
From: Mason Inumerable <mashuni10@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 14, 2021 9:05 AM
To: CityClerkMbx <City_Clerk@riversideca.gov>
Subject: [External] Save Sycamore Canyon

Dear Donesia,

I am writing to you in regards to the proposed building of the Sycamore Hills Distribution Center. This proposed building should not move forward. The destruction of some of the last remaining preserved original landscape in Riverside will put those involved on the wrong side of history. Sycamore Canyon is a special place that must continue to endure

It is a place for Riversiders to be proud of. It is a natural wilderness that most cities would dream to have in their city limits. It is a protected space for federally endangered species such as the We have this right here, right now, and we can not let outside developers continue to chip away at it. It would be an absolute tragedy to tear apart this land just for a couple more warehouses that will continue to pollute our air and water and provide little value to the city in return with their poor wages.

Sycamore Canyon provides Riversiders with so much opportunity. It is a place for nature lovers to take a quick walk away from city life. It is a place for hikers to get their exercise in and enjoy scenic views. It is a place for mountain bikers to feel that rush of happiness as they ride down its golden rolling hills. Simply put, it is a space that belongs to all Riversiders and it should not be fragmented and sold off to outsiders just for warehouses. This land connects Riverside to its history, but once it is gone, it is gone forever. History will not look kindly at those who allowed it to happen. Please Save Sycamore Canyon.

Best,

Mason Daigdigan Inumerable
B.A., Political Science, UC Riverside

cc Mayor
City Council
City Manager
City Attorney
ACMs
C&ED Director

Date: 12-14-21

Item No. 13

From: Sergio Robles <srobl009@ucr.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, December 14, 2021 1:34:32 PM
To: Cervantes, Clarissa <CCervantes@riversideca.gov>
Subject: [External] Re: Sycamore Canyon Warehouse Proposal

Hello Clarissa,

Although the council meeting starts soon, I wanted to take time as a resident of Ward 2 to lay my thoughts briefly in regards to the dual warehouse proposal for Sycamore Canyon.

Sycamore Canyon, simply put, is one of the few assets that sets Riverside apart from other cities. We decided long ago to preserve natural landscape within our city. Other communities did not do the same. We now benefit from stewardship that was launched decades ago. Because of the work of previous Riversiders, we get to revel in the beauty of 1,200 acres of natural Southern California terrain.

We are now at a crossroads. Sycamore Canyon is a resource not just for all Riversiders, but all Californians, and it's with this severity of focus that we ought to be considering the impact a project like this will have; this action will determine what we think of our diminishing environment. Simply put, I believe this proposal should not be passed. The developer has gone through great lengths to mitigate impacts, however he is unable to guarantee the most important item of all, and that is preserving arguably the most significant urban wilderness park in Southern California.

If we approve this now, then the precedent is set. I can see La Sierra and even parts of Box Springs go the way of Sycamore if we let this happen. I understand that the current zoning permits this proposal, but from a moral and environmental perspective, the butting of industrial zoning on next to Sycamore Canyon is inconsistent with the activities that take place within that region.

If we truly care about preserving our parks and lands as Mayor Pat has suggested, then the right thing to do is for the council to swiftly reject this proposal, and initiate conversations on how we go about rezoning all land that is naturally consistent with the current Sycamore Canyon landscape.

Thank you for reading,

Sergio Robles
Eastside, Ward 2

--

Sergio A. Robles
B.A. Political Science
University of California, 2018
srobl009@ucr.edu
(951) 275-7762

cc: Mayor
City Council
City Manager
City Attorney
ACMs

Date: 12-14-21
Item No.: 13

From: Sally and Del Lauruhn <dlauruhn@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, December 7, 2021 7:22 PM
To: Cervantes, Clarissa
Subject: [External] More warehouses

I am very concerned and opposed to ANY warehouse development that is anywhere in this area. We are saturated! I travel along Allesandro mostly out to March Air Reserve Base and to Costco. It is a battle every time I go. Not only has the traffic increased but the trucks traffic is downright scary even to the most experienced drivers. I feel our cars do not have a chance against the trucks. It is us against them. This adds to pollution in our area. I hope you will oppose any further warehouse developments in the area. Thank you, Sincerely, Sally Lauruhn

[Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail for iPad](#)

cc Mayor
City Council
City Manager
City Attorney
ACMs
C&ED Director



City of Arts & Innovation

Public Comment for December 14, 2021

City Council Meeting

Prepared by the City Clerk's Office at 11:05 a.m. on December 14, 2021

Agenda Item	Name	Neighborhood	Position	Comments
1. You are invited to participate in person or by phone at (669) 900-6833 and enter Meeting ID: 926 9699 1265 to comment on Closed Sessions and any matters within the jurisdiction of the City Council. Press *9 to be placed in the queue to speak when the agenda item is called. Individuals in the queue will be prompted to unmute by pressing *6 to speak - Individual audience participation is limited to 3 minutes.	collette Lee		Support	Honorable Mayor and City Council, The Riverside Arts Academy Board supports item 25, the funding for art organizations and respectfully requests continued funding. As you know, our program directly impacts the children between the ages of 7-17 and serves the underserved children of Riverside. Our goal is to build community through music and level the playing field in the area of social justice. For the past nine years the Board has worked tirelessly to fund this program and a majority of our funding comes from grants and private donors. We served over 1500 children this year during the most difficult times in our history, COVID. Parks and Rec has been a great supporter and we urge you to pass this budget item, and in fact, to consider increasing the support to all art organization in the future. Economic support of the Arts, has a direct impact on the bottom line of our city but more importantly, adds to the quality of life of our residents, and at RAA directly impacts the trajectory of our youngest citizens lives.. We would like to thank Margie Haupt for her steadfast support and ongoing communication during these times of uncertainty and appreciate your support of our kids.
13. Cases P20-0025, P19-0626, P19-0627, P20-0258, P20-0282, and P20-0024 - Darrell Butler and Khosro Khaloghli of KB Development - A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Riverside, California, certifying the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Sycamore Hills Distribution Center; making certain Findings of Fact related thereto, adopting a Statement of Overriding considerations, and adopting a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act - Waive further reading - Determine proposed project (a) has been completed in compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code 21000 et seq.), (b) was presented to City Council and City Council reviewed and considered information contained in Final EIR prior to approving project; and (c) reflects City's independent judgment and analysis, and making certain findings of fact - Adopt Findings of Fact - Adopt Statement of Overriding Considerations - Adopt Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program - Concurrence with the findings contained in Final EIR, CEQA Resolution, case file and administrative record - Find that no feasible alternatives to project have been proposed that will avoid or substantially lessen significant environmental effects as set forth in Final EIR - Reject all late comments as untimely - Deny appeal of Planning Commission recommendations by Golden State Environmental Just Alliance - Approve Case P20-0025 Parcel Map to subdivide project site into two parcels and three lettered parcels, P19-0626 Minor Conditional Use Permit to permit two warehouses totaling 603,100 square feet on 48.64 acres, P19-0627 Design Review for proposed site design and building elevations, P20-0258 Variances to allow installation of combination retaining and freestanding walls with retaining portions ranging in height from 6.4	Lenora Mitchell		Oppose	the Mayor has been making a big deal out of the California Air Resources Board opening in Riverside how it is "unacceptable to have unclean air for our children to breathe" yet Riverside continues to allow warehouses such as this one to be built. A recent report from the local air quality monitoring management office found that people living within half a mile of warehouses have higher rates of asthma and heart attacks than residents in the area overall. Across Riverside and the Inland Empire, 71% of children 10 and under have asthma, according to public health data compiled by the University of Calif, LA. Local leaders are selling off people's lungs! A recent report (12/09/2021) by Consumer Reports found that warehouses are built in neighborhoods with a comparatively high number of people of color. The neighborhoods are poorer, too. This is certainly the case in my neighborhood. Riverside touts itself as an inclusive city but its actions show otherwise. There are healthy trees in the area that support wildlife, the watershed and help clean the air, these will be bulldozed and paved over for a parking lot. Any new planting in the area will have to be maintained with California's diminishing water resources. This warehouse will back up against Sycamore Canyon Wilderness Park in which the Ameal Moore Nature Center is located. Air quality will be diminished there for hikers and bicyclists who frequently make use of the trails in the park. This warehouse will only lessen the quality of life for any residents in the area, including myself. Statistics and one's own native knowledge and eyes make it obvious that this development is a travesty. We in Riverside are suffering from warehouse inundation and the trucking associated with it. In defiance of city ordinances, semi-tractor trucks with 8 axles are commonly seen on Alessandro (I myself observed 2 at 9:15 AM on 12/10/21). What is being done to enforce the city ordinance? Clarissa Cervantes, please support our community and block this development. Thank you.



City of Arts & Innovation

Public Comment for December 14, 2021

City Council Meeting

Prepared by the City Clerk's Office at 11:05 a.m. on December 14, 2021

Agenda Item	Name	Neighborhood	Position	Comments
25. Economic Development, Placemaking and Branding/Marketing Committee recommends Fiscal Year 2021-22 Arts and Culture grant awards for \$288,484 for Arts and Culture organizations serving City (All Wards)	Trudy Cohen		Support	The support of the arts in Riverside is absolutely critical to the overall vibrancy and success of this city, and with that, the commitment that's been made by the city to support arts focused programming is so very important to the success of institutions like UCR ARTS. As the Deputy Director of UCR ARTS, I want to truly thank you all for your ongoing support and encouragement. The funds you provide to our organization are ABSOLUTELY CRITICAL to us. Without you, we wouldn't be able to deliver the programming that we do. Thank you.

Date: 12-14-21
Item No.: 13

From: Ketcham, Thomas <TKetcham@RIVCO.ORG>
Sent: Tuesday, December 14, 2021 12:01:04 PM
To: Hildebrand, John <JHildebr@RIVCO.ORG>
Cc: Nanthavongdouangsy, Phayvanh <PNANTHAV@RIVCO.ORG>; Steve <steve@sdhinc.net>; Ketcham, Thomas <TKetcham@RIVCO.ORG>; Mario Calvillo <mcalvillo@leeriverside.com>; Lujano, Miguel <MLujano@riversideca.gov>
Subject: [External] Sycamore Hills DC

John,

Please find attached District 1's comments regarding this proposed project within the City of Riverside boundaries. Both the City of Riverside and Steve Sommers shared these plans with our office for consideration. Not sure if our County Transportation or Planning departments have commented yet.

Steve, thank you for allowing our office to share concerns regarding this proposed project. If there are any points of clarification needed please feel free to contact me.

Thank you.

Thomas C. Ketcham

Director of Land Development
1st District Supervisor Kevin Jeffries
Riverside County Board of Supervisors

CC Mayor
City Council
City Manager
City Attorney
ACMs
C&ED Director

Riverside Office

County Administrative Center, 5th Floor
4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, CA 92502
Office | 951.955.1010
Fax | 951.955.1019

District Office

Lakeland Village Community Center
16275 Grand Ave, Lake Elsinore, CA 92530
Office | 951.471.4500
Fax | 951.471.4510

www.supervisorjeffries.org

www.countyofriverside.us



**Board of Supervisors – District #1
Planning Case Submittal - Comments**

Comments Submitted by - Thomas Ketchum Date of comments - 12/14/21 Reviewed by D1 - 12/14/21

Sycamore Hills Distribution Center – City of Riverside

Applicant/Representative – SDH and Associates

Currently the District 1 office has the below comments:

1. **Transportation** – Confirming truck traffic will be restricted from heading west bound along Alessandro in the City of Riverside?
2. **Transportation** – Will applicant be widening Barton Road to accommodate additional truck traffic and turning movements on to/from Alessandro Blvd?
3. **Transportation** – With truck traffic utilizing Alessandro Blvd heading east bound along County of Riverside roadway, will applicant/city contribute to ongoing road maintenance?
4. **General** – Confirming applicant will reach out to surrounding County of Riverside homes/residents to notify them, hear potential feedback/concerns?
5. **Transportation** – Will entrance at Vista Grande be a RIRO only? Or will this become a full intersection for auto/truck traffic?

***Please note these comments are based on the current submission and are subject to change based on community input and/or result of submitted reports or other county department concerns.*

Hernandez, Veronica

From: David Degennaro <ddegennaro@djdgroup.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 14, 2021 10:32 AM
To: Hernandez, Veronica
Cc: David Degennaro
Subject: [External] Case # P20-0025 (PM), P19-0627 (DR)

Hi Veronica

I am helping my mom Gloria DeGennaro with this case # P20-0025, P19-0626 MCUP, # P19-0626 DR, P20-0258 VR, P20-0282 GE, and P20-0024 EIR. Our concern is the traffic light in front of our Shopping Center that it is not being removed to accommodate the new signal at San Gorgonio Dr. Please give me a call this morning and email me all the reports so I can review them before the hearing today.

DJD GROUP INC.
David DeGennaro
Office: 951-683-8500
Cell: 951-323-8455