



REVISED

Date: 12-14-21
Item No.: 47

City of Arts & Innovation

City Council Memorandum

TO: HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

DATE: DECEMBER 14, 2021

FROM: INCLUSIVENESS, COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT, AND GOVERNMENTAL PROCESSES COMMITTEE

WARDS: ALL

SUBJECT: CODE OF ETHICS AND CONDUCT ANNUAL REVIEW

ISSUE:

Conduct an annual review of the effectiveness of the Code of Ethics and Conduct as required by Section 2.78.110 of the Riverside Municipal Code.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Inclusiveness, Community Engagement, and Governmental Processes Committee (ICGC) recommend that the City Council:

1. Conduct a public hearing for the annual review of the effectiveness of the Code of Ethics and Conduct as required by Section 2.78.110 of the Riverside Municipal Code;
2. Review recommendations by the Board of Ethics, with the concurrence of the ICGC, for amendments to the Code of Ethics and Conduct;
3. Consider request by the Board of Ethics, with the concurrence of the ICGC for a joint workshop with the City Council to review the Code of Ethics and Conduct; and
4. Amend the Code of Ethics and Conduct provisions in Riverside Municipal Code Chapters 2.78.080 and 2.78.090 as recommended by the Board of Ethics and staff as outlined in this report, including recommendations by the City Council during the annual review of the Code of Ethics and Conduct on December 15, 2020: and
5. Consider a future discussion on revising the entire complaint procedure and include the City Clerk and City Attorney.

BACKGROUND:

The Riverside Municipal Code (RMC) Chapters 2.78 and 2.80 provide that the Code of Ethics and Conduct be reviewed for its effectiveness on an annual basis. The RMC also requires that

Boards and Commissions place an item on their agenda to discuss recommendations for revisions to the code. Board and commission chairs and staff liaisons were reminded of their obligations to review the Code of Ethics and Conduct and invited to submit written comments to the Board of Ethics and/or City Council Inclusiveness, Community Engagement, and Governmental Processes Committee. Those able to discuss the matter offer no substantive recommendations for consideration at this time.

In addition, the city sought public input through outreach efforts and staff received no recommendations for ICGC consideration.

On August 4, 2021, and September 1, 2021, the ICGC received reports denoting the Board of Ethics recommendations on the following:

1. Clarification of which governing body has the final determination in the case of a dispute;
2. Clarification of the Sunshine Ordinance definition of “urgency”;
3. A review of the Code of Ethics and Conduct to consider whether or not the entire City Council is guilty of violating the Sunshine Ordinance when one councilmember violates the Sunshine Ordinance; and
4. A review of Section 2.78.090 - the appeal procedures
5. Review of the purpose, values, and scope of the Board of Ethics.
6. Determine who authors, reviews, and presents the hearing panel findings to the City Council.
7. The appeal and de novo hearing process.
8. The process for enforcing subpoena power.
9. The continuance procedures.

DISCUSSION:

On November 4, 2021, the Board of Ethics approved the following recommendations for presentation to the ICGC at their December 1, 2021 meeting, and authorized the Chair of the Board of Ethics to make a presentation to the ICGC:

1. PROPOSED CHANGES APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF ETHICS

Proposed changes for Approval of Statement of Findings:

2.78.080 - Hearing procedures.

* * *

E. The hearing panel of the Board of Ethics shall conduct a pre-hearing conference prior to a hearing date being set by the City Clerk. All parties are to attend the pre-hearing conference; however, the absence of any party at the pre-hearing conference shall not be grounds for a continuance and the pre-hearing conference shall proceed as if the absent party were present. The pre-hearing conference shall be conducted as follows:

* * *

8. *If it is determined by the hearing panel that the complainant has failed to show that it is more likely than not that there may be a potential violation of the prohibited conduct section of this chapter, the Chair of the hearing panel shall instruct the City Clerk to prepare a statement of findings for the hearing panel to adopt at the next regular meeting of the Board of Ethics. **Alternatively, the hearing panel may***

designate members of the hearing panel to prepare the findings. If members of the hearing panel prepare the findings, such findings shall be adopted at the next regular meeting of the Board of Ethics. This statement of findings shall be considered the final decision of the hearing panel and is immediately appealable to the City Council pursuant to the appeal procedures section of this chapter.

* * *

M. Upon the conclusion of evidence presented by the parties, the Chair shall facilitate verbal deliberations by the hearing panel.

N. All findings shall be **approved** by a majority vote of the hearing panel and recorded by the City Clerk. **The hearing panel may approve such findings at the conclusion of the hearing and direct the City Clerk to prepare the findings or designate members of the hearing panel to prepare the findings. If members of the hearing panel prepare the findings, the hearing panel must consider and approve such findings within 30 days after the hearing, at a subsequent meeting of the hearing panel.**

O. Within five City business days following the hearing **and the approval of the findings**, the City Clerk shall notify all parties in writing of the decision of the hearing panel and the appeal procedures.

2.78.090 - Appeal procedures.

A. A decision by the hearing panel finding a violation of the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter shall be automatically appealed to the City Council. The City Clerk shall place the appeal on the agenda of a regular meeting of the City Council within 30 City business days of the **approval of the findings** hearing by the hearing panel and shall notify the parties in writing of the hearing date.

B. A decision by the hearing panel of the Board of Ethics not finding a violation of the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter may be appealed to the City Council by either party. The appeal shall be taken by filing a written notice of appeal with the City Clerk within ten City business days following the **approval of the statement of findings** ~~date of the hearing~~ by the hearing panel. The notice of appeal shall be in writing on a form provided by the City Clerk. The City Clerk shall place the appeal on the agenda for a regular meeting of the City Council within 30 City business days of the filing of the notice of appeal. The City Clerk shall notify the parties in writing of the hearing date.

Proposed changes for De Novo Hearing:

2.78.070- Appeal procedures.

E. The City Council shall review the record of the hearing to determine whether the hearing panel committed a clear error, or an abuse of discretion based upon the record. If no such finding is made by a majority of the City Council, then the City Council shall adopt the decision of the hearing panel as the findings of the City Council on appeal. If there is a finding by the City Council of a clear error or an abuse of discretion by the hearing panel, then that finding shall be clearly stated and the matter shall be referred back to the Board of Ethics for a de novo (new) re-hearing of the matter in light of the findings on appeal. **The de novo re-hearing shall be conducted before a hearing**

panel convened in accord with Riverside Municipal Code section 2.80.040.B.3(a)-(j), except that a pre-hearing conference shall not be required. The hearing shall be conducted in accord with the hearing procedures set forth in Riverside Municipal Code section 2.78.080.

The Board of Ethics will continue to review the irregularities in the City of Riverside policy and bring recommendations to the City Council throughout the year.

3. RECOMMENDATION FOR A JOINT BOARD OF ETHICS/CITY COUNCIL MEETING

The Board of Ethics voted unanimously to request the City Council host a joint workshop with the Board of Ethics to review the Code of Ethics and Conduct to discuss the recommended changes.

On December 1, 2021, the ICGC received a presentation from the Board of Ethics Chair Nelson outlining recommended changes to the Code of Ethics and Conduct (Code). The ICGC, with all committee members present, unanimously recommended that the City Council amend the Code of Ethics and Conduct provisions in Riverside Municipal Code Chapters 2.78.080 and 2.78.090 as described in this report and consider the option of having a future discussion on revising the complaint procedure process but also want to include the City Clerk and City Attorney in that conversation based on their experience.

4. RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE INCLUSIVENESS, COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT, AND GOVERNMENTAL PROCESSES COMMITTEE

Additionally, the ICGC recommended that the City Council address amendments to the Code requested by the City Council during the annual review of the Code on December 15, 2020, as listed below:

1. Add a provision to the Code that would allow the Complainant; the Chair of the Board of Ethics Hearing Panel, and the person subject of the complaint to participate in the appeals process to address questions by the City Council;
2. Amend Provision 2.78.090(b) to include an automatic appeal to the City Council when the Board of Ethics denies complaints, similar to if the BOE determined there was a violation;
3. Request the City Attorney to add language in Provision 2.78.090(i) that will allow elected officials to speak and make comments during the appeal proceedings;
4. Add language in the Code explaining the legal recourse the parties may have during the hearing proceedings;
5. Defining the City Attorney's (staff) role in guiding the Hearing Panel discussion; and
6. Evaluate whether the need for a pre-hearing is necessary.
7. Amend Provision 2.78.090(i) to change "elected official" to "public official."

Lastly, the ICGC referred staff's recommendation to consider amending Code Section 2.78.090(e) - Appeal Procedures - Adding language establishing authority for the final resolve when the City Council determines that the hearing panel committed a clear error or an abuse of discretion based upon the record during the de novo hearing by the Board of Ethics Hearing Panel.

STRATEGIC PLAN ALIGNMENT:

The annual review of the effectiveness of the Code of Ethics and Conduct contributes to the following City Council's Envision 2025 Strategic Plan Priorities and Goals:

Community Well-Being – Ensuring safe and inclusive neighborhoods where everyone can thrive with the following:

Goal 2.4 Support programs and innovations that enhance community safety, encourage neighborhood engagement, and build public trust: and,

High Performing Government – Providing world class public service that is efficient, accessible, and responsible to all, with the following goal:

Goal 5.3 - Enhance communication and collaboration with community members to improve transparency, build public trust, and encourage shared decision-making.

The Board of Ethics and the ICGC reviewing and bringing forth recommendations for revisions to the Code of Ethics and Conduct aligns with the Envision 2025 Cross-Cutting Threads as follows:

1. **Community Trust** – Riverside's annual review of the Code of Ethics and Conduct is transparent, the involvement of the City Boards and Commissions and public input creates sound policy, and inclusive community engagement builds community trust.
2. **Equity** – Outreach efforts were taken to encourage community input which consisted of website displays and community group flyers and posters throughout the City advising the public members how to provide recommendations for consideration allowing for a fair and unbiased revision process.
3. **Fiscal Responsibility** – Riverside is a prudent steward of public funds and ensures responsible management of the City's financial resources while providing quality public services to all. The City Clerk's Office annual budget includes funding to support the annual code review and outreach efforts.
4. **Innovation** – Riverside's annual review of the Code of Ethics and Conduct through collaborative partnerships. Adaptive processes bring new perspectives and ideas, helping to meet the Board of Ethics ever-changing needs for implementing the Code of Ethics and Conduct.
5. **Sustainability & Resiliency** – Riverside is committed to meeting the present needs without compromising the needs of the future and ensuring the City's capacity to persevere, adapt and grow during fluctuating times alike. It is essential to review the Code of Ethics and Conduct annually to maintain sustainable and resilient processes and monitor promoting innovation for a more sustainable future.


FISCAL IMPACT:

There is no fiscal impact associated with this report.

Prepared by: Donesia Gause, City Clerk

Approved as to form: Phaedra A. Norton, City Attorney

Concurred by:

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Clarissa Cervantes", written in black ink. The signature is positioned above a horizontal line.

CLARISSA CERVANTES
Chair

Attachment:

1. RMC Code of Ethics and Conduct



City of Arts & Innovation

City Council Memorandum

TO: HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

DATE: DECEMBER 14, 2021

FROM: INCLUSIVENESS, COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT, AND GOVERNMENTAL PROCESSES COMMITTEE

WARDS: ALL

SUBJECT: CODE OF ETHICS AND CONDUCT ANNUAL REVIEW

ISSUE:

Conduct an annual review of the effectiveness of the Code of Ethics and Conduct as required by Section 2.78.110 of the Riverside Municipal Code.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Inclusiveness, Community Engagement, and Governmental Processes Committee (ICGC) recommend that the City Council:

1. Conduct a public hearing for the annual review of the effectiveness of the Code of Ethics and Conduct as required by Section 2.78.110 of the Riverside Municipal Code;
2. Review recommendations by the Board of Ethics, with the concurrence of the ICGC, for amendments to the Code of Ethics and Conduct;
3. Consider request by the Board of Ethics, with the concurrence of the ICGC for a joint workshop with the City Council to review the Code of Ethics and Conduct; and
4. Amend the Code of Ethics and Conduct provisions in Riverside Municipal Code Chapters 2.78.080 and 2.78.090 as recommended by the Board of Ethics and staff as outlined in this report, including recommendations by the City Council during the annual review of the Code of Ethics and Conduct on December 15, 2020.

BACKGROUND:

The Riverside Municipal Code (RMC) Chapters 2.78 and 2.80 provide that the Code of Ethics and Conduct be reviewed for its effectiveness on an annual basis. The RMC also requires that Boards and Commissions place an item on their agenda to discuss recommendations for revisions to the code. Board and commission chairs and staff liaisons were reminded of their obligations to review the Code of Ethics and Conduct and invited to submit written comments to

the Board of Ethics and/or City Council Inclusiveness, Community Engagement, and Governmental Processes Committee. Those able to discuss the matter offer no substantive recommendations for consideration at this time.

In addition, the city sought public input through outreach efforts and staff received no recommendations for ICGC consideration.

On August 4, 2021, and September 1, 2021, the ICGC received reports denoting the Board of Ethics recommendations on the following:

1. Clarification of which governing body has the final determination in the case of a dispute;
2. Clarification of the Sunshine Ordinance definition of “urgency”;
3. A review of the Code of Ethics and Conduct to consider whether or not the entire City Council is guilty of violating the Sunshine Ordinance when one councilmember violates the Sunshine Ordinance; and
4. A review of Section 2.78.090 - the appeal procedures
5. Review of the purpose, values, and scope of the Board of Ethics.
6. Determine who authors, reviews, and presents the hearing panel findings to the City Council.
7. The appeal and de novo hearing process.
8. The process for enforcing subpoena power.
9. The continuance procedures.

DISCUSSION:

On November 4, 2021, the Board of Ethics approved the following recommendations for presentation to the ICGC at their December 1, 2021 meeting, and authorized the Chair of the Board of Ethics to make a presentation to the ICGC:

1. PROPOSED CHANGES APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF ETHICS

Proposed changes for Approval of Statement of Findings:

2.78.080 - Hearing procedures.

* * *

E. The hearing panel of the Board of Ethics shall conduct a pre-hearing conference prior to a hearing date being set by the City Clerk. All parties are to attend the pre-hearing conference; however, the absence of any party at the pre-hearing conference shall not be grounds for a continuance and the pre-hearing conference shall proceed as if the absent party were present. The pre-hearing conference shall be conducted as follows:

* * *

8. *If it is determined by the hearing panel that the complainant has failed to show that it is more likely than not that there may be a potential violation of the prohibited conduct section of this chapter, the Chair of the hearing panel shall instruct the City Clerk to prepare a statement of findings for the hearing panel to adopt at the next regular meeting of the Board of Ethics. **Alternatively, the hearing panel may designate members of the hearing panel to prepare the findings. If members of the hearing panel prepare the findings, such findings shall be adopted at the next regular meeting of the Board of Ethics.** This statement of findings shall be*

considered the final decision of the hearing panel and is immediately appealable to the City Council pursuant to the appeal procedures section of this chapter.

* * *

M. Upon the conclusion of evidence presented by the parties, the Chair shall facilitate verbal deliberations by the hearing panel.

N. All findings shall be approved by a majority vote of the hearing panel and recorded by the City Clerk. The hearing panel may approve such findings at the conclusion of the hearing and direct the City Clerk to prepare the findings or designate members of the hearing panel to prepare the findings. If members of the hearing panel prepare the findings, the hearing panel must consider and approve such findings within 30 days after the hearing, at a subsequent meeting of the hearing panel.

O. Within five City business days following the hearing and the approval of the findings, the City Clerk shall notify all parties in writing of the decision of the hearing panel and the appeal procedures.

2.78.090 - Appeal procedures.

A. A decision by the hearing panel finding a violation of the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter shall be automatically appealed to the City Council. The City Clerk shall place the appeal on the agenda of a regular meeting of the City Council within 30 City business days of the approval of the findings hearing by the hearing panel and shall notify the parties in writing of the hearing date.

B. A decision by the hearing panel of the Board of Ethics not finding a violation of the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter may be appealed to the City Council by either party. The appeal shall be taken by filing a written notice of appeal with the City Clerk within ten City business days following the approval of the statement of findings date of the hearing by the hearing panel. The notice of appeal shall be in writing on a form provided by the City Clerk. The City Clerk shall place the appeal on the agenda for a regular meeting of the City Council within 30 City business days of the filing of the notice of appeal. The City Clerk shall notify the parties in writing of the hearing date.

Proposed changes for De Novo Hearing:

2.78.070- Appeal procedures.

E. The City Council shall review the record of the hearing to determine whether the hearing panel committed a clear error, or an abuse of discretion based upon the record. If no such finding is made by a majority of the City Council, then the City Council shall adopt the decision of the hearing panel as the findings of the City Council on appeal. If there is a finding by the City Council of a clear error or an abuse of discretion by the hearing panel, then that finding shall be clearly stated and the matter shall be referred back to the Board of Ethics for a de novo (new) re-hearing of the matter in light of the findings on appeal. The de novo re-hearing shall be conducted before a hearing panel convened in accord with Riverside Municipal Code section 2.80.040.B.3(a)-(j), except that a pre-hearing conference shall not be required. The hearing shall be conducted in accord with the hearing procedures set forth in Riverside

Municipal Code section 2.78.080.

The Board of Ethics will continue to review the irregularities in the City of Riverside policy and bring recommendations to the City Council throughout the year.

3. RECOMMENDATION FOR A JOINT BOARD OF ETHICS/CITY COUNCIL MEETING

The Board of Ethics voted unanimously to request the City Council host a joint workshop with the Board of Ethics to review the Code of Ethics and Conduct to discuss the recommended changes.

On December 1, 2021, the ICGC received a presentation from the Board of Ethics Chair Nelson outlining recommended changes to the Code of Ethics and Conduct (Code). The ICGC, with all committee members present, unanimously recommended that the City Council amend the Code of Ethics and Conduct provisions in Riverside Municipal Code Chapters 2.78.080 and 2.78.090 as described in this report.

4. RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE INCLUSIVENESS, COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT, AND GOVERNMENTAL PROCESSES COMMITTEE

Additionally, the ICGC recommended that the City Council address amendments to the Code requested by the City Council during the annual review of the Code on December 15, 2020, as listed below:

1. Add a provision to the Code that would allow the Complainant; the Chair of the Board of Ethics Hearing Panel, and the person subject of the complaint to participate in the appeals process to address questions by the City Council;
2. Amend Provision 2.78.090(b) to include an automatic appeal to the City Council when the Board of Ethics denies complaints, similar to if the BOE determined there was a violation;
3. Request the City Attorney to add language in Provision 2.78.090(i) that will allow elected officials to speak and make comments during the appeal proceedings;
4. Add language in the Code explaining legal recourse the parties may have during the hearing proceedings;
5. Defining the City Attorney's (staff) role in guiding the Hearing Panel discussion; and
6. Evaluate whether the need for a pre-hearing is necessary.

Lastly, the ICGC referred staff's recommendation to consider amending Code Section 2.78.090(e) - Appeal Procedures - Adding language establishing authority for the final resolve when the City Council determines that the hearing panel committed a clear error or an abuse of discretion based upon the record during the de novo hearing by the Board of Ethics Hearing Panel.

STRATEGIC PLAN ALIGNMENT:

The annual review of the effectiveness of the Code of Ethics and Conduct contributes to the following City Council's Envision 2025 Strategic Plan Priorities and Goals:

Community Well-Being – Ensuring safe and inclusive neighborhoods where everyone can thrive with the following:

Goal 2.4 Support programs and innovations that enhance community safety, encourage neighborhood engagement, and build public trust: and,

High Performing Government – Providing world class public service that is efficient, accessible, and responsible to all, with the following goal:

Goal 5.3 - Enhance communication and collaboration with community members to improve transparency, build public trust, and encourage shared decision-making.

The Board of Ethics and the ICGC reviewing and bringing forth recommendations for revisions to the Code of Ethics and Conduct aligns with the Envision 2025 Cross-Cutting Threads as follows:

1. **Community Trust** – Riverside's annual review of the Code of Ethics and Conduct is transparent, the involvement of the City Boards and Commissions and public input creates sound policy, and inclusive community engagement builds community trust.
2. **Equity** – Outreach efforts were taken to encourage community input which consisted of website displays and community group flyers and posters throughout the City advising the public members how to provide recommendations for consideration allowing for a fair and unbiased revision process.
3. **Fiscal Responsibility** – Riverside is a prudent steward of public funds and ensures responsible management of the City's financial resources while providing quality public services to all. The City Clerk's Office annual budget includes funding to support the annual code review and outreach efforts.
4. **Innovation** –. Riverside's annual review of the Code of Ethics and Conduct through collaborative partnerships. Adaptive processes bring new perspectives and ideas, helping to meet the Board of Ethics ever-changing needs for implementing the Code of Ethics and Conduct.
5. **Sustainability & Resiliency** – Riverside is committed to meeting the present needs without compromising the needs of the future and ensuring the City's capacity to persevere, adapt and grow during fluctuating times alike. It is essential to review the Code of Ethics and Conduct annually to maintain sustainable and resilient processes and monitor promoting innovation for a more sustainable future.

FISCAL IMPACT:

There is no fiscal impact associated with this report.

Prepared by: Donesia Gause, City Clerk

Approved as to form: Phaedra A. Norton, City Attorney

Concurred by:

CLARISSA CERVANTES
Chair

Attachment:

1. RMC Code of Ethics and Conduct



CITY OF RIVERSIDE NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

City of Arts & Innovation

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the City Council of the City of Riverside at its regular meeting on Tuesday, December 14, 2021, at 6:15 p.m., in the Art Pick Council Chamber, City Hall, 3900 Main Street, Riverside, California 92522, will conduct a public hearing to review the report of the City Council Inclusiveness, Community Engagement, and Governmental Processes Committee and make an independent evaluation of the effectiveness of the Code of Ethics and Conduct. As provided in Section 2.78.110 of the Riverside Municipal Code, the report will include the recommendations of the City Council Inclusiveness, Community Engagement, and Governmental Processes Committee, the Board of Ethics, and the other City boards and commissions. Following the public hearing, the City Council will direct the City Attorney to make any changes it deems appropriate.

The Public Hearing will be held by the City Council on the following date:

DATE: December 14, 2021

TIME: 6:15 p.m.

LOCATION: Art Pick Council Chamber, 3900 Main Street, Riverside, CA.

View live Webcast at www.riversideca.gov/meeting.

Live feed with open captions for hearing impaired at:
www.WatchRiverside.com.

To listen to the meeting live and provide public comment, call (669) 900-6833 and enter Meeting ID: 926 9699 1265.

Persons unable to attend the hearing may forward written comments to the City Clerk's Office, 3900 Main Street, Riverside, CA 92522. eComments may be submitted until 11:00 a.m. on December 14, 2021, at www.riversideca.gov/meeting. Interested persons are invited to appear at the public hearing and offer comments.

The Code of Ethics and Conduct is available at www.riversideca.gov/city_clerk, or by contacting the City Clerk's Office at 3900 Main Street, Riverside, CA 92522, (951) 826-5557, or city_clerk@riversideca.gov.

Dated and published: November 30, 2021

DONESIA GAUSE, MMC
City Clerk of the City of Riverside

Chapter 2.78 - CODE OF ETHICS AND CONDUCT

2.78.010 - Establishment of the Code of Ethics and Conduct.

Pursuant to Article 11, sections 5(a) and 7 of the California Constitution and Sections 200 and 202 of The Charter of the City of Riverside, there is hereby established by the City Council of the City of Riverside a Code of Ethics and Conduct for the Mayor, members of the City Council, and all members of appointed boards, commissions and committees which shall assure public confidence in the integrity of local government and its effective and fair operation.

(Ord. 7328 § 3, 2016)

2.78.020 - Purpose.

The purpose of this Code of Ethics and Conduct is to achieve fair, ethical, and accountable local government for the City of Riverside. The people of the City of Riverside expect their public officials to comply with both the letter and the spirit of the laws of the United States of America, the State of California, the Charter of the City of Riverside, the Riverside Municipal Code, and established policies of the City of Riverside affecting the operations of local government. In addition, public officials are expected to comply with the provisions of this Code of Ethics and Conduct established pursuant to the expressed will of the people. All persons covered by this Code of Ethics and Conduct shall aspire to meet the highest ethical standards in the conduct of their responsibility as a public official of the City of Riverside.

This Code of Ethics and Conduct is divided into two areas: Core Values and Prohibited Conduct. The Core Values are intended to provide a set of principles from which public officials of the City of Riverside can draw upon to assist them in conducting the public's business. As such, the Core Values are directory in nature and not subject to the complaint procedures set forth herein. The Prohibited Conduct are actions that public officials of the City of Riverside shall not engage in, and, as such, are subject to the complaint procedures set forth herein.

(Ord. 7328 § 3, 2016)

2.78.030 - Scope.

The provisions of this chapter shall apply to the Mayor, members of the City Council, and to all members of the boards, commissions, and committees appointed by the City Council, the Mayor, or the Mayor and City Council, including any *ad hoc* committees (collectively referred to herein as "public officials.") The provisions of this chapter shall also apply to all members of committees appointed by individual members of the City Council, Mayor, the City Manager or by department heads.

Further, the provisions of this chapter shall apply to the Mayor and Members of the City Council at all times during their term of office as elected officials of the City of Riverside. However, the provisions of this chapter shall apply to all members of the boards, commissions, and committees only while they are acting in their official capacities or affecting the discharge of their duties.

(Ord. 7328 § 3, 2016)

2.78.040 - Implementation.

To achieve the objectives of this chapter, the following mechanisms shall be followed.

- A. All public officials upon election or re-election, appointment or re-appointment, shall be given a copy of this chapter and required to affirm in writing that they have received a copy of this chapter and understand its provisions.
- B. Within 90 days of taking office, all new public officials shall be provided a training session which shall clarify the provisions and application of this chapter. These sessions shall be coordinated by the City Clerk with assistance from the City Manager and City Attorney.
- C. The City Attorney, or his or her designee, shall serve as a resource to those persons covered by this chapter to assist them in understanding and abiding by the provisions therein. Use of outside legal counsel in lieu of the City Attorney to advise the Board of Ethics and its hearing panels on specific matters shall be at the discretion of the Board of Ethics. If outside legal counsel is so desired, the City Attorney shall contract with the appropriate party(ies).
- D. All bodies whose members are covered by this chapter shall adopt rules of procedure which include the provisions of this chapter.
- E. The chair of each board, commission or committee covered by this chapter is responsible to provide appropriate guidance to members of their respective bodies and, if need be, communicate concerns to the Board of Ethics.

(Ord. 7328 § 3, 2016)

2.78.050 - Core Values.

The people of the City of Riverside share a set of core values that constitute the guiding principles for the establishment of this chapter. These core values are expressed in the following aspirations:

- A. To strive to create a government that is trusted by everyone. The public officials of the City of Riverside shall aspire to operate the City government and exercise their responsibilities in a manner which creates trust in their decisions and the manner of delivery of programs through the local government. The public officials shall aspire to create a transparent decision making process by providing easy access to all public information about actual or potential conflicts between their private interests and their public responsibilities. The public officials shall aspire to make themselves available to the people of the City to hear and understand their concerns. They shall aspire to make every effort to ensure that they have accurate information to guide their decisions and to share all public information with the community to ensure the community understands the basis of the officials' decisions.
- B. To strive to make decisions that are unbiased, fair, and honest. The public officials of the City of Riverside shall aspire to ensure that their decisions are unbiased, fair, and honest. They shall strive to avoid participation in all decisions which create a real or perceived conflict of interest and to disclose any personal interest that could be perceived to be in conflict with the fair and impartial exercise of their responsibilities.

- C. To strive to ensure that everyone is treated with respect and in a just and fair manner. The public officials of the City of Riverside have a responsibility to make extraordinary attempts to treat all people in a manner which would be considered just and fair. They shall strive to value and encourage input from members of the community and encourage open and free discussion of public issues. They shall strive to have all persons treated with respect as they come before the body on which they serve. They shall aspire to create an atmosphere of genuine interest in the point of view expressed by members of the community even if it differs from their own.
- D. To strive to create a community that affirms the value of diversity. The public officials of the City of Riverside shall aspire to recognize and affirm the value of all persons, families, and communities within the City of Riverside. They will encourage full participation of all persons and groups, be aware and observe important celebrations and events which reflect the values of the City's diverse population, and provide assistance for those who find it difficult to participate due to language barriers or disabilities.
- E. To strive to ensure that all public decisions are well informed, independent, and in the best interests of the City of Riverside. The public officials of the City of Riverside will encourage and support research and information gathering from verifiable sources. They will seek to ensure that information provided by the City Government to the public is accurate and clear. They will ensure that all information utilized in the decision making process, except that which by law is confidential, will be shared with the public.
- F. To strive to maintain a nonpartisan and civic minded local government. The public officials of the City of Riverside shall affirm the value of a nonpartisan council-manager form of government.
- G. To strive to ensure that all public officials are adequately prepared for the duties of their office. The public officials of the City of Riverside shall commit to participation in all orientation and training sessions which are presented to ensure full preparation for the exercise of their public duties.
- H. To strive to ensure that appointed members of boards, commissions and committees attend regularly scheduled meetings. Appointed members of boards, commissions and committees of the City of Riverside shall make a diligent effort to attend all regularly scheduled meetings of their respective board, commission or committee.

(Ord. 7328 § 3, 2016)

2.78.060 - Prohibited conduct.

The following conduct is prohibited and shall be subject to the complaint procedures established in this chapter. It may be unethical for any public official to engage in one or more of the following prohibited actions:

- A. *Use of official title or position for personal gain prohibited.* Public officials of the City of Riverside shall not use their official title or position for personal gain. Personal gain includes, but is not limited to, situations wherein a public official solicits or accepts items of value in consideration of their official title or position. This does not include obtaining benefits that are otherwise permitted or authorized by law. Therefore, acceptance of gifts shall otherwise be consistent with the requirements and limitations allowable by law. Public officials shall refrain from the following: (a) accepting gifts or favors that may compromise

independent judgment or give the appearance of compromised judgment; (b) using official title for matters other than the official conduct of their office; and (c) engaging in decisions which would affect the level of compensation received for service except as otherwise required or allowed by law.

- B. *Use or divulgence of confidential or privileged information prohibited.* Public officials of the City of Riverside shall not use or divulge confidential or privileged information obtained in the course of their official duties for their own personal gain, financial or otherwise, or for the gain of others, in a manner contrary to the public interest or in violation of any law.
- C. *Use of City resources for non-City purposes prohibited.* Public officials of the City of Riverside shall not use or permit the use of City resources including, but not limited to, funds, seals or logos, time, personnel, supplies, equipment, identification cards/badges, or facilities for unapproved non-City activities, except when available to the general public, provided for by administrative rules, regulations, or policies, or approved by a majority of the City Council.
- D. *Advocacy of private interests of third parties in certain circumstances prohibited.* No elected official of the City of Riverside shall appear on behalf of the private interests of third parties before the City Council; nor shall any appointed member of a board, commission or committee of the City of Riverside appear before their own body on behalf of the private interests of third parties, except for limited exceptions as provided for in the California Fair Political Practices Commission Regulations or otherwise by law.
- E. *Endorsements for compensation prohibited.* No public official of the City of Riverside shall endorse or recommend, for compensation, any commercial product or service in the name of the City or in their official capacity within the jurisdictional boundaries of the City without prior approval of a City Council policy.
- F. *Violation of Government Code §§ 87100 et seq., prohibited.* No public official of the City of Riverside shall violate Government Code §§ 87100, et seq., or any of its related or successor statutes, from time to time amended, regulating financial interests and governmental decisions made by public officials. If an ethics complaint is filed alleging a violation of this subsection, the City recognizes that the Fair Political Practices Commission (FPPC) is the primary enforcement authority of the Political Reform Act and that its decisions should be given great weight. As such, if a complaint is pending before the FPPC raising the same or similar violations based upon the same or similar facts, then the Board of Ethics may defer action on such allegation until completion of the FPPC action. If a complaint has not been filed with the FPPC raising the same or similar violations based upon the same or similar facts, then the hearing panel of the Board of Ethics, upon a four-fifths vote, may file a complaint with the FPPC and defer action on a complaint filed pursuant to this chapter until a final action by the FPPC. A ruling on the merits by the FPPC may be accepted by the Board of Ethics as a finding on the ethics complaint filed with the City.
- G. *Certain political activity prohibited.* No public official of the City of Riverside shall coerce, or attempt to coerce, any of their subordinates or any other City employee to participate in an election campaign, contribute to a candidate or political committee, engage in any other political activity relating to a particular party, candidate, or issue, or to refrain from engaging in any lawful political activity. A general statement encouraging another person to vote does not violate this provision.
- H. *Display of campaign materials in or on City vehicles prohibited.* No public official of the City of Riverside shall display campaign materials in or on any City-owned or provided vehicle under their control or

operated by that official. In addition, no public official shall transport, store, or otherwise have any campaign materials located within any City-owned or provided vehicle under their control or operated by that official. Campaign materials include, but are not limited to, bumper stickers, signs, brochures, informational documents, buttons or other similar items.

- I. *Knowingly assisting another Public Official in violating this Code of Ethics and Conduct prohibited.* No public official of the City of Riverside shall knowingly assist another public official in violating the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter, nor shall they engage any other person to assist them in any conduct that would constitute a violation of the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter.
- J. *Negotiation for employment with any party having a matter pending prohibited.* No public official of the City of Riverside shall negotiate for employment with any third party at the same time that third party has a matter pending before the City Council, boards, commissions, committees, or City departments and upon which the public official must act or make a recommendation.
- K. *Ex parte Contact in quasi-judicial matters prohibited.* No appointed official of the City of Riverside shall contact any elected official on any matter of a quasi-judicial nature before the City Council in which the appointed official participated. A quasi-judicial matter is any proceeding which may affect the legal rights, duties or privileges of any party to the proceeding and requires the public official to objectively determine facts and draw conclusions from those facts as the basis of an official action.
- L. *Attempts to coerce official duties prohibited.* No elected official of the City of Riverside shall coerce, or attempt to coerce, any other public official in the performance of their official duties.
- M. *Violations of federal, State, or local law prohibited.* No public official of the City of Riverside shall intentionally or repeatedly violate the Charter of the City of Riverside, the Riverside Municipal Code, or any established policies of the City of Riverside affecting the operations of local government, or be convicted of violation of any State or federal law pertaining to the office which they hold.

(Ord. 7403 § 3, 2017; Ord. 7328 § 3, 2016)

2.78.070 - Complaint procedures.

- A. Only alleged violations of the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter shall be grounds for a complaint against any public official pursuant to this chapter.
- B. Complaints shall be submitted on forms available from the City Clerk.
- C. Complaints and all required information and tangible evidence shall be filed with the City Clerk.
- D. Complaints shall include, but not be limited to, all of the following:
 - 1. Name, address, telephone number and email address, if available, of the complainant;
 - 2. Name and position of the public official against whom the complaint is made;
 - 3. Date of the alleged violation;
 - 4. The date the complainant became aware of the alleged violation;
 - 5. The specific provision of the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter alleged to be violated;
 - 6. Description of the specific facts of the alleged violation;
 - 7. The names, addresses, telephone numbers and email addresses, if known, of each person the

complainant intends to call as a witness at the hearing;

8. Copies of any and all documents, photographs, recordings or other tangible materials to be introduced and considered at the hearing; and
 9. Signed under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California.
- E. Complaints shall be filed with the City Clerk within 180 calendar days of discovery of an alleged violation of this chapter, but in no event shall the complaint be filed later than three years from the date of the alleged violation. Discovery is defined as when the complainant knew or reasonably should have known of the alleged violation through the exercise of reasonable diligence.
- F. Upon filing of the complaint the City Clerk shall review the complaint for completeness only. If the complaint is deemed incomplete the City Clerk shall notify the complainant in writing within ten City business days as to the deficiencies. A complaint shall not be deemed filed until the City Clerk accepts it as complete.
- G. Within 20 City business days of the City Clerk deeming the complaint complete, the City Clerk shall set the matter for a pre-hearing conference before a hearing panel of the Board of Ethics and notify in writing the complainant and the public official against whom the complaint is filed of the date, time, and location of the pre-hearing conference. The pre-hearing conference date shall be within 45 City business days of the complaint being deemed complete.
- H. The City Clerk shall provide a copy of the complaint and all required information and tangible evidence, without charge, to the public official against whom the complaint is made within ten City business days after the complaint is deemed complete.
- I. The public official against whom the complaint is made shall file the following with the City Clerk no later than 20 calendar days prior to the date set for the hearing:
1. A written reply to the complaint;
 2. Copies of any and all documents, photographs, recordings or other tangible materials to be introduced and considered at the hearing; and
 3. The names, addresses, telephone numbers and email addresses, if known, of any person the public official intends to call as a witness at the hearing.
- J. The City Clerk shall provide a copy of the written reply and all required information and tangible evidence, without charge, to the complainant no later than ten City business days after receipt of the foregoing from the public official against whom the complaint is made.

(Ord. 7419 § 3, 2018; Ord. 7403 § 3, 2017; Ord. 7328 § 3, 2016)

2.78.080 - Hearing procedures.

- A. The Board of Ethics shall have the authority to adopt hearing procedures not in conflict with this chapter. In addition to any hearing procedures adopted by the Board of Ethics, the following hearing procedures shall also apply.
- B. The pre-hearing conference and hearing on the merits are not formal judicial proceedings. The technical rules of evidence do not apply.
- C. The Chair of the hearing panel of the Board of Ethics shall preside over the pre-hearing conference and hearing.

- D. No witnesses, documents, photographs, recordings or other tangible materials, other than those submitted with complaint or reply, shall be introduced at the hearing or considered by the hearing panel. However, witnesses, documents, photographs, recordings and other tangible evidence may be introduced and considered upon a finding of a majority of the hearing panel that the discovery of such evidence came to the awareness of the proponent after filing of the complaint or reply and that the proponent disclosed such information to the City Clerk as soon as practicable after becoming aware of its existence. Any witnesses, documents, photographs, recordings and other tangible evidence subpoenaed by the hearing panel shall also be introduced and considered.
- E. The hearing panel of the Board of Ethics shall conduct a pre-hearing conference prior to a hearing date being set by the City Clerk. All parties are to attend the pre-hearing conference; however, the absence of any party at the pre-hearing conference shall not be grounds for a continuance and the pre-hearing conference shall proceed as if the absent party were present. The pre-hearing conference shall be conducted as follows:
1. The hearing panel shall review the complaint to determine if it complies with all of the following to establish jurisdiction of the Board of Ethics:
 - a. The complaint procedures section of this chapter have been followed;
 - b. The complaint is against a public official set forth in the scope section of this chapter;
 - c. The complaint alleges a violation of one or more of the provisions of the prohibited conduct section of this chapter; and
 - d. The complaint does not restate allegations of violations that were the subject of a previous complaint.
 2. If a majority of the hearing panel determines that the complaint does not comply with all of the provisions of subsection E.1 above, the Chair shall state the findings of deficiency on the record and shall call for a vote of the hearing panel to dismiss the complaint without a hearing. A roll call vote of the hearing panel shall be taken by the City Clerk who will record the vote of each member of the hearing panel. The Chair of the hearing panel shall instruct the City Clerk to prepare a Statement of Findings for the hearing panel to adopt at the next regular meeting of the Board of Ethics. This statement of findings shall be considered the final decision of the hearing panel and is immediately appealable to the City Council pursuant to the appeal procedures section of this chapter. If a majority of the hearing panel determines that the complaint complies with all of the provisions of subsection E.1 above, then the pre-hearing conference shall proceed.
 3. The hearing panel shall facilitate settlement discussions between the parties.
 4. The hearing panel shall review the submitted tangible evidence to determine if it is relevant to the issues raised in the complaint. If it is determined, by a majority vote, that any such evidence is irrelevant to the issues raised in the complaint, then such evidence will be deemed inadmissible at the hearing and shall be excluded.
 5. The complainant shall verbally present to the hearing panel any and all evidence, both tangible and testimonial, that will be presented at the hearing to prove the allegations in the complaint.
 6. The hearing panel shall determine, by a majority vote, whether the complainant has shown that the evidence, if taken as true, more likely than not shows that there may be a potential violation of the prohibited conduct section of this chapter.
 7. If it is determined by the hearing panel that it is more likely than not that there may be a potential violation of the prohibited conduct section of this chapter has occurred, then the City Clerk shall set a

hearing date on the complaint within 20 City business days of the pre-hearing conference. The hearing date shall be within 45 City business days following the pre-hearing conference.

8. If it is determined by the hearing panel that the complainant has failed to show that it is more likely than not that there may be a potential violation of the prohibited conduct section of this chapter, the Chair of the hearing panel shall instruct the City Clerk to prepare a statement of findings for the hearing panel to adopt at the next regular meeting of the Board of Ethics. This statement of findings shall be considered the final decision of the hearing panel and is immediately appealable to the City Council pursuant to the appeal procedures section of this chapter.
 9. The hearing panel may discuss and act upon whether or not any subpoenas are necessary to be issued by the hearing panel pursuant to Riverside Municipal Code section 2.80.040.A.5 and B.5.
 10. The hearing panel may set time limits for the parties to present their evidence at the hearing on the complaint.
 11. Other than as provided for above, no decision or ruling made by the hearing panel at the pre-hearing conference is appealable to the City Council pursuant to the appeal procedures section of this chapter until after there is a final decision by the hearing panel following a hearing on the complaint.
- F. Prior to the commencement of the hearing, either party may ask the City Clerk for a continuance of the hearing on either of the following grounds:
1. The unavailability of the party at the hearing due to illness or other reason acceptable to the City Clerk; or
 2. The unavailability of a witness identified in the complaint or reply.
- G. Only one continuance of the hearing shall be granted by the City Clerk to each party. If a continuance is granted, the City Clerk shall give written notice to all parties of the new hearing date, time, and location within 20 City business days of the granting of the continuance.
- H. The complainant shall have the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence to establish a violation of the prohibited conduct section of this chapter. Preponderance of evidence means evidence that is more convincing and, therefore, more probable in truth and accuracy. The complainant shall proceed first. The complainant may, but is not required to, make an opening and closing statement, examine and cross-examine witnesses, reference particular tangible evidence submitted with the complaint or reply, and introduce rebuttal evidence. The complainant shall have a maximum of 15 minutes to make both an opening and closing statement, if desired. The apportionment of the maximum total time of 15 minutes shall be at the sole discretion of the complainant.
- I. The public official shall proceed second. The public official may, but is not required to make an opening and closing statement, examine and cross-examine witnesses, reference particular tangible evidence submitted with the complaint or reply, and introduce rebuttal evidence. The public official shall have a maximum of 15 minutes to make both an opening and closing statement, if desired. The apportionment of the maximum total time of 15 minutes shall be at the sole discretion of the public official.
- J. Neither party shall be allowed to examine the other party as part of their presentation of evidence. However, a party may cross-examine the other party as to any matters directly testified to by that party during their presentation of evidence. Cross-examination is limited to only those matters testified to by the party or witness during their presentation of evidence.

- K. During the hearing, any member of the hearing panel of the Board of Ethics may ask questions of the parties or witnesses.
- L. The parties may present rebuttal evidence. The complainant may present rebuttal to the public official's evidence following the completion of the presentation of evidence by the public official. The public official may offer rebuttal evidence to the complainant's evidence during the public official's presentation of evidence. The public official may also present evidence to rebut the complainant's rebuttal evidence. Rebuttal evidence must be evidence directly or indirectly refuting the evidence introduced by the other party.
- M. Upon the conclusion of evidence presented by the parties, the Chair shall facilitate verbal deliberations by the hearing panel.
- N. All findings shall be by a majority vote of the hearing panel and recorded by the City Clerk.
- O. Within five City business days following the hearing, the City Clerk shall notify all parties in writing of the decision of the hearing panel and the appeal procedures.
- P. No member of the Board of Ethics who is either the complainant, or the subject of a complaint filed pursuant to this chapter, shall sit as a member of the hearing panel considering such complaint and must recuse himself or herself as a member of that panel.
- Q. Neither the complainant, nor the public official against whom a complaint is filed pursuant to this chapter, shall be represented at the hearing by an attorney. Although an attorney may be present and consulted at the hearing, the attorney shall not address the hearing panel or participate in any aspect of the hearing.

(Ord. 7433 § 3, 2018; Ord. 7419 § 3, 2018; Ord. 7403 § 3, 2017; Ord. 7328 § 3, 2016)

2.78.090 - Appeal procedures.

- A. A decision by the hearing panel finding a violation of the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter shall be automatically appealed to the City Council. The City Clerk shall place the appeal on the agenda of a regular meeting of the City Council within 30 City business days of the hearing by the hearing panel and shall notify the parties in writing of the hearing date.
- B. A decision by the hearing panel of the Board of Ethics not finding a violation of the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter may be appealed to the City Council by either party. The appeal shall be taken by filing a written notice of appeal with the City Clerk within ten City business days following the date of the hearing by the hearing panel. The notice of appeal shall be in writing on a form provided by the City Clerk. The City Clerk shall place the appeal on the agenda for a regular meeting of the City Council within 30 City business days of the filing of the notice of appeal. The City Clerk shall notify the parties in writing of the hearing date.
- C. If there is no appeal of the decision by the hearing panel, then the decision of the hearing panel shall become final and there shall be no further right to appeal.
- D. The record on appeal shall consist of a transcript of the hearing before the hearing panel, as well as all tangible evidence and testimony considered at the hearing. No new evidence will be received or considered by the City Council at the hearing on the appeal.
- E. The City Council shall review the record of the hearing to determine whether the hearing panel committed a clear error or an abuse of discretion based upon the record. If no such finding is made by a majority of the City Council, then the City Council shall adopt the decision of the hearing panel as the findings of the City

Council on appeal. If there is a finding by the City Council of a clear error or an abuse of discretion by the hearing panel, then that finding shall be clearly stated and the matter shall be referred back to the Board of Ethics for a *de novo* (new) re-hearing of the matter in light of the findings on appeal.

- F. If the City Council finds there is a violation of the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter, then the City Council may determine sanctions in accordance with the enforcement and sanctions section of this chapter.
- G. The City Clerk shall notify both parties in writing of the findings and determination of the City Council on the appeal. The findings and determination of the City Council is final and there is no further right to appeal.
- H. Complaints, replies, supporting documentation, transcripts of hearings, notices of appeal, and all decisions thereon shall be public records and retained by the City Clerk for a period of at least two years.
- I. No elected official who is either the complainant, or the subject of a complaint, filed pursuant to this chapter shall participate in the appeal of a decision of the hearing panel considering such complaint and must recuse himself or herself from participation.

(Ord. 7403 § 3, 2017; Ord. 7328 § 3, 2016)

2.78.100 - Enforcement and sanctions.

Upon a finding by a majority of the City Council that any public official violated any provision of the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter, the City Council may impose any of the following sanctions:

A. *Elected Officials.*

- 1. Public apology by the official to the complainant;
- 2. Recommendation for training;
- 3. Removal from regional committees;
- 4. Removal from Mayor Pro Tem rotation;
- 5. Public censure; or
- 6. Restrict from traveling to attend meetings or conferences outside the City limits.

B. *Appointed Officials of Boards, Commissions and Committees.*

- 1. Public apology by the official to the complainant;
- 2. Recommendation for training;
- 3. Referral to the Board, Commission or Committee of which the appointed official is a member for public censure;
- 4. Public censure by the Mayor and City Council;
- 5. Removal from Chair, Vice-chair, or other leadership positions; or
- 6. Removal from office as provided for by the City Charter.

- C. No public official who is either the complainant, or the subject of a complaint, filed pursuant to this chapter shall participate in any deliberations or decision concerning any sanctions to be imposed pursuant to such complaint.

(Ord. 7537 § 1, 2020; Ord. 7328 § 3, 2016)

2.78.110 - Monitoring and oversight.

- A. Prior to the end of July of each year, the boards and commissions shall agendaize and discuss at a regular meeting this Code of Ethics and Conduct and submit to the Board of Ethics any recommendations for the Board's consideration.
- B. Prior to the end of August of each year, the Board of Ethics shall agendaize and discuss at a regular meeting the effectiveness of this Code of Ethics and Conduct, recommendations of the other boards and commissions, and submit to the Inclusiveness, Community Engagement, and Governmental Processes Committee its recommendations.
- C. Prior to the end of September of each year, the Inclusiveness, Community Engagement, and Governmental Processes Committee shall agendaize and discuss at a regular meeting the effectiveness of this Code of Ethics and Conduct and the recommendations of the Board of Ethics and other boards and commissions, and instruct the City Manager to present a report to the City Council for its discussion and consideration.
- D. Prior to the end of each year, the Chairperson of the Inclusiveness, Community Engagement, and Governmental Processes Committee shall present a report to the City Council which shall include the recommendations of the Board of Ethics, the other boards and commissions, and the Inclusiveness, Community Engagement, and Governmental Processes Committee. The City Council shall hold a public hearing on its evening agenda at a regularly scheduled meeting, and shall review the report and make an independent evaluation of the effectiveness of this chapter and discuss and direct the City Attorney to make any changes to this chapter it deems appropriate.

(Ord. 7531 §1, 2020; Ord. 7403 § 3, 2017; Ord. 7328 § 3, 2016)

2.78.120 - Severability.

If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause, or phrase in this chapter or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or effectiveness of the remaining portions of this chapter or any part thereof. The City Council hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause, or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses, or phrases be declared unconstitutional, or invalid, or ineffective.

(Ord. 7328 § 3, 2016)