

From: yolanda esquivel <yesquivel36@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2020 9:16:14 PM
To: 2Mayor <2MAYOR@riversideca.gov>
Cc: Edwards, Erin <EEwards@riversideca.gov>; Melendrez, Andy <ASMelendrez@riversideca.gov>; Fierro, Ronaldo <RFierro@riversideca.gov>; Conder, Chuck <CConder@riversideca.gov>; Plascencia, Gaby <GPlascencia@riversideca.gov>; Perry, Jim <JPerry@riversideca.gov>; Hemenway, Steve <SHemenway@riversideca.gov>; Gilberto Esquivel <gilberto2939@yahoo.com>
Subject: [External] LETTER OF SUPPORT for the St. Michael's Housing Development

Dear Members of the Riverside City Council,

As a resident of Council Ward 2 and on behalf of LULAC OF RIVERSIDE COUNCIL #3190, I write in strong support of the St. Michael's Housing Development, which would facilitate the Construction of housing for Riverside's low income people.

The League of United Latin American Citizens (LULAC), founded in 1929, is the oldest and most widely respected Hispanic civil rights organization in the United States of America. LULAC was created to advance the economic condition, educational attainment, political influence, health and civil rights that level the playing field for the betterment of the community.

LULAC OF RIVERSIDE COUNCIL #3190 is responsible creating The LULAC/FLYING DOCTOR'S HEALTH FAIR in Riverside, a clinic which offers actual dental treatments, vision check- ups and recycled eye glasses, medical attention and many other medical services absolutely **free**. Every member in our organization is a volunteer who is interested in improving the lives of those citizens who have the greatest needs and have been marginalized. Many of you as Riverside City Council Members have been very instrumental in making this health fair possible. We sincerely thank you for your crucial support all these past nine years.

Therefore, the development of 50 affordable apartments at St. Michael's Episcopal Church will create 24 much needed Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) units and 25 units of affordable apartments for Riverside residents. Riverside County needs over 66,000 more affordable rental units to meet the current needs of the county's estimated 376,689 low -income renters according to the Housing Authority of Riverside County. Most of the people live in the Western part of the county so it makes no sense to try and build all 66,000 of the affordable units in the desert. We need the housing and the homeless service where the people are. For these reasons, LULAC OF RIVERSIDE, urges all of the Riverside City Council Members to please support the St. Michael's Housing Development.

With Thanks and Gratitude,

Yolanda Esquivel, President
 LULAC OF RIVERSIDE
 COUNCIL #3190
 951-334-7863 Cell
 951-801-2570 Home



cc: Mayor
 City Council
 City Manager
 City Attorney
 ACMs
 DCMs

Date: 3-31-20

Item No. 10

From: Susan Pike <sugarpike@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 22, 2020 6:50 PM
To: Plascencia, Gaby <GPlascencia@riversideca.gov>; Melendrez, Andy <ASMelendrez@riversideca.gov>; Conder, Chuck <CConder@riversideca.gov>; Edwards, Erin <EEwards@riversideca.gov>; Fierro, Ronaldo <RFierro@riversideca.gov>; Perry, Jim <JPerry@riversideca.gov>; Hemenway, Steve <SHemenway@riversideca.gov>; 2Mayor <2MAYOR@riversideca.gov>; Nicol, Colleen <CNicol@riversideca.gov>
Cc: Arseo, Eva <EArseo@riversideca.gov>
Subject: Re: [External] March 31 City Council Meeting

Dear Council members and Ms. Nicol,

Ms. Nicol, Thank you so much for your response - and on a Sunday! I really appreciate your assistance.

Council Members - seriously? Now we're being relegated to "drive-through" comments? I understand the situation regarding Covid-19 and its attendant protocols. What I don't understand is WHY this is being pushed through in such a hurry, and under such circumstances.

Will Kyle and the developer's team be relegated to the parking lot as well? If not, why not? We have as much right to be heard in person as they do.

I'd also like to address the letters you have received (from the meeting agenda attachments) - please note that the letters AGAINST the project are from the neighbors who actually live in close proximity to the project, and the majority of the letters FOR the project are from people who do not live IN the neighborhood and in some cases are from agencies that are in the business of supporting homeless solutions. And while that's admirable, they get PAID to advocate for these types of projects...and DON'T have to deal with the results on a daily basis!

Also attached to the agenda are the petitions both for and against. I took the time to read through these - here's what I found: the number of signatures AGAINST the project is 210 (these were from a meeting a few weeks ago - who knows where the original petitions are that were signed several years ago?). The number of signatures FOR (and these signatures are dated **several years ago**) is 119...and MANY of them are from addresses and ZIP codes nowhere near St. Michaels. Again, if they don't live here, WHY SHOULD THEIR OPINION MATTER???

Lastly, one of the concerns the Planning Commission had was the traffic issue. The city traffic engineer showed you pictures of empty streets, saying they had sat on our streets for a day and took pictures and look - no cars! There shouldn't be a problem! But what he didn't say was that they did their study on a Wednesday...which in our tract is street sweeping day. Yep - there's a reason there were no cars on the street! I'm attaching pictures I took on Saturday, February 15th. You'll notice that there ARE cars - and this is the norm. Now add 100 parking spaces (spaces=cars), and tell us there shouldn't be any issues with parking.

There's so much more I'd like to add - like, what's up with the separation of church and state, or doesn't it matter to Riverside? And what about the great point brought up about the variances that were approved back in the 1960s and are being still used to allow this monstrous structure...pretty sure that's not what they expected to happen in 2020...oh, and how impressed I was with your action on Ms. Edward's request for a building moratorium in the northside area of Riverside - all of you said wonderful things about the residents and how they aren't any less deserving than any other Riverside residents (Ms. Plascencia). Mr. Fierro was impressed with the unanimity of the residents and said that since the community would be impacted negatively he was in favor of the moratorium.

Let me end with one more plea to just stop. Stop this project from going forward as requested. Make it smaller, a better fit for the neighborhood. Why should we be any less deserving than the residents of the Northside, Gaby? Listen to YOUR community, please. Vote NO on the appeal.

Thank you,

Susan Pike
Kingsbury Place

On Sunday, March 22, 2020, 11:24:17 AM PDT, Nicol, Colleen <cnicol@riversideca.gov> wrote:

Ms. Pike,

Public comment may be submitted in several ways:

- Through eComment at www.engageriverside.com
- Via email to city_clerk@riversideca.gov
- In the parking lot of City Hall at 7 p.m. on March 31, 2020

There will be "drive-through" public comment from vehicles. A video team will be present to live stream public comment to the Mayor and City Councilmembers remotely. Riverside Police Department will be assisting with on-site logistics to ensure everyone wishing to offer public comment is heard.

Colleen J. Nicol, MMC

City Clerk

From: Susan Pike <sugarpike@yahoo.com>

Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2020 11:44 AM

To: Plascencia, Gaby <GPlascencia@riversideca.gov>; Melendrez, Andy <AMelendrez@riversideca.gov>; Conder, Chuck <CConder@riversideca.gov>; Edwards, Erin <EEwards@riversideca.gov>; Fierro, Ronaldo <RFierro@riversideca.gov>; Perry, Jim <JPerry@riversideca.gov>; Hemenway, Steve <SHemenway@riversideca.gov>;

Nicol, Colleen <CNicol@riversideca.gov>; 2Mayor <2MAYOR@riversideca.gov>
Subject: [External] March 31 City Council Meeting

Dear Council Members,

I see that you have scheduled a council meeting for March 31, at which the St Michael's appeal is again scheduled.

I also see that at 5 pm a "Virtual Meeting" is scheduled, and I've seen reports that **Public Comment will be held outside in the parking lot of City Hall** - is that true?? please advise - this just doesn't seem possible.

Please let us know just where the Public Comments for the 7 pm meeting will be heard. Thank you.

Susan Pike

cc: Mayor
City Council
City Manager
City Attorney
ACMs
DCMs
C&ED Director







Date: 3-31-20

Item No. 10

From: Hansberger, Cheryl-Marie <CMHansberger@riversideca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2020 11:36 AM
To: Nicol, Colleen <CNicol@riversideca.gov>
Cc: 2Mayor <2MAYOR@riversideca.gov>; Hansberger, Cheryl-Marie <CMHansberger@riversideca.gov>
Subject: Public Comment - St. Michael's Project

Ms. Cruz Ramos called, she lives on Hawthorne. She is unhappy about the St. Michael's project. She is fearful about bringing the homeless into her neighborhood. She would like the meeting delayed. She does not feel safe coming downtown to do public comment and does not have internet access. I suggested to her that she can submit a letter via the mail. In addition, that I offered to type up her comments and submit on her behalf. Her husband is disabled and has COPD, health problems, diabetic, many issues. She worries about his health. They have nurses in and out of her home. The church is hosting AA type meetings (stopped last week) and people smoke outside. The wind blows towards her home. The smell bothers her. She is concerned about the size of the project. She is concerned the smoke is a danger to her husband's health. She does not feel safe with the homeless traffic in her neighborhood. There was somebody standing on her side-walk and she did not feel safe. Somebody walked into her home unauthorized. She called the police and the police officer came and responded, "that is the way they are". She does not feel safe. Police have no authority when people are walking into their yards. Somebody removed opposition signs from their yard. I read her this email to ensure it captures her concerns and she agrees. I am also going to mail her a copy so she will know it has been submitted on her behalf. In our call she identified the need for some cleaning supplies (Lysol and disinfectant). I will drop those by to her tomorrow sometime.

cc: Mayor
City Council
City Manager
City Attorney
ACMs
DCMs
C&ED Director

From: Rich Gardner <richgardner1960@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sunday, March 22, 2020 7:21 PM

To: Nicol, Colleen <CNicol@riversideca.gov>

Subject: [External] Happy Monday

Hi, I am sure you know I am involved in the St. Michael's public hearings. I came across this issue while campaigning. I think it is an interesting one from a lot of different angles. From our city's need to somehow house the homeless, to the citizen's rights to feel safe, from Rusty's office sending an e-mail to the clergy to the planning commission voting it down and of course to the current obstacles in place due to disease endangering all citizens.... I mad the offer at the city council meeting before the one that was cancelled to act as a go between of the city, the clergy and the citizens... i have not proposed any ideas to that neighborhood as of yet but honestly if we could work together there really is a simple solution. As stated have four residents speak and two clergy and then that's it. As it stands, there will probably be tons of residents showing up as well as tons of clergy.. Can't we just make it simple? Anyways, please share this e-mail with the decision makers and then and only then will I move forward with a discussion with the neighbors. If both sides agreed to this setup it would be a lot smoother, most likely not violate the Brown act or anything else and would most likely result in the same vote.

Happy Monday

rich

cc: Mayor

City Council

City Manager

City Attorney

ACMs

DCMs

Date: 3-31-20

Item No. 10

From: Diane Hilton <dianemhilton@att.net>

Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2020 3:31 PM

To: 2Mayor <2MAYOR@riversideca.gov>; Plascencia, Gaby <GPlascencia@riversideca.gov>; Edwards, Erin <EEwards@riversideca.gov>; Fierro, Ronaldo <RFierro@riversideca.gov>; Hemenway, Steve <SHemenway@riversideca.gov>; Melendrez, Andy <ASMelendrez@riversideca.gov>; Perry, Jim <JPerry@riversideca.gov>

Subject: [External] Council Meeting Scheduled for March 31, 2020

Mayor and Council,

Please consider removing agenda item 10 the St Michaels Project. This should not go forward at this time. It is very irresponsible to require the public to congregate if they want to be represented at the City Council Meeting. In the current situation you would be putting us (the people outside) at risk. How would Governor Newsom view such action as each day he continues to stress **stay home!**

Not one of you answered my question as to where Kyle Paine, Larry Haynes, and Dr. Mary would be. I conclude you think it is not important. It is important as it clearly shows the lack of regard for the public your constituents.

More to the point... Please reject this project. The City of Riverside Planning Commission voted 6-3 against this project. Do you value their contributions to the city? Making these types of decisions is their purpose and responsibility. What could cause you to doubt their decision and override it?

Purpose of a City Planning Commission

Planning commission is a group of appointed officials which oversees city planning. These officials are charged with **controlling growth, promoting economic health, and improving the quality of life for all citizens**

I would welcome a response with substance.

Diane Hilton
Sage Ave Homeowner

cc: Mayor
City Council
City Manager
City Attorney
ACMs
DCMs
C&ED Director

Subject: FW: [External] Planning Cases P19-0507 and P19-0508

From: fore.paul@juno.com <fore.paul@juno.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2020 3:52 PM
To: 2Mayor <2MAYOR@riversideca.gov>
Cc: Nicol, Colleen <CNicol@riversideca.gov>; Melendrez, Andy <ASMelendrez@riversideca.gov>; Conder, Chuck <CConder@riversideca.gov>; Edwards, Erin <EEdwards@riversideca.gov>; Plascencia, Gaby <GPlascencia@riversideca.gov>; Perry, Jim <JPerry@riversideca.gov>; Fierro, Ronaldo <RFierro@riversideca.gov>; Hemenway, Steve <SHemenway@riversideca.gov>; clroberts326@gmail.com; judygt@att.net; allenco333@yahoo.com; kparker@ttgcorp.com; maartin@mjrinsurance.com; omarzaki@allstate.com; dbkirby@att.net; richardrrubio@gmail.com; SMill@wfgtitleco.com
Subject: [External] Planning Cases P19-0507 and P19-0508

This email's attachments were cleaned of potential threats by The City of Riverside's Security Gateway. Click <<http://securegateway.riverside.tld/UserCheck/PortalMain?IID={C3E49F0C-A224-1245-996D-98D0CE7B0DD4}&origUrl=>> if the original attachments are required (justification needed).

Mr. Mayor:

After several meetings with the city, neighbor gatherings etc. I feel that our concerns, comments, etc. addressing St. Michaels have fallen on deaf ears.

We have elected you to represent us and listen to our concerns, with this project still proceeding this has not happened!

I understand your passion to help the homeless as you have stated several times.

However you should also stand up for your constituents as well, remembering we are the people who placed our trust in you when we elected you Mayor!

If you had as much passion for your citizens you would not let this project proceed. I am not convinced that you can be objective in your decision with your upcoming position as CEO of Path of Life Ministries another nonprofit group focused on homelessness and poverty!

With the Planning Commission decision on Feb 6, 2020 by a vote of 6 Noes and 3 Ayes, determining the project does not fit the area, this project should be re-evaluated and placed in a different area! This project was first proposed as a 6 units and has now grown to 50 units 2 story building with no guarantee that this is the end. As far as the occupants who will reside here there has been no guarantee they all will be from the City of Riverside.

With the estimated cost of over 15 million dollars this is a ridiculous use of the money allocated. This money did not fall off of a tree in some ones yard, but came from tax payers somewhere! The only real beneficiary of this project is the Episcopal Diocese of Los Angeles with these improvements.

Benefitting their property in the future, and devaluating the property values of the residents in the immediate area, leading to a real possibility of creating a blight area in this City!

This project would be better received if it was to mimic the project at The Grove Community Church that built 4 cottages, a much better fit for the area there.

It seems that this project if you continue to bully your way through will be shoved down our throats no matter what!

Paul Anderson
4090 Stotts Street
92503

Mr. Mayor

After several meetings with the city, neighbor gatherings etc. I feel that our concerns, comments, etc. addressing St. Michaels have fallen on deaf ears.

We have elected you to represent us and listen to our concerns, with this project still proceeding this has not happened!

I understand your passion to help the homeless as you have stated several times. However you should also stand up for your constituents as well, remembering we are the people who placed our trust in you when we elected you Mayor! If you had as much passion for your citizens you would not let this project proceed. I am not convinced that you can be objective in your decision with your upcoming position as CEO of Path of Life Ministries another nonprofit group focused on homelessness and poverty!

With the Planning Commission decision on Feb 6, 2020 by a vote of 6 Noes and 3 Ayes, determining the project does not fit the area, this project should be re-evaluated and placed in a different area! This project was first proposed as a 6 units and has now grown to 50 units 2 story building with no guarantee that this is the end. As far as the occupants who will reside here there has been no guarantee they all will be from the City of Riverside.

With the estimated cost of over 15 million dollars this is a ridiculous use of the money allocated. This money did not fall off of a tree in some ones yard, but came from tax payers somewhere! The only real beneficiary of this project is the Episcopal Diocese of Los Angeles with these improvements. Benefitting their property in the future, and

devaluating the property values of the residents in the immediate area, leading to a real possibility of creating a blight area in this City!

This project would be better received if it was to mimic the project at The Grove Community Church that built 4 cottages, a much better fit for the area there.

It seems that this project if you continue to bully your way through will be shoved down our throats no matter what!

cc: Mayor
City Council
City Manager
City Attorney
ACMs
DCMs
C&ED Director

Date: 3-31-20

Item No. 10

From: "fore.paul@juno.com" <fore.paul@juno.com>

To: asmelendrez@riversideca.gov, cconder@riversideca.gov, eedwards@riversideca.gov, gplascencia@riversideca.gov, jperry@riversideca.gov, rfierro@riversideca.gov, shemenway@riversideca.gov

Cc: clroberts326@gmail.com, judygt@att.net, allenco333@yahoo.com, kparker@ttgcorp.com, maartin@mjrinsurance.com, omarzaki@allstate.com, dbkirby@att.net, richardrrubio@gmail.com, SMill@wfgtitleco.com, sugarpike@yahoo.com, scott.hilton@att.net, dianemhilton@att.net, hollyboo4@icloud.com

Subject: March 31 Council Meeting

Date: Wed, 25 Mar 2020 00:00:10 GMT

Council Members

As a resident of the Arlington Area please consider removing agenda item 10 (P19-0507 and P19-0508). This is not any kind of immediate emergency as this project will not be completed until December 2021.

With the proposed virtual public comments available in the parking lot of city Hall this is not satisfactory for the residents of the immediate area.

I personally feel the eye to eye contact should be made in person.

Please stand up for the permanent residents of the city! I urge all of you to VOTE NO on this appeal.

If you do not accept the decision by the experts of the Planning Commission that this project DOES NOT FIT in this neighborhood, then there is no reason to have a Planning Commission to protect the Residents and the City!

Paul Anderson
4090 Stotts Street
92503

cc: Mayor
City Council
City Manager
City Attorney
ACMs
DCMs
C&ED Director

Date: 03-31-20

Item No. 10

From: Richard Rubio <richardrrubio@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2020 5:16:47 PM
To: fore.paul@juno.com <fore.paul@juno.com>
Cc: SMill@wfgtitleco.com <SMill@wfgtitleco.com>; allenco333@yahoo.com <allenco333@yahoo.com>; Melendrez, Andy <ASMelendrez@riversideca.gov>; Conder, Chuck <CConder@riversideca.gov>; clroberts326@gmail.com <clroberts326@gmail.com>; dbkirby@att.net <dbkirby@att.net>; dianemhilton@att.net <dianemhilton@att.net>; Edwards, Erin <EEwards@riversideca.gov>; Plascencia, Gaby <GPlascencia@riversideca.gov>; hollyboo4@icloud.com <hollyboo4@icloud.com>; Perry, Jim <JPerry@riversideca.gov>; judygt@att.net <judygt@att.net>; kparker@ttgcorp.com <kparker@ttgcorp.com>; maartin@mjrinsurance.com <maartin@mjrinsurance.com>; omarzaki@allstate.com <omarzaki@allstate.com>; Fierro, Ronaldo <RFierro@riversideca.gov>; scott.hilton@att.net <scott.hilton@att.net>; Hemenway, Steve <SHemenway@riversideca.gov>; sugarpike@yahoo.com <sugarpike@yahoo.com>
Subject: [External] Re: March 31 Council Meeting

I agree with you.

On Tue, Mar 24, 2020 at 5:01 PM fore.paul@juno.com <fore.paul@juno.com> wrote:

Council Members

As a resident of the Arlington Area please consider removing agenda item 10 (P19-0507 and P19-0508). This is not any kind of immediate emergency as this project will not be completed until December 2021.

With the proposed virtual public comments available in the parking lot of city Hall this is not satisfactory for the residents of the immediate area.

I personally feel the eye to eye contact should be made in person.

Please stand up for the permanent residents of the city! I urge all of you to VOTE NO on this appeal.

If you do not accept the decision by the experts of the Planning Commission that this project DOES NOT FIT in this neighborhood, then there is no reason to have a Planning Commission to protect the Residents and the City!

Paul Anderson
4090 Stotts Street
92503

cc: Mayor
City Council
City Manager
City Attorney
ACMs
DCMs
C&ED Director

Date: 3-31-20

Item No. 10

From: JOHN BATTAGLIA <jjbatalya@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2020 12:33 PM

To: 2Mayor <2MAYOR@riversideca.gov>; Melendrez, Andy <ASMelendrez@riversideca.gov>; Conder, Chuck <CConder@riversideca.gov>; Edwards, Erin <EEdwards@riversideca.gov>; Plascencia, Gaby <GPlascencia@riversideca.gov>; Perry, Jim <JPerry@riversideca.gov>; Fierro, Ronaldo <RFierro@riversideca.gov>; Hemenway, Steve <SHemenway@riversideca.gov>; Nicol, Colleen <CNicol@riversideca.gov>; clroberts326@gmail.com; judygt@att.et; allenco333@yahoo.com; kparker@ttgcorp.com; maartin@mjrinsurance.com; omarzaki@allstate.com; dianemhilton@att.net; scott.hilton@att.net; eudellvis@gmail.com; sugarpike@yahoo.com; fore.paul@juno.com; hollyboo4@icloud.com

Subject: [External] St. Michael's Project

To all Council Members,

Please come to 4070 Jackson St. during the day and see for yourselves the size of the property in question. The 60yr old conditional use permit was for supportive housing for clergy. A 50 unit, two story complex, the length of the property is a far cry from that. It does not suit the area. The city traffic engineer stated that there was plenty of parking on Jackson for this project. There is no parking on both sides of the street between Sage and Magnolia! Why did he not know that? Possibly because he did not know, or did he want to misinform the planning commission where he stated his information? A scaled down version of this project with a one story model would be something our neighborhood could handle and embrace. We are not NIMBY's. We are honest home owners that want our neighborhood to continue to be a safe and pleasant place to live. We have families of all sizes, shapes, and colors. We want continued enjoyment of our properties. The planning commission rejected it due to the size and scope, not for any other reason. Hopefully you will follow suit. Please come and look for yourselves!

Thank you,

Julie Battaglia
4102 Stotts Street 92503

cc: Mayor
City Council
City Manager
City Attorney
ACMs
DCMs
C&ED Director

Date: 03-31-20

Item No. 10

From: DENISE ANDERSON <dmanderson32@msn.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2020 12:06 PM

To: Plascencia, Gaby <GPlascencia@riversideca.gov>; Conder, Chuck <CConder@riversideca.gov>; Edwards, Erin <EEdwards@riversideca.gov>; Fierro, Ronaldo <RFierro@riversideca.gov>; Melendrez, Andy <ASMelendrez@riversideca.gov>; Perry, Jim <JPerry@riversideca.gov>; Hemenway, Steve <SHemenway@riversideca.gov>; 2Mayor <2MAYOR@riversideca.gov>; Zelinka, Al <azelinka@riversideca.gov>; Nicol, Colleen <CNicol@riversideca.gov>

Subject: [External] P19-0507 and P19-0508/Meeting March 31st

Good morning,

I am a 3rd generation Ward 3 resident and I am emailing you all to hopefully be heard on the above matter. Considering the current health situation, most of the residents will not be able to attend the meeting on the 31st. I would hope this meeting is postponed as most City/County meetings have been.

Regardless, I am fully aware (as everyone who lives in Riverside) that there is a need to find more shelters for the homeless. I am strongly opposed to this project due to its location and the safety concern of children. This project would be completely surrounded by residential homes and right across the street from Hunt Park and a block from Don Lorenzi Park. Not to mention the elementary and middle schools nearby. All of which my son, niece and nephews attend the schools (Chemawa Middle & St. Thomas) nearby and use both Parks for baseball, basketball and swim. It is my hope the City strongly considers the views of the City Planning and residents who live in the surrounding area and put this project on hold. Please take more time to find a more appropriate site that would benefit all who is involved or could be impacted. The safety of our children should be first and foremost!

Thank you,

Denise Anderson

cc: Mayor
City Council
City Manager
City Attorney
ACMs
DCMs

Date: 3-31-20

Item No. 10

-----Original Message-----

From: fore.paul@juno.com <fore.paul@juno.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2020 9:24 AM

To: 2Mayor <2MAYOR@riversideca.gov>; Melendrez, Andy <AMelendrez@riversideca.gov>; Conder, Chuck <CConder@riversideca.gov>; Edwards, Erin <EEdwards@riversideca.gov>; Plascencia, Gaby <GPlascencia@riversideca.gov>; Perry, Jim <JPerry@riversideca.gov>; Fierro, Ronaldo <RFierro@riversideca.gov>; Hemenway, Steve <SHemenway@riversideca.gov>; Nicol, Colleen <CNicol@riversideca.gov>; clroberts326@gmail.com; judygt@att.net; allenco333@yahoo.com; kparker@ttgcorp.com; martin@mjrinsurance.com; omarzaki@allstate.com; dbkirby@att.net; richardrrubio@gmail.com; SMill@wfgtitleco.com
Cc: dianemhilton@att.net; scott.hilton@att.net; eudellvis@gmail.com; sugarpike@yahoo.com; hollyboo4@icloud.com
Subject: [External] 31 March Council Meeting Agenda Item 10 P19-0507 & P19-0508

This email's attachments were cleaned of potential threats by The City of Riverside's Security Gateway. Click <<http://securegateway.riverside.tld/UserCheck/PortalMain?IID={DF824551-6568-D342-81D6-730AB7AB180A}&origUrl=>> if the original attachments are required (justification needed).

Mayor, Council, Planning Commission, City Clerk:

I have reached out to Governor Newsom for help with this situation.

I still feel we have been placated by the Mayor and are not being heard by this administration.

I have attached my request in pdf format for your information., and is below for your information.

"Governor Newsom,

I am asking for your help with an issue concerning the building of a 2 story 50 unit homeless/low income facility in our small residential single story neighborhood.

The proposed project was rejected by the planning commission of the City of Riverside. However our Mayor Rusty Bailey is still proceeding with a City Council meeting scheduled for March 31 seeking approval for the project. While we are asked by you to "Stay Safe at Home" the city has suggested that we can comment virtually from the City parking lot at City Hall. This project has a lot of interest by the residents both for and against the project that will have a very large turnout resulting in exposing all of us to a close contact situation. To be fair to both sides I am asking you to step in and contact the Mayor Rusty Bailey and ask him to remove this item from the agenda so all concerned can have a fair and personal representation.

With this Virus Pandemic I am asking you to step in and request the Mayor and City Council to defer this until such times when the pandemic has ended.

Your assistance with this matter will be greatly appreciated!

Paul Anderson
4090 Stotts Street
Riverside, Ca.
92503"

1

cc: Mayor
City Council
City Manager
City Attorney
ACMs
DCMs

Governor Newsom,

I am asking for your help with an issue concerning the building of a 2 story 50 unit homeless/low income facility in our small residential single story neighborhood. The proposed project was rejected by the planning commission of the City of Riverside. However our Mayor Rusty Bailey is still proceeding with a City Council meeting scheduled for March 31 seeking approval for the project. While we are asked by you to "Stay Safe at Home" the city has suggested that we can comment virtually from the City parking lot at City Hall. This project has a lot of interest by the residents both for and against the project that will have a very large turnout resulting in exposing all of us to a close contact situation. To be fair to both sides I am asking you to step in and contact the Mayor Rusty Bailey and ask him to remove this item from the agenda so all concerned can have a fair and personal representation.

With this Virus Pandemic I am asking you to step in and request the Mayor and City Council to defer this until such times when the pandemic has ended.

Your assistance with this matter will be greatly appreciated!

Paul Anderson

4090 Stotts Street

Riverside, Ca.

92503

Date: 3-31-20

Item No. 10

From: Susan Pike <sugarpike@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2020 6:26 PM
To: Plascencia, Gaby <GPlascencia@riversideca.gov>
Cc: Edwards, Erin <EEwards@riversideca.gov>; Melendrez, Andy <ASMelendrez@riversideca.gov>; Fierro, Ronaldo <RFierro@riversideca.gov>; Conder, Chuck <CConder@riversideca.gov>; Perry, Jim <JPerry@riversideca.gov>; Hemenway, Steve <SHemenway@riversideca.gov>; 2Mayor <2MAYOR@riversideca.gov>; Nicol, Colleen <CNicol@riversideca.gov>
Subject: [External] March 31 City Council Meeting

Ms. Plascencia,

You keep sending form letter replies to our concerns. These do no one any good. I'm pretty sure you weren't voted in by people expecting cut and paste replies to their comments and issues.

I'm requesting, as have many of us, that you have item 10 - the ONLY item on the agenda for the 7 pm meeting - removed. Since this project is in your ward, I'm confident the Mayor, other Council Members and city officials would respect your request for such an action. Then we can ALL stay home, as our governor and other higher-up officials have asked us to do. A drive-up commenting session will do nothing but force us out of our homes, going against the direct wishes of our city, state and federal governments. Why are you playing with the very lives of your constituents? It's one thing to ignore their concerns, but this is absolute and disgusting disrespect. I spoke with one of our older neighbors today, as she had just received the meeting agenda in the mail. As she's not online, she had no idea about the drive-through commenting. She was shaking and trying so hard to understand why you personally hate us so much. I have no answer.

Again, please let me state that rejecting this appeal is the RIGHT THING to do, as the City Planning Commission determined last month. The statement below was sent to you by Diane Hilton, but it bears repeating:

The City of Riverside Planning Commission voted 6-3 against this project. Do you value their contributions to the city? Making these types of decisions is their purpose and responsibility. What could cause you to doubt their decision and override it?

Purpose of a City Planning Commission

Planning commission is a group of appointed officials which oversees city planning. These officials are charged with **controlling growth, promoting economic health, and improving the quality of life for all citizens**

I also asked where the developers and other interested parties would be during the session. Inside? Outside with us?

It's time for this to end. I will be sending copies of our letters to you, and the cut and paste replies from you, to local news sources and to the Governor's office. It's time a larger group of officials knew what kind of people are trying to run our city (into the ground).

Susan Pike
Kingsbury Place

1

cc: Mayor
City Council
City Manager
City Attorney
ACMs
DCMs

Date: 3-31-20

Item No. 10

From: Rich Gardner <richgardner1960@yahoo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2020 7:39 PM

To: Plascencia, Gaby <GPlascencia@riversideca.gov>; Susan Pike <sugarpike@yahoo.com>

Cc: Edwards, Erin <EEwards@riversideca.gov>; Melendrez, Andy <ASMelendrez@riversideca.gov>; Fierro, Ronaldo <RFierro@riversideca.gov>; Conder, Chuck <CConder@riversideca.gov>; Perry, Jim <JPerry@riversideca.gov>; Hemenway, Steve <SHemenway@riversideca.gov>; 2Mayor <2MAYOR@riversideca.gov>; Nicol, Colleen <CNicol@riversideca.gov>

Subject: [External] Re: March 31 City Council Meeting

Greetings, since there is no reason not to postpone this item, that we know of can someone please explain, why this is not being postponed until such a time as anyone who wants to do public comment face to face, in a normal fashion? I spoke with Chuck today who told me there is no legal reason this HAS to be before council at this time. Perhaps if just one of you could give the people an explanation as to why this item can not be postponed, it would help everyone understand why our city is basically going against the Governors orders to stay at home.

Blessings

Rich Gardner

On Wednesday, March 25, 2020, 6:26:10 PM PDT, Susan Pike <sugarpike@yahoo.com> wrote:

Ms. Plascencia,

You keep sending form letter replies to our concerns. These do no one any good. I'm pretty sure you weren't voted in by people expecting cut and paste replies to their comments and issues.

I'm requesting, as have many of us, that you have item 10 - the ONLY item on the agenda for the 7 pm meeting - removed. Since this project is in your ward, I'm confident the Mayor, other Council Members and city officials would respect your request for such an action. Then we can ALL stay home, as our governor and other higher-up officials have asked us to do. A drive-up commenting session will do nothing but force us out of our homes, going against the direct wishes of our city, state and federal governments. Why are you playing with the very lives of your constituents? It's one thing to ignore their concerns, but this is absolute and disgusting disrespect. I spoke with one of our older neighbors today, as she had just received the meeting agenda in the mail. As she's not online, she had no idea about the drive-through commenting. She was shaking and trying so hard to understand why you personally hate us so much. I have no answer.

Again, please let me state that rejecting this appeal is the RIGHT THING to do, as the City Planning Commission determined last month. The statement below was sent to you by Diane Hilton, but it bears repeating:

The City of Riverside Planning Commission voted 6-3 against this project. Do you value their contributions to the city? Making these types of decisions is their purpose and responsibility. What could cause you to doubt their decision and override it?

Purpose of a City Planning Commission

Planning commission is a group of appointed officials which oversees city planning. These officials are charged with **controlling growth, promoting economic health, and improving the quality of life for all citizens**

I also asked where the developers and other interested parties would be during the session. Inside?
Outside with us?

It's time for this to end. I will be sending copies of our letters to you, and the cut and paste replies from you, to local news sources and to the Governor's office. It's time a larger group of officials knew what kind of people are trying to run our city (into the ground).

Susan Pike
Kingsbury Place

cc: Mayor
City Council
City Manager
City Attorney
ACMs
DCMs

Date: 3-31-20

Item No. 10

From: fore.paul@juno.com <fore.paul@juno.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2020 7:49 AM

To: Plascencia, Gaby <GPlascencia@riversideca.gov>

Cc: scott.hilton@att.net; eudellvis@gmail.com; dianemhilton@att.net; sugarpike@yahoo.com; hollyboo4@icloud.com;

Nicol, Colleen <CNicol@riversideca.gov>; Edwards, Erin <EEdwards@riversideca.gov>; Melendrez, Andy

<ASMelendrez@riversideca.gov>; Fierro, Ronaldo <RFierro@riversideca.gov>; Conder, Chuck

<CConder@riversideca.gov>; Perry, Jim <JPerry@riversideca.gov>; Hemenway, Steve <SHemenway@riversideca.gov>

Subject: [External] 31 March Council Meeting Agenda Item 10 P19-0507 & P19-0508

Ms. Plascencia,

I am requesting that you stand up for your constituents of the Arlington Area Ward 5 removing the subject item from the meeting agenda.

Represent us and do the right thing for this area and your constituents!

This PROJECT DOES NOT FIT this area as the Planning Commission has determined and requires further attention to the scope from this city.

Please Do the Right Thing!

Paul Anderson
4090 Stotts Street
92503

cc: Mayor
City Council
City Manager
City Attorney
ACMs
DCMs

Date: 03-31-20

Item No. 10

From: Lujano, Miguel <MLujano@riversideca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2020 8:35 AM
To: Nicol, Colleen <CNicol@riversideca.gov>; Arseo, Eva <EArseo@riversideca.gov>
Subject: St. Michaels Project Comment

Good morning team,

We received a call from resident calling in to let us know that she is against the St. Michael's project. She is concerned that the March 31st Meeting is not appropriate for seniors. She is concerned that her husband who has COPD will interfere with the health of her husband due to the construction. She does not believe that the parking lot comments are appropriate and that the meeting should be postponed until the crisis passes and people can be at a meeting in person. Mrs. Ramos would like the meeting topic to be postponed to a later date after the COVID-19 issue passes.

Name: Cruze Ramos
Phone: 951-785-4361
Address: 9944 Hawthorn

Miguel Lujano
Legislative Field Representative, Ward 2
Council Member Andy Melendrez
Cell: 951-850-4962
Office: 951-826-5419
Fax: 951-826-2448
mlujano@riversideca.gov

cc: Mayor
City Council
City Manager
City Attorney
ACMs
DCMs
C&ED Director

Date: 03-31-20

Item No. 10

From: Diane Hilton <dianemhilton@att.net>

Sent: Saturday, March 21, 2020 3:12 PM

To: Plascencia, Gaby <GPlascencia@riversideca.gov>; Conder, Chuck <CConder@riversideca.gov>; Perry, Jim <JPerry@riversideca.gov>; Fierro, Ronaldo <RFierro@riversideca.gov>; Melendrez, Andy <ASMelendrez@riversideca.gov>; Edwards, Erin <EEdwards@riversideca.gov>; Hemenway, Steve <SHemenway@riversideca.gov>; 2Mayor <2MAYOR@riversideca.gov>; Zelinka, Al <azelinka@riversideca.gov>; GGuess@riversideca.gov; Guzman, Rafael <RGuzman@riversideca.gov>; Lopez, Moises <MLopez@riversideca.gov>; Deesing, Lea <ldeesing@riversideca.gov>; CCary@riversideca.gov; Nicol, Colleen <CNicol@riversideca.gov>

Subject: [External] St Michaels Project

Mayor and Council,

I see the St Michaels Project is on the agenda for the March 31st meeting. You will be in the Mayor's Ceremonial Room and public comments will come from the parking lot.

Where will Kyle Paine, Larry Haynes and Dr. Mary be? Will they be in the parking lot with the public?

Having your constituents in the parking lot speaks volumes.

Will the city of Riverside stop functioning if this appeal does not take place until we are clear of the Covid19 Coronavirus? Until then all things that are not vital to keeping the city open should not be considered by council.

Diane Hilton
Sage Ave Homeowner

cc: Mayor
City Council
City Manager
City Attorney
ACMs
DCMs
C&ED Director