

## Development Fees and Charges Study Report of Findings

City of Riverside, CA

**FEBRUARY 5, 2025** 

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
Introduction	2
Study Scope and Objectives	2
Study Findings	3
Methodology	6
Hourly Rates	6
Time Spent	
Fee Calculations and Revenue Projections	
Legal, Economic & Policy Considerations	7
USER FEE SUMMARIES BY DEPARTMENT	g
Community Development	g
Building & Safety	
Planning	
Comparative Data	
Disproportionate Impact	11
Building User Fee Summary Sheet	12
Planning User Fee Summary Sheet	19
Peer Fee Comparison – Building & Safety	23
Peer Fee Comparison – Planning	24
Public Works	25
Engineering	25
Refuse	
Comparative Data	
Disproportionate Impact	26
Engineering User Fee Summary Sheet	27
Peer Fee Comparison - Engineering	35
Parks, Recreation and Community Services	37
Administration	37
Comparative Data	
Recommendations Going Forward	39



## **Executive Summary**

## Introduction

MGT is pleased to present the City of Riverside with this summary of findings for the recently updated fees and charges study for related city departments.

The City of Riverside had not had an external cost of service study performed since 2016. The City contracted with MGT in May of 2021 to perform a cost-of-service study using fiscal year 2020-2021 budgeted figures, staffing, and operational information.

After MGT completed the cost analysis for the departments' fees and charges, the final phase of the study, including fee recommendations and presentation to council, was put on hold by the City. In 2023, the City elected to have their own staff, with training and guidance from MGT, update MGT's costing models with fiscal year 2023-2024 budgeted expenditures and salaries/benefits, and 2023 annual volume statistics. The findings in this report represent a combination of MGT's original cost analysis and the City's updates. Note that the findings for non-development departments and divisions were presented in April 2024. This report represents the updated cost analysis for development departments and division, as noted below.

This report is the culmination of an extensive study conducted by MGT in collaboration with the City's management and staff. MGT would like to take this opportunity to gratefully acknowledge all management and staff who participated in this project for their efforts and coordination. Their responsiveness and continued interest in the outcome of this study contributed greatly to its success.

## **Study Scope and Objectives**

This report includes the study conducted for the development-related fee for service activities within the following departments:

- Community Development:
  - Building & Safety
  - Planning
- Public Works:
  - Engineering
  - Refuse
- Parks, Recreation and Community Services
  - Administration/Plan Check fees

The study was performed under the general direction of the Finance Department with the participation of representatives from each department. The primary goals of the study were to:

- Determine the City's costs to provide specific fee-related services.
- Determine whether there are any opportunities to implement new fees.



- Identify service areas where the City might adjust fees to better reflect the full cost of services or to subsidize fees with general fund revenue to reflect other economic or policy considerations.
- Develop fiscal projections based on recommended increases (or decreases) to fees.
- Provide comparative data for what neighboring cities are charging for similar services.
- Review potential disproportionate impact of existing and recommended City fees and charges on sectors of the community and recommend mitigating actions to ensure/enhance equity in the application of City fees.

The information summarized in this report addresses each of these issues and provides the City with the tools necessary to make informed decisions about any proposed fee adjustments and the resulting impact on restricted and general fund revenues.

## **Study Findings**

The study's primary objective was to provide the City's decision makers with the basic data needed to make informed pricing decisions. This report details the full cost of each service for which a fee is charged and presents proposed fees and fiscal projections based on recommended cost recovery levels. The fee analysis adheres to Proposition 26 which is based on the "estimated reasonable cost of providing a service". Recommendations were based upon careful consideration of the results of the cost analysis, historical cost recovery levels, and market comparisons.

The exhibit on the following page displays the costs and projections of each department/division into the following categories:

**Column A, User Fee Costs** – This column represents what the actual cost is for each of the departments to provide annual user fee services based on the annual volume statistics. In total, this study evaluated \$11,099,434 in costs to provide development user fee services. It is this amount that was the focus of this study and represents the total potential for user fee-related revenues for the City.


**Column B, Current Revenues** – This column represents what the City is currently recovering in revenue for these same services based on the annual volume statistics. Based on current fee recovery levels, the city receives fee-related cost recovery in the amount equal to \$7,777,191 and is experiencing an overall 70% cost recovery level. Cost analysis of individual fees for each department may be found in subsequent sections of this report.

**Column C, Current Subsidy** – Current fee levels recover 70% of full cost, leaving 30%, or \$3,322,243, to be funded by other sources. This subsidy represents an opportunity for an updated and more focused cost recovery effort by the City for fee-related services.

Column D, Recommended Recovery – City Staff recommend limiting increasing fees to no more than approximately 80% of costs, except for fees that: 1) were already recovering over 80% of costs (proposed to be maintained at current recovery rate), 2) currently recover over 100% of costs (proposed to be reduced to 100% cost recovery), or 3) where adjustments would otherwise result in a lower equivalent fee to applicants if reduced to 80 percent. Staff recommend consideration of additional increases in future years.



**Column E, Increased Revenue** – Assuming activity levels remain relatively static, approximately \$1,634,984 in additional cost recovery could be received by the City. This would represent a 21% increase over the amounts currently being collected for these activities by the City on an annualized basis.





## **Methodology**

A cost-of-service study is comprised of two basic elements:

- Hourly rates of staff providing the service.
- Time spent providing the service.

The product of the hourly rate calculation and the time spent yields the cost of providing the service.

## **Hourly Rates**

The hourly rate methodology used in this study builds indirect costs into city staff hourly salary and benefit rates to arrive at fully burdened hourly rates. Fully burdened hourly rates are a mechanism used to calculate the total cost of providing services. Total cost is generally recognized as the sum of the direct cost together with a proportionate share of allowable indirect costs. The proper identification of all costs (including labor, operating expense, department administration and citywide support) as "direct" or "indirect" is crucial to the determination of the total cost of providing services.

Direct costs are typically defined as those that can be identified specifically to a particular function or activity, including the labor of persons working directly on the specific service for which the fee is charged, and possibly materials or supplies those people use for the task. Indirect costs are those that support more than one program area and are not easily identifiable to specific activities. Examples of indirect costs are: 1) departmental administrative and support staff, 2) training and education time, 3) public counter and telephone time, 4) some service and supply costs, and 5) citywide overhead costs from outside of the department as identified in the City's cost allocation plan.

MGT's hourly rate calculation methodology includes the following:

**Personnel Services Analysis** – each staff classification within the department or division is analyzed in the study. The first burden factor is comprised of compensated absences such as vacation/holidays/sick leave days taken in a year's time. Staff classifications are then categorized as either direct (operational) or indirect (administrative or supervisory) labor. In some cases, a classification will have both direct and indirect duties. The total indirect portion of staff cost is incorporated into hourly overhead rates.

**Indirect Cost Rate** – a ratio of indirect cost to direct labor (salaries plus benefits) is established. There are three elements of indirect cost incorporated, including:

- Indirect Labor includes total compensation, administrative and supervisory staff costs.
- Other Operating Expenses most services and supplies are included as a second layer of indirect cost and are prorated across all fees and services. There are some service and supply expenses classified as "allowable direct". Some examples of these are professional services expenses, or sports supplies. These allowable direct expenses would be directly associated with specific fees or programs, as opposed to being allocated across all activities through the indirect overhead.
- External Indirect Allocations this represents the prorated portion of citywide overhead (from the City's cost allocation plan) which is attributable to the service for which the fee is charged.



**Fully Burdened Hourly Rates** – incorporates all the elements that comprise the hourly rates used in this cost analysis.

- Each direct or operational staff classification is listed, together with the average annual salary.
- The hourly salary rate is calculated by taking the annual salary of an employee and dividing by 2,080 available productive hours in a year.
- The benefit rate reflects the average benefit rate as a percentage of the salary rate.
   Multiplying this percentage by the hourly salary rate determines the benefit rate to be included in the fully burdened rate.
- The overhead rate is derived by multiplying the internal and external indirect cost rates against the salary plus benefit rates.

The total combines the salary, benefits, and overhead rates. This is the fully burdened rate for each staff classification. MGT prepared indirect overhead rates and corresponding hourly rate calculations using FY 2020-21 budgeted expenditures, and City staff updated the rates using FY 2023-2024 budgeted expenditures.

## **Time Spent**

Once fully burdened hourly rates were developed for city staff, the next step in the process was to identify staff time spent directly on each of the user fee activities. Each staff person involved in the user fee services identified time spent to complete each task associated with all user fee services. Annual volume statistics were also gathered in order to develop total annual workload information. This information is provided in detailed user fee workbooks which were provided to the City upon completion of the study.

## **Fee Calculations and Revenue Projections**

Given this information, MGT was able to calculate the cost of providing each service, both on a per-unit and total annual basis (per-unit cost multiplied by annual volume equals total annual cost). As mentioned above, costs were calculated by multiplying per-unit time estimates by the hourly labor rates; additional operating expenses directly associated with certain services were also added in. Finally, if other departments or divisions provided support into certain user fee activities, this time was accounted for and added into the analysis as a crossover support activity. Full costs are then compared to current fees/revenues collected, and subsidies (or over-recoveries) are identified.

User fee summaries by department may be seen in in the next section of this report.

## **Legal, Economic & Policy Considerations**

Calculating the true cost of providing city services is a critical step in the process of establishing user fees and corresponding cost recovery levels. Although it is an important factor, other factors must also be given consideration. City decision makers must also consider the effects that establishing fees for services will have on the individuals purchasing those services, as well as the community as a whole.

The following legal, economic and policy issues help illustrate these considerations.

 State law - In California, user fees are limited to the "estimated reasonable cost of providing a service" by Government Code section 66014(a) and other supplementary



legislation. California voters approved Proposition 26 in November of 2010, which defined "taxes" as "any levy, charge, or exaction of any kind imposed by a local government" subject to seven exceptions. Most of the exceptions require that the City charge a fee which does not exceed the reasonable cost to the City to provide the service for which the fee is charged. Thus, if the fee exceeds the reasonable cost of service, it may be considered a "tax" which must be approved by the voters. We have calculated each fee to recover no more than the reasonable cost of each service so that none of the fee adjustments recommended herein will be considered taxes under Proposition 26. Additionally, it should be noted that some fees (e.g., certain animal control fees or oversize permit fees) may be capped by state law and may not change, regardless of any cost analysis performed.

- **Economic barriers** It may be a desired policy to establish fees at a level that permits lower income groups to use services that they might not otherwise be able to afford.
- Community benefit The Council may wish to subsidize some user fees in order to reflect policy considerations which supersede cost recovery. For example, many Community Services fees have very moderate cost recovery levels. Some programs are provided free of charge or for a minimal fee regardless of cost. Youth and senior programs tend to have the lowest recovery levels. Miscellaneous classes tend to have a moderate cost recovery level and adult sports programs typically have a higher cost recovery level.
- Private benefit If a user fee primarily benefits the fee payer, we recommend the fee be set at, or close to, 100% full cost recovery. Development-related fees generally fall into this category; however, exceptions are sometimes made for services such as appeal fees or fees charged exclusively to residential applicants.
- Service driver In conjunction with the third point above, the issue of who is the service recipient versus the service driver should also be considered.
- Managing demand For those fees which are not subject to pure cost recovery limitations, other market considerations may inform recommended fee levels. Elasticity of demand is a factor in pricing certain city services; increasing the price of some services results in a reduction of demand for those services, and vice versa.
- Competition Certain services, such as recreation classes, may be provided by neighboring communities or the private sector, and therefore demand for these services can be highly dependent on what else may be available at lower prices. Furthermore, if the City's fees are too low, demand enjoyed by private-sector competitors could be adversely affected.
- Incentives Fees can be set low to encourage participation in a service, such as a youth sports program or the issuance of a water heater permit.
- Comparative Data One additional tool that many agencies use when considering how to establish fees for services is a comparison of what other agencies are charging for similar services. As part of this study, MGT collected fee schedules from surrounding area cities and extracted a sampling of fees to compare with fees charged by the City of Riverside. The City's Executive Steering Committee selected five neighboring agencies that would provide the best comparison. The fees to compare were selected by the departments with guidance from MGT. The results of the comparative survey may be found for each department within their respective summary sections.



## User Fee Summaries by Department

## **Community Development**

The user fee/cost analyses for this department mirrors the structure of City's fee schedule and was developed separately for each division:

- Building and Safety
- Planning

Fees are charged in a variety of ways including:

- Flat (or fixed) fees the fee is always the same, regardless of size or complexity of the service provided in each instance.
- Per square (or linear) foot the fee is calculated based on the size of the project under review
- Hourly (or time-and-materials) city staff track time and materials expenses, and fees are calculated to recover actual costs.
- Actual cost this fee is charged to recover consultant costs as billed to the City.
- Percentage of permit the fee is calculated as a percentage of the original permit fee.

## **Building & Safety**

Building permit and plan check fees benefit individuals and the development community and are therefore eligible for cost recovery. The City's policy is to generally set fees to recover 80% of all costs associated with providing fee-for-service activities.

Within the Building & Safety division, current fees recover 80% of costs to provide services for which fees are charged, leaving 20% to be subsidized by other funding sources. This 20% represents a total dollar amount of \$946,128 annually. Staff recommends increasing recovery levels to approximately 90%. Assuming no loss in demand, fee adjustments should result in additional annual revenues of \$478,690. Building & Safety restructured some of their fee categories to better reflect the current process, staffing levels, and to be a more user-friendly fee schedule, such as moving building permit and inspection from a valuation to a square-foot model.

The Building analysis included the following approach:

- MGT developed a fully burdened hourly rate and applied it to the average time spent performing services that were listed as fixed price permits. Subtracting the cost of fixed price permits from the total cost of the division, we arrive at the cost of variable price (construction) permits. Dividing this figure by the square footage of projects permitted, we arrive at a cost per square foot for plan review and a cost per square foot for inspections.
- Total cost Cost of fixed price permits = Cost of variable price permits. Construction permits have an analysis supplied by the Building division of how each position's effort is dedicated to plan review and inspection of construction permits. "Plan review" covers all activities related to the approval of the building plans and incorporates the cross-support costs from the permit division for additional time spent in the initial intake of the



permit, and Engineering and Planning cross support for their approval of the plans. "Inspection" covers all activities to confirm that construction is according to approved plans and to close out the permit when work is completed. Additional contractor costs were also incorporated where appropriate.

The following new fees are proposed for institution:

- Mechanical Permits Nine (9) new fees were added to the mechanical permits fee section. They are commercial spray booth, AC fan coil, evaporative cooler, commercial cooking equipment, fire/smoke damper, wall heater, clothes dryer (res.), clothes dryer (comm.) and decorative fireplace.
- Plumbing Permits Seventeen (17) new fees were added to the plumbing permits fee section. They are dwelling re-pipe (partial), add/alter gas piping, new gas service, water closet, urinal, tub/shower, clothes washer, jacuzzi tub, floor sink/drain, gravity grease interceptors, septic tank system demolition, sump pumps, pressure regulator, swimming pool piping/repairs, solar or hydronic systems, and other fixtures not otherwise specified.
- Electrical Permits Nine (9) new fees were added to the electrical permits fee section.
  They are AC heat pumps, other devices not specified, EV charger (residential), EV charger
  (commercial), lighting standard, light switches and occupancy sensors, dedicated circuit,
  lighting fixtures, and illuminated sign-wall mounted.
- Miscellaneous Permits Seventeen (17) new fees were added to the miscellaneous permits fee section. They are building plan check (hourly), building inspection (hourly), temporary certificate of occupancy request, temporary power release request, solar PV residential > 15 kw, solar PV commercial > 50 kw, expedited solar PV system, commercial demising walls/partitions, residential garage conversion, residential windows and skylights, swimming pool fiberglass/vinyl, ponds/fountains, shell only, foundation only, residential foundation repair/seismic retrofit, membrane structures/canopies, and structures other than buildings.

The User Fee Summary Sheet following this narrative provides further details of MGT's fee analysis for Building.

## **Planning**

The Planning division services benefit the development community and are therefore eligible for cost recovery. The City's policy is to generally - but with some exceptions (Historic Preservation Fees and Minor Temporary Use Permits) - set fees to recover approximately 80% of all costs associated with providing fee-for-service activities.

Within the Planning division, current fees recover 62% of related fee-for-service costs. A combination of fee increases and decreases are recommended, generating a net \$290,271 in additional annual.

Highlights of the Planning fee analysis are provided below:

- New Fees Six (6) new fees are proposed to be added to the planning fee schedule. They
  are environmental CEQA review minor scope, certificate of appropriateness (over the
  counter, administrative, board), annexation, and reasonable accommodation fee.
- Fee Removals Seven (7) fees are recommended for removal from the current planning fee schedule. They are day care permit, environmental initial study (new development), environmental review new development (completed by city) environmental review existing



development (completed by city), parcel map/waiver of parcel map new application, parcel map revision, administrative sign review, and landscape/irrigation-minor.

The User Fee Summary Sheet following this narrative provides further details of MGT's fee analysis for Planning. The recommended fee and charges amount listed reflect the recommended amounts to be implemented.

## **Comparative Data**

Surveys showing a selected group of Building and Planning fees in comparison to neighboring agencies can be found following this narrative. Overall, the department fees are within the range of their peers.

## **Disproportionate Impact**

Community Development's fees are primarily charged to developers and business owners. The department did not identify any fees that may present a disproportionate impact on low-income or other sectors of the community.



# **Building User Fee Summary Sheet**

					Curren				i		æ	Recommendations	ndatio	ns		
				Per Unit			Annual			Per Unit				Annual		
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual C	Current F	Full Cost R	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy		Recovery Fee Level	@ <u>}</u>	Annual Revenuez		Increased Revenue	Recommended Subsidy	ended dy
BUILDING PERMIT AND INSPECTION			S		\$ %0	\$ -		S		s	\$ -		S		s	
PERMIT ISSUANCE FEEA	Flat Fee	3,197 \$		48	\$ %29	154,663 \$	103,103	S.	51,560	\$ %08	39	\$ 123,730		20,627	S	30,933
BUILDING PLAN CHECK <sup>A</sup>		S	323 \$	323	100% \$	\$ .	•	s	ì	100% \$	323 \$	. \$	s	ì	s	
Each repetitive building (of such discrete model)					\$ %0		•	s	ì	S	,	٠	s	٠	s	
Building Plan Check Hourly	New Hourly Fee	1	S	103	\$ %0	103 \$	•	s.	103	\$ %08	82 \$	\$	82 \$	82	Ş	2.1
Repeat Buildings	Flat Fee	305 \$	323 \$	293	110% \$	\$ 598'68	98,363	s.	(8,998)	100% \$	293	\$ 89,365	\$ 50	(8,998)	S	
BUILDING PERMITS^ (PER SQUARE FEET)					\$ %0	\$	•	s	ì	s	,	5	S	•	S	
A-1: Auditorium, Theatres	Remove	S	102		\$ %0		•	s	ì	S	,	,	s	٠	s	
A-2: Restaurants, Bars	Remove	S	114		\$ %0	\$	•	s	ì	s.	,	,	S	•	Ş	
A-3: Churches, Halls	Remove	S	100		\$ %0	\$	٠	s.	,	s).	,	,	s.	٠	s	
A-4: Arenas, Stadiums	Remove	S	102		\$ %0	\$	•	s.	,	y.	,	,	s	٠	S	
B: Offices, Banks, etc.	Remove	S	95		\$ %0	\$	٠	s		S	,	,	s	٠	s	
E: Educational, Schools	Remove	S	86		\$ %0	\$	,	s	,	s,	,	,	S	٠	s	
F: Industrial, Factory, Etc.	Remove	S	52		\$ %0	\$	٠	s.	,	s).	,	,	s.	٠	s	
H: Hazardous	Remove	S	63		\$ %0	\$	•	S	,	s,	,	,	S	1	S	
I-4: Daycare Facilities	Remove	S	118		\$ %0	\$	•	s	ì	s	,	,	s	٠	s	
M: Retail, Market, Gas	Remove	S	26		\$ %0	\$ .	•	s.	,	s,	,	1	S	•	Ş	
Service Canopies	Remove	S	33		\$ %0	\$	٠	s		s	,	•	s	٠	Ş	
R-1: Hotels, Motels	Remove	S	86		\$ %0	\$	•	S	ì	(A)	,	-	S	1	S	
R-2: Apartments and Condominiums	Remove	S	103		\$ %0	\$	•	s	,	s	,	-	s	١	S	
R-3: Single Family, Duplex	Remove	S	100		\$ %0	\$ .	•	s.		s	,	,	S	٠	Ş	
Room Additions	Remove	S.	11		\$ %0	\$	٠	s.		S	,	•	s.	٠	s	
Garage Conversions	Remove	S	22		\$ %0	\$ .	٠	s,		s	,	,	S	٠	s	
Home Remodels	Remove	S	54		\$ %0	\$	•	s	,	s	,	1	s	٠	s	
R-4: Residential Care	Remove	S	108		\$ %0	\$ -	•	s	,	s	,	1	S	٠	Ş	
S: Storage, Warehouse	Remove	S	40		\$ %0	\$	٠	s,		S	,	1	S	٠	s	
U: Utility, Private Garages, Accessory, Etc.	Remove	S	53		\$ %0	\$ .	•	s	,	s	,	1	s	٠	Ş	
Special Uses:	Remove				\$ %0	\$	•	s.		S	,		s	٠	s	
I-2.1: Licensed Clinics	Remove	S	118		\$ %0	\$ .	•	s	,	s	,	,	S	٠	Ş	
R-3.1: Licensed Residential Assisted Living	Remove	S	108		\$ %0	\$	٠	s		s	,	•	s	٠	Ş	
Miscellaneous	Remove				\$ %0	\$	•	s	ì	S	,	-	S	•	S	
Storage Shed, Greenhouses	Remove	S	15		\$ %0	\$	•	s	ì	s	,	,	s	ì	s	
Decks, Balconies, and Stairways	Remove	S	22		\$ %0	\$ -	•	s	,	s	,	1	S	٠	Ş	
Patio Cover - Lattice	Remove	S	6		\$ %0	\$	•	s,		S	,	1	s	٠	s	
Patio Cover - Solid	Remove	S	11		\$ %0	\$	•	s	ì	S	,	-	S	•	S	
Retaining Walls (Per Linear Feet)	Remove	S	6		\$ %0	\$	•	s		s	,	1	s	٠	s	
Block Walls (Per Linear Feet)	Remove	S	9		\$ %0	\$ -	•	s.	,	S	,	1	S	•	S	



			ı	ı	Curre	ıt	۰	ı			æ	Recommendations	lations		
			i	Per Unit			An	Annual		Per Unit			Anı	Annual	
Service Name	Fee Description Volume	al Current		Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual	Anı	Annual	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Fee @		Annual	Increased		Recommended Subsidy
Swimming Pools	Remove				\$ %0	1	S	,		s	"	٠	s	S	
Sings	Remove				\$ %0		s,	,		· v›	\$	٠	s	S	٠
Demolitions	Remove				\$ %0		s)	,		s	\$	•	s	S	
Re-Roof	Remove				\$ %0	. \$	s	i		ss	\$	٠	s	s	
Overlays (2 maximum)	Remove	s	2		\$ %0	. \$	s	,		s	\$	٠	s	s.	•
Tear Off and Re-Roof	Remove	s	2		\$ %0		s,	i		ss	\$	٠	S	s	
Tear Off, New Sheathing, and Re-Roof	Remove	s,	8		\$ %0		S	,		s	\$ -	•	s,	S	
Tenant Improvements	Remove	s	75		\$ %0	٠	s.	,	,	S		1	s,	s.	
R-3: Single Family Dwelling (SFD)	w Fee Per SQ Foot		S	1.18	\$ %0		s).	,	,	92% \$	1.08 \$	1	S	s.	
R-3: SFD Additional	w Fee Per SQ Foot		S	1.82	\$ %0	٠	s).	,	,	91% \$	1.66 \$	٠	S	s.	
R-3: Home Remodels	w Fee Per SQ Foot		S	1.96	\$ %0		S	,	,	\$ %68	1.74 \$	•	S	s -	
U: Accessory Structures	w Fee Per SQ Foot		S	0.84	\$ %0		s.	,	,	\$ %06	0.76 \$	ì	s,	s.	
R-1, R-2, R-4 & Other R Uses: Residential Multi-Family New	w Fee Per SQ Foot		S	09.0	\$ %0	•	S	,	,	\$ %86	0.56 \$	•	S	s.	
A, E: Assembly, Education	w Fee Per SQ Foot		S	1.14	\$ %0	•	s.	,	٠	\$ %06	1.02 \$	٠	S	s.	
B,M,I: Business, Mercantile, Institutional	w Fee Per SQ Foot		S	0.98	\$ %0	1	S	,	,	\$ %06	0.88 \$	1	S	s ·	
F, H: Factory, Industrial, Hazard	w Fee Per SQ Foot		S	0.30	\$ %0		s	,		\$ %26	0.28 \$	•	S	s.	
S: Storage New	w Fee Per SQ Foot		S	0.22	\$ %0	•	S	,		\$ %06	0.20	1	s	s.	
COM Users/Occupancy: Tenant Improvements	w Fee Per SQ Foot		S	0.82	\$ %0	· S	s	,		\$ %06	0.74 \$	1	S	S	
COM Users/Occupancy: Additions/Alterations	w Fee Per SQ Foot		S	1.08	\$ %0	•	s	,	,	\$ %68	\$ 96.0	•	s	s.	
					\$ %0	· S	s	,		s	٠,	•	S	S	
Building Permit Valuation					\$ %0		S	,		S	\$	•	s	S	
\$1-\$500	Remove	s	30		\$ %0	. \$	s	,		S	\$	•	s	S	
\$501 - \$2,000	Remove				\$ %0	. \$	S	,		s	\$ .	•	s	S	
First \$500	Remove	s	30		\$ %0	- \$	s	ì		s	\$ .	•	s	S	
Each additional \$500 or fraction thereof, to and	Remove	s	10		\$ %0		s	,	,	S	\$ .	٠	s	s.	•
\$2,001 - \$25,000	Remove				\$ %0		s	i		s	\$	١	s	s	
First \$2,000	Remove	s	90		\$ %0	. \$	S	,	,	s	\$	٠	s	s.	•
Each additional \$1,000 or fraction thereof, to and	Remove	s	6		\$ %0	. \$	s.	i		S		٠	s,	s	
\$25,001 - \$50,000	Remove				\$ %0		s	,	•	S	\$ -	٠	s	\$ -	•
First \$25,000	Remove	s	267		\$ %0	- \$	s,	i		s	\$ -	٠	s	S	
Each additional \$10,000 or fraction thereof, to and	Remove	s	65		\$ %0	. \$	S	,		s	\$ -	٠	s	s.	
\$50,001 - \$100,000	Remove				\$ %0		s,	,	,	S		٠	s,	s.	
First \$50,000	Remove	s	430		\$ %0	. \$	s).	,	,	s,	\$	1	s	s.	
Each additional \$10,000 or fraction thereof, to and	Remove	s,	45		\$ %0	· S	s).	i		s	\$	•	s,	S	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	Remove				\$ %0	· S	S	,		S	\$ -	•	s,	s.	
First \$100,000	Remove	s	655		\$ %0	· S	s).	,		s	\$	1	S	s.	
Each additional \$10,000 or fraction thereof, to and	Remove	S	35		\$ %0	· \$	s)	,	,	S	\$	1	S	s.	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	Remove				\$ %0	· \$	s.	,	,	ss.	ς,	٠	S	s.	
First \$500,000	Remove	s,	2,055		\$ %0	. \$	S	,		S	\$	•	S	s.	
Each additional \$10,000 or fraction thereof, to and	Remove	s,	30		\$ %0		s,	,		S		•	S	s.	
\$1,000,001+	Remove				\$ %0		S	,		S	\$ -	•	S	s.	
First \$1,00,000	Remove	s	3,555		\$ %0		s	,		S		•	S	s.	
Each additional \$10,000 or fraction thereof	Remove	S	20		\$ %0	٠.	S	,		S	\$	1	S	s ·	
CALIFORNIA BUILDING STANDARDS COMMISSION FEE					\$ %0	٠ \$	s	,	,	S	\$	٠	s	s.	
Per every \$25,000 or portion thereof in construction valuation	Flat Fee	S	1 \$	1	100%	. \$	S	,	,	100% \$	1 \$	•	S	S	
MICROFILM FEE (per sheet of plans, calculations, and related doci	Flat Fee 69,300	\$ 00	2 \$	2	112% \$	\$ 124,092	s	138,600	\$ (14,508)	100% \$	2 \$	124,092	\$ (14,5	\$ (805	
PLAN CHECK FEE <sup>^</sup> - POOLS	Flat Fee	s	81 \$	81	100%	•	S			100% \$	81 \$	٠	s	\$	



City of Riverside Building & Safety

FY 2024-25

5,863 312 4,064 62 859 -1114 87 Recommended - \$ - \$ 5,497 \$ 1,009 \$ 55 \$ - \$ 1,205 \$ 6,034 \$ 86 \$ 1,738 \$ 1,246 \$ - \$ 376 \$ 187 \$ Annual Increased 1,246 \$
- \$
457 \$
348 \$ 32,974 \$ 16,255 \$ 22,428 \$ 248 \$ 23,453 \$ 2,105 \$ Fee @ Policy Per Unit \$ %08 \$ %08 \$ %08 \$ %08 

 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 \$ %08 \$ %08 Recovery 11,641 148 1,114 . . 13,741 1,535 131 - 5,269 32 7,602 1,558 - 490 274 - 774 - \$ - \$ 70 \$ - \$ 247 \$ - \$ 15,050 \$ 16,394 \$ . \$ . \$ 81 \$ 161 \$ 161 \$ 3,182 \$ 1,097 \$ 27,477 20,319 \$ 28,035 \$ 310 \$ 4,296 \$ 1,558 \$
571 \$
435 \$
7 \$
8 \$
8 \$
8 \$
8 \$
8 \$
8 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
9 \$
-41,218 \$ 29,317 \$ 2,632 \$ 29% \$ 39% \$ 39% \$ 115% \$ 0% \$ 67% \$ 42% \$ 65% \$ \$ %0 Current 184 82 82 556 1,064 Full Cost Per Unit \$ - \$ 641 \$ 1,130 \$ 43 81 81 . 8 11 Current 350 \$ 2 \$ 74 \$ 852 68 23 Annual 205 23 11 /aluation x \$0.00013 /aluation x \$0.00013 Fee Description New Flat Fee Sq Foot Sq Foot Flat Fee Sq Foot Sq Foot TENTS, GRANDSTANDS, OR OTHER TEMPORARY STRUCTURES SUILDING MODIFICATION/ALTERNATE MATERIALS REVIEW TRONG MOTION INSTRUMENTATION PROGRAM (SMIP) CALCULATION METHOD FOR PER SQUARE FEET METHOD APPLIANCE VENT- APPLIANCE NOT INCLUDED (each) SOILERS AND AIR CONDITIONING UNIT (each) 3 - 50 HP / Tons OR 100,000 - 175,000 BTU COMMERCIAL COOKING EQUIPMENT (each) Service Name DECORATIVE FIREPLACE (Wood or Gas) MECHANICAL EXHAUST HOOD (each) COMMERCIAL SPRAY BOOTH (each) 0 - 3 HP / Tons OR 100,000 BTU 50+ HP/ Tons or 175,000+ BTU APPEAL OF BUILDING OFFICIAL PERMIT ISSUANCE FEEA (each) CLOTHES DRYER - Commercial RANSFER OF ISSUED PERMIT EVAPORATIVE COOLER (each) stores, Churches, and Offices CLOTHES DRYER - Residential Residential Parking Garages FIRE/SMOKE DAMPER (each) COMMERCIAL INCINERATOR Commercial (Type I/II) /ENTILATION FAN (each) NOT OTHERWISE LISTED Commercial Structures Residential Structures **MECHANICAL PERMITS** OTHER HEATER (each) PERMIT REFUND FEEA OUCT (each system) WALL HEATER (each) C FAN COIL (each) URNANCE (each) ndustrial Plants Air Handler Residential



		Ī			Curre	nt			Ī		~	Recommendations	dations		
				Per Unit			Annual	L		Per Unit				Annual	
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	_ a	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Level	Fee @ Policy	Annual Revenuez	Incre		Recommended Subsidy
Service Stations / Mini-Marts	Sq Foot	\$		0 \$	100%		s	\$		100% \$	0	. \$	s	s·	,
Warehouses, Canopies, Covered Parking Structures, and Aircraft Hangers	angers				%0	,	s	\$	ì	\$ %08		٠	s	\$ .	
Aircraft Hangers (Per Fixture)					%0	,	s	s.		\$ %08		· S	s	\$	•
0 - 50,000 Square Feet	Sq Foot	S	0	\$ 0	100%	,	s	\$	٠	100% \$	0	· S	s	\$	٠
50,001+ Square Feet	Sq Foot	S	0	\$ 0	100%	,	S	\$ -		100% \$	0	\$	s	\$ -	•
Not Otherwise Listed	Sq Foot	8	0	\$ 0	100%	,	s	\$	ì	100% \$	0	٠	s	\$ .	
PLUMBING PERMITS				\$ 34	%0	,	s	\$		80%		· S	s	\$	•
PERMIT ISSUANCE FEE^ (each)	Flat Fee	542 \$	32	\$ 48	62%	26,221	\$ 17,	17,480 \$	8,741	\$ %08	39	\$ 20,977	\$ 1	3,497 \$	5,244
BACKFLOW PROTECTION DEVICES (each)	Flat Fee	9	2	\$ 4	999	3 23	s	13 \$	10	\$ %08	လ	\$ 1	\$ 6	\$ 9	5
BUILDING SEWERS (each)				. \$	%0	,	s	\$	٠	\$ %08	٠	· •	s	\$	
Non-Residential	Flat Fee	1 \$	75	\$ 160	47%	160	s	\$ 51	84	\$ %08	128	\$ 128	8	52 \$	32
Residential	Flat Fee	35 \$	38	\$ 77	49%	2,709	\$ 1,5	\$ 115,1	1,392	\$ %08	62	\$ 2,167	\$ 1	\$ 058	542
DRAINS, SINKS, AND ETC. (each)	Flat Fee	30 \$	2	\$	3 %04	, 232	Ş	161 \$	71	\$ %08	9	\$ 186	\$ 9	24 \$	46
DWELLINGS RE-PIPE - FULL	Flat Fee	\$ 68	54	\$ 140	38%	5,472	\$ 2,0	\$ 960'z	3,375	\$ %08	112	\$ 4,377	\$ 1	2,281 \$	1,094
DWELLINGS RE-PIPE - PARTIAL	New Flat Fee	S		\$ 77	%0		s	\$ -		\$ %08	62	•	s	\$ -	•
GARBAGE DISPOSALS OR DISHWASHERS (each)	Flat Fee	2 \$	2	\$ 39	14%	\$ 77	s	11 \$	67	\$ %08	31	\$ 62	2 \$	51 \$	15
GAS OUTLETS (each)	Flat Fee	12 \$	1	\$ 6	19%	202	s	13 \$	22	\$ %08	2	\$ 56	\$ 9	43 \$	14
ADD/ALTER GAS PIPING (each)	New Flat Fee	S.		\$ 26	\$ %0	,	S	\$		\$ %08	21	\$	s	\$ -	,
NEW GAS SERVICE	New Flat Fee	\$		\$ 155	%0	,	S	\$		\$ %08	124	· \$	s	\$ -	•
MOVED BUILDINGS (each)	Flat Fee	1 \$	54	\$ 111	48%	111	s	54 \$	28	\$ %08	89	\$ 89	\$ 6	35 \$	22
PRIVATE SEWAGE SYSTEMS (each)	Flat Fee	2 \$	75	\$ 184	41%	368	Ş	151 \$	217	\$ %08	147	\$ 294	4 \$	144 \$	74
RAINWATER SYSTEMS (per drain)	Flat Fee	1 \$	2	\$ 20	26%	3 20	s	\$ 5	15	\$ %08	16	\$ 16	\$ 9	11 \$	4
REPAIR OR ALTERATION OF DRAINS OR VENTS (each)	Flat Fee	\$ 5	2	\$ 44	12%	218	s	27 \$	191	\$ %08	35	\$ 174	\$ \$	147 \$	44
GRAVITY GREASE INTERCEPTORS (each)	New Flat Fee	S	•	\$ 643	%0		s	\$ -		\$ %08	515	· S	s,	\$ .	
SAND TRAPS OR GREASE TRAPS (each)	Flat Fee	1 \$	20	\$ 252	20%	252	S	\$ 05	202	\$ %08	201	\$ 201	1 \$	151 \$	50
TRAPS (each)	Flat Fee	1 \$	2	\$ 21	25%	21	s	\$ 5	16	\$ %08	17	\$ 17	\$ 1	12 \$	4
WATER HEATERS				. \$	%0	,	S	\$		\$ %08		· \$	s	\$ -	•
Up to 100,000 BTU	Flat Fee	305 \$	10	\$ 44	22%	13,280	\$ 2,9	2,952 \$	10,327	\$ %08	35	\$ 10,624	4 \$	7,671 \$	2,656
100,001 - 1,000,000 BTU	Flat Fee	23 \$	24	\$ 68	36%	1,558	s	\$ 955	1,001	\$ %08	54	\$ 1,246	\$ 9	\$ 069	312
1,000,001+BTU	Flat Fee	1 \$	61	\$ 121	809	\$ 121	s	61 \$	9	\$ %08	46	\$ 97	\$ 1	36 \$	24
WATER CLOSET (each)	New Flat Fee	S		\$ 48	%0		S	\$ -		\$ %08	39	•	s	\$ -	•
URINAL (each)	New Flat Fee	S.		\$ 48	\$ %0		S	\$		\$ %08	39	\$	s	\$ -	,
TUB/SHOWER (each)	New Flat Fee	S		\$ 68	%0	,	S	\$		\$ %08	54	\$	s	\$ -	•



						Curren						~	Recommendations	dations		
				Per	Per Unit			Annual			Per Unit	it		A	Annual	
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee	Follo	Full Cost Rec	Current / Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy		Recovery Level	Fee @ Policy	Annual Revenuez	Increased Revenue		Recommended Subsidy
CLOTHES WASHER (each)	New Flat Fee	S	٠	s	53	\$ %0		· S	S		\$ %08	43		s	\$ .	•
JACUZZI TUB (each)	New Flat Fee	S	1	s	87	\$ %0	٠	\$	s	,	\$ %08	70	\$	s	\$ -	
FLOOR SINK/DRAIN (each)	New Flat Fee	\$	•	S	34	\$ %0		. \$	S		\$ %08	27		S	\$ -	
OTHER FIXTURES OR APPARATUS - not otherwise specified (each)	New Flat Fee	S	•	s	82	\$ %0	٠	S	s	ì	\$ %08	99	\$	s,	s.	
SEPTIC TANK SYSTEM DEMOLITION	New Flat Fee	S	•	S	140	\$ %0		· S	s	ì	\$ %08	112	\$	s,	s.	
SUMP PUMPS (each)	New Flat Fee	S	٠	s.	116	\$ %0			s	ì	\$ %08	93		s,	s.	
PRESSURE REGULATOR (each)	New Flat Fee	S	٠	s.	53	\$ %0		. \$	S	ì	\$ %08	23	. \$	s.	\$ -	•
SWIMMING POOL PIPING/REPAIRS	New Flat Fee	\$	٠	s,	121	\$ %0	٠	. \$	s	ļ,	\$ %08	46	. \$	s	\$ .	
SOLAR OR HYDRONIC SYSTEMS	New Flat Fee	\$	•	S	256	\$ %0	•	. \$	s	,	\$ %08	205	. \$	s	\$ -	
Water Heater Gas Vent (each)	New Flat Fee	2 \$	11	s	21	51% \$	43	\$ 22	\$ 5	2.1	\$ %08	17	\$ 34	\$ 4	13 \$	6
WATER PIPING (each)	Flat Fee	\$ 5	2	s	6	62% \$	44	\$ 27	\$ 1	17	\$ %08	7	\$ 35	\$ 5	8	6
WATER SERVICE	Flat Fee	23 \$	54	s	77	\$ %69	1,780	\$ 1,236	\$ 9	544	\$ %08	62	\$ 1,424	\$ 4	188 \$	356
WATER SOFTENERS (each)	Flat Fee	\$ 95	32	S	48	\$ %29	2,709	\$ 1,806	\$ 9	903	\$ %08	39	\$ 2,167	\$ 1	361 \$	542
YARD SPRINKLERS (each)	Flat Fee	1 \$	6	s.	12	74% \$	12	S	\$	e	\$ %08	6	S	\$ 6	1 \$	2
ELECTRICAL PERMITS						\$ %0		. \$	S	ì	\$ %08		. \$	s.	\$ -	•
PERMIT ISSUANCE FEE^ (each)	Flat Fee	747 \$	32	s.	48	\$ %29	36,138	\$ 24,091	s	12,047	\$ %08	39	\$ 28,910	s,	4,820 \$	7,228
DWELLING RE-WIRE				s,		\$ %0		\$	s	,	\$ %08		. \$	s.	\$ -	•
Partial	Flat Fee	10 \$	54	s	121	44% \$	1,209	\$ 538	\$	672	\$ %08	46	\$ 968	<u>د</u> د	430 \$	242
Full	Flat Fee	37 \$	81	s	223	36% \$	8,234	\$ 2,983	\$ \$	5,251	\$ %08	178	\$ 6,587	s	3,604 \$	1,647
MOTORS, GENERATORS, TRANSFORMERS, AND APPLIANCES - H.P./K.W./K.V.A. (each)	W./K.V.A. (each)			s	,	\$ %0	٠	\$	s	,	\$ %08	٠	\$	s	\$ -	
0-3	Flat Fee	81 \$	80	s	13	64% \$	1,019	\$ 653	\$ \$	366	\$ %08	10	\$ 815	\$ 5	162 \$	204
3-50	Flat Fee	29 \$	22	s	53	74% \$	842	\$ 624	\$	218	\$ %08	23	\$ 673	\$	\$ 05	168
51+	Flat Fee	8	43	s,	89	63% \$	545	\$ 344	\$ \$	198	\$ %08	54	\$ 433	\$	\$ 68	108
AC HEAT PUMPS (each)	New Flat Fee	S	٠	s.	39	\$ %0		S	s		\$ %08	31	· S	s.	s.	
OTHER EQUIPMENT OR DEVICES - not specified (each)	New Flat Fee	\$	•	s	89	\$ %0		·	s	,	\$ %08	54	· S-	s.	s.	•
EV CHARGER - RESIDENTIAL (each)	New Flat Fee	\$	٠	s,	77	\$ %0	٠	. \$	s	ì	\$ %08	62	. \$	s.	\$ -	
EV CHARGER - COMMERCIAL (each)	New Flat Fee	S	٠	S	194	\$ %0			s	,	\$ %08	155		s,	s.	•
LIGHTING STANDARDS - Commercial (each)	New Flat Fee	S	٠	s	53	\$ %0		S	s	,	\$ %08	43	\$	s	s.	
MOVED BUILDINGS (each)	Flat Fee	1 \$	81	s	160	51% \$	160	\$ 81	\$ 1	79	\$ %08	128	\$ 128	ۍ 8	47 \$	32
OUTLETS AND RECEPTACLES (each)	Flat Fee	211 \$	1	s	2	28% \$	408	\$ 114	s t	294	\$ %08	2	\$ 327	\$ 1	213 \$	82
LIGHT SWITCHES AND OCCUPANCY SENSORS (each)	New Flat Fee	S	•	S	2	\$ %0		S	s	ì	\$ %08	2	· S	s,	s.	
SERVICE				s.	ì	\$ %0	٠	S	s	ì	\$ %08	٠	\$	s,	s.	
0 - 200A	Flat Fee	466 \$	54	S	106	51% \$	49,597	\$ 25,048	S	24,549	\$ %08	85	\$ 39,677	s.	14,630 \$	9,919
201A - 1,000A	Flat Fee	85 \$	65	s.	189	34% \$	16,037	\$ 5,483	s	10,555	\$ %08	151	\$ 12,830	s.	7,347 \$	3,207
1,000+A	Flat Fee	1 \$	81	S	416	19% \$	416	\$ 81	\$ 1	335	\$ %08	333	\$ 33	\$ \$	252 \$	83



					Curre	Ħ				Rec	Recommendations	ons	
				Per Unit			Annual		Per Unit			Annual	
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Fe Level Po	Fee @ /	Annual II	Increased R Revenue	Recommended Subsidy
METER PEDESTAL	Flat Fee	1 \$	54	3 116	46% \$	116 \$	54	\$ 62	\$ %08	93 \$	93 \$	\$ 68	23
METER RESET	Flat Fee	16 \$	12	89	18% \$	1,084 \$	192	\$ 892	\$ %08	54 \$	\$ 298	\$ 529	217
DEDICATED CIRCUIT (each)	New Flat Fee	S	,	\$ 12	\$ %0	,			\$ %08	\$ 6	\$	\$	
LIGHTING FIXTURE (each)	New Flat Fee	S	٠	3 13	\$ %0	,	٠		\$ %08	10 \$	\$	\$ -	
SWITCHBOARD SUB-PANELS (each)			-	,	\$ %0	,			\$ %08	\$	\$	\$ -	
0 - 600V	Flat Fee	58 \$	32	\$ 48	\$ %29	2,806 \$	1,871	\$ 935	\$ %08	\$ 68	2,245 \$	374 \$	561
Λ+009	Flat Fee	11 \$	9	\$ 97	\$ %29	1,064 \$	710	\$ 355	\$ %08	\$ 11	851 \$	142 \$	213
TEMPORARY POWER POLES (each)	Flat Fee	49 \$	22	39	\$ %95	1,896 \$	1,054	\$ 843	\$ %08	31 \$	1,517 \$	464 \$	379
Additional poles with fixtures and/or outlets (each)	Flat Fee	5 5	00	\$ 12	\$ %69	58 \$	40	\$ 18	\$ %08	\$ 6	46 \$	\$ 9	12
YARD LIGHTING STANDARDS (each)	Flat Fee	16 \$	5	80	\$ %04	124 \$	98	\$ 38	\$ %08	\$ 9	\$ 66	13 \$	25
ILLUMINATED SIGN - WALL MOUNTED (each)	New Flat Fee	S	,	\$ 111	\$ %0	'	•		\$ %08	\$ 68	\$	\$	
MISCELLANEOUS PERMITS					\$ %0	,	٠		\$ %08	\$ .	\$	\$ -	
BUILDING INSPECTION - Hourly	New Hourly	1 \$		\$ 103	\$ %0	103 \$		\$ 103	\$ %08	82 \$	82 \$	82 \$	21
TEMPORARY CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY REQUEST	New Flat Fee	1 \$	,	\$ 208	\$ %0	208 \$	•	\$ 208	\$ %08	166 \$	166 \$	166 \$	42
TEMPORARY POWER RELEASE REQUEST	New Flat Fee	1 \$		\$ 121	\$ %0	121 \$	•	\$ 121	\$ %08	\$ 26	\$ 16	\$ 26	24
BUILDING ADDRESSING FEE	Flat Fee	334 \$	32	86	33% \$	32,639 \$	10,772	\$ 21,868	\$ %08	78 \$	26,112 \$	15,340 \$	6,528
BUILDING REINSPECTION FEE	Flat Fee	33 \$	32	3 116	28% \$	3,832 \$	1,064	\$ 2,767	\$ %08	93 \$	3,065 \$	2,001 \$	766
BUILDING SPECIAL INSPECTION FEE - unpermitted activity	Flat Fee	13 \$	131	3 145	\$ %06	1,887 \$	1,705	\$ 182	\$ %06	131 \$	1,698 \$	\$ (2)	189
SELF CERT RE-ROOF PERMIT	Flat Fee	175 \$	45	5 73	\$ %85	12,699 \$	7,338	\$ 5,361	\$ %08	58 \$	\$ 651,01	2,822 \$	2,540
SOLAR PV RESIDENTIAL < 15 KW	New Per Kw	551	-	3 266	\$ %0	146,608 \$	•	\$ 146,608	\$ %08	213 \$	117,287 \$	117,287 \$	29,322
SOLAR PV RESIDENTIAL > 15 KW (Add \$15 per kW above 15)	New Per Kw	S	,	,	\$ %0	,			\$ %08	\$	\$	\$	
SOLAR PV COMMERCIAL < 50 KW	New Per Kw	132	•	871	\$ %0	114,945 \$	٠	\$ 114,945	\$ %08	\$ 269	91,956 \$	91,956 \$	22,989
SOLAR PV COMMERCIAL > 50 KW (Add \$7 per kW above 50 to 250 l	New Per Kw	S	,		\$ %0	,		. \$	\$ %08	\$ .	\$ .	\$ .	
EXPEDITED SOLAR PV SYSTEM (up to 38 Kw)	New Flat Fee	S	,	\$ 237	\$ %0	,			\$ %08	190 \$	\$	\$ .	
COM DEMISING WALLS/PARTITIONS (each 1,000 l.f.)	New Per I.f.	S		\$ 653	\$ %0	,		,	\$ %08	522 \$	\$ .	\$	
TELECOMMUNICATION TOWER/CELL SITE EQUIPMENT	Flat Fee	37 \$	350	3 460	2 %94	17,005 \$	12,950	\$ 4,055	\$ %08	368 \$	13,604 \$	654 \$	3,401
MONUMENT SIGNS (each)	Flat Fee	127 \$	180	\$ 242	74% \$	30,720 \$	22,860	\$ 7,860	\$ %08	194 \$	24,576 \$	1,716 \$	6,144
DECKS, BALCONIES, STAIRWAYS (first 500 s.f. add \$150 each 500 s.	Sq Foot	7 \$	325	\$ 411	\$ %62	2,878 \$	2,275	\$ 603	\$ %08	329 \$	2,303 \$	28 \$	576
RETAINING WALLS (first 100 I.f. add \$90 each 100 I.f. thereafter)	Per I.f.	40 \$	186	\$ 242	\$ %11	\$ 9/9'6	7,440	\$ 2,236	\$ %08	194 \$	7,740 \$	300 \$	1,935
BLOCK WALLS/FENCE (first 100 I.f. add \$35 each 100 I.f. thereafter	Per I.f.	\$ 6	134	3 145	92% \$	1,306 \$	1,206	\$ 100	95% \$	134 \$	1,202 \$	(4) \$	104
RES LATTICE PATIO COVER (first 400 s.f. add \$30 each 400 s.f. there	Sq Foot	4 \$	156	\$ 194	81% \$	774 \$	624	\$ 150	81% \$	157 \$	627 \$	8	147
RES SOLID PATIO COVER (first 400 s.f. add \$40 each 400 s.f. there?	Sq Foot	202 \$	204	\$ 242	84% \$	48,861 \$	41,208	\$ 7,653	84% \$	203 \$	41,044 \$	(164) \$	7,818
COM PATIO COVER (first 400 s.f. add \$50 each 400 s.f. thereafter)	Sq Foot	13 \$	312	363	\$ %98	4,717 \$	4,056	\$ 661	\$ %98	312 \$	4,056 \$	\$ 0	099
RES RE-ROOF - OVERLAYS (first 1,000 s.f. add \$25 each 500 s.f. the	Sq Foot	46 \$	96	\$ 121	\$ %62	\$,563 \$	4,416	\$ 1,147	\$ %08	\$ 26	4,451 \$	35 \$	1,113
RES RE-ROOF - TEAR OFF AND RE-ROOF (first 1,000 s.f. add \$25 eac	Sq Foot	537 \$	107	3 145	74% \$	\$ 986'11	57,459	\$ 20,477	\$ %08	116 \$	62,349 \$	4,890 \$	15,587
RES RE-ROOF - TEAR OFF AND NEW SHEATHING (first 1,000 s.f. add	Sq Foot	187 \$	168	3 169	\$ %66	31,663 \$	31,416	\$ 247	\$ %66	168 \$	31,346 \$	\$ (04)	317



		Ī	Current					Rec	Recommendations	5			
			Per Unit			Annual			Per Unit		Annual		
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual	Current	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual	Annual	Annual	Recovery	Fee @ Policy	Annual	Increased	Recommended Subsidy
RES GARAGE CONVERSION (first 400 s.f., \$50 s.f. each 100 s.f. there		o,		\$ 629			٠	·	\$ %0	m		, s	. \$
COM RE-ROOF - OVERLAYS (each 35,000 s.f.)	Sq Foot	29 \$	505	\$ 484	104%	14,029	14,645	\$ (616)	\$ %001	484	14,029	\$ (616)	
COM RE-ROOF - TEAR OFF AND RE-ROOF (each 35,000 s.f.)	Sq Foot	89 \$	550	\$ 581	\$ %56 1	51,667	48,906	\$ 2,762	\$ %56	550	\$ 48,929	\$ 23	\$ 2,738
COM RE-ROOF - TEAR OFF AND NEW SHEATHING (each 35,000 s.f.)	Sq Foot	6	640	\$ 750	\$ %58 \$	6,749	5,756	\$ 993	\$ %58	640	5,757	\$ 1	\$ 992
RES WINDOWS AND SKYLIGHTS - NEW/CHANGE OUT	New Flat Fee	0,	•	\$ 218	3 0% \$	,	•	. \$	\$ %08	174	,	. \$	. \$
STORAGE RACKS (first 500 I.f. add \$50 per 100 I.f. thereafter)	Per I.f.	16 \$	501	\$ 616	81%	9,863	8,016	\$ 1,847	81% \$	499	5 7,989	\$ (27)	\$ 1,874
RES DEMOLITION (Per Structure )	Per Structure	42 \$	119	\$ 144	\$ %88 1	6,041	4,998	\$ 1,043	\$ %88	119	5,014	\$ 16	\$ 1,027
COM DEMOLITION (Per Structure )	Per Structure	13 \$	362	\$ 363	3 100%	4,717 \$	4,706	\$ 11	\$ %001	363	\$ 4,717	\$ 111	. 8
SWIMMING POOL FIBERGLASS/VINYL	New Flat Fee	0,	•	\$ 460	\$ %0 0	,	•	. \$	\$ %08	368	,	· \$	. \$
RES SWIMMING POOLS/SPAS (first 800 s.f, add \$30 per 100 sq. ft t	Sq Foot	84 \$	550	\$ 581	\$ %56 1	48,765	46,200	\$ 2,565	\$ %56	552	\$ 46,326	\$ 126	\$ 2,438
COM SWIMMING POOLS/SPAS (first 1000 s.f., add \$30 each 100 s.	Sq Foot	2 \$	1,309	\$ 1,306	5 100% \$	2,612	2,618	(9)	\$ %001	1,306	\$ 2,612	(9)	. \$
PONDS/FOUNTAINS (each)	New Flat Fee	σ,	•	\$ 242	5 %0 3	,	٠	٠.	\$ %08	194	,	. \$	. 8
MOVED BUILDING (each)	Flat Fee	1 \$	1,249	\$ 1,282	97% \$	1,282	1,249	\$ 33	\$ %26	1,244	3 1,244	\$ (5)	\$ 38
SITE IMPROVEMENTS (first 3,000 s.f. add \$100 each 3000 s.f. there	Flat Fee	64 \$	1,250	\$ 1,234	101%	78,952	80,000	\$ (1,048)	100% \$	1,234	\$ 78,952	\$ (1,048)	. \$
SHELL ONLY (60% of Building Permit Fee)	New Fee	σ,	•		\$ %0	,	•	. \$	\$ %08	,	,	. \$	. \$
FOUNDATION ONLY (25% of Building permit Fee)	New Fee	v,	•		\$ %0	,	٠	٠.	\$ %08	,	,	. \$	
RES FOUNDATION REPAIR/SEISMIC RETROFIT	New Flat Fee	O,	1	\$ 242	5 %0 3	,	,		\$ %08	194	,	. \$	. \$
MEMBRANE STRUCTURES/CANOPIES (each)	New Flat Fee	0,	•	\$ 314	\$ %0 t	,	٠		\$ %08	252		. \$	
STRUCTURES OTHER THAN BUILDINGS (each)	New Flat Fee	G,	٠	\$ 532	5 00%	,		٠.	\$ %08	426	,	· \$	\$



# Planning User Fee Summary Sheet

Agency: Department Fiscal Year:	Agency: City of Riverside Department: Planning FlecalVear: 2024-25														
				ı	Der Unit	Curren	ııt	Annual		Der Hoff		Recommendations	tions		
Ord	Service Name	Fee Vescription V	Annual	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Level	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	Increased Revenue	Recommended Subsidy	nended iidy
	1 ADMINISTRATIVE 2 ALCOHOL LICENSE REVIEW (per application)^	Flat Fee	2 \$	1,322	\$ 2,533	52%	\$ 5,067	\$ 2,644	\$ 2,423	80%	\$ 2,027	\$ 4,054	\$ 1,410	v.	1,013
	3 DAY CARE PERMIT (per application)	Remove	\$		Staf has reco	Staf has recommended to remove this fee from the fee schedule.	ove this fee fro	m the fee sche							
_	4 NON-CONFORMING STATUS REVIEW (per application)^	Flat Fee	2 \$	2,853	\$ 3,234	%88	\$ 6,469	\$ 5,705	\$ 763	%88	\$ 2,853	\$ 5,705	(0)	\$ (	763
	5 RECYCLING PERMIT	Flat Fee	2 \$	1,102	\$ 1,747	63%	\$ 3,495	\$ 2,204	\$ 1,291	80%	\$ 1,398	\$ 2,796	\$ 592	s	669
	7 Temporary Use Permit (per application)^														
	8 Minor	Flat Fee	\$ .	189			- \$		. \$	20%	\$ 355	· \$		S	
	9 Major	Flat Fee	\$ .	296	1					80%	1				
Ŧ	10 ZONING LETTER (per application)^	Flat Fee		206			14,054	o.	4	80%		Ħ	1,3		2,811
-	11 ZONING REBUILD LETTERA	Flat Fee	1 \$	612	\$ 835	73%	\$ 832	\$ 612	\$ 223	80%	\$ 668	\$	\$	v.	167
7	12 APPEALS & HIME EXTENSION 12 Appeals & Hime Extension (ATTIC)	+	٠	1 767		276				000				v	
	13 Air port Land Use Commission (ALOC)**  14 Diaming Commission and CEDD DIRECTOR Cacash	Flat Fee	, ,	7 285	250°C C		8 052	07770	-	8008	5 2,713	\$ 7163	. , ,		1 701
1 1	4 Planning Commission and CEDD DIRECTOR Cases:	Flat Fee		2,200	0/4,4				4,103	90%	3,361	201,102	760'7 6	۰ ۰	1,791
14.	14.1 Cultural Heritage board* 15 Time Extensions*	riat ree		2,385	\$ 4,213		4,213	7,385	\$ 1,828	30%	7,264	\$ 1,264	(17171) ¢		2,949
-	16 Dar Annication	Flat Fee	17 6	663	292	87%	0 181	7 0 17	1 233	%1%	5683	7 050	0		1 230
1		Flat Fee	\$ ,	1,649	EO.				. · S	80%	2		· ·	· v	,
ā	18 ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW														
1,	19 CEQA Review - Environmental Impact Report^	Flat Fee + Consultant	1 \$	87,053	\$ 139,146	63%	\$ 139,146	\$ 87,053	\$ 52,093	80%	\$ 111,317	\$ 111,317	\$ 24,263	s	27,829
	20 CEQA Review - Minor Scope^	New Flat Fee + Consultant Cost (if necessary)	11 CV	•	\$ 39,556	%0	\$ 39,556	· ss	\$ 39,556	%08	\$ 31,645	\$ 31,645	\$ 31,645	v.	7,911
2.	CEQA Review - Technical Review, Peer Review (Consultant) $^{\Lambda}$	Flat Fee + Consultant	\$ 9	2,028	\$ 4,592	44%	\$ 27,555	\$ 12,168	\$ 15,386	80%	\$ 3,674	\$ 22,044	\$ 9,875	s	5,511
2	22 New Development (completed by City)^	Remove	\$	6,113	Staf has reco	Staf has recommended to remove this fee from the fee schedule.	ove this fee fro	m the fee sche	dule.						
2.	23 Existing Development (completed by City)^	Remove	\$ .	4,084	Staf has reco	Staf has recommended to remove this fee from the fee schedule.	ove this fee fro	m the fee sche	dule.						
2 2	24 WILLIAMSON ACT CONTRACT REVIEW (per application)^ 25 DESIGN REVIEW	Flat Fee	\$ .	1,110	\$ 1,615	%69			\$	80%	\$ 1,292	· \$	\$	s	
2.5	26 CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT REVIEW (per submittal)^ 27 General (per submittal) - Design Review^	Flat Fee	42 \$	1,614	\$ 2,686	%09	\$ 112,808	\$ 67,789	\$ 45,018	80%	\$ 2,149	\$ 90,246	\$ 22,457	s.	22,562
21	28 Commercial, Industrial, and Residential	Flat Fee	\$ 99	3,209	\$ 5,847	25%	\$ 385,930	\$ 211,795	\$ 174,135	80%	\$ 4,678	\$ 308,744	\$ 96,949	s,	77,186
2.	29 Commercial, Industrial, and Residential Revision / Substantial Conformance	Flat Fee	12 \$	2,004	\$ 4,880	41%	\$ 58,556	\$ 24,045	\$ 34,511	80%	\$ 3,904	\$ 46,845	\$ 22,800	s	11,711



	***************************************												
Fiscal Vear: 2024-25			ı	ı	Current	ent	ı	ı		Rec	Recommendations	ions	
				Per Unit	ļ		Annual		Per Unit	ĺ	l	Annual	
Ord Service Name	Fee Descript	e Annual ption Volume	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Level Pol	Fee @ Policy Level R	Annual Revenuez	Increased Re Revenue	Recommended Subsidy
29.1 Single Family Residential (RC Zones)^	Flat Fee	e 12	\$ 312	s,	2,175 14%	\$ 26,102	\$ 3,748	\$ 22,355	\$ %08	1,740 \$	20,882	\$ 17,134 \$	5,220
30 Landscape and Irrigation (Subject To MWELO)^	٥)٧												
31 Commercial, Industrial, and Multi-Family	, Flat Fee	e 14	\$ 867	s	689 126%	\$ 9,641	\$ 12,133	(2,493)	\$ %001 (	\$ 689	9,641	\$ (2,493) \$	٠
32 Single Family Residential	Flat Fee	,	\$ 358	s,	492 73%	· S	· s>	· s	\$ %08	394 \$	,	\$	
AMENDMENT TO ZONING TEXT / INTERPRETATION OF 20NING TEXT (per application) <sup>A</sup>	TION OF Flat Fee	4	\$ 5,017	5 10,115	.15 50%	\$ 40,458	\$ 20,067	\$ 20,391	\$ %08	\$ 260'8	32,366	\$ 12,300 \$	8,092
36 CONDOMINIUM CONVERSION (per application)^	on)^ Flat Fee	, au	\$ 9,472	2 \$ 12,178	.78 78%	. \$	s	· s	\$ %08	9,743 \$	,	\$ - \$	
37 Development Agreement <sup>A</sup>													
38 Per application^	Flat Fee	ı	\$ 11,736	5 \$ 14,182	.82 83%	. \$	· \$	\$	\$ %88	11,736 \$		\$ - \$	
39 Revisions^	Flat Fee	·	\$ 7,936		76%	. \$	· \$	. 8	\$ %08	8,299 \$	,	٠	
40 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENTA	Flat Fee	9			.62 68%	\$ 78,970			80%	10,529 \$	63,176	\$ 9,166 \$	15,794
Local Development Mitigation Fee (LDMF) - MSHCPA     Residential - Up to eight (8) dwellings units per     acre (DUAC)	.) - MSHCPA units per Remove	,	\$ 4,358		Staf has recommended to remove this fee from the fee schedule.	nove this fee f	rom the fee so	hedule.					
Residential - 8.0 to 14.0 dwellings units per acre (DUAC)	ts per acre Remove		\$ 1,817		Staf has recommended to remove this fee from the fee schedule	nove this fee f	rom the fee so	hedule.					
Residential - More than 14.0 dwellings units per 44 acre (DUAC)	s units per Remove	ď	\$ 803		Staf has recommended to remove this fee from the fee schedule.	nove this fee f	rom the fee so	hedule.					
45 Commercial (per acre)	Remove		\$ 19,615		Staf has recommended to remove this fee from the fee schedule.	nove this fee f	rom the fee sc	hedule.					
46 Industrial (per acre)	Remove		\$ 19,615		Staf has recommended to remove this fee from the fee schedule	nove this fee f	rom the fee so	hedule.					
47 PLANNED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT (per application)^	oplication)^ Flat Fee	4	\$ 12,180	\$ 14,971	171 81%	\$ 59,883	\$ 48,721	\$ 11,162	81% \$	12,180 \$	48,720	\$ (1) \$	11,163
48 RE-ZONING REQUEST (per application)^	Flat Fee	e 12	\$ 6,232	2 \$ 10,954	154 57%	\$ 131,446	\$ 74,788	\$ 56,658	\$ %08	8,763 \$	105,157	\$ 698'08 \$	26,289
49 Site Plan Review^													
	Flat Fee	e 2	\$ 12,750	S.		\$ 32,614	\$ 25,499	\$ 7,114		13,045 \$	26,091	\$ 592 \$	6,523
51 Revision to application	Flat Fee		\$ 8,617		91 89%	S	٠ ۍ	· s>	\$ %68	8,617 \$			
52 Specific Plan Review^	100		\$ 24.0E1	22,004	7507		v	ú	3 7808	25 675 6			
	te ii	4				\$ 78 933	\$ 62.439	\$ 16			63 147	707	15 787
S	Flat Fee	ľ	\$ 5,910	S			S	· v	80% \$	5,910 \$		· S	
56 ACREAGEA  ACREAGEA													
57 Per application with 10 lots or less	Flat Fee	, au	\$ 8,738	8 \$ 10,188	88.	. \$	s	s	\$ %98	8,738 \$	,	\$ - \$	
58 Revision to application	Flat Fee		\$ 5,445	s.	7,473 73%	. \$	· s	· S	\$ %08	\$ 626'5		\$ . \$	
59 Per application with more than 10 lots	Flat Fee	9	\$ 13,151			\$ 82,211	\$ 78,905		%96		906'82	\$ 1 \$	3,305
60 Revision to application	Flat Fee		\$ 8,899	9 \$ 10,817	117 82%	· &	· &	· s	82% \$	\$ 688'8	,	\$ .	

Agency:	City of Riverside														
Department:	Department: Planning														
Fiscal Year:	2024-25														
				ı	ı	Curren	ŧ					Recommendations	dations		
					Per Unit			Annual		Per	Per Unit		A	Annual	
Ord	Service Name	Fee Description	Annual	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Level	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	Increased Revenue		Recommended Subsidy
61	61 PARCEL MAP / WAIVER OF PARCEL MAPA	Flat Fee	9	6,482	\$ 7,956	81%	\$ 47,736	\$ 38,894	\$ 8,841	81%	\$ 6,482	\$ 38,890	\$ 0	(4) \$	8,845
62	2 Per New Application	Remove	\$	3,995	Staf has reco	mmended to ren	ove this fee fr	om the fee sch	dule.						
63	3 Per Revised Application	Flat Fee	\$	2,288	\$ 3,091	74% \$		. \$	. \$	80%	\$ 2,472	. \$	s	\$	
64	64 PARCEL MAP REVISION^	Remove		4,002	Staf has reco	Staf has recommended to remove this fee from the fee schedule.	ove this fee fr	om the fee sch	dule.						
64.1	64.1 SUMMARY VACATION REVIEW (per application)^	Flat Fee	4 \$	1,863		52%	\$ 14,418	\$ 7,454	\$ 6,964		s		s.	4,081 \$	2,884
9	65 TRAFFIC PATTERN MODIFICATION (per application)^	Flat Fee		5,189	\$ 9,545	54%	- \$	. 8	· \$	80%	\$ 7,636	\$	s	s.	
99	VACATION OF STREETS, ALLEYS, OR PEDESTRIAN 66 WALKWAYS^A	Flat Fee	2 \$	5,771	\$ 10,128	21%	\$ 20,256	\$ 11,542	\$ 8,714	80%	\$ 8,102	\$ 16,205	s	4,663 \$	4,051
67	67 Vesting Map Review^														
89	8 Per application with 10 lots or less	Flat Fee	S	15,406	\$ 18,434	84%	- \$	. \$	\$	84%	\$ 15,406	. \$	s).	s.	•
69		Flat Fee		22,578	\$ 23,207	%16	- \$	. \$	· \$	%16	\$ 22,578	. \$	s	\$	
70	70 HISTORIC PRESERVATION														
71	71 Certificate of Appropriateness														
72	2 Over the Counter	New Fee	32 \$		\$ 118	%0	\$ 3,789	. \$	\$ 3,789	30%	\$ 36	\$ 1,137	s	1,137 \$	2,653
73	3 Administrative	New Fee	22 \$	٠	\$ 2,255	%0	\$ 49,601	. \$	\$ 49,601	30%	\$ 676	\$ 14,880	s)	14,880 \$	34,721
74	4 Board	New Fee	10 \$	٠	\$ 4,820	%0	\$ 48,204	. \$	\$ 48,204	30%	\$ 1,446	\$ 14,461	S	14,461 \$	33,743
75	75 Mills Act Preservation Review														
9/	6 Perapplication	Flat Fee	8	126	\$ 2,589	2%	\$ 20,716	\$ 1,008	\$ 19,708	30%	\$ 777		s	5,207 \$	14,501
77		Flat Fee	8	957	\$ 3,204	30%	\$ 25,628	\$ 7,656	\$ 17,972	30%	\$ 961	\$ 7,688		32 \$	17,940
78	78 SIGNS														
79	79 ADMINISTRATIVE SIGN REVIEW - Per Application	Remove	\$	189	Staf has reco	Staf has recommended to remove this fee from the fee schedule.	ove this fee fr	om the fee sch	edule.						
80	80 Sign Program^	Flat Fee	8	867	\$ 1,913	45%	\$ 15,306	\$ 6,933	\$ 8,373	80%		\$ 12,245	s	5,312 \$	3,061
81	81 ADMINISTRATIVE SIGN REVIEW^	Flat Fee	124 \$	239	\$ 622	38%	760,77 \$	\$ 29,586	\$ 47,511	80%	\$ 497	\$ 61,677	s	32,091 \$	15,419
82	VARIANCES, MODIFICATIONS, EXCEPTIONS, AND FAIR HOUSING REQUESTS														
83	83 WITH SIGNATURES - RESIDENTIAL ZONES ONLY^	Flat Fee	10 \$	1,626	\$ 4,777	34%	\$ 47,770	\$ 16,263	\$ 31,507	80%	\$ 3,822	\$ 38,216	s	21,953 \$	9,554
84	84 WITHOUT SIGNATURES - ALL ZONES^	Flat Fee	8	2,439	\$ 5,462	45%	\$ 43,693	\$ 19,509	\$ 24,184	80%	\$ 4,369	\$ 34,954	s	15,446 \$	8,739
84.1	84.1 Reasonable Accommodation Fee^	New Fee	\$ .	•	\$ 4,496	%0	. \$	- \$	- \$	80%	\$ 3,597		s	\$ -	
85	85 ZONING PLAN CHECK WITH BUILDING PERMITS														
86	86 PLAN CHECK - AFTER HOURS (per hour)	Per Hour	\$ -	210	\$ 196	107%	· \$	. \$	s	100%	\$ 196	\$	s	\$ .	
87	COMMERCIAL, INDUSTRIAL, AND MULTI-FAMILY TENANT 87 IMPROVEMENTS, MINOR ADDITIONS, OR EXTERIOR REMODEL (per submittal)^	Flat Fee	250 \$	283	\$ 196	144%	\$ 49,034	\$ 70,833	\$ (21,799)	100%	\$ 196	\$ 49,034	S	(21,799) \$	•
88	B LANDSCAPE / IRRIGATION - MINOR (All are subject to WELO\WQMP)^	Remove	\$	47	Staf has reco	Staf has recommended to remove this fee from the fee schedule.	ove this fee fr	om the fee scho	dule.						

MGT



Fiscal Year: 2024-25			ľ			Junion	+				_	Docommondations	tions	
					Per Unit			Annual		Per Unit	ı		Annual	
Ord	Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Level	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	Increased F Revenue	Recommended Subsidy
89 NEW CONSTRUCTION (per submittal)^	IN (per submittal)^												ĺ	
90 Commercial, Ind	Commercial, Industrial, and Multi-Family	Flat Fee	125 \$	\$ 507	\$ 785	92%	\$ 98,069	\$ 63,377	\$ 34,692	80%	\$ 628	\$ 78,455	\$ 15,078 \$	19,614
91 Single-Family Residential	sidential	Flat Fee	\$ 955	\$ 254	\$ 392	65%	\$ 218,105	\$ 140,951	\$ 77,154	80%	\$ 314	\$ 174,484	\$ 33,533 \$	43,621
Single-Family Resid minor additions, ac	Single-Family Residential (Over the Counter - Includes minor additions, accessory structures, patio covers,	Flat Fee	750 \$	\$ 47	\$	48%	\$ 73,552	\$ 35,526	\$ 38,025	80%	\$ 78	\$ 58,841	\$ 23,315 \$	14,710
93 CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT	PERMIT													
94 Conditional Use Pe	94 Conditional Use Permit (per application)^	Flat Fee	36 \$	\$ 7,542	\$ 9,919	%92	\$ 357,091	\$ 271,501	\$ 85,590	80%	\$ 7,935	\$ 285,673	\$ 14,172 \$	71,418
95 MINOR CONDITION	95 MINOR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT (per application)^	Flat Fee	14 \$	\$ 3,565	\$ 4,795	74%	\$ 67,132	\$ 49,912	\$ 17,220	80%	\$ 3,836	\$ 53,705	\$ 3,793 \$	13,426
96 OTHER FEES														
97 COPY OF ZONING OR GENERAL PLAN MAPS	R GENERAL PLAN MAPS	Flat Fee +	,	\$ 25	99 \$	38%			\$	38%	\$ 25	. \$	\$ . \$	,
99 PLANNING INSPECTIONSA	ONSv	Flat Fee		٠	\$ 246	%0	. \$	. \$	. \$	80%	\$ 197	. \$	\$ - \$	•
100 PLANNING RE-INSPECTION (per inspection)	CTION (per inspection)	Flat Fee	,	\$ 462	\$ 98	471%	. \$	. \$	· &	100%	\$ 462	· &	\$ - \$	•
MODIFICATIONS / C 101 application)^	MODIFICATIONS / CLARIFICATION OF CONDITIONS (per application)^	Flat Fee	4	\$ 1,497	\$ 2,121	71%	\$ 8,485	\$ 5,989	\$ 2,495	80%	\$ 1,697	\$ 6,788	\$ 262 \$	1,697
102 PRINTED OR PHOTO page)	PRINTED OR PHOTO COPIES OF PRINTED MATERIALS (per page)	Per Page	,	\$ 0.10	\$	%0	· \$		· s	80%	\$ 0.10		\$ - \$	•
103 PUBLIC HEARING RE-ADVERTISEMENTS^	-ADVERTISEMENTS^	Flat Fee	,	\$ 442	\$ 704	63%	. \$		. \$	80%	\$ 563	. \$	\$ - \$	•
104 SPECIALIZED REPOR portion)^	SPECIALIZED REPORT REQUESTS (per half hour or portion)^	Per Half Hour	,	\$ 23	\$ 49	48%	· &	· &	· ss	80%	\$ 39		\$ - \$	•
105 ANNEXATION^		New Fee	1	·	\$ 42,763	%0		·	· \$	80%	\$ 34,210	•	\$ . \$	•
Total User Fees % of Full Cost							\$1,929,291	\$1,202,682 62%	\$726,609 38%			\$1,492,953 77%	\$290,271 24%	\$436,338 23%
Footnotes														

Fees #79,81 - Remove Administrative Sign Review per application fee, which is no longer utilized. Change name of New or Replacement Sign to Administrative Sign Review.

Fees marked with the symbol ^ will have a 14% surcharge added to the listed amount. This surcharge includes a 10% General Plan Maintenance Fee and a 4% Technology Fee, which fund the General Plan Amendment review and technological solutions supporting the fees and charges program.



# Peer Fee Comparison - Building & Safety

Activity	oi-technique de la constant	of bosonia objection	en jorden A	20000	Circuis	objection of District	dond noo	volle V carried	Caroporo
S,000 SF (Construction Type: IIA classified as CBC group A- 2) one story restaurant on a 25,000 SF lot Valuation - 51,231,400	Valuation Model - \$4,035	Square Foot Model - \$5,700	Square Foot Model - \$7,511	Square Foot Model - \$16,079	Valuation Model - \$6,456	Commericial New Building Deposit- \$11,567.28	Valuation Model - \$6,717	Square Foot Model - \$10,685	Valuation Model - \$8,431
25,000 SF (Construction Type: IA classified as CBC group B) two story office building on a 60,000 SF lot Valuation - \$7,312,000	Valuation Model - \$16,195	Square Foot Model - \$24,500	Square Foot Model - \$19,030	Square Foot Model - \$35,229	Valuation Model - \$28,648	Commericial New Building Deposit - \$11,567.28	Valuation Model - \$36,996	Square Foot Model - \$20,495	Valuation Model - \$44,911
2,000 SF (Construction Type: Ill B. classified as CBC Group R- 3) single family dwelling Valuation - \$394,260	Valuation Model - \$1,705	Square Foot Model - \$2,360	Square Foot Model - \$2836	Square Foot Model - \$4,514	Valuation Model - \$2,646	Residential Single Family Detached Dwelling De posit - \$5,353.56	Valuation Model - \$3,037	Square Foot Model - \$4,615	Valuation Model - \$3,409
200,000 SF 4 story (150) unit (Construction Type: IA dassified as CBC Group R-2) apartment building on a 100,000 SF lot Valuation - \$43,722,000	Valuation Model - \$91,015	Square Foot Model - \$120,000	Square Foot Model - \$79,936	Square Foot Model - \$75,198	Valuation Model - \$165,194	Multi-Family Dwelling - \$4,788.69	Valuation Model - \$223,298	Square Foot Model - \$183,900	Valuation Model - \$269,371
150,000 SF (Construction Type: IIB classified as CBC group 5-1) one story Industrial Warehouse Building on a 300,000 sflot Valuation - \$19,957,500	Valuation Model - \$33,535	Square Foot Model - \$33,000	Square Foot Model - \$44,472	Square Foot Model - \$31,068	Valuation Model - \$60,322	Industrial New Building Deposit - \$16,174.38	Valuation Model - \$80,212	Square Foot Model - \$24,970	Valuation Model - \$96,979
Water heater change-out (up to 100,000 BTU)	\$10	\$44	\$139	\$196	\$12	\$188	\$107	09\$	\$38
200 Amp Electrical Service Only Commercial	\$54	\$106	\$126	\$196	\$172	\$304	\$114	\$155	69\$
Installing Furnace, Burner or Absorption System-up to 100,000 B.T.U.	\$43	\$58	\$84	\$52	\$215	\$188	\$106	\$230	\$47
Note: The valuations for average construction costs are based on the International Code Council Building Valuation Data as of August 2024.	e construction costs are based	on the International Code Co	uncil Building Valuation Data	as of August 2024.					



## Peer Fee Comparison - Planning

	Riverside Current Riverside Proposed Fee Fee	Riverside Proposed Fee	Anaheim	Corona	Ontario	County of Riverside	Long Beach	Moreno Valley	Pasadena
General Plan Amendment	\$10,678	\$16,800	\$207/hr Min. Initial Deposit \$12,730	\$9,085 - Base Fee + \$16 per acre	Text \$5,000 Map \$7,500 (deposit)	\$7,479.66 - Deposit Average Cost \$15K - \$20K	\$21,386 (text) 23,310.74 (surcharge) \$16,464 (map) \$17,945 (surcharge)	\$3,500 - Deposit Actual charge is "fully burdened" rate charge	\$19,813
Variance, Modifications, Exceptions, and Fair Housing Requests - Without Signatures - All Zones	\$2,780	\$5,774	\$8,494/ea	Major - \$6,547 base plus\$20 per lot Minor - \$2,698	\$3,683.61	Deposit \$1,376 - Flied w/ Land Division, CUP, PUP, Commercial WECS or Plot Plan \$2,625 - Flied Alone Average Cost \$31K - \$7.5K	\$6,413 per first variance plus \$1,835 per additional variance \$6,990 w/surcharge \$2,049.20 per addt'l w/surcharge	Public Hearing Variance - \$3,663.00 (Plus applicable notice and posting fees)	\$5,915
Environmental Impact Analysis (EIR) *average cost for EIR is \$400-500k	\$101,400	\$160,296	\$207/hr Min. Initial Deposit \$31,287	Deposit based set at 30% of contract amount with charges at full cost	\$10,000 (deposit)	\$8,607.78 - Flat Fee	115% of Consultant Cost	\$7,000 - Deposit Actual charge is "fully burdened" rate charge	\$7,280 - Deposit Actual Cost Charged
Conditional Use Permit	\$9,261 per application	\$12,814	\$9,324 No new construction	Major - \$8,898 Minor - \$3,874	\$6,195	\$9,646 + \$5.10 per lot or site Average Cost - \$15K - \$30K	\$13,995 (base fee) \$15,254 (w/surcharge)	\$10,926 (Includes 3 Reviews) Plus applicable environmental review, notice, posting and acreage/per unit fees Additional Review: \$945	\$6,381
Conceptual Design Review	\$1,840	\$4,532	Conceptual De velopment Review \$207 /hr with \$3,182 deposit	·	\$4,203	\$1501.44 - Deposit Average Cost - \$3k-\$5k	\$1,849-\$5,455 \$2,015.41-\$5,945.95 (w/surcharge)	\$784	\$399 - staff \$757 - commission
Design Review - General Commercial, Industrial, and Residential	\$3,827	\$9,790	Conceptual Development Review \$207/hr with \$3,182 deposit	\$3,294	5 acres or more: \$18,210.54 Less than 5 acres: \$12,580.80	\$510 (exempt, planning rev) \$4,023 (exempt, govt rev) \$4,79.116 (nn- exempt) Average Cost - \$15k- \$30k	\$8,334 - \$14,665 \$9,084.06 - \$15,984.85 (w/surch <i>a</i> rge)	\$11,637 (public hearing) \$8,113 (administrative)	\$3,020-\$13,797
Planned Residential Development	\$14,672	\$21,857	Conceptual Development Review \$207/hr with \$848 deposit	\$9,492+20/du	\$10,000 (deposit)	No specific fee listed.	Site Plan Review>50 units: \$10,877 -\$27,227	Residential Plan Check & Inspection - 5 or more lots \$1,017	\$22,049
Tentative Tract Map	\$11,305 - 10 lots or \$18,345 - 10 lots or less less \$16,336 - 10 lots or \$22,089 - 10 lots or more	\$18,345 - 10 lots or less \$22,089 - 10 lots or more	\$11,351 De posit	\$12,470+\$49 per lot	\$10,296.06 + \$65 per lot/unit	\$9,003.54 - \$11,368,92 + \$102 per lot + \$19.38 per acre - Deposit Average Cost \$25k- \$40k	\$18,720.75 -5 to 20 lots \$19,715.92 -21 to 40 lots	\$11,307 + noticing fees	\$6,114



## **Public Works**

The user fee/cost analysis for this department mirrors the structure of City's fee schedule and was developed separately for each division. Divisions included in the cost analysis are as follows:

- Engineering
- Refuse

Fees are charged in a variety of ways including:

- Flat (or fixed) fees the fee is always the same, regardless of size or complexity.
- Per square (or linear) foot the fee is calculated based on size of the project under review.
- Hourly (or time-and-materials) city staff track time and materials expenses, and fees are calculated to recover actual costs.
- Actual cost this fee is charged to recover consultant costs as billed to the City.
- Per work activity these fees are for blanket flat fees for annual citywide utility excavation permits issued to utility companies.
- Per connection or installation the fee is calculated based on the number and type of physical connections to the City's infrastructure, or the number of units of a particular device installed by the City on behalf of a private person.

## **Engineering**

Within the Engineering division, current fees recover 64% of costs to provide services for which fees are charged, leaving 36% to be subsidized by other funding sources. This 36% represents a total dollar amount of \$1,618,208 annually. Staff recommend increasing recovery levels to 80%. Assuming no loss in demand, fee adjustments could result in additional annual revenues of \$840,985.

Engineering restructured some of their fee categories to better reflect the current process, staffing levels and to be a more user-friendly fee schedule.

## Highlights:

- New Fees Twenty (20) new fees are proposed to be added to the engineering fee schedule: Stormwater inspection (five fees), vehicle miles traveled analysis, hydrology study review (two fees), hourly rate for engineering staff, excessive plan checks, WQMP addendum review, minor conditional use permit, CEQA reviews (two fees), conceptual development review, general design review (two fees), single family residential (RC zones), landscape and irrigation (two fees), parcel map revision, and tentative tract revision.
- Fee Removal One (1) fee is recommended for removal: Landscape plan review and inspection 1<sup>st</sup> 500 linear feet.
- Impact Fees The engineering fee schedule currently has 24 impact fees on their fee schedule. These fees are not considered cost-for-service and therefore MGT did not analyze those fees.
- Cross-Support Engineering provides support to planning application reviews. Those fees



can be found at the bottom of the engineering results table.

The User Fee Summary Sheet following this narrative provides further details of MGT's fee analysis.

## Refuse

Residential and commercial solid waste do not currently assess fees, charges, or penalties. Staff are proposing to add seventeen (31) fees and penalties to the schedule. The new residential fees will enable the City and contracted hauler to recover costs when required to return to an address to service a cart. The new commercial fees offer a variety of options, such as bulky item pickup, which is not currently offered to commercial customers, and having the hauler provide and install a lock on a commercial container.

Since these are new fee proposals, it is unclear at this time what the department can expect in increased revenue.

The User Fee Summary Sheet following this narrative provides further details of MGT's fee analysis.

## **Comparative Data**

Surveys showing a selected group of Engineering fees in comparison to neighboring agencies can be found following this narrative. Overall, the department fees are within the range of their peers. Refuse fees were not surveyed.

## **Disproportionate Impact**

When meeting with the above divisions in Public Works, MGT and the staff together reviewed their current and proposed fees to determine if there may be any potential disproportionate impact on a sector of the community.

Engineering and Refuse did not identify any fees with potential disproportionate impact.



# **Engineering User Fee Summary Sheet**

City of Riverside Public Works FY 2024-2025

			ı	ı	Current	ıt		ı		~	Recommendations	tions		
				Per Unit			Annual		Per	Per Unit		Annual		
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Level	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	Increased Revenue	Recommended Subsidy	nended sidy
DEVELOPMENT														
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	Flat Fee	8	636	\$ 953	%29	\$ 2,858	\$ 1,908	\$ 950	80%	\$ 762	\$ 2,287	\$ 379	s	572
LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT, MERGER, CONSOLIDATION, OR WAIVER OF	Flat Fee													
PARCEL MAP		18 \$	3,505	\$ 3,970	88%	\$ 71,462	\$ 63,089	\$ 8,373	88%	\$ 3,505	\$ 63,087	\$ (2)	s.	8,375
PLAN CHECK FEEA														
Construction Permits - off-site improvements (street, swr, sd)														
\$0 - \$24,999.99	Flat Fee	20 \$	2,393	\$ 2,930	82%	\$ 58,600	\$ 47,868	\$ 10,732	82%	\$ 2,393	\$ 47,865	\$ (3)	s.	10,736
\$25,000 - \$99,999.99														
First \$25,000	Flat Fee	20 \$	3,456	\$ 3,961	81%	3 79,219	\$ 69,118	\$ 10,100	81%	\$ 3,456	\$ 69,118	\$	s.	10,100
Excess of \$25,000	1.54% of ECC	\$		. \$	%0	. \$	- \$	. \$	80%	. 8		. \$	s	•
\$100,000 - \$199,999.99														
First \$25,000	Flat Fee	22 \$	4,786	\$ 6,383	75%	140,423	\$ 105,283	\$ 35,140	80%	\$ 5,106	\$ 112,338	\$ 7,056	S	28,085
Excess of \$100,000	1.21% of ECC	· s							80%	8	S		S	
\$200,000 - \$299,999.99														
First \$200,000	Flat Fee	7 \$	6,115	\$ 7,536	81%	\$ 52,752	\$ 42,807	\$ 9,945	81%	\$ 6,115	\$ 42,808	\$ 1	s	9,944
Excess of \$200,000	1.21% of ECC	S							80%		S		S	
\$300,000+														
First \$300,000	Flat Fee	5 5	7,445	\$ 9,165	81%	\$ 45,824	\$ 37,225	\$ 8,600	81%	\$ 7,445	\$ 37,223	\$ (1)	S	8,601
Excess of \$300,000	2.20% of ECC	· s			%0				80%			S		
Multiple Plans Submitted as Set														
Base Fee	Flat Fee		2,393	\$ 3,009	80%	,	- \$	. \$	80%	\$ 2,393	. \$	. \$	s	,
Additional type of plans (each)	Flat Fee	\$		\$ 836	61%	. \$	- \$	. \$	80%	\$ \$	· \$	. \$	s	,
Revision of previously approved plan (each)	Or 7.06% of ECC, whichever is less	18 \$	1,330	\$ 1,672	80%	\$ 30,093	\$ 23,934	\$ 6,159	80%	\$ 1,330	\$ 23,942	\$	s,	6,151
ENGINEERING	ı				ı		ı		ı	ı	ı	ı		
BUILDING PLAN REVIEWA	Per Hour	250 \$	116	\$ 159	73%	\$ 39.651	\$ 29,000	\$ 10.651	80%	\$ 127	\$ 31.721	\$ 2.721	s	7.930
DETERMINATION OF COMPLIANCEA	Flat Fee				95%				92%				· v	314
ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL PROCESSING FEEA	No Charge						·		80%		S		· or	
ENGINEERING PLAN CHECKA	0	•												
Single plan (street, sewer, or storm drain)	Flat Fee	6	1.634	\$ 2.289	71%	20.602	\$ 14.702	\$ 5.901	80%	\$ 1.831	\$ 16.482	\$ 1.780	s	4.120
Multiple plans submitted as set	Flat Fee	. 8			72%				80%				S	, '
Plus each additional type of plan	Flat Fee	,		\$ 634	74%				80%				s	
Revision of approved plan	Flat Fee		1,166	\$ 1,586	74%	\$ 28,549	\$ 20,993	\$ 7,556	80%	\$ 1,269	\$ 22,839	\$ 1,846	S	5,710
EXPEDITED REVIEW	Per Hour	\$ 05		\$ 238		\$ 11,895	\$ 6,125	\$ 5,770	80%		\$ 9,516	\$ 3,391	s	2,379
GRADING INSPECTIONA														
Inspection Fee Per Hour	Per Hour	350 \$				3 44,947		\$ 4,347	%06		\$ 40,452			4,495
Permit Issuance Fee	Flat Fee	92 \$	1,430	\$ 1,920		\$ 176,680	\$ 131,514	\$ 45,166	80%	\$ 1,536	\$ 141,344	\$ 9,830	s	35,336
GRADING PLAN CHECKA														
Base Fee: 0 - 500 CY	Base Fee	44 \$	933	\$ 1,513	62%	\$ 66,581	\$ 41,052	\$ 25,529	80%	\$ 1,211	\$ 53,265	\$ 12,213	s	13,316
Plus 501 - 5,000 CY (each additional 500 CY or fraction thereof)	Ea adtl 500 CY	30 \$	105	\$ 232	45%	\$ 6,958	\$ 3,158	\$ 3,800	80%	\$ 186	\$ 5,566	\$ 2,409	s	1,392
Plus 5,001 - 50,000 CY (each additional 5,000 CY or fraction thereof)	Ea adtl 5000 CY	8	105	\$ 232	45%	\$ 1,855	\$ 842	\$ 1,013	80%	\$ 186	\$ 1,484	\$ 642	s	371
Plus 50,001 - 100,000 CY (each additional 10,000 CY or fraction	Ea adtl 10000 CY	•	140	790	000	1000	9	406	900	211	044	000	·	211
(inclean)									808				<b>3</b> -	117

	ć
٠	2
	Ų
۰	•
	۱
	١
	,
	8
	c
۰	٥
1	٦
ľ	-
١	٠
	ï
	۹
	٠

Public Works

FY 2024-2025

2,570 13 200 15,245 1,807 3,018 3,670 602 857 1,183 1,509 23,800 29,614 154 4,731 1,835 Recommende Subsidy SS SS s. s. S S s. S 7,113 (1,908) 31 (974) (2) Н 1,183 2,132 42 933 1,343 1,619 311 394 533 672 Increased Recommendations S SS S S s s s S S s. s s s 2,785 7,230 2,410 14,292 10,279 12,071 3,426 6,036 127 1,387 60,981 18,924 14,681 4,731 179,617 266,522 Revenuez Annual s s S S S S s s s s S s. s s s s s S S s s SS 2,410 2,721 3,426 7,340 2,410 4,731 232 5,082 4,731 6,036 3,426 6,036 7,340 25 13 105 53 48 25 25 25 25 25 116 Fee @ Per Unit \$ 88% \$ 90% \$ 80% \$ 80% \$ 80% \$ 80% \$ 80% \$ \$ %08 \$ %06 \$ %86 80% \$ 100% \$ S \$0%\$ S S 80% \$ s s \$ %08 \$0%\$ 80% \$ 80% 80% 80% 80% 30% 80% 80% 80% 80% %08 80% 80% Recovery 22,358 (1,908) 2,740 3,753 1,251 1,716 2,181 23,830 74 16 6,863 4,361 5,289 913 2,644 201 Subsidy Annual s s SS SS S S s s s) SS **S** S s s 5 s s S \$ 5 S 53,868 16,200 2,784 6,297 960'6 10,728 13,062 2,099 3,032 4,198 5,364 203,416 \$ 179,586 296,135 \$ 267,496 85 16,792 Annual SS s s s s S S S s s s. s s s s s s 5 5 S 76,226 14,292 2,985 1,541 9,037 12,849 3,012 7,545 23,655 15,089 18,351 4,283 159 Annual \$ %88 71% \$ 113% \$ 71% \$ 47% \$ 71% \$ 37% \$ 71% \$ 71% \$ 47% \$ 71% \$ 37% \$ s % 93% \$ \$ %06 \$ %04 37% \$ s s S s s \$ %04 SS 71% 71% 68% 71% 54% Recovery % Current 3,082 3,012 4,283 5,914 7,545 9,175 3,012 9,175 32 32 16 132 99 249 128 6,352 48 32 32 4,283 32 5,914 7,545 32 Full Cost Per Unit S SS \$ \$ S S s s SS SS s s s s s SS 5 SS 1,148 232 4,489 2,099 3,032 4,198 5,364 6,531 2,099 4,198 5,364 6,531 2,721 116 17 15 12 12 3,032 15 112 12 Current Fee S S s s SS S S s s S s s S s s s s s s SS s s S ന m 4 7 Н 66 2,306 Annual Volume 12 12 300 Fee Description Ea adtl 10000 CY Ea adtl 10000 CY New Flat Fee New Flat Fee New Flat Fee New Flat Fee Flat Fee Each Addtl Base Fee Each Addtl Base Fee Each Addtl Each Addtl Each Addtl Each Addtl Each Addtl Remove Flat Fee Each Addtl Base Fee Each Addtl Flat Fee Base Fee Base Fee Base Fee Base Fee Base Fee Per Hour Flat Fee Flat Fee Per Hour Plus 100,001 - 200,000 CY (each additional 10,000 CY or fraction Plus 200,0001+ CY (each additional 10,000 CY or fraction \$25,000.00 -\$99,999.99 Estimated Construction Cost (ECC) DTHER THAN INDIVIDUAL SINGLE-FAMILY BUILDING PERMITA Plus each \$1,000.00 or potion thereof over \$100,000 Plus each \$1,000.00 or potion thereof over \$200,000 Plus each \$1,000.00 or potion thereof over \$300,000 Plus each \$1,000.00 or potion thereof over \$25,000 Plus each \$1,000 or potion thereof over \$100,000 Plus each \$1,000 or potion thereof over \$25,000 \$0-\$24,999.99 Estimated Construction Cost (ECC) City Engineering / Land Development Services Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) Site LANDSCAPE PLAN REVIEW AND INSPECTIONA Service Name Plus each \$1,000.00 or potion thereof Per hour for Field Inspection Service PRIVATE DEVELOPMENT INSPECTIONA LANE CLOSURE PERMIT / INSPECTION Per each number and lettered lot Permit issuance / processing fee \$100,000.00 - \$199,999.99 ECC \$200,000.00 - \$299,999.99 ECC \$100,000.00 - \$199,999.99 ECC \$200,000.00 - \$299,999.99 ECC \$0 - \$24,999.99 ECC - Base Fee \$25,000.00 - \$99,999.99 (ECC) STORMWATER INSPECTION Per hour inspection fee Traffic Engineering First 500 linear feet MAP CHECKING FEESA First \$300,000 First \$100,000 PARCEL MAP CHECKA First \$200,000 First \$200,000 First \$25,000 First \$25,000 Per Application \$300,000+ ECC \$300,000+ ECC Commercial Restaurant Industrial Base Fee thereof) hereof)



City of Riverside Public Works FY 2024-2025

					Current	ij				R	Recommendations	itions		
				Per Unit			Annual		Per	Per Unit		Annual	11	
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Level	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	Increased Revenue		Recommended Subsidy
PROCESSING FEES^														
Case Finalization														
With bonds required	Flat Fee	4	\$ 583	\$ 1,342	43%	\$ 5,369	\$ 2,330	\$ 3,039	80%	\$ 1,074	\$ 4,295	\$ 1,965	\$ 5	1,074
With bonds, documents, or descriptions required	Flat Fee	15 \$	1,085	\$ 1,025	106%	\$ 15,374	\$ 16,275	\$ (901)	100%	\$ 1,025	\$ 15,374	s	\$ (106)	
Without bonds, documents, or descriptions required	Flat Fee	5	\$ 583	\$ 1,184	49%	\$ 5,918	\$ 2,913	\$ 3,005	80%	\$ 947	\$ 4,734	\$ 1,822		1,184
Commercial or Land Development	Flat Fee	10	\$ 1,166	\$ 1,659	20%	\$ 16,593	\$ 11,663	\$ 4,931	80%	\$ 1,327	\$ 13,275	\$ 1,612	2 \$	3,319
Document Processing														
No Description	Flat Fee	22 \$	583	\$ 1,269	46%	\$ 27,914	\$ 12,815	\$ 15,099	80%		\$ 22,331		\$ 9	5,583
And description preparation	Flat Fee		\$ 1,166	\$ 1,586	74%	\$ 49,167	\$ 36,154	\$ 13,013	80%	\$ 1,269	\$ 39,334	\$ 3,180		9,833
PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT TIME EXTENSIONSA														
Projects not requiring modification to bonds or documentation	Flat Fee	25 \$	\$ 233	\$ 866	27%	\$ 21,658	\$ 5,831	\$ 15,827	80%	\$ 693	\$ 17,327	\$ 11,495	\$ 5	4,332
Projects requiring modification to bonds, documentation, or other	Flat Fee							,						
unusual problems		5 5		H,	%69					s.		s	\$ 1	1,104
SPECIAL EVENT^ (per hour)	Flat Fee	180	116	\$ 339	34%	\$ 61,048	\$ 20,880	\$ 40,168	80%		\$ 48,838	\$ 27,958		12,210
STREET ENCROACHMENT REQUESTA														
Single-family home owner permit	Flat Fee		\$ 175	\$ 312	26%	\$ 6,230	\$ 3,500	\$ 2,730	80%		\$ 4,984	\$ 1,484	\$ \$	1,246
Non-single family home owner permit	Flat Fee	10	\$ 700	\$ 1,026	989	\$ 10,261	\$ 7,000	\$ 3,261	80%	\$ 821	\$ 8,209	\$ 1,209	\$ 6	2,052
STREET OPENING PERMIT / INSPECTIONA														
Utility Blanket Permit (quarterly)	Flat Fee	20 \$	\$ 116	\$ 160	73%	\$ 3,190	\$ 2,320	\$ 870	80%	\$ 128	\$ 2,552	\$ 23	232 \$	638
Permit Issuance and Project Processing Fee	Flat Fee	720	787	\$ 936	84%	\$ 674,162	\$ 566,640	\$ 107,522	84%		\$ 566,296		(344) \$	107,866
Inspection Services (\$108 per hour; 2 hour minimum)	Per 2 hours	720 \$	232	\$ 257	%06	\$ 184,924	\$ 167,040	\$ 17,884	91%	\$ 232	\$ 167,356	\$ 3:	316 \$	17,568
STREET TREES PLAN CHECK AND INSPECTIONA														
Non-Tract - Base Fee	Base Fee	250	\$ 56	\$ 385	14%	\$ 96,315	\$ 13,938	\$ 82,377	80%	\$ 308	\$ 77,052	\$ 63,114	\$ \$	19,263
Non-Tract - Per Linear Foot of Frontage	Per LnFt	,	\$ 0.56	. \$	%0	. \$	. \$	. 8	80%			s	s	•
Tract - Base Fee	Base Fee	,	\$ 56	\$ 771	7%	- \$	- \$	- \$	80%	\$ 616	- \$	\$	S	
Tract - Per Linear Foot of Frontage	Per LnFt	,	0.59	. \$	%0	. \$	. \$	. \$	80%		. 8	\$	S	•
SUBDIVISION PLAN CHECKA														
\$0 - \$24,999.99 Estimated Construction Cost (ECC)	Flat Fee	2 0	\$ 2,099	\$ 3,012	20%	\$ 6,025	\$ 4,198	\$ 1,827	80%	\$ 2,410	\$ 4,820	s	622 \$	1,205
\$25,000.00 - \$99,999.99 ECC														
First \$25,000	Base Fee	1	3,032	\$ 4,283	71%	\$ 4,283	\$ 3,032	\$ 1,251	80%	\$ 3,426	\$ 3,426	s	394 \$	857
Plus each \$1,000.00 or potion thereof over \$25,000	Each Addtl	,	\$ 15	\$ 32	47%			· S	80%		S	S	s	,
\$100,000.00 - \$199,999.99 ECC														
First \$100,000	Base Fee	2 \$	4,198	\$ 5,914	71%	\$ 11,827	\$ 8,396	\$ 3,431	80%		\$ 9,462	\$ 1,066	\$ 9	2,365
Plus each \$1,000.00 or potion thereof over \$100,000	Each Addtl	,	\$ 12	\$ 32	37%		·	s	80%	\$ 25	·	S	s	,
\$200,000.00 - \$299,999.99 ECC														
First \$200,000	Base Fee	1	5,364	\$ 7,545	71%	\$ 7,545	\$ 5,364	\$ 2,181	80%	\$ 6,036	\$ 6,036	s	672 \$	1,509
Plus each \$1,000.00 or potion thereof over \$200,000	Each Addtl	,	\$ 12	\$ 32	37%	- \$	. \$	\$	80%		· \$	\$	s	•
\$300,000+ ECC														
First \$300,000	Base Fee	1	\$ 6,531	\$ 9,175	71%	\$ 9,175	\$ 6,531	\$ 2,644		\$ 7,340	\$ 7,340	s	\$ 608	1,835
Plus each \$1,000.00 or potion thereof over \$300,000	Each Addtl	,	3 22	\$ 32	%89	. \$	. \$	. \$	80%		· \$	\$	s.	

J Z

> 3,521 2,451 2,566 Annual Increased Recommende Revenuez Revenue Subsidy s s 56,531 11,056 20,410 7,965 2,395 s s 63,306 \$ 48,841 \$ 32,560 s s Annual s s s s s s Annual Annual Revenue 6,775 37,785 12,150 6,120 3,266 s s 79,132 61,051 40,700 17,606 Annual SS 9% 62% 30% 0% 35% 46% Current Recovery % Full Cost Per Unit တ တ Current Fee 1,535 1,584 1,680 1,680 1,680 1,680 1,680 1,787 271 2,519 1,215 408 Annual Volume 25 15 10 15 Fee Description See Fee Description Flat Fee Flat Fee Flat Fee New Flat Fee Flat Fee Flat Fee Calculation is based on a fee of \$2.00 per foot is estimated for placement of perimeter protection (silf-fence, straw wattles, ett.); A frate of \$1.000 to sestimated for installation of a stellinged entrance to provide tracking control; Fee formula: If x \$2.00 + ESTIMATED GRADING PERMIT AND INSPECTION FEES (cubic yards). Scoping Agreement
> Projects over 100 vehicle trips per peak hour
> Projects under 100 vehicle trips per peak hour
> Projects under 100 vehicle trips per peak hour
> PEHCIE MILES TRANEED ANALYSIS (WIT)
> TRAFFIC PLAN REVIEW (per application)\* Service Name ROSION / SEDIMENT CONTROL RAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSISA City of Riverside Public Works FY 2024-2025 51-100 101-150 101-150 101-150 201-250 201-30 301-350 401-450 401-450 401-450 601-700 601-700 901-1000 1001-1500 1501-2000 901-1000 1001-1500 10001-160



City of Riverside Public Works FY 2024-2025

					2411)	+				٥	noit-chaommond	ation.		
			ı	Per Unit		Í	Annual	l	Pe	Per Unit		Anı	Annual	
ome Notices	Foo Decription	Annual	Current	Enll Cort	Current	Annual	Annual		Recovery	Fee @	Annual	Increased		Recommended
ספוגורפ ואפווופ	iondiness as i	Volume	Fee	1607	Recovery %	Cost	Revenue	Subsidy s	Level	Policy Level	Revenuez	Revenue	_	Subsidy
160001-170000	Fee	2	\$ 2,705	\$ 3,491		\$ 6,982	\$ 5,409	9 \$ 1,573		s	\$ 5,586	Ş	176 \$	1,396
170001-180000	Fee		\$ 2,739	\$ 3,542		. \$	s	\$	80%	5 \$ 2,834	· s	s	\$ -	
180001-190000	Fee		\$ 2,774	\$ 3,581	77%	. \$	\$	\$	80%	5 \$ 2,865	· s	s	s .	
190001-200000	Fee		\$ 2,808	\$ 3,619		. \$	\$	\$	80%	s,	· s	s	s.	
200001-210000	Fee		\$ 2,842	\$ 3,658	78%	. \$	·	· \$	80%	\$ 2,926	· s	s,	\$ -	
210001-220000	Fee	•	\$ 2,877	\$ 3,696	78%	. \$	\$	s	80%	5 \$ 2,957	· \$	s	s- -	
220001-230000	Fee		\$ 2,911	\$ 3,748	78%	. \$	s	s	80%	\$ \$ 2,998	· \$	s	\$ -	
230001-240000	Fee	٠	\$ 2,946	\$ 3,786	78%	. \$	s	\$	80%	\$ 3,029	· \$	s	\$ -	
240001-250000	Fee	2	\$ 2,980	\$ 3,825	78%	\$ 19,124	\$ 14,900	00 \$ 4,225	35 80%	900'8 \$ 9	\$ 15,300	\$	400 \$	3,825
250001-260000	Fee		\$ 3,014	\$ 3,863	78%	- \$	S	\$	80%	5 \$ 3,091	· \$	s	\$ -	•
260001-270000	Fee		\$ 3,049	\$ 3,902	78%	. \$	s	\$	80%	5 \$ 3,122	· \$	s	s.	
270001-280000	Fee		\$ 3,083	\$ 3,953	78%	- \$	Š	\$	80%	5 \$ 3,163	· s	s	s.	
280001-290000	Fee	2	\$ 3,118	\$ 3,992	78%	\$ 7,984	\$ 6,235	1,749	%08 61	5 \$ 3,193	\$ 6,387	s	152 \$	1,597
290001-300000	Fee	٠	\$ 3,152	\$ 4,030	78%	- 8		\$	80%	5 \$ 3,224	· s	s	s.	
300001-310000	Fee	٠	\$ 3,186	\$ 4,069	78%	- \$		s	80%	5 3,255	· S	s	s.	
310001-320000	Fee		\$ 3,221	\$ 4,107	78%	- \$	•	\$	80%	5 3,286	· \$	s	s.	
320001-330000	Fee	2	\$ 3,255	\$ 4,159	78%	\$ 8,318	\$ 6,510	1,807	70 80%	5 \$ 3,327	\$ 6,654	s	144 \$	1,664
330001-340000	Fee		\$ 3,290	\$ 4,197	78%	- \$	\$	\$	80%	5 3,358	· \$	s	s.	
340001-350000	Fee	ì	\$ 3,324	\$ 4,236	78%	. \$	s	s	80%	688'8 \$ 9	· S	s	s.	
350001-360000	Fee		\$ 3,358	\$ 4,274	%64	- \$	s	\$	80%	5 \$ 3,419	· \$	s	\$ -	•
360001-370000	Fee		\$ 3,393	\$ 4,313	%62	- \$	· \$	s	80%	5 \$ 3,450	· \$	s	s .	
370001-380000	Fee		\$ 3,427	\$ 4,364	%62	. \$	· \$	· s	80%	5 \$ 3,491	· \$	s	s -	
380001-390000	Fee		\$ 3,462	\$ 4,403	%62	- \$	·	s	80%	5 \$ 3,522	· \$	s	\$ -	
390001-400000	Fee		\$ 3,496	\$ 4,441	%62	. \$	Š	\$	80%	5 3,553	· \$	s,	s -	
400001+	Fee	4	\$ 3,530	\$ 4,480	79%	\$ 17,919	\$ 14,121	11 \$ 3,798	80%	5 \$ 3,584	\$ 14,335	s	214 \$	3,584
Additional 10,000 cubic yards or fraction thereof	Addtl CY		\$ 31	\$ 39	81%	. \$	· \$	\$	819	5 \$ 31	· \$	s,	s -	
PERMT REVIEW (cubic yards)^														
0-500	Fee	20	\$ 933	\$ 1,300		\$ 25,998	\$ 18,662	52 \$ 7,336		s	\$ 20,799	s	2,137 \$	5,200
501-1000	Fee		\$ 1,038	\$ 1,443		. \$	s	Š	80%	S	· \$	s	s -	
1001-1500	Fee	٠	\$ 1,144	\$ 1,585			s	s		s,	· S	s	s.	
1501-2000	Fee	10	\$ 1,249	\$ 1,728	72%	\$ 17,281	\$ 12,492	12 \$ 4,790	30 80%	5 \$ 1,383	\$ 13,825	s	1,334 \$	3,456
2001-2500	Fee		\$ 1,355	\$ 1,871		- \$	s	\$		s	· \$	s	s·	•
2501-3000	Fee	1	\$ 1,460	\$ 2,014		\$ 2,014	\$ 1,460	50 \$ 554		s	\$ 1,611	s	151 \$	403
3001-3500	Fee		\$ 1,565	\$ 2,156		. 8	s	· S		s	· s	s	s.	
3501-4000	Fee		\$ 1,671	\$ 2,299	73%	. \$	s	\$	80%	\$ \$ 1,839	· s	s	s·	
4001-4500	Fee	10	\$ 1,776	\$ 2,442		\$ 24,419	\$ 17,759	9 \$ 6,660	30 80%	s	\$ 19,535	s	\$ 922,1	4,884
4501-5000	Fee		\$ 1,881	\$ 2,585	73%	. \$	s	\$	80%	\$ \$ 2,068	· s	s	s .	
5001-10000	Fee		\$ 1,987	\$ 2,727	73%	. \$	s	· S	80%	5 \$ 2,182	· s	s	\$ -	
10001-15000	Fee		\$ 2,092	\$ 2,870				· S	80%	s	· s	s	s.	
15001-20000	Fee	2	\$ 2,197	\$ 3,013	73%	\$ 15,064	\$ 10,987	87 \$ 4,078	80%	5 \$ 2,410	\$ 12,051	\$ 1,	1,065 \$	3,013



Recommende Subsidy

Increased

Annual

Recommendations Policy Level Fee @ Per Unit 80% 80% 80% 80% Recovery 4,088 Annual Annual \$ 17,205 Annual Cost Current 5,075 5,091 5,106 5,122 5,138 5,154 5,170 5,286 5,202 5,217 5,233 5,249 5,265 5,281 4,250 4,440 4,631 4,821 4,853 4,868 4,900 4,916 4,948 4,964 4,995 5,011 5,059 Per Unit Full Cost 3,783 3,795 3,807 3,818 3,830 3,842 3,631 Current Annual Fee Description Service Name City of Riverside 210001-22000 220001-23000 230001-24000 240001-25000 250001-26000 260001-27000 360001-370000 370001-380000 380001-390000 100001-110000 270001-280000 290001-300000 310001-320000 330001-340000 350001-360000 120001-130000 130001-140000 150001-160000 170001-180000 190001-200000 280001-290000 300001-310000 320001-330000 340001-350000 140001-150000 160001-170000 180001-190000 200001-210000 90001-100000 FY 2024-2025 **Public Works** 70001-80000 80001-90000 30001-35000 20001-25000

1,043

12,179

16,442 -20,679



City of Riverside
Public Works
FY 2024-2025

					Per Unit			Annual	nal			Per Ui	Unit		¥	Annual	
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual	Current	ent	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual	Annual	ual	Annual Subsidy		Recovery Level P	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual			Recommended Subsidy
20001-25000	Fee	•		808	3.156	73% \$		S		S	ŀ	%0	2.524	S	- "		,
25001-30000	Fe	٠			\$ 3.298			· s		· s	ļ,				· v	· s	
410001-420000	Fee	2					10,815	S	7,921	2	.895			\$ 8.652		2	2.163
420001-430000	Fee	٠	S					S				\$ %08		s	s	S	
430001-440000	Fee	٠					,	S		S		\$ %08		S	S	S	,
440001-450000	Fee			3,996 \$	\$ 5,455	73% \$	,	s		s		\$ %08			s		
450001-460000	Fee			4,008 \$	\$ 5,471	73% \$	,	s	,	s		\$ %08	4,377	s	s		
460001-470000	Fee	٠		4,019 \$	\$ 5,487	73% \$		s		s		\$ %08	4,390		s	\$	
470001-480000	Fee	٠		4,031 \$	\$ 5,503	73% \$	,	S		S		\$ %08	4,402	S	S	\$	
480001-490000	Fee			4,043 \$	\$ 5,519	73% \$		s		s		\$ %08	4,415	\$	s	\$	
490001-500000	Fee	٠		4,055 \$	\$ 5,535	73% \$	1	S	ì	S	,	\$ %08	4,428	S	s		,
500001+	Fee	2		4,055 \$	5,550	73% \$	11,101	s	8,110		2,991	\$ %08	4,440	80	_	771 \$	2,220
Additional 10,000 cubic yards or fraction thereof	Attl CY		S	7	16	46% \$	,	s	,	s		\$ %08	13	s	s		
REMEDIAL GRADING																	
Calculation is estimated to involve 20% of the total proposed																	
earthwork volume for soil stabilization, establishing proper surface	or it districts																
drainage and preparation for re-vegetation; Fee formula: CY x 20% x																	
\$4.00 or \$250.00 minimum			s	,													
Minimum fee for smaller jobs (for equipment move-in and minimum			v	260		3 %0		v		v		\$ 7%08	•		v		•
hourly charges)			<b>.</b>					<b>)</b>		<b>)</b>					<b>)</b> -		
RE-VEGETATION																	
Calculation is based on a fee of \$0.05 per foot is estimated for																	
placement of hydro-seeding; A flat rate of \$500.00 is estimated for	Con Eas Dercription																
temporary watering to germinate the seed mixture; Fee formula:	occure ocsembation																
AC/43,560 x \$0.05 + \$500.00			s														
WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (WQMP; per permit)																	
Preliminary project specific review	Flat Fee	36			\$ 2,855		\$ 102,775	\$	64,501	\$ 38	38,274	80% \$	2,284	\$ 82,220	s	\$ 617,71	20,555
Final Review	Flat Fee	31		1,596 \$	\$ 2,538	63% \$	78,667	\$ 4	49,464	\$ 29,	29,203	80% \$	2,030	\$ 62,934	s	13,470 \$	15,733
HYDROLOGY STUDY REVIEW	New Flat Fee		s	,	,	\$ %0	,	s	,	s.		\$ %08	٠	٠ ۍ	s,	s.	
Preliminary project specific review	New Flat Fee	20	s	,	\$ 2,855	\$ %0		s			57,097	\$ %08		\$ 45,678	s	45,678 \$	11,419
Final Review	New Flat Fee	20	s	,	3 2,855	\$ %0	25,097	s	ï		25,097	\$ %08	2,284	\$ 45,678	s	45,678 \$	11,419
HOURLY RATE FOR ENGINEERING STAFF TO CHARGE AS NEEDED FOR	Now Clat Coo																
EXCESSIVE PLAN CHECKS (AFTER 3 CHECKS)	New right red		S	,	\$ 159		,	s		s		80% \$	127		s	\$	
WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN ADDENDUM	New Flat Fee	20	s		3 1,162	\$ %0	\$ 23,236	s	ì	\$ 23,	,236	\$ %08	929	\$ 18,589	s	18,589 \$	4,647
MAINTENANCE																	
GENERAL UTILITY STREET USAGE/ FRANCHISE FEE	Contract	١.	s	ļ,	S	\$ %0	٠	s	١.	s		80% \$	١,	s s	s	s ·	
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL CLEAN-UP																	
Full hourly and burden rate of responding PW personnel	Actual Cost		s	,		\$ %0	,	s	ì	s		\$ %08		s	s	s,	
RIGHT-OF-WAY CLEAN-UP																	
Full hourly and burden rate of responding PW personnel	Actual Cost	٠	s	,	. \$	\$ %0		s	ì	s		\$ %08	•	\$	s	\$	•
WIDE, OVERWEIGHT, AND LONG LOAD PERMIT REVIEW (per permit)																	
Single Trip Permit	Flat Fee	812	s		\$ 31	51% \$	25,251		12,992	\$ 12,	12,259	80% \$	25	\$ 20,200	\$ 0	7,208 \$	5,050
Annual Permit	Flat Fee	203	s	8	\$ 124	72% \$	25,251	\$ 1	18,270	\$ 6	6,981	80% \$	100	\$ 20,200	\$ 0	1,930 \$	5,050
BANNER PERMITS (horizontal banners; per location, per week)	Flat Fee	13	S				3,234	s	669	\$ 2,	2,535						647

City of Riverside Public Works FY 2024-2025

						Curre	Ħ				Re	Recommendations	tions		
					Per Unit			Annual		Per Unit	nit		Annual		
Service Name	Fee Description	Annual Volume	3 -	Current Fee	Full Cost	Current Recovery %	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue	Annual Subsidy	Recovery Level F	Fee @ Policy Level	Annual Revenuez	Increased Revenue	Recommender Subsidy	ended dy
20001-25000	Fee			2,303	\$ 3,156	73%	·	· S	· S	%08	2,524	·	· S	s	
25001-30000	Fee	٠	S	2,408	\$ 3,298		,		·	80%	\$ 2,639	·		S	
410001-420000	Fee	2	s	3,960	\$ 5,408	73%	\$ 10,815	\$ 7,921	\$ 2,895		4,326	\$ 8,652	\$ 732	s	2,163
420001-430000	Fee	٠	s	3,972	\$ 5,424						\$ 4,339			s	
430001-440000	Fee	٠	S	3,984	\$ 5,439	73%		. \$		\$ %08	4,352	. 8	· \$	s	
SUPPORT TO PLANNING APPLICATION REVIEW															
AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION (ALUC) APPEAL	No Charge	25	S			%0		. \$				. \$		S	
AMENDMENT TO ZONING TEXTA	No Charge	4			\$ 1,288		\$ 5,151	· \$	\$ 5,151	\$ %08	\$ 1,030	\$ 4,121	\$ 4,121	s	1,030
	Flat Fee		S	13,177	\$ 27,616	48%		. \$	·		22,093	. \$	· \$	S	
CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT (CUP) - Alcohol, Entertainment, and Housing	No Charge		S					s	S	80%	,	s	s,	v	
CONDITIONAL LISE PERMIT (ner application)	Flat Fae	36	· v	467	\$ 1.852	25%	\$ 66.686	\$ 16.803	\$ 49.883	80%	1 482	5 53 349	36546	· v	13 337
MINOR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT(per application)^	New Flat Fee	14	· v		5 1,288				\$ 18,030		1,030	\$ 14,424		· v	3,606
CONDOMINIUM CONVERSION	No Charge		s					·	· S					S	
DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTA	Flat Fee	2	s,	467	\$ 978		\$ 1,955	\$ 934	\$ 1,022	80%	\$ 782	\$ 1,564	\$ 631	s	391
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW		•	s,	,	. \$			. \$	. \$			. 8	. 8	s	
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORTA	Flat Fee	2	s.	1,400	\$ 7,766	18%		\$ 2,800	\$ 12,733		\$ 6,213	\$ 12,426	\$ 9,626	s	3,107
CEQA REVIEW - MINOR SCOPE	New Flat Fee	2	s.					·	\$ 4,834	80%	1,934			S	296
CEQA REVIEW - TECHNICAL REVIEW, PEER REVIEW (CONSULTANT)	New Flat Fee	9	s, c			%0	\$ 1,595		\$ 1,595	80%	213	\$ 1,276	\$ 1,276	s, c	319
DESIGN REVIEW			y (					, s- c	\$	80%	. !			y.	
CONCEPTUAL DEVELOPMENT REVIEW (per submittal)	New Flat Fee	45	v. (		5 1,447	%0	\$ 60,760	,	\$ 60,760	80%	1,157	\$ 48,608	\$ 48,608	v. «	12,152
GENERAL (PER SUBMITTAL) - DESIGN REVIEW		. 8	s c					, vs. c		80%				v. c	
Commercial, Industrial, and Multi-Family	New Hat ree	9	^		2,981		\$ 196,775	,	\$ 196,775	80%	2,385	5 157,420	157,420	^	55,55
Conformance	New Flat Fee	12	v		595	%0	6774		\$ 6774	80%	452	5 5 419	5 5 419	v	1.355
SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (RC Zones)	New Flat Fee	12	· v		\$ 1.204	%0	\$ 14.451	·	\$ 14.451	80%	963	ľ	\$ 11.560	· v	2.890
LANDSCAPE AND IRRIGATION (subject to WELO)^			S				S		·	80%		·		S	
Commercial, Industrial, and Multi-Family	New Flat Fee	15	s			%0	\$ 2,379		\$ 2,379	80%	127	\$ 1,903	\$ 1,903	s	476
Single Family Residential	New Flat Fee	٠	s			%0				80%	63		S		
GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT REVIEWA	Flat Fee	9	s	233	\$ 2,427		\$ 14,560	\$ 1,398	\$ 13,162	80%	1,941	\$ 11,648	\$ 10,250	s	2,912
MILLS ACT PRESERVATION REVIEW	No Charge	•	S			%0		. \$	· \$	\$ %08	,			S	
MODIFICATION OF CONDITIONS REVIEWA	No Charge	4	s	·	\$ 244	%0	\$ 978	. \$	\$ 978	80%	196	\$ 782	\$ 782	s,	196
PARCEL MAP OR WAIVER REVIEW^	Flat Fee	9	S	1,031	\$ 4,022	26%	\$ 24,134	\$ 6,186	\$ 17,948	80%	3,218	\$ 19,307	\$ 13,121	S	4,827
PARCEL MAP REVISIONA	New Flat Fee	ì	s,	ì	\$ 1,181	%0		. \$	\$	\$ %08	945	. \$	. 8	s	ì
PLANNED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT REVIEW^	Flat Fee	4	S	582	\$ 5,151	11%	\$ 20,606	\$ 2,328	\$ 18,278	80%	\$ 4,121	\$ 16,485	\$ 14,157	s	4,121
PLANNING APPEAL	No Charge	•	S	,		%0	·		s	80%	,	. 8	s	s	
RE-ZONING REQUEST REVIEW^	Flat Fee	12	S	262	\$ 476	825	\$ 5,717	\$ 3,144	\$ 2,573	80%	\$ 381	\$ 4,574	\$ 1,430	s	1,143
RE-ZONING REQUEST TIME EXTENSION WITH HEARING	No Charge	•	S	,		%0			s	80%		·		S	
SITE PLAN REVIEW^	Flat Fee	1	s	466	\$ 2,981	16%	\$ 2,981	\$ 466	\$ 2,515	\$ %08	2,385	\$ 2,385	\$ 1,919	s	965
SPECIFIC PLAN REVIEWA	Flat Fee	4	s	1,866	\$ 6,439	29%	\$ 25,757	\$ 7,464	\$ 18,293	80%	\$ 5,151	\$ 20,606	\$ 13,142	S	5,151
TRAFFIC PATTERN MODIFICATION (per application)^	Flat Fee	٠	s	4,773	\$ 3,743	128%								S	
VACATION OF STREETS, ALLEYS, OR PEDESTRIAN WALKWAYS^	Flat Fee	1	s	4,773	\$ 3,052	156%	\$ 3,052	\$ 4,773	\$ (1,721)	100%	\$ 3,052	\$ 3,052	\$ (1,721)	S	
STREET NAME CHANGE^	No Charge	٠	S	,	\$ 159	%0		·							
TENTATIVE TRACT / REVERSION TO ACREAGE MAP REVIEW^		٠	s			%0			s	80%	,		s	s	
APPLICATION	Flat Fee	9	S	1,031	\$ 6,439	16%	\$ 38,636	\$ 6,186	\$ 32,450	80%	5,151	\$ 30,909	\$ 24,723	S	7,727
REVISION	New Flat Fee	٠	s		\$ 2,819	%0				80%	2,255			S	
VESTING MAP REVIEW^	Flat Fee		s	1,031	\$ 794	130%	. \$	. \$	. \$	100%	\$ 794	. \$	. \$	s	,
Total User Fees							\$4,479,117	\$4,479,117 \$2,860,909	\$1,618			\$3,701,894	\$840,985		\$777,223
% of Full Cost								64%	36%			83%	29%		1/%

<sup>1)</sup> Fees #157 - 229 - Estimated Grading Permit and Inspection Fees (Cubic Yards) in the Master Fees and Charges schedule include a 14% surcharge (10% for General Plan Maintenance and 4% for Technology
Fee). This surcharge has been removed in the fee study analysis to compare the current base fee against the full cost of providing the service.

2) Fees #221 - 296 - Permit Review (Cubic Yards) in the Master Fees and Charges schedule include a 14% surcharge (10% for General Plan Maintenance and 4% for Technology Fee). This surcharge has been removed in the fee study analysis to compare the current base fee against the full cost of providing the service.

3) Fees marked with the symbol will have a 14% surcharge added to the listed amount. This surcharge includes a 10% General Plan Maintenance Fee and a 4% Technology Fee, which fund the General Plan Amendment review and technological solutions supporting the fees and charges program.



# Peer Fee Comparison - Engineering

MGT

Activity	Riverside Current Fee	Riverside Proposed Fee	Anaheim	Corona	Ontario	Long Beach	Moreno Valley	Pasadena
Street Opening Permit/Inspection - Permit Issuance and Project Processing Fee	\$787	986\$	\$2,130	Plan Check - \$622 Inspection - \$291 <b>Total = \$913</b>	\$119	\$415	No fee listed. Applicant must have business license and Traffic Control Plan.	\$282
Water Quality Management Program - Preliminary project specific review	\$1,792	\$2,855	\$3,190	\$4,179	\$1,179	Study Review & Consultation: Charge the fully allocated hourly rate for all personnel involved plus any outside costs.	3 Reviews (Consultant and Admin) - \$3,359	No fee for this.
Grading Plan Check - Base Fee - 500 Cubic Yards (CY) (0-10 Acres) Single Family Lot with 4 Sheets	\$1,064	\$1,725	Single Family (\$7,000 minimum) \$1,000/LOT Total = \$7,000	Single Family Residence Plan Check - \$4,948 less than 1,000 CY Total = \$4,948 Total = \$1,727	Grading plan check, precise (0-10 acres) Total = \$1,727	Plan Check - 75% of Grading Permit fee Grading Permit (101 to 1,000 CY) - \$172 for 100 CY Plus \$53 addt'l 100 CY Total = \$288	Plan Check - \$2,250 per sheet Sheet Total = \$9,000	Grading over 100 up to 500 CY-\$5,765 Total = \$5,765
Traffic impact Analysis Review - Project over 100 Vehicles Trips Per Peak Hour	\$2,872	\$4,640	100 - 250 Peak Trips \$4,640 >250 Peak Trips \$7,730	Site Specific - \$3,490 Regional - \$7,806	No specific fee for this. Misc/Technical Report Review: actual cost + 25% admin for consultant review, if applicable	Study Review & Consultation: Charge the fully allocated hourly rate for all personnel involved plus any outside costs.	Major: \$3,118	Transportation Impact Analysis \$4,000 Deposit
Map Checking Fees -Base Fee plus Per number and letter lot (Tract Map with 25 Lots) with 6 sheets	\$4,489+\$54 per number and lettered lot <b>Total=\$6,656</b>	\$6,352 + \$48 per number and lettered lot Total = \$7,898	Tract/Parcel Maps <b>\$8,240</b>	Tract Map: \$6,193 + Deposit (Plus Scanning Fee, Per Sheet \$29) Total = \$6,367	Map Review (Parcel/Tract) - Base Fee \$2,745 +\$70 per Add'I Parcel Total =\$4,495	Map Review (Parcel/Tract) - Tract Maps:>21 Lots/Units - Base Fee \$2,745+\$70 per	Tract Map-\$5,108+\$43 per Lot Total=\$6,183	Final Tract Map or Parcel Map (with dedication) Processing  Total = \$2,696
Construction Permits - Offsite Improvement Plan Check - \$200,000 estimated project cost for a 500 linear foot Public Street/Storm Drain (4 Sheets)	\$6,971	\$8,591	\$14/LF Total = \$7,000	\$100,001 - \$500,000 Valuation \$17,609 + 1.5% Total = \$19,109	Sewer, Water, Storm Drain Plan Check Fee 2% of Permit Vaustion Total = \$4,000	\$1,305 plus estimate of costs for inspection.	Plan Check - \$1,290 per She et Total = \$12,900	Civil Design Plan Review \$2,392.24 per sheet Processing fee \$78.62 \$1.12 per LF Total = \$12,605



City of Riverside

Refuse FY 2024-25 Recommendations 100 200 120 147 68 174 200 32 63 Per Unit 100% \$ 100% \$ 100% \$ 100% \$ 100% \$ 100% \$ 100% \$ 100% \$ 100% \$ 100% \$ 100% \$ 100% \$ 100% \$ 100% \$ 100% \$ 100% \$ 100% \$ 100% \$ 100% \$ Annual s s · · · · · \*\*\* %0 % % % %0 Current Recovery % %0 %0 209 100 200 500 6 32 15 120 147 68 68 48 48 55 22 Full Cost 49 25 61 74 63 200 317 Per Unit **Current Fee** DELIVERY/REMOVAL OF RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE CART (IN EXCESS New Fee-Actual Cost Fee Description New Fee New Fee New Fee New Fee New Fee **Penalty** Penal ty Penalty Penalty Penalty Penalty New Fee Penal ty New Fee New Fee New Fee New Fee New Fee New Fee Penalty Penal ty **New Fee** BULKY ITEM PICKUP PER ITEM (IN EXCESS OF 2 PICKUPS PER YEAR A SOLID WASTE OVERAGE- RESIDENTIAL OVERWEIGHT/OVERFILLED CA CLEAN UP HAZARDOUS MATERIAL SPILL DUE TO MATERIAL PLACED I EXTRA PICKUP REQUEST PER RESIDENTIAL CART (WITHIN SAME SERV OVERWEIGHT ROLLOFF (in addition to disposal charges for each lo DEMURRAGE ON HAULER-OWNED ROLLOFF (per day - no hauls in 3 DEMURRAGE (PER DAY - NO DUMP IN 7 DAYS, COMMERCIAL BINS) ROLLOFF GO BACK, DEAD RUN, RELOCATION, SAME DAY EXPEDITE BULKY ITEM PICKUP PER ITEM (LIMITED TO 5 ITEMS PER PICKUP) OVERW EIGHT/OVERFILLED COMMERCIAL BIN (PENALTY) OPEN-TOP BOX OR STORAGE BOX RENTAL (PER MONTH) Regular tires (per ton, plus \$25 Manifest Fee per load) Super tires (per ton, plus \$25 Manifest fee per load) BIN DELIVERY, EXCHANGE, SIZE EXCHANGE, REMOVAL BIN CONTAINER CONTAMINATION (PENALTY) Replacement of Bin Lock (if lost or stolen) STOP SERVICES AND OR RESUME SERVICE Service Name BIN WASHOUT IN EXCESS OF 1 PER YEAR COMMERCIAL SOLID WASTE CONTRACT ROLLOFF TOP UD RENTAL (PER MONTH) ROLLOFF CONTAMINATION (PENALTY) BIN LOCK INSTALLATION (FIRST LOCK) 30 BACK/LATE SIT OUT (PENALTY) DEAD RUN, GO BACK (PENALTY) CERTIFICATE OF DESTRUCTION RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE THE RATE FOR CLEANUPS COMPACTOR WASHOUT ROLLOFF PLASTIC LINER **Subsequent Violations** Second Violations Third Violations Second offense First offense



## **Parks, Recreation and Community Services**

## **Administration**

The user fee/cost analysis for the Administration division of Parks, Recreation, and Community Services is presented in this report. See reported dated May 2024 for the cost analysis of Recreation fees.

The Administration division does not currently charge for plan reviews, therefore all the fees proposed in the cost analysis are new. The division is proposing to add plan review fees in the following categories:

- Planning Case Review (DRC)
- Public Works Case Plan Check Review
- Building Permit Plan Check

Based on current volume statistics, the annual cost to the City to provide these services is \$31,298. The department is recommending 80% cost recovery. The projected increased revenue will be \$25,038, annually assuming demand remains consistent.

The User Fee Summary Sheet following this narrative provides further details of MGT's fee analysis.

## **Comparative Data**

The above proposed fees were not included in the comparison survey.



# Parks and Recreation Administration User Fee Summary Sheet

Agency: City of Riverside
Department Parks, Recreation, & Community Services Fiscal Year: 2024-2025

							Current						Re	Recommendations	dations		
						Per Unit			Annual	10		Per Unit	it		A	Annual	
-	Ord Service Name	Fee Description Volume	Annual Volume	Current Fee		Full Cost	Current Recovery	Annual Cost	Annual Revenue		Annual Subsidy	Recovery Level	Fee @ Policy	Annual Revenuez		Rec	ommended Subsidy
9	6 Planning Case Review (DRC)																
7	7 All Cases Base Fee	New Base Fee	190	- \$	\$	40	%0	\$ 7,657	ş	\$ -	7,657	\$ %08	32	\$ 6,125	ş	6,125 \$	1,531
00	8 Public Park Improvement	New Hourly Fee	m	,	s	209	%0	\$ 627	s	\$ .	627	\$ %08	167	\$ 502	\$ 2	502 \$	125
6	9 Public Trail Improvement	New Hourly Fee	m		s	170	%0	\$ 509	s	\$ -	509	\$ %08	136	\$ 407	\$ 2	\$ 404	102
11	-																
12	11 All Permits Base Fee	New Base Fee	86	. \$	s	20	%0	\$ 1,975	s	\$ -	1,975	\$ %08	16	\$ 1,580	s	1,580 \$	395
14	13 Public Trail Improvement	New Hourly Fee	m	,	s	94	%0	\$ 282	s	\$ .	282	\$ %08	75	\$ 226	\$ 9	226 \$	99
15	14 Public Park Improvement	New Hourly Fee	2	,	s	108	%0	\$ 215	s	\$ .	215	\$ %08	86	\$ 172	\$ 3	172 \$	43
17	15 Inspection Fee - Park Land	New Hourly Fee	38		s	74	%0	\$ 2,803	s	\$ .	2,803	\$ %08	59	\$ 2,243	s	2,243 \$	561
18	16 Inspection Fee- Trail	New Hourly Fee	12		s	108	%0	\$ 1,295	s	- \$	1,295	\$ %08	98	\$ 1,036	s.	1,036 \$	259
20	17 Building Permit Plan Check																
21	18 All Permits Base Fee	New Base Fee	405	\$	s	20	%0	\$ 8,100	s	s,	8,100	\$ %08	16	\$ 6,480	s	6,480 \$	1,620
22	19 Public Trail Improvement	New Hourly Fee	60		S	207	%0	\$ 621	s	\$ -	621	\$ %08	166	\$ 497	\$ 1	\$ 264	124
23	20 Public Park Improvement	New Hourly Fee	60		s	144	%0	\$ 433	s	\$ -	433	\$ %08	115	\$ 346	\$ 9	346 \$	87
24	21 Inspection fee each permit: Trail Inspection	New Hourly Fee	24		s	94	%0	\$ 2,262	s	\$ -	2,262	\$ %08	75	\$ 1,810	s	1,810 \$	452
29	22 Inspection fee each permit: Park Improvement	New Hourly Fee	52		s	87	%0	\$ 4,519	s	\$ -	4,519	\$ %08	70	\$ 3,615	s.	3,615 \$	904
	Total Cost of Unidentified Time							\$265 859		0\$	\$265 859						\$265,859
	% of Full Cost									%0	100%			80%	%	%0	7127%



## **Recommendations Going Forward**

Once the commitment is made to understand the full cost of providing services, it is important to review and update the analysis in order to keep pace with changes in service delivery, staffing changes, and demand levels.

Most of our agencies ask us at the conclusion of the study: how often should this type of study be undertaken? Our advice is to conduct this detailed analysis at least every three but not more than five years, with minor adjustments in the non-study years to keep pace with economic impacts. MGT recommends the City apply an inflation adjustment to fees annually, based on the most recent CPI from All Urban Consumers for the Los Angeles area to keep pace with inflation. The industry best practice is to apply this index once per year as part of the City's annual budget process. This is particularly helpful once an agency has chosen to adopt a cost recovery policy – whether 100% of cost or something less – in order to keep fees at the desired level.