17,945 (surcharge)	\$3,500 - Deposit Actual charge is "fully burdened" rate charge	\$19,813
Variance, Modifications, Exceptions, and Fair Housing Requests - Without Signatures - All Zones	\$2,780	\$5,774	\$8,494/ea	Major - \$6,547 base plus\$20 per lot Minor - \$2,698	\$3,683.61	S1,376 - Filed w/ Land Division, CUP, PUP, Commercial WECS or Plot Plan \$2,625 - Filed Alone Average Cost \$3K - \$7.5K	\$6,413 per first variance plus \$1,835 per additional variance \$6,990 w/surcharge \$2,049.20 per addt'l w/surcharge	Public Hearing Variance - \$3,663.00 (Plus applicable notice and posting fees)	\$5,915
Environmental Impact Analysis (EIR) *average cost for EIR is \$400-500k	\$101,400	\$160,296	\$207/hr Min. Initial Deposit \$31,287	Deposit based set at 30% of contract amount with charges at full cost	\$10,000 (deposit)	\$8,607.78 -Flat Fee	115% of Consultant Cost	\$7,000 - Deposit Actual charge is "fully burdened" rate charge	\$7,280 - Deposit Actual Cost Charged
Conditional Use Permit	\$9,261 per application	\$12,814	\$9,324 No new construction	Major - \$8,898 Minor - \$3,874	\$6,195	\$9,646 + \$5.10 per lot or site Average Cost - \$15K - \$30K	\$13,995 (base fee) \$15,254 (w/surcharge)	\$10,926 (Includes 3 Reviews) Plus applicable environmental review, notice, posting and acreage/per unit fees Additional Review: \$945	\$6,381
Conceptual Design Review	\$1,840	\$4,532	Conceptual Development Review \$207 /hr with \$3,182 deposit		\$4,203	\$1501.44 - Deposit Average Cost - \$3k-\$5k	\$1,849 - \$5,455 \$2,015.41 - \$5,945.95 (w/surcharge)	\$784	\$399 -staff \$757 - commission
Design Review - General Commercial, Industrial, and Residential	\$3,827	\$9,790	Conceptual De velopment Review \$207/hr with \$3,182 deposit	\$3,294	5 acres or more: \$18,210.54 Less than 5 acres. \$12,580.80	\$510 (exempt, planning rey) \$4,023 (exempt, govt rey) \$4,791.06 (nn-exempt) Average Cost - \$15k-\$30k	\$8,334 -\$14,665 \$9,084,06 -\$15,984.85 (w/surcharge)	\$11,637 (public hearing) \$8,113 (ad ministrative)	\$3,020 -\$13,797
Planned Residential Development	\$14,672	\$21,857	Conceptual Development Review \$207/hr with \$848 deposit	\$9,492+20/du	\$10,000 (deposit)	No specific fee listed.	Site Plan Review >50 units: \$10,877 - \$27,227	Residential Plan Check & Inspection - 5 or more lots \$1,017	\$22,049
Tentative Tract Map	\$11,305 - 10 lots or less \$16,336 - 10 lots or more	\$18,345 - 10 lots or less \$22,089 - 10 lots or more	\$11,351 De posit	\$12,470+\$49 per lot	\$10,296.06 +\$65 per lot/unit	\$9,003.54 - \$11,368,.92 + \$102 per lot + \$19.38 per acre - Deposit Average Cost \$25k- \$40k	\$18,720.75 -5 to 20 lots \$19,715.92 -21 to 40 lots	\$11,307 + noticing fees	\$6,114



Public Works

The user fee/cost analysis for this department mirrors the structure of City's fee schedule and was developed separately for each division. Divisions included in the cost analysis are as follows:

- Engineering
- Refuse

Fees are charged in a variety of ways including:

- Flat (or fixed) fees the fee is always the same, regardless of size or complexity.
- Per square (or linear) foot the fee is calculated based on size of the project under review.
- Hourly (or time-and-materials) city staff track time and materials expenses, and fees are calculated to recover actual costs.
- Actual cost this fee is charged to recover consultant costs as billed to the City.
- Per work activity these fees are for blanket flat fees for annual citywide utility excavation permits issued to utility companies.
- Per connection or installation the fee is calculated based on the number and type of physical connections to the City's infrastructure, or the number of units of a particular device installed by the City on behalf of a private person.

Engineering

Within the Engineering division, current fees recover 64% of costs to provide services for which fees are charged, leaving 36% to be subsidized by other funding sources. This 36% represents a total dollar amount of \$1,618,208 annually. Staff recommend increasing recovery levels to 100% to be phased in over two years. Assuming no loss in demand, fee adjustments could result in additional annual revenues of \$1,618,208 by the second year.

Engineering restructured some of their fee categories to better reflect the current process, staffing levels and to be a more user-friendly fee schedule.

Highlights:

- New Fees Twenty (20) new fees are proposed to be added to the engineering fee schedule: Stormwater inspection (five fees), vehicle miles traveled analysis, hydrology study review (two fees), hourly rate for engineering staff, excessive plan checks, WQMP addendum review, minor conditional use permit, CEQA reviews (two fees), conceptual development review, general design review (two fees), single family residential (RC zones), landscape and irrigation (two fees), parcel map revision, and tentative tract revision.
- Fee Removal One (1) fee is recommended for removal: Landscape plan review and inspection 1st 500 linear feet.
- Impact Fees The engineering fee schedule currently has 24 impact fees on their fee schedule. These fees are not considered cost-for-service and therefore MGT did not analyze those fees.
- Cross-Support Engineering provides support to planning application reviews. Those fees



can be found at the bottom of the engineering results table.

The User Fee Summary Sheet following this narrative provides further details of MGT's fee analysis. The recommended fee and charges amount listed reflect the recommended amounts to be implemented in the second year assuming 100% cost recovery.

Refuse

Residential and commercial solid waste do not currently assess fees, charges, or penalties. Staff are proposing to add seventeen (31) fees and penalties to the schedule. The new residential fees will enable the City and contracted hauler to recover costs when required to return to an address to service a cart. The new commercial fees offer a variety of options, such as bulky item pickup, which is not currently offered to commercial customers, and having the hauler provide and install a lock on a commercial container.

Since these are new fee proposals, it is unclear at this time what the department can expect in increased revenue.

The User Fee Summary Sheet following this narrative provides further details of MGT's fee analysis.

Comparative Data

Surveys showing a selected group of Engineering fees in comparison to neighboring agencies can be found following this narrative. Overall, the department fees are within the range of their peers. Refuse fees were not surveyed.

Disproportionate Impact

When meeting with the above divisions in Public Works, MGT and the staff together reviewed their current and proposed fees to determine if there may be any potential disproportionate impact on a sector of the community.

Engineering and Refuse did not identify any fees with potential disproportionate impact.



Engineering User Fee Summary Sheet

City of Riverside Public Works FY 2024-2025

					Current	ŧ				_	Recommendations	ons	
				Per Unit			Annual		Per Unit			Annual	
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Level	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	Increased Revenue	Recommended Subsidy
DEVELOPMENT													
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	Flat Fee	က	\$ 636	\$ 953	%29	\$ 2,858	\$ 1,908	\$ 950	100%	\$ 953	\$ 2,858	\$ 950	. \$
LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT, MERGER, CONSOLIDATION, OR WAIVER OF	Flat Fee	!											,
PARCEL MAP		18	\$ 3,505	\$ 3,970	88%	\$ 71,462	\$ 63,089	\$ 8,373	100%	\$ 3,970	\$ 71,462	\$ 8,373	· ·
PLAN CHECK FEEA													
Construction Permits - orr-site improvements (street, swr, sd)	1	ç			7000		47 000	10 100			ů		
86.888,424 - 04	riat ree	07	565,2 \$	056'7 ¢	9779	000,800 ¢	47,858	\$ 10,732	100%	056,2	28,600	\$ 10,732	^
925,000,52¢	1	5			Š.								
First \$25,000	riat ree	70	3,456	3,961	%/8	e,	69,	001,01		'n	5 /9,219	\$ 10,100	
Excess of \$25,000	1.54% of ECC		· ·	· \$	%0	· ·	· \$	٠ ج	100%	٠.	÷	· \$	· \$
\$100,000 - \$199,999.99													
First \$25,000	Flat Fee	22	\$ 4,786	\$ 6,383	75%	\$ 140,423	\$ 105,283	\$ 35,140		\$ 6,383	\$ 140,423	\$ 35,140	
Excess of \$100,000	1.21% of ECC	٠	. \$. 8	%0	- \$. 8	. \$	100%	. 8	. 8	. 8	
\$200,000 - \$299,999.99													
First \$200,000	Flat Fee	7	\$ 6,115	\$ 7,536	81%	\$ 52,752	\$ 42,807	\$ 9,945	100%	\$ 7,536	\$ 52,752	\$ 9,945	
Excess of \$200,000	1.21% of ECC	٠	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S	%0			9				s	·
\$300,000+													
First \$300,000	Flat Fee	2	\$ 7,445	\$ 9,165	81%	\$ 45,824	\$ 37,225	\$ 8,600	100%	\$ 9,165	\$ 45,824	\$ 8.600	·
Excess of \$300 000	2 20% of FCC	٠		v	%0			v					
Multiple Plans Submitted as Set													
Base Fee	Flat Fee	٠	\$ 2,393	\$ 3,009	80%		S	s	100%	3,009	·	·	S
Additional type of plans (each)	Flat Fee	٠	\$ 513	\$ 836	61%	S	S	S		\$ 836	S	S	S
Revision of previously approved plan (each)	Or 7.06% of ECC,	18	-	-	80%	\$ 30.093	23.	9		-	\$ 30.093	\$ 6.159	·
	whichever is less								- 1				
ENGINEERING													
BUILDING PLAN REVIEW^	Per Hour	250	\$ 116	\$ 159	73%	\$ 39,651	\$ 29,000	\$ 10,651	100%	\$ 159	\$ 39,651	\$ 10,651	
DETERMINATION OF COMPLIANCEA	Flat Fee	12	\$ 291	\$ 318	95%			S	100%	\$ 318	\$ 3,811		·
ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL PROCESSING FEEA	No Charge	٠	S	· ·	%0			S	100%			S	·
ENGINEERING PLAN CHECKA	0												
Single plan (street, sewer, or storm drain)	Flat Fee	6	\$ 1,634	\$ 2,289	71%	\$ 20,602	\$ 14,702	\$ 5,901	100%	\$ 2,289	\$ 20,602	\$ 5,901	
Multiple plans submitted as set	Flat Fee		\$ 2,099	\$ 2,924	72%		. \$	\$	100%	\$ 2,924			
Plus each additional type of plan	Flat Fee	٠			74%			8	100%				
Revision of approved plan	Flat Fee	18	\$ 1,166	\$ 1,586	74%	\$ 28,549	\$ 20,993	\$ 7,556	100%	\$ 1,586	\$ 28,549	\$ 7,556	·
EXPEDITED REVIEW	Per Hour	20	\$ 123	\$ 238	51%	\$ 11,895	\$ 6,125		100%	\$ 238			
GRADING INSPECTIONA													
Inspection Fee Per Hour	Per Hour	350	\$ 116	\$ 128	%06	\$ 44,947	\$ 40,600	\$ 4,347				\$ 4,347	
Permit Issuance Fee	Flat Fee	92	\$ 1,430	\$ 1,920	74%	\$ 176,680	\$ 131,514	\$ 45,166	100%	\$ 1,920	\$ 176,680	\$ 45,166	
GRADING PLAN CHECKA													
Base Fee: 0 - 500 CY	Base Fee	44	\$ 933	\$ 1,513	62%	\$ 66,581	\$ 41,052	\$ 25,529	100%	\$ 1,513	\$ 66,581	\$ 25,529	
Plus 501 - 5,000 CY (each additional 500 CY or fraction thereof)	Ea adtl 500 CY	30	\$ 105	\$ 232	45%	\$ 6,958	\$ 3,158	\$ 3,800	100%	\$ 232	\$ 6,958	\$ 3,800	s
Plus 5,001 - 50,000 CY (each additional 5,000 CY or fraction thereof)	Ea adtl 5000 CY	00	\$ 105	\$ 232	45%	\$ 1.855	\$ 842	\$ 1013	100%	\$ 232	\$ 1855	\$ 1013	· ·
Plus 50,001 - 100,000 CY (each additional 10,000 CY or fraction	Fa adtl 10000 CY												
thereof)		4	\$ 140	\$ 264	23%	\$ 1,055	\$ 229	\$ 496	100%	\$ 264	\$ 1,055	\$ 496	s



City of Riverside Public Works FY 2024-2025

		_			Currer	±				Re	Recommendations	S	
				Per Unit			Annual		Per Unit			Annual	
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Fe	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	Increased Revenue	Recommended Subsidy
Plus 100,001 - 200,000 CY (each additional 10,000 CY or fraction thereof)	Ea adtl 10000 CY	2	\$ 17	\$ 32	54%	\$ 159 \$	\$ 85	\$ 74	100% \$	32 \$	159 \$	74	\$
Plus 200,0001+ CY (each additional 10,000 CY or fraction thereof)	Ea adtl 10000 CY	4	12	\$ 16	74%	63	47	16	100% \$	16.5	63	16	
STORMWATER INSPECTION			ľ					ľ	100% \$	S			٠
Industrial	New Flat Fee	,	,	\$ 132			•	,	100% \$		٠		
Restaurant	New Flat Fee		\$								\$		
Commercial	New Flat Fee		,	\$									
Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) Site LANDSCAPE PLAN REVIEW AND INSPECTIONA	New Flat Fee	,		\$ 305	%0			,	100% \$	305 \$			
First 500 linear feet	Remove		\$ 1,148	- \$	%0	\$. \$	100% \$	\$	\$		٠
LANE CLOSURE PERMIT / INSPECTION													
Per Application	Flat Fee	12	\$ 232	\$ 249	886		\$ 2,784	\$ 201	100% \$	249 \$		201	٠.
Per hour for Field Inspection Service	Per Hour		3 116	\$ 128	%06	1,541	1,392	149	100% \$	128 \$	1,541	149	
MAP CHECKING FEESA													
Base Fee	Flat Fee		\$ 4,489	\$ 6,352		76,226	53,868	\$ 22,358	100% \$			22,358	
Per each number and lettered lot OTHER THAN INDIVIDITAL SINGLE-EAMILY RITH DING PERMITA	Each Addtl	300	5 54	\$ 48	113%	\$ 14,292 \$	\$ 16,200	(1,908)	100% \$	48 \$	14,292	(1,908)	-
SO - S24 999 99 FCC - Base Fee	Bace Fee	er	2 099	\$ 3.012	20%	\$ 9037	796 6 297	2740	100% \$	3 012 \$	9 037 \$	2 740	
\$25,000.00 -\$99,999.99 Estimated Construction Cost (ECC)	77					000	676				ion's	2	
First \$25,000	Base Fee	m	3,032	\$ 4,283	71%	\$ 12,849 \$	960'6	3,753	100% \$	4,283 \$	12,849	3,753	
Plus each \$1,000 or potion thereof over \$25,000	Each Addtl	,	\$ 15	\$ 32				- \$	100% \$	32 \$		•	
\$100,000.00 - \$199,999.99 ECC													
First \$100,000	Base Fee	4	\$ 4,198	\$ 5,914		\$ 23,655	\$ 16,792	\$ 6,863	100% \$	5,914 \$	23,655 \$	6,863	
Plus each \$1,000 or potion thereof over \$100,000 \$200,000.00 - \$299,999,99 ECC	Each Addtl	,	\$ 12	\$ 32	37%			,	100% \$	32 \$			
First \$200,000	Base Fee	2	5,364	\$ 7,545	71%	15,089	\$ 10,728	\$ 4,361	100% \$	7,545 \$	\$ 680'51	4,361	,
Plus each \$1,000.00 or potion thereof	Each Addtl		\$ 12	\$ 32					100% \$	32 \$	٠	٠	
\$300,000+ ECC													
City Engineering / Land Development Services	Flat Fee	2	\$ 6,531	\$ 9,175	71%	\$ 18,351 \$	\$ 13,062	\$ 5,289	100% \$	9,175 \$	18,351 \$	5,289	
Traffic Engineering	Each Addtl		\$ 22	\$ 32	%89				100% \$	32 \$			
PARCEL MAP CHECKA													
\$0-\$24,999.99 Estimated Construction Cost (ECC)	Flat Fee		\$ 2,099	\$ 3,012	20%	\$ 3,012 \$	2,099	\$ 913	100% \$	3,012 \$	3,012 \$	913	. \$
\$25,000.00 - \$99,999.99 (ECC) First \$25,000	Base Fee	-	3.032	\$ 4.283	71%	\$ 4283	3.032	\$ 1251	100% \$	4.283 \$	4.283 \$	1251	S
Plus each \$1,000,00 or potion thereof over \$25,000	Each Addtl		\$ 15										
\$100,000.00 - \$199,999.99 ECC													
First \$100,000	Base Fee	H	\$ 4,198	\$ 5,914	71%	\$ 5,914 \$	4,198	1,716	100% \$	5,914 \$	5,914	1,716	
Plus each \$1,000.00 or potion thereof over \$100,000 \$200.000.00 - \$299.99 FCC	Each Addtl	,	\$ 12	\$ 32	37%			· s>	100% \$	32 \$	\$		
First \$200,000	Base Fee	-	5.364	\$ 7.545	71%	7,545	5,364	2.181	100% \$	7.545 \$	7,545	2.181	
Plus each \$1,000.00 or potion thereof over \$200,000	Each Addtl		\$ 12	\$ 32				S	100% \$	32 \$			
\$300,000+ ECC													
First \$300,000	Base Fee	-	\$ 6,531	\$ 9,175	71%	\$ 9,175	\$ 6,531	\$ 2,644	100% \$	9,175 \$	\$ 5,175 \$	2,644	
Plus each \$1,000.00 or potion thereof over \$300,000 PRIVATE DEVELOPMENT INSPECTIONA	Each Addtl	,	\$ 22	\$ 32	%89			,	100% \$	32 \$			
Permit issuance / processing fee	Flat Fee	99	3 2,721	\$ 3,082	88%	203,416	179,586	23,830	100% \$	3,082 \$	203,416	23,830	
Per hour inspection fee	Per Hour		\$ 116	\$ 128				\$ 28,639	100% \$	128 \$		28,639	

S

2,181

SS

s s

7,545

100% \$ 100% \$

2,181

s s

s s

71% \$

7,545

s s

s s

Base Fee Each Addtl

H

7,545

5,364

7,545

1,827 \$

100% \$ 3,012 \$ 6,025 \$

70% \$ 6,025 \$ 4,198 \$ 1,827

2 \$ 2,099 \$ 3,012

1,251

s s

s s

4,283

100% \$

1,251

s.

3,032

s s

71% \$ 47% \$

4,283

SS

3,032

s s

Base Fee Each Addtl Flat Fee

First \$25,000
Plus each \$1,000.00 or potion thereof over \$25,000
\$100,000.00 - \$199,999.99 ECC
First \$1,00,000
Plus each \$1,000.00 or potion thereof over \$100,000

\$0 - \$24,999.99 Estimated Construction Cost (ECC) \$25,000.00 - \$99,999.99 ECC

4,283

4,283

3,431

SS

SS

5,914

100% \$ 100% \$

3,431

SS

SS

71% \$ 37% \$

5,914

SS

4,198 5,364 12

SS

Base Fee Each Addtl

11,827

8,396

11,827

s s

s s

s s

9,175

100% \$

2,644

s s

6,531

SS

71% \$ 68% \$

9,175

s s

6,531

s s

Base Fee Each Addtl

Plus each \$1,000.00 or potion thereof over \$300,000

Plus each \$1,000.00 or potion thereof over \$200,000

\$200,000.00 - \$299,999.99 ECC

First \$200,000 \$300,000+ ECC н

9,175

ï

9,175

2,644



City of Riverside Public Works

FY 2024-2025

		_			Current	ent					Recommendations	ons	
				Per Unit			Annual		Per	Per Unit		Annual	
	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Level	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	Increased Revenue	Recommended Subsidy
	Flat Fee	4	\$ 583	\$ 1,342	43%	\$ 5,369	\$ 2,330	\$ 3,039	9 100%	\$ 1,342	\$ 5,369	\$ 3,039	. \$
	Flat Fee	15	\$ 1,085	\$ 1,025	106%	\$ 15,374	\$ 16,275	\$ (901)	1) 100%	\$ 1,025	\$ 15,374	\$ (901)	. \$
	Flat Fee	2	\$ 583	\$ 1,184	49%	\$ 5,918	\$ 2,913	\$ 3,005	5 100%	\$ 1,184	\$ 5,918	\$ 3,005	. \$
	Flat Fee	10	\$ 1,166	\$ 1,659	70%	\$ 16,593	\$ 11,663	\$ 4,931	1 100%	\$ 1,659	\$ 16,593	\$ 4,931	. \$
	Flat Fee	22	\$ 583	\$ 1,269	46%	\$ 27,914	\$ 12,815	\$ 15,099	9 100%	\$ 1,269	\$ 27,914	\$ 15,099	
	Flat Fee	31	\$ 1,166	\$ 1,586	74%	\$ 49,167	\$ 36,154	\$ 13,013	3 100%	\$ 1,586	\$ 49,167	\$ 13,013	. \$
Projects not requiring modification to bonds or documentation	Flat Fee	25	\$ 233	\$ 866	27%	\$ 21,658	\$ 5,831	\$ 15,827	7 100%	\$ 866	\$ 21,658	\$ 15,827	
Projects requiring modification to bonds, documentation, or other	Flat Fee		ľ		i	(
		n	00/	1	02.50	٥.				٠,			٠
	Flat Fee	180	\$ 116	\$ 339	34%	\$ 61,048	\$ 20,880	\$ 40,168	8 100%	\$ 339	\$ 61,048	\$ 40,168	s
	Flat Fee	20	\$ 175	\$ 312	26%	\$ 6,230	\$ 3,500	\$ 2,730	0 100%	\$ 312	\$ 6,230	\$ 2,730	. \$
	Flat Fee	10	\$ 700	\$ 1,026	68%	\$ 10,261	\$ 7,000	\$ 3,261	1 100%	\$ 1,026	\$ 10,261	\$ 3,261	. \$
	Flat Fee	20	\$ 116	\$ 160	73%	\$ 3,190	\$ 2,320	\$ 870	0 100%	\$ 160	\$ 3,190	\$ 870	. \$
	Flat Fee	720	\$ 787	\$ 936	84%	\$ 674,162	\$ 566,640	\$ 107,522	2 100%	\$ 936	\$ 674,162	\$ 107,522	. \$
	Per 2 hours	720	\$ 232	\$ 257	%06	\$ 184,924	\$ 167,040	\$ 17,884	4 100%	\$ 257	\$ 184,924	\$ 17,884	٠ \$
	Base Fee	250	\$ 56	\$ 385	14%	\$ 96,315	\$ 13,938	\$ 82,377	7 100%	\$ 385	\$ 96,315	\$ 82,377	. \$
	Per LnFt		\$ 0.56	. \$	%0	. \$	- \$	\$	100%	. \$. \$. \$. \$
	Base Fee		\$ 56	\$ 771	7%	. \$	- \$	\$	100%	S	- \$. \$. \$
	Per LnFt		\$ 0.59	- \$	%0	. \$	- \$	÷	100%	. \$	· \$. \$	\$
		•								:	-		,

J Z

> 72,357 23,266 28,550 11,486 s s \$ 79,132 \$ 61,051 \$ 40,700 \$ 17,606 Annual s s Recovery Fee @ Policy Level Level Annual s s s s s s Annual Annual Revenue 6,775 37,785 12,150 79,132 61,051 40,700 17,606 Annual Cost SS 9% 62% 30% 0% 35% 46% Current Recovery % Full Cost Per Unit တ တ 1,535 1,538 1,538 1,539 1,530 1,530 1,530 1,530 1,530 1,530 1,730 271 2,519 1,215 408 25 15 10 15 See Fee Description Fee Description Flat Fee Flat Fee Flat Fee New Flat Fee Flat Fee Flat Fee Calculation is based on a fee of \$2.00 per foot is estimated for placement of perimete protection [silt-fence sitaw wattles, ett.], A first are of \$1,000.00 is estimated for installation of a stabilized entrance to provide tracking control; Fe formula: If x \$2.00 + ESTIMATED GRADING PERMIT AND INSPECTION FEES (cubic yards). Scoping Agreement
> Projects over 100 vehicle trips per peak hour
> Projects under 100 vehicle trips per peak hour
> PUEHCLE MILES TRAVELED ANALYSIS (WAT)
> TRAFFIC PLAN REVIEW (per application) Service Name ROSION / SEDIMENT CONTROL RAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSISA City of Riverside Public Works FY 2024-2025 51-100 101-150 101-150 101-150 201-250 201-30 301-350 401-450 401-450 401-450 601-700 601-700 901-1000 1001-1500 1501-2000 901-1000 1001-1500 10001-160

s s



City of Riverside Public Works FY 2024-2025

Recommended Subsidy Increased Revenue Fee @ Policy Per Unit 1000% \$ 1000% Recovery 7,336 Annual Annual Current 3,825 3,863 3,902 3,992 4,030 4,069 4,107 4,107 4,129 4,274 4,274 4,244 4,441 4,440 4,440 4,440 3,992 1,300 1,443 1,585 1,728 1,728 1,728 2,014 2,014 2,156 2,299 2,442 2,585 2,727 2,587 2,870 3,013 Per Unit **Full Cost** 3,049 **Current Fee** Annual Fee Description Additional 10,000 cubic yards or fraction thereof Service Name PERMT REVIEW (cubic yards)^ 250001-260000 260001-270000 270001-280000 280001-290000 290001-300000 160001-170000 240001-250000 300001-310000 320001-330000 330001-340000 340001-350000 360001-370000 380001-390000 180001-190000 200001-210000 310001-320000 390001-400000 170001-180000 5001-10000 10001-15000 15001-20000 1001-1500 1501-2000 2001-2500 2501-3000 3001-3500 3501-4000 4501-5000 4001-4500 501-1000



Recommende

Increased

Fee @ Policy Per Unit Recovery Annual Annual Current 5,075 5,091 5,106 5,122 5,138 5,154 5,170 5,286 5,202 5,217 5,233 5,249 5,265 5,281 4,995 5,011 5,043 5,059 Per Unit **Full Cost** Current Fee Annual Fee Description Service Name City of Riverside 210001-22000 220001-23000 230001-24000 240001-25000 250001-26000 260001-27000 90001-100000 100001-110000 110001-120000 340001-350000 350001-360000 360001-370000 370001-380000 380001-390000 160001-170000 290001-300000 310001-320000 130001-140000 150001-160000 190001-200000 270001-280000 280001-290000 300001-310000 140001-150000 180001-190000 200001-210000 FY 2024-2025 **Public Works** 70001-80000 20001-25000 30001-35000

4,088



City of Riverside Public Works FY 2024-2025

			ı	ı											
			ı	ı	Per Unit	Currei	±	Annual	l	Per Unit	Juit	Kecommendations		Annual	
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual	Current Fee		Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual	Annual Subsidy	Recovery	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	Increased		Recommended Subsidy
410001-420000	Fee	2		\$ 096'8	5,408	73%	\$ 10,815	\$ 7,921	\$ 2,895	100%	\$ 5,408	\$ 10,815	s	2,895 \$	
420001-430000	Fee	٠		3,972 \$	5,424	73%	. \$. \$	100%	\$ 5,424	· \$	s		
430001-440000	Fee		s,	3,984 \$	5,439	73%	. \$	· \$. \$	100%	\$ 5,439	· s	s	\$ -	
440001-450000	Fee			\$ 966'8	5,455	73%	. \$. \$		100%	\$ 5,455	· s	s	\$ -	
450001-460000	Fee			4,008 \$	5,471	73%	·	. \$	· \$	100%	\$ 5,471	·	s,	\$ -	
460001-470000	Fee			4,019 \$	5,487	73%	. \$	· &		100%	\$ 5,487	· \$	s	· ·	
470001-480000	Fee			4,031 \$	5,503	73%	. \$. \$. \$	100%	\$ 5,503	· \$	S	\$	
480001-490000	Fee			4,043 \$	5,519	73%	. \$. 8	· ·	100%	\$ 5,519	. \$	s	\$	
490001-500000	Fee			4,055 \$	5,535	73%			S	100%	\$ 5,535	· \$	s	s.	
500001+	Fee	2	s).	4,055 \$	5,550	73%	\$ 11,101	\$ 8,110		100%	\$ 5,550	\$ 11,101	S	2,991 \$	
Additional 10,000 cubic yards or fraction thereof	Attl CY		S	7 \$	16	46%		. \$. \$	100%	\$ 16	· \$	s,	\$ -	•
REMEDIAL GRADING															
Calculation is estimated to involve 20% of the total proposed earthwork volume for soil stabilization, establishing proper surface															
drainage and preparation for re-vegetation; Fee formula: CY x 20% x	see Lee Describtion														
\$4.00 or \$250.00 minimum			s	į											
Minimum fee for smaller jobs (for equipment move-in and minimum			S	269 \$		%0	S	S	S	100%	S	S	S	S	٠
hourly charges)														٠	
RE-VEGETATION															
Calculation is based on a fee of \$0.05 per foot is estimated for															
placement of hydro-seeding; A flat rate of \$500.00 is estimated for	Con East Darrentine														
temporary watering to germinate the seed mixture; Fee formula:	in the second se														
AC/43,560 x \$0.05 + \$500.00			s,	i											
WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (WQMP; per permit)															
Preliminary project specific review	Flat Fee	36	s,	1,792 \$	2,855	989	\$ 102,775	\$ 64,501	\$ 38,274	100%	\$ 2,855	\$ 102,775	s	38,274 \$	
Final Review	Flat Fee	31	s,	1,596 \$	2,538	989	\$ 78,667	\$ 49,464	\$ 29,203	100%	\$ 2,538	\$ 78,667	s	29,203 \$	
HYDROLOGY STUDY REVIEW	New Flat Fee		s,	\$ -	,	%0	. \$. \$	· \$	100%	. \$	· \$	s	\$ -	
Preliminary project specific review	New Flat Fee	20	s,	\$ -	2,855	%0	25,097	. \$	\$ 57,097	100%	\$ 2,855	\$ 57,097	s	\$ 160,73	
Final Review	New Flat Fee	20	s,	\$	2,855	%0	\$ 57,097		\$ 57,097	100%	\$ 2,855	\$ 57,097	s	\$ 260,72	
HOURLY RATE FOR ENGINEERING STAFF TO CHARGE AS NEEDED FOR	New Flat Fee		,	•	į	č		,					(
EXCESSIVE PLAN CHECKS (AFIER 3 CHECKS)		. :	<u>۸</u> ۱	<u>.</u>	651			٠	^		ec1 .		n 1		
WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN ADDENDUM	New Hat Fee	50	v.	ۍ ا	1,162	%0	\$ 23,236	•	\$ 23,236	100%	5 1,162	\$ 23,236	'n	23,236 \$	
MAINTENANCE															
GENERAL UTILITY STREET USAGE/ FRANCHISE FEE	Contract		s	\$		%0			· \$	100%		· S	s	s.	
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL CLEAN-UP															
Full hourly and burden rate of responding PW personnel	Actual Cost	ì	s	\$ -		%0		· S	S	100%		· S	s	s.	
RIGHT-OF-WAY CLEAN-UP															
Full hourly and burden rate of responding PW personnel	Actual Cost		s.	· ·		%0		S	· s	100%		· s	s	s.	
WIDE, OVERWEIGHT, AND LONG LOAD PERMIT REVIEW (per permit)															
Single Trip Permit	Flat Fee	812	s		31		\$ 25,251		s	100%			s		
Annual Permit	Flat Fee	203	s,	\$ 06	124			18	s	100%	\$ 124	\$ 25,251	S		
BANNER PERMITS (horizontal banners; per location, per week)	Flat Fee	13	S		249	22%	\$ 3,234	\$ 699	\$ 2,535	100%	\$ 249	\$ 3,234	s	2,535 \$	



	Public Works		
City of Riverside	Public Works	2	FY 2024-2025

			ı	ı	Curre	±				2	ecommendatio	ons	
			ı	Per Unit			Annual		Per Unit	nit		Annual	
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Level	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	Increased Revenue	Recommended Subsidy
SUPPORT TO PLANNING APPLICATION REVIEW													
AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION (ALUC) APPEAL	No Charge	25 \$	٠	. \$	%0				100% \$			\$	
ANNEXATION PROCESSING^A	Flat Fee	* '	13,177	7		- \$	· ·		100% \$	27,616	- \$		· ·
CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT (CUP) - Alcohol, Entertainment, and Housing	No Charge			ν.	%0	,	· ·	· ·	100%		,	· · ·	· · · · · ·
CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT (per application)^	Flat Fee	36	\$ 467	\$ 1,852	25%	989'99	\$ 16,803	\$ 49,883	100%	1,852	\$ 66,686	\$ 49,883	
MINOR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT(per application)^	New Flat Fee	14		\$ 1,288	%0	3 18,030		\$ 18,030	100%	1,288	\$ 18,030	\$ 18,030	- 8
CONDOMINIUM CONVERSION	No Charge				%0	,		. \$	100%	,	. 8	· s	. \$
DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT^	Flat Fee	2 5	467	\$ 978	48%	1,955	\$ 934	\$ 1,022	100%	878	\$ 1,955	\$ 1,022	. \$
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW								· \$					\$
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORTA	Flat Fee	7 6	\$ 1,400	37,766	18%	\$ 15,533	\$ 2,800	\$ 12,733	100% \$	7,766	\$ 15,533	\$ 12,733	, s
CEGA REVIEW - TECHNICAL REVIEW - PEER REVIEW (CONSULTANT)	New Flat Fee	4 6		\$ 266		\$ 1,595		\$ 1.595	100%	266	\$ 1.595		
DESIGN REVIEW					%0	,		S	100%				,
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT REVIEW (per submittal)	New Flat Fee	42		\$ 1,447	%0	9 60,760		\$ 60,760	100%	1,447	\$ 60,760	\$ 60,760	٠.
GENERAL (PER SUBMITTAL) - DESIGN REVIEW		,	- \$		%0		. \$. \$	100%	•		. \$. \$
Commercial, Industrial, and Multi-Family	New Flat Fee	99	,	\$ 2,981	%0	3 196,775	. \$	\$ 196,775	100%	2,981	\$ 196,775	\$ 196,775	. \$
Commercial, Industrial, and Multi-Family Revision / Substantial	New Flat Fee	1		7	200	6 774		6 6774	100%	265	6777	6777	
SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (RC Zones)	New Flat Fee	12 9	٠	\$ 1.204		-		\$ 14.451	100%	1.204	\$ 14.451	,	,
LANDSCAPE AND IRRIGATION (subject to WELO)^							·	S	100%		S		,
Commercial, Industrial, and Multi-Family	New Flat Fee	15 \$		\$ 159	%0	3 2,379	. \$	\$ 2,379	100%	159	\$ 2,379	\$ 2,379	. \$
Single Family Residential	New Flat Fee	,	,	\$ 79	%0	,		s	100%	79			\$
GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT REVIEW^	Flat Fee	\$ 9	233	\$ 2,427		\$ 14,560	\$ 1,398	\$ 13,162	100% \$	2,427	\$ 14,560	\$ 13,162	
MILLS ACT PRESERVATION REVIEW	No Charge	,	\$	·			· ·	S	100%				S
MODIFICATION OF CONDITIONS REVIEWA	No Charge	\$ 4		\$ 244	%0	\$ 978	٠,	\$ 978	100% \$	244	\$ 978	\$ 978	٠.
PARCEL MAP OR WAIVER REVIEWA	Flat Fee	9	\$ 1,031	\$ 4,022	26%	24,134	\$ 6,186	\$ 17,948	100%	4,022	\$ 24,134	\$ 17,948	S
PARCEL MAP REVISION^	New Flat Fee	,		\$ 1,181	%0			· s	100%	1,181		·	
PLANNED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT REVIEWA	Flat Fee	4 \$	285	\$ 5,151	11%	\$ 20,606	\$ 2,328	\$ 18,278	100%	5,151	\$ 20,606	\$ 18,278	
PLANNING APPEAL	No Charge				%0			. \$	100%	,		·	
RE-ZONING REQUEST REVIEW^	Flat Fee	12 \$	\$ 262	\$ 476	852	5,717	\$ 3,144	\$ 2,573	100%	476	\$ 5,717	\$ 2,573	. \$
RE-ZONING REQUEST TIME EXTENSION WITH HEARING	No Charge	٠			%0	. \$		· \$	100%	,		·	
SITE PLAN REVIEW^	Flat Fee		\$ 466	\$ 2,981	16%	2,981	\$ 466	\$ 2,515	100%	2,981	\$ 2,981	\$ 2,515	
SPECIFIC PLAN REVIEW^	Flat Fee	4	\$ 1,866	\$ 6,439	29%	5 25,757	\$ 7,464	\$ 18,293	100%	6,439	\$ 25,757	\$ 18,293	
TRAFFIC PATTERN MODIFICATION (per application)^	Flat Fee	,	\$ 4,773	\$ 3,743	128%	٠ -	٠ \$	· S	100%	3,743	٠ د	٠.	
VACATION OF STREETS, ALLEYS, OR PEDESTRIAN WALKWAYSA	Flat Fee	1	\$ 4,773	\$ 3,052	156%	\$ 3,052	\$ 4,773	\$ (1,721)	100%	3,052	\$ 3,052	\$ (1,721)	- \$
STREET NAME CHANGEA	No Charge			\$ 159	%0		٠ \$. \$	100%	159			S
TENTATIVE TRACT / REVERSION TO ACREAGE MAP REVIEW^		,			%0	,	. \$. \$	100%	,	. 8		
APPLICATION	Flat Fee	9	\$ 1,031	\$ 6,439	16%	38,636	\$ 6,186	\$ 32,450	100%	6,439	\$ 38,636	\$ 32,450	
REVISION	New Flat Fee	,		\$ 2,819	%0		. \$. \$	100%	2,819		·	
VESTING MAP REVIEWA	Flat Fee	,	\$ 1,031	\$ 794	130%	- \$. \$	· s	100%	794		. \$	\$
Total User Fees						\$4,479,117	\$2,860	\$1,618,207			\$4,479,117	\$1,618	\$0
% of Full Cost							64%	36%			100%	21%	%0

¹⁾ Fees #157 > 229 - Estimated Grading Permit and Inspection Fees (Cubic Yards) in the Master Fees and Charges schedule include a 14% surcharge (10% for General Plan Maintenance and 4% for Technology Fee). This surcharge has been removed in the fee study analysis to compare the current base fee against the full cost of providing the service.

²⁾ Fees #231~296 - Permit Review (Cubic Yards) in the Master Fees and Charges schedule include a 14% surcharge (10% for General Plan Maintenance and 4% for Technology Fee). This surcharge has been removed in the fees tudy analysis to compare the current base fee against the full cost of providing the service.

3) Fees marked with the symbol will have a 14% surcharge added to the listed amount. This surcharge includes a 10% General Plan Maintenance Fee and a 4% Technology Fee, which fund the General Plan Amendment review and technological solutions supporting the fees and charges program.



Peer Fee Comparison - Engineering

Activity	Riverside Current Fee	Riverside Proposed Fee	Anaheim	Corona	Ontario	Long Beach	Moreno Valley	Pasadena
Street Opening Permit/Inspection - Permit Issuance and Project Processing Fee	\$787	\$936	\$2,130	Plan Check - \$622 Inspection - \$291 Total = \$913	\$119	\$415	No fee listed. Applicant must have business license and Traffic Control Plan.	\$282
Water Quality Management Program - Preliminary project specific review	\$1,792	\$2,855	\$3,190	\$4,179	\$1,179	Study Review & Consultation: Charge the fully allocated hourly rate for all personnel involved plus any outside costs.	3 Reviews (Consultant and Admin) - \$3,359	No fee for this.
Grading Plan Check - Base Fee - 500 Cubic Yards (CY) (0-10 Acres) Single Family Lot with 4 Sheets	\$1,064	\$1,725	Single Family (\$7,000 minimum) \$1,000/LOT Total = \$7,000	Single Family Residence Plan Check - \$4,948 less than 1,000 CY Total = \$4,948	Grading plan check, precise (0-10 acres) Total = \$1,727	Plan Check - 75% of Grading Permit fee Grading Permit (101 to 1,000 CY) - \$172 for 100 CY Plus \$53 addt'l 100 CY Total = \$288	Plan Check - \$2,250 per sheet Total = \$9,000	Grading over 100 up to 500 CY-\$5,765 Total = \$5,765
Traffic Impact Analysis Review - Project over 100 Vehicles Trips Per Peak Hour	\$2,872	\$4,640	100 - 250 Peak Trips \$4,640 >250 Peak Trips \$7,730	Site Specific - \$3,490 Regional - \$7,806	No specific fee for this. Misc/Technical Report Review: actual cost + 25% admin for consultant review, if applicable	Study Review & Consultation: Charge the fully allocated hourly rate for all personnel involved plus any outside costs.	Major: \$3,118	Transportation Impact Analysis \$4,000 Deposit
Map Checking Fees - Base Fee plus Per number and letter lot (Tract Map with 25 Lots) with 6 sheets	\$4,489+\$54 per number and lettered lot Total=\$6,656	\$6,352 +\$48 per number and lettered lot Total =\$7,898	Tract/Parcel Maps \$8,240	Tract Map: \$6,193 + Deposit (Plus Scanning Fee, Per Sheet \$29) Total = \$6,367	Map Review (Parcel/Tract) - Base Fee \$2,745 +\$70 per Add'I Parcel Total =\$4,495	Map Review (Parcel/Tract) - Tract Maps:>21 Lots/Units - Tract Map -\$5,108 + \$43 per Base Fee \$2,745 + \$70 per \$8,447 per map +\$59 for Add'l Parcel Total = \$8,742 Total = \$6,183 Total = \$4,495	Tract Map - \$5,108 + \$43 per Lot Total = \$6,183	Final Tract Map or Parcel Map (with dedication) Processing Total = \$2,696
Construction Permits - Offs ite improvement Plan Check - \$200,000 estimated project cost for a 500 linear foot Public Street\Storm Drain (4 Sheets)	\$6,971	\$8,591	\$14/LF Total = \$7,000	\$100,001 - \$500,000 Valuation \$17,609 + 1.5% Total = \$19,109	Sewer, Water, Storm Drain Plan Check Fee 2% of Permit Vauation Total = \$4,000	\$1,305 plus estimate of costs for inspection. Site Inspection: \$172/hour	Plan Check - \$1,290 per Sheet Total = \$12,900	Civil Design Plan Review \$2,392.24 per sheet Processing fee \$78.62 \$1.12 per LF Total = \$12,605



City of Riverside Refuse FY 2024-25

					Curr	ent						ă	Recommendations	ndation	S		
				Per Unit			,	Annual			Per Unit	řŧ			Annual		
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Co	Cost	Annual Revenue	- 0	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Fe Level	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez		Increased Revenue	Recom Sut	Recommended Subsidy
RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE			\$			\$	\$		\$		\$		\$	- ↔	٠	\$	
BULKY ITEM PICKUP PER ITEM (IN EXCESS OF 2 PICKUPS PER YEAR A	New Fee		Φ.	25	%0	\$	\$-	'	❖	,	100% \$	25	\$	٠,	٠	\$	
GO BACK/LATE SIT OUT (PENALTY)	Penalty		φ.	46	%0	\$	s	•	s		100% \$	46	\$	-ς>	•	δ.	
SOLID WASTE OVERAGE- RESIDENTIAL OVERWEIGHT/OVERFILLED CA	Penalty		φ.	46	%0	\$	↔	•	↔		100% \$	46	\$	\$	٠	٠,	
DELIVERY/REMOVAL OF RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE CART (IN EXCESS New Fee-Actual Cost	w Fee-Actual Cost		φ.		%0	\$	↔	•	↔		100% \$		\$	\$	٠	⋄	
CLEAN UP HAZARDOUS MATERIAL SPILL DUE TO MATERIAL PLACED I	Penalty		φ.	209	%0	\$	↔	1	↔		100% \$	209	\$	٠	٠	\$	
EXTRA PICKUP REQUEST PER RESIDENTIAL CART (WITHIN SAME SERV	New Fee		φ.	52	%0	\$	↔	•	↔		100% \$	52	\$	\$	٠	\$	
COMMERCIAL SOLID WASTE CONTRACT						\$	↔	•	↔	٠	\$		\$	٠,	٠	⋄	
STOP SERVICES AND OR RESUME SERVICE	New Fee		φ.	49	%0	\$	↔	•	\$		100% \$	49	\$	\$	٠	٠,	
BULKY ITEM PICKUP PER ITEM (LIMITED TO 5 ITEMS PER PICKUP)	New Fee		φ.	25	%0	\$	↔	1	↔		100% \$	25	\$		٠	\$	
BIN DELIVERY, EXCHANGE, SIZE EXCHANGE, REMOVAL	New Fee		\$	61	%0	\$	s	•	\$		100% \$	61	\$	\$	•	\$	
BIN WASHOUT IN EXCESS OF 1 PER YEAR	New Fee		φ.	74	%0	\$	↔	•	↔		100% \$	74	\$	\$	٠	٠,	
OVERWEIGHT/OVERFILLED COMMERCIAL BIN (PENALTY)	Penalty		φ.	63	%0	\$	↔	•	↔		100% \$	63	\$	\$	٠	\$	
BIN CONTAINER CONTAMINATION (PENALTY)	Penalty					\$	↔	•	↔	٠	\$		\$	٠,	٠	⋄	
Second Violations	Penalty		φ.	100	%0	\$	↔	•	↔		100% \$	100	\$	\$	٠	\$	
Third Violations	Penalty		φ.	200	%0	\$	\$		÷		100% \$	200	\$	٠,	٠	\$	
Subsequent Violations	Penalty		\$	200	%0	\$	\$	•	÷		100% \$	200	\$	\$	•	\$	
DEAD RUN, GO BACK (PENALTY)	Penalty		φ.	64	%0	\$	❖		↔		100% \$	64	\$	٠,	•	\$	
DEMURRAGE (PER DAY - NO DUMP IN 7 DAYS, COMMERCIAL BINS)	New Fee		\$	9	%0	\$	\$	•	\$		100% \$	9	\$	\$	٠	\$	•
BIN LOCK INSTALLATION (FIRST LOCK)	New Fee		Φ.	32	%0	\$	↔		↔		100% \$	32	\$	٠,	•	\$	
Replacement of Bin Lock (if lost or stolen)	New Fee		\$	15	%0	\$	\$-	•	↔		100% \$	15	\$	-\$	٠	\$	•
OVERWEIGHT ROLLOFF (in addition to disposal charges for each Id	New Fee		φ.	120	%0	\$	↔	•	↔		100% \$	120	\$	\$	٠	٠,	
ROLLOFF GO BACK, DEAD RUN, RELOCATION, SAME DAY EXPEDITE	New Fee		\$	147	%0	· \$	↔	•	ş		100% \$	147	\$	\$	•	٠,	
ROLLOFF TOP LID RENTAL (PER MONTH)	New Fee		φ.	89	%0	\$	↔	•	s		100% \$	89	\$	٠	٠	٠,	
OPEN-TOP BOX OR STORAGE BOX RENTAL (PER MONTH)	New Fee		φ.	174	%0	\$	↔	•	↔		100% \$	174	\$	\$	٠	\$	
ROLLOFF PLASTIC LINER	New Fee		\$	48	%0	\$	↔	•	❖		100% \$	48	\$	٠,	٠	٠,	
CERTIFICATE OF DESTRUCTION	New Fee		\$	55	%0	\$	s	•	\$		100% \$	55	\$	\$	•	\$	
DEMURRAGE ON HAULER-OWNED ROLLOFF (per day - no hauls in 3	New Fee		φ.	22	%0	\$	↔	•	↔		100% \$	22	\$	٠	٠	٠,	
COMPACTOR WASH OUT	New Fee		φ.	292	%0	\$	↔	•	s		100% \$	292	\$	\$	٠	\$	
ROLLOFF CONTAMINATION (PENALTY)	Penalty					\$	↔	•	⋄		φ.	٠	\$	٠,	٠	٠,	
First offense	Penalty		φ.	100	%0	\$	↔	•	↔		100% \$	100	\$	\$	٠	\$	
Second offense	Penalty		φ.	200	%0	\$	↔	1	↔		100% \$	200	\$	٠	٠	\$	
Third offense	Penalty		\$	200	%0	\$	\$	•	\$		100% \$	200	\$	ب	•	\$	•
TIRE RATE FOR CLEANUPS	New Fee					\$	\$	1	↔		\$		\$	٠,	٠	\$	
Regular tires (per ton, plus \$25 Manifest Fee per load)	New Fee		\$	317	%0	\$	\$	•	\$		100% \$	317	\$	ب	٠	\$	•
Super tires (per ton, plus \$25 Manifest fee per load)	New Fee		₩	634	%0	\$	\$	1	\$		100% \$	634	❖	٠,	•	❖	•



Parks, Recreation and Community Services

Administration

The user fee/cost analysis for the Administration division of Parks, Recreation, and Community Services is presented in this report. See reported dated May 2024 for the cost analysis of Recreation fees.

The Administration division does not currently charge for plan reviews, therefore all the fees proposed in the cost analysis are new. The division is proposing to add plan review fees in the following categories:

- Planning Case Review (DRC)
- Public Works Case Plan Check Review
- Building Permit Plan Check

Based on current volume statistics, the annual cost to the City to provide these services is \$31,298. The department is recommending 100% cost recovery to be phased in over two years. The projected increased revenue will be \$31,298, annually by the second year assuming demand remains consistent.

The User Fee Summary Sheet following this narrative provides further details of MGT's fee analysis. The recommended fee and charges amount listed reflect the recommended amounts to be implemented in the second year assuming 100% cost recovery.

Comparative Data

The above proposed fees were not included in the comparison survey.



Parks and Recreation Administration User Fee Summary Sheet

City of Riverside Parks, Recreation, & Community Services - Administration (520000) 2024-2025

					Current	т.					æ	Recommendations	lations		
				Per Unit			Annual			Per Unit	ıit		Annual	<i>a</i>	
Ord Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Cu Volume	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue		Annual Subsidy	Recovery Level	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	Increased Revenue	I Recommended Subsidy	ended dy
6 Planning Case Review (DRC)															
7 All Cases Base Fee	New Base Fee	\$ 190 \$		\$	40 0%	\$ 7,657	\$	s	7,657	100%	\$ 40	\$ 7,657	\$ 7,657	5 2	
8 Public Park Improvement	New Hourly Fee	3		\$ 209	%0 6	\$ 627	\$	Ş	627	100%	\$ 209	\$ 627	\$ 627	7 \$,
9 Public Trail Improvement	New Hourly Fee	3		\$ 170	%0 0	\$ 509	\$	\$	509	100%	\$ 170	\$ 509	\$	\$ 609	
10 Public Works Case Plan Check Review															
11 All Permits Base Fee	New Base Fee	\$ 86		\$ 2	20 0%	\$ 1,975	\$	Ş	1,975	100%	\$ 20	\$ 1,975	\$ 1,975	5 \$	
13 Public Trail Improvement	New Hourly Fee	3 \$		6 \$	94 0%	\$ 282	\$	\$	282	100%	\$ 94	\$ 282	\$ 282	2 \$,
14 Public Park Improvement	New Hourly Fee	2 \$		\$ 108	8 0%	\$ 215	\$	\$	215	100%	\$ 108	\$ 215	\$ 215	5 \$	
15 Inspection Fee - Park Land	New Hourly Fee	38 \$		7	74 0%	\$ 2,803	\$	\$	2,803	100%	5 74	\$ 2,803	\$ 2,803	3 \$	
16 Inspection Fee-Trail	New Hourly Fee	12 \$		\$ 108	8 0%	\$ 1,295	- \$	\$	1,295	100%	\$ 108	\$ 1,295	\$ 1,295	5 \$	
17 Building Permit Plan Check															
18 All Permits Base Fee	New Base Fee	402 \$		\$ 2	20 0%	\$ 8,100	\$	\$	8,100	100%	\$ 20	\$ 8,100	\$ 8,100	\$ 0	
19 Public Trail Improvement	New Hourly Fee	3		\$ 207	7 0%	\$ 621	\$	\$	621	100%	\$ 207	\$ 621	\$ 621	1 \$	
20 Public Park Improvement	New Hourly Fee	3		\$ 144	4 0%	\$ 433	\$	\$	433	100%	5 144	\$ 433	\$ 433	3 \$	
21 Inspection fee each permit Trail Inspection	New Hourly Fee	24 \$		\$	94 0%	\$ 2,262	\$	Ş	2,262	100%	\$ 94	\$ 2,262	\$ 2,262	2 \$,
22 Inspection fee each permit. Park Improvement	New Hourly Fee	52 \$		8	87 0%	\$ 4,519	\$	s	4,519	100%	\$ 87	\$ 4,519	\$ 4,519	\$ 6	7
Total User Fees						\$31.298		Ç	\$31.298			\$31.298	\$ \$31.298		\$2 224 298
% of Full Cost									100%			100%			7107%



Recommendations Going Forward

Once the commitment is made to understand the full cost of providing services, it is important to review and update the analysis in order to keep pace with changes in service delivery, staffing changes, and demand levels.

Most of our agencies ask us at the conclusion of the study: how often should this type of study be undertaken? Our advice is to conduct this detailed analysis at least every three but not more than five years, with minor adjustments in the non-study years to keep pace with economic impacts. MGT recommends the City apply an inflation adjustment to fees annually, based on the most recent CPI from All Urban Consumers for the Los Angeles area to keep pace with inflation. The industry best practice is to apply this index once per year as part of the City's annual budget process. This is particularly helpful once an agency has chosen to adopt a cost recovery policy – whether 100% of cost or something less – in order to keep fees at the desired level.