



BRINGING MATTERS BEFORE CITY COUNCIL AND AGENDA SEQUENCE

City Manager's Office

**Inclusive, Community Engagement, and
Governmental Process Committee
May 4, 2022**

RiversideCA.gov

1

COUNCIL AGENDA REFERRAL PROCESS

The issue for the Inclusiveness, Community Engagement, and Governmental Processes Committee is to give direction to staff relating to the existing and proposed City Council Rules of Procedure and Order of Business Council agenda item referral process as documented in Resolution 23618



2

RiversideCA.gov

2

BACKGROUND

On January 5, 2022, the Inclusiveness, Community Engagement, and Governmental Processes Committee (ICGC) received and discussed a schedule to review nine Rules of Procedure and Order of Business items. Through discussion, the Committee added three additional items for review to the schedule and requested the discussion item be presented to Council for input.

On February 15, 2022, City Council received and discussed a schedule to review twelve Rules of Procedure and Order of Business items. Through discussion, the Council added additional items for review.



3

RiversideCA.gov

3

REVISED SCHEDULE AND PROPOSED ITEMS FOR REVIEW

| Rules or Procedure and Order of Business Item | |
|---|--|
| Session 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section IX, C 1- Persons Who May Place Matters on the Agenda • Section IX, D- Agenda Setting Meeting |
| Session 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section IX, C 4 – Preparation of the Packet • Section IX, C 6 – Distribution of the Packet • Section IX, F – Agenda Sequence and Order of Business <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Time limit for Ward Updates ◦ Establish presentation time limit for ceremonial proclamations ◦ Public Comment ◦ Pulling Consent and Discussion Items - Notification to staff (24 hours) |



4

RiversideCA.gov

4

REVISED SCHEDULE AND PROPOSED ITEMS FOR REVIEW

| Rules or Procedure and Order of Business Item | |
|---|---|
| Session 3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section XIII, B – Referral of Matters to City Council Standing Committees <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process for submitting candidate names to the Mayors Screening and Nominating Committee for vacant positions Section XIV, C – Boards, Commissions, and Committees <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of Committees and Role of Committees Determine when and how Boards and Commissions address or present to Council |
| Session 4 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section IX, C – Procedure for bringing matters before city council. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop language and clarification of process for proclamation requests Distinguish between ceremonial vs. legislative proclamations Identify Emergency Order Processes |

5



RiversideCA.gov

5

REVISED SCHEDULE AND PROPOSED ITEMS FOR REVIEW

| Rules or Procedure and Order of Business Item | |
|---|---|
| Session 5 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rules of Order (Roseburg vs Roberts) |
| Session 6 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Duties of Mayor; Mayor Pro Tempore Violation of Rules & Procedure Use of Electronics on the dais Clarity of Abstentions |
| Session 7 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Role of Councilmember Championing an Issue Due Process and Open Mind Councilmember leaving meeting early Policy on Ex Parte Communications |

6



RiversideCA.gov

6

DISCUSSION

All proposed changes to City Council Rules of Procedure and Order of Business will be reviewed by ICGC in monthly sessions and forwarded to City Council for discussion. A comprehensive report of committee recommendations and Resolution is anticipated to go to City Council at a later date.



7

RiversideCA.gov

7

REVIEW PROCESS

| Review Process | |
|----------------|---|
| 1 | A review of current processes and practices used. |
| 2 | Identification of advantages and disadvantages to existing process. |
| 3 | Review of other cities similar processes/practices. |
| 4 | Proposed recommendations to processes/practices. |



8

RiversideCA.gov

8

SESSION TWO

Items for review include:

- Section IX, C 4 – Preparation of the Packet
 - Section IX, C 6 – Distribution of the Packet
 - Section IX, F – Agenda Sequence and Order of Business
 - Time limit for Ward Updates
 - Establish presentation time limit for ceremonial proclamations
 - Public Comment
- Pulling Consent and Discussion Items - Notification to staff (24 hours)



9

RiversideCA.gov

9

REVIEW OF CURRENT PROCESS AND PRACTICES

Items for review include:

Section IX, C 4 – Preparation of the Packet

Not later than 5:00 p.m. twelve (12) days prior to each regular City Council meeting, the City Clerk shall prepare the packet. No item shall be considered by the City Council if not included in the packet, except as provided in section 4.05.050 of the Riverside Municipal Code.

Section IX, C 6 – Distribution of the Packet

Not later than 6:30 p.m. twelve (12) days prior to each regular City Council meeting, the City Clerk shall distribute the packet to the Mayor, each member of the City Council, the City Manager and the City Attorney. Twelve (12) days prior to each regular City Council meeting, the City Clerk shall distribute the packet to the designated department directors, and such other persons or institutions as the City Clerk shall deem necessary or appropriate. Paper or electronic copies of the packet shall be made for the news media and such other organizations, agencies, institutions or persons as may wish to subscribe to receipt thereof, and the City Clerk will make the packet available electronically on the City's webpage.

10



RiversideCA.gov

10

REVIEW OF CURRENT PROCESS AND PRACTICES

Items for review include:

Section IX, F – Agenda Sequence and Order of Business

- f. Brief reports on conference, seminars and regional events; Ward updates; Council comments; and announcements of upcoming events shall commence at 6:15 p.m.
- g. Ceremonial Matters (Establish presentation time limit for ceremonial proclamations)
- h. Oral communications from the audience regarding consent calendar items or matters within the jurisdiction of the City. Oral Communications shall commence at approximately 7:00 p.m., and may be moved or continued to later in the Council meeting at the discretion of the presiding officer, with the concurrence of the City Council.
- i. Consent Calendar. Removal of items from the Consent Calendar, shall be followed by one motion for action on uncontested Consent Calendar Items. Thereafter, removed consent items will be discussed immediately after the Discussion Calendar.



11

RiversideCA.gov

11

REVIEW OF CURRENT PROCESS AND PRACTICES

- The agenda packet development by 5 p.m.
- The agenda packet distributed no later than 6:30 p.m.
- Council comments; announcements shall commence at 6:15 p.m.
- Oral Communications shall commence at approximately 7 p.m..
- Items removed from the Consent Calendar will be discussed immediately after the Discussion Calendar.



12

RiversideCA.gov

12

ADVANTAGES OF CURRENT PROCESS

- The process clearly outlines benchmarks.
- Awareness of when agenda packets will be prepared and distributed.
- Agenda sequence provides each Councilmember an opportunity to provide an update.
- A set timeline for Oral Communications provides the public with a set time to arrive in the Art Pick Council Chambers to provide public comment.
- Ceremonial items are heard at the beginning of the meeting.
- Consent Calendar items can be discussed immediately.



13

RiversideCA.gov

13

DISADVANTAGES OF EXISTING PROCESS

- Equipment malfunctions or telecommuting.
- Agenda items that extend beyond 6:15 p.m. and 7 p.m.
- Impact of lengthy presentations can have on overall meeting time.
- Predefined times can impact the pace of the agenda.
- Oral Communications does not identify alternative public comment options.
- Staff are not in attendance or unprepared to discuss Consent Calendar items that are moved to Discussion.



14

RiversideCA.gov

14

PROCESSES USED IN OTHER CITIES

Ten California cities similar in size and demographics were selected for review. Cities selected include Anaheim, Bakersfield, Chula Vista, Fresno, Irvine, Long Beach, Oakland, Sacramento, Santa Ana, and Stockton.



15

RiversideCA.gov

15

PROCESSES USED IN OTHER CITIES

| Process/Practice | Findings |
|---------------------|--|
| Agenda Preparation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cities within the survey pool did not have an established timeline for agenda's to be prepared by. • Nine cities indicated agenda preparation completed pursuant to applicable state and local laws and council policy. • Within the context of applicable laws and policy, each city identified the window in which the agenda should be prepared, not a designated deadline. I.e. Seven days prior to meeting. |
| Agenda Distribution | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nine cities distributed packets subject to Sunshine Ordinances and/or in alignment with the Ralph M. Brown Act. • Chula Vista identified an agenda distribution timeline of the Thursday preceding the regular meeting. |



16

RiversideCA.gov

16

PROCESSES USED IN OTHER CITIES

| Process/Practice | Findings |
|-------------------|---|
| Order of Business | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There were no defined times set for brief reports and updates from Council Members among the ten cities surveyed. • Long Beach was the only city that included a timed agenda item or benchmark, requiring the consent calendar to be heard immediately after the pledge and prior to 6:30 p.m. • Long Beach limited the number of ceremonial presentations to no more than three per meeting with each presentation being no more than five minutes. • The location of Public Comment in each City's agenda sequence varied but was not assigned a specific time. • Two cities identify a Consent Calendar item may be pulled for discussion but did not outline the timeline for the discussion to occur. |



17

RiversideCA.gov

17

PROPOSED RECOMMENDATIONS TO PROCESS:

- Removal of time specific benchmarks associated with agenda packet preparation, agenda distribution, brief reports/updates, and oral communications.
- Replace "Oral Communications" with the term "Public Comment".
- Define Public Comment as any feedback provided by the public at a meeting in-person or telephonically or prior to the meeting by e-mail or e-comment.
- Limit presentations to no more than two per meeting with each presentation being no more than five minutes for proclamations and other ceremonial items.
- Establish an email notification process to provide staff with at least 24 hours to prepare/attend Council meeting when an item is pulled from the Consent Calendar to Discussion.



18

RiversideCA.gov

18

STRATEGIC PLAN ALIGNMENT

Strategic Priority No. 5 – High Performing Government

Goal No. 5.2 – Utilize technology, data, and process improvement strategies to increase efficiencies, guide decision making, and ensure services are accessible and distributed equitably throughout all geographic areas of the City.

Cross-Cutting Threads



Community Trust



Fiscal Responsibility



Sustainability &
Resiliency



Equity



Innovation



19

RiversideCA.gov

19

FISCAL IMPACT

The total impact to the General Fund will vary based on the recommendations of the Committee. It is estimated there may be General Fund staff savings if Council Referrals are approved by the full Council prior to staff spending time researching and preparing policy or discussion reports.



20

RiversideCA.gov

20

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Provide any recommendations deemed necessary to the current and/or proposed procedure for bringing matters before City Council and agenda sequence and order of business as documented in the City Council Rules of Procedure and Order of Business Resolution No. 23618; and
2. Request staff bring forth any specific language recommendations along with a corresponding resolution to the full City Council for discussion.



21

RiversideCA.gov