

Here's a checklist of items to consider for the agenda/subcommittee meeting next week.

- 1. Do we need to identify the council-people who may attend the 5/19 meeting? (Elliot?)**
- 2. Not sure how to draft the agenda as broadly as possible. What I am sure is that we won't have documents/visuals to include this fast. Is there anyway we can present a pdf on the 19th once the subcommittee has some recommendations?**
- 3. Here are questions/issues that need to be answered and might serve as a format for narrowing options.**
 - Do we want to repeal all taxes and start over: pros: full accountability, con: outside our scope and unlikely for committee would have the time resources to accomplish (perhaps a future recommendation**
 - Leave as is: pro: stability of City's budget; con: lack of transparency and potential future lawsuits**

-- Do we want to avoid the utility user tax issue even though it may not be lawful?

Pro: increased accountability, con: outside our scope, not enough time for committee to accomplish

-- Do we want to only address electricity rather than solve the water issue? Pro: could potentially tackle future litigation issues: con: outside current scope

-- Are we delinking from percentages in favor of a dollar amount? Pro: savings for Citizens/residents cons: potentially time limited, more information needed (Brian S. researching)

-- If yes, are we looking at a dollar amount tied to the CIP or are we looking at a declining dollar amount? Pro: savings for Citizens/residents cons: potentially time limited, more information needed (Brian S. researching)

-- Do we want to specify how funds should be used and shoot for the 2/3 vote? Pros: GFT could be very accountable on how funds are spent, Citizens and residents get exactly what they vote for Cons: now needs 2/3rds. Otherwise, fails to pass. Perhaps looking at what should not be funded (rather than what it should fund).

4. I think these questions, at a minimum, will help to focus the ultimate recommendation. You might also want to invite Mike Fine and John Lyle to the subcommittee meeting.

5. Do we want a followup subcommittee meeting on the 17th or 18th in preparation for the 19th.

6. On the 19th, we should take a formal up/down vote on the City's proposal. If the committee votes "yes", we are done. Goldman is right about that. Let's agendize that item.