

LINE	RMC Code Section	RMC Language	Issues	Board of Ethics Recommendations	Staff Recommendations	JOINT ICGC/BOE AH Workshops Recommendations
1	2.78.010 Establishment of the Code of Ethics and Conduct.	Pursuant to Article 11, sections 5(a) and 7 of the California Constitution and Sections 200 and 202 of The Charter of the City of Riverside, there is hereby established by the City Council of the City of Riverside a Code of Ethics and Conduct for the Mayor, members of the City Council, and all members of appointed boards, commissions and committees which shall assure public confidence in the integrity of local government and its effective and fair operation.				
2	2.78.020 Purpose.	<p>The purpose of this Code of Ethics and Conduct is to achieve fair, ethical, and accountable local government for the City of Riverside. The people of the City of Riverside expect their public officials to comply with both the letter and the spirit of the laws of the United States of America, the State of California, the Charter of the City of Riverside, the Riverside Municipal Code, and established policies of the City of Riverside affecting the operations of local government. In addition, public officials are expected to comply with the provisions of this Code of Ethics and Conduct established pursuant to the expressed will of the people. All persons covered by this Code of Ethics and Conduct shall aspire to meet the highest ethical standards in the conduct of their responsibility as a public official of the City of Riverside.</p> <p>This Code of Ethics and Conduct is divided into two areas: Core Values and Prohibited Conduct. The Core Values are intended to provide a set of principles from which public officials of the City of Riverside can draw upon to assist them in conducting the public's business. As such, the Core Values are directory in nature and not subject to the complaint procedures set forth herein. The Prohibited Conduct are actions that public officials of the City of Riverside shall not engage in, and, as such, are subject to the complaint procedures set forth herein.</p>				
3	2.78.030 Scope.	<p>The provisions of this chapter shall apply to the Mayor, members of the City Council, and to all members of the boards, commissions, and committees appointed by the City Council, the Mayor, or the Mayor and City Council, including any <i>ad hoc</i> committees (collectively referred to herein as "public officials.") The provisions of this chapter shall also apply to all members of committees appointed by individual members of the City Council, Mayor, the City Manager or by department heads.</p> <p>Further, the provisions of this chapter shall apply to the Mayor and Members of the City Council at all times during their term of office as elected officials of the City of Riverside. However, the provisions of this chapter shall apply to all members of the boards, commissions, and committees only while they are acting in their official capacities or affecting the discharge of their duties.</p>				
4	2.78.040 Implementation.	To achieve the objectives of this chapter, the following mechanisms shall be followed.				
5	A.	All public officials upon election or re-election, appointment or re-appointment, shall be given a copy of this chapter and required to affirm in writing that they have received a copy of this chapter and understand its provisions.				
6	B.	Within 90 days of taking office, all new public officials shall be provided a training session which shall clarify the provisions and application of this chapter. These sessions shall be coordinated by the City Clerk with assistance from the City Manager and City Attorney.				
7	C.	The City Attorney, or his or her designee, shall serve as a resource to those persons covered by this chapter to assist them in understanding and abiding by the provisions therein. Use of outside legal counsel in lieu of the City Attorney to advise the Board of Ethics and its hearing panels on specific matters shall be at the discretion of the Board of Ethics. If outside legal counsel is so desired, the City Attorney shall contract with the appropriate party(ies).	Reference to outside counsel in RMC 2.80.040 (B)(8) Clarify at what point does the Board of Ethics or the Hearing Panel determine to exercise this provision?			<p>Add language that the Board of Ethics may delegate the power to seek outside legal representation to the hearing panel.</p> <p>Discussed if HP determines at the pre-hearing conference that they want to exercise use of outside counsel, this delegated authority could allow it.</p>
8	D.	All bodies whose members are covered by this chapter shall adopt rules of procedure which include the provisions of this chapter.				
9	E.	The chair of each board, commission or committee covered by this chapter is responsible to provide appropriate guidance to members of their respective bodies and, if need be, communicate concerns to the Board of Ethics.				
10	2.78.050 Core Values.	The people of the City of Riverside share a set of core values that constitute the guiding principles for the establishment of this chapter. These core values are expressed in the following aspirations:				
11	A.	To strive to create a government that is trusted by everyone. The public officials of the City of Riverside shall aspire to operate the City government and exercise their responsibilities in a manner which creates trust in their decisions and the manner of delivery of programs through the local government. The public officials shall aspire to create a transparent decision making process by providing easy access to all public information about actual or potential conflicts between their private interests and their public responsibilities. The public officials shall aspire to make themselves available to the people of the City to hear and understand their concerns. They shall aspire to make every effort to ensure that they have accurate information to guide their decisions and to share all public information with the community to ensure the community understands the basis of the officials' decisions.				
12	B.	To strive to make decisions that are unbiased, fair, and honest. The public officials of the City of Riverside shall aspire to ensure that their decisions are unbiased, fair, and honest. They shall strive to avoid participation in all decisions which create a real or perceived conflict of interest and to disclose any personal interest that could be perceived to be in conflict with the fair and impartial exercise of their responsibilities.				
13	C.	To strive to ensure that everyone is treated with respect and in a just and fair manner. The public officials of the City of Riverside have a responsibility to make extraordinary attempts to treat all people in a manner which would be considered just and fair. They shall strive to value and encourage input from members of the community and encourage open and free discussion of public issues. They shall strive to have all persons treated with respect as they come before the body on which they serve. They shall aspire to create an atmosphere of genuine interest in the point of view expressed by members of the community even if it differs from their own.				
14	D.	To strive to create a community that affirms the value of diversity. The public officials of the City of Riverside shall aspire to recognize and affirm the value of all persons, families, and communities within the City of Riverside. They will encourage full participation of all persons and groups, be aware and observe important celebrations and events which reflect the values of the City's diverse population, and provide assistance for those who find it difficult to participate due to language barriers or disabilities.				
15	E.	To strive to ensure that all public decisions are well informed, independent, and in the best interests of the City of Riverside. The public officials of the City of Riverside will encourage and support research and information gathering from verifiable sources. They will seek to ensure that information provided by the City Government to the public is accurate and clear. They will ensure that all information utilized in the decision making process, except that which by law is confidential, will be shared with the public.				
16	F.	To strive to maintain a nonpartisan and civic minded local government. The public officials of the City of Riverside shall affirm the value of a nonpartisan council-manager form of government.				
17	G.	To strive to ensure that all public officials are adequately prepared for the duties of their office. The public officials of the City of Riverside shall commit to participation in all orientation and training sessions which are presented to ensure full preparation for the exercise of their public duties.				
18	H.	To strive to ensure that appointed members of boards, commissions and committees attend regularly scheduled meetings. Appointed members of boards, commissions and committees of the City of Riverside shall make a diligent effort to attend all regularly scheduled meetings of their respective board, commission or committee.				

LINE	RMC Code Section	RMC Language	Issues	Board of Ethics Recommendations	Staff Recommendations	JOINT ICGC/BOE AH Workshops Recommendations
19	2.78.060 Prohibited conduct.	The following conduct is prohibited and shall be subject to the complaint procedures established in this chapter. It may be unethical for any public official to engage in one or more of the following prohibited actions:				
20	A.	<i>Use of official title or position for personal gain prohibited</i> . Public officials of the City of Riverside shall not use their official title or position for personal gain. Personal gain includes, but is not limited to, situations wherein a public official solicits or accepts items of value in consideration of their official title or position. This does not include obtaining benefits that are otherwise permitted or authorized by law. Therefore, acceptance of gifts shall otherwise be consistent with the requirements and limitations allowable by law. Public officials shall refrain from the following: (a) accepting gifts or favors that may compromise independent judgment or give the appearance of compromised judgment; (b) using official title for matters other than the official conduct of their office; and (c) engaging in decisions which would affect the level of compensation received for service except as otherwise required or allowed by law.				
21	B.	<i>Use or divulgence of confidential or privileged information prohibited</i> . Public officials of the City of Riverside shall not use or divulge confidential or privileged information obtained in the course of their official duties for their own personal gain, financial or otherwise, or for the gain of others, in a manner contrary to the public interest or in violation of any law.				
22	C.	<i>Use of City resources for non-City purposes prohibited</i> . Public officials of the City of Riverside shall not use or permit the use of City resources including, but not limited to, funds, seals or logos, time, personnel, supplies, equipment, identification cards/badges, or facilities for unapproved non-City activities, except when available to the general public, provided for by administrative rules, regulations, or policies, or approved by a majority of the City Council.				
23	D.	<i>Advocacy of private interests of third parties in certain circumstances prohibited</i> . No elected official of the City of Riverside shall appear on behalf of the private interests of third parties before the City Council; nor shall any appointed member of a board, commission or committee of the City of Riverside appear before their own body on behalf of the private interests of third parties, except for limited exceptions as provided for in the California Fair Political Practices Commission Regulations or otherwise by law.				
24	E.	<i>Endorsements for compensation prohibited</i> . No public official of the City of Riverside shall endorse or recommend, for compensation, any commercial product or service in the name of the City or in their official capacity within the jurisdictional boundaries of the City without prior approval of a City Council policy.				
25	F.	<i>Violation of Government Code §§ 87100 et seq., prohibited</i> . No public official of the City of Riverside shall violate Government Code §§ 87100, et seq., or any of its related or successor statutes, from time to time amended, regulating financial interests and governmental decisions made by public officials. If an ethics complaint is filed alleging a violation of this subsection, the City recognizes that the Fair Political Practices Commission (FPPC) is the primary enforcement authority of the Political Reform Act and that its decisions should be given great weight. As such, if a complaint is pending before the FPPC raising the same or similar violations based upon the same or similar facts, then the Board of Ethics may defer action on such allegation until completion of the FPPC action. If a complaint has not been filed with the FPPC raising the same or similar violations based upon the same or similar facts, then the hearing panel of the Board of Ethics, upon a four-fifths vote, may file a complaint with the FPPC and defer action on a complaint filed pursuant to this chapter until a final action by the FPPC. A ruling on the merits by the FPPC may be accepted by the Board of Ethics as a finding on the ethics complaint filed with the City.				
26	G.	<i>Certain political activity prohibited</i> . No public official of the City of Riverside shall coerce, or attempt to coerce, any of their subordinates or any other City employee to participate in an election campaign, contribute to a candidate or political committee, engage in any other political activity relating to a particular party, candidate, or issue, or to refrain from engaging in any lawful political activity. A general statement encouraging another person to vote does not violate this provision.				
27	H.	<i>Display of campaign materials in or on City vehicles prohibited</i> . No public official of the City of Riverside shall display campaign materials in or on any City-owned or provided vehicle under their control or operated by that official. In addition, no public official shall transport, store, or otherwise have any campaign materials located within any City-owned or provided vehicle under their control or operated by that official. Campaign materials include, but are not limited to, bumper stickers, signs, brochures, informational documents, buttons or other similar items.				
28	I.	<i>Knowingly assisting another Public Official in violating this Code of Ethics and Conduct prohibited</i> . No public official of the City of Riverside shall knowingly assist another public official in violating the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter, nor shall they engage any other person to assist them in any conduct that would constitute a violation of the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter.				
29	J.	<i>Negotiation for employment with any party having a matter pending prohibited</i> . No public official of the City of Riverside shall negotiate for employment with any third party at the same time that third party has a matter pending before the City Council, boards, commissions, committees, or City departments and upon which the public official must act or make a recommendation.				
30	K.	<i>Ex parte Contact in quasi-judicial matters prohibited</i> . No appointed official of the City of Riverside shall contact any elected official on any matter of a quasi-judicial nature before the City Council in which the appointed official participated. A quasi-judicial matter is any proceeding which may affect the legal rights, duties or privileges of any party to the proceeding and requires the public official to objectively determine facts and draw conclusions from those facts as the basis of an official action.				
31	L.	<i>Attempts to coerce official duties prohibited</i> . No elected official of the City of Riverside shall coerce, or attempt to coerce, any other public official in the performance of their official duties.				
32	M.	<i>Violations of federal, State, or local law prohibited</i> . No public official of the City of Riverside shall intentionally or repeatedly violate the Charter of the City of Riverside, the Riverside Municipal Code, or any established policies of the City of Riverside affecting the operations of local government, or be convicted of violation of any State or federal law pertaining to the office which they hold.			Possibly add what violations can be referred to DA or FPPC.	Must redefine M to clarify and/or allow complainant to state which specific statute or law was violated.

LINE	RMC Code Section	RMC Language	Issues	Board of Ethics Recommendations	Staff Recommendations	JOINT ICGC/BOE AH Workshops Recommendations
33	2.78.070 Complaint procedures.					Complaint procedures for pre-hearing conferences and hearings should be in separate sections
34		A. Only alleged violations of the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter shall be grounds for a complaint against any public official pursuant to this chapter.				Complaints are limited to Riverside community members unless the prohibited conduct occurred outside of city boundaries; should bear witness to the conduct within the compliant
35		B. Complaints shall be submitted on forms available from the City Clerk.				FORM NEEDS TO BE UPDATED TO REFLECT CHANGES TO RMC
36		C. Complaints and all required information and tangible evidence shall be filed with the City Clerk.				
37		Complaints shall include, but not be limited to, all of the following: 1.Name, address, telephone number and email address, if available, of the complainant; 2.Name and position of the public official against whom the complaint is made; 3.Date of the alleged violation; 4.The date the complainant became aware of the alleged violation; 5.The specific provision of the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter alleged to be violated; 6.Description of the specific facts of the alleged violation; 7.The names, addresses, telephone numbers and email addresses, if known, of each person the complainant intends to call as a witness at the hearing; 8.Copies of any and all documents, photographs, recordings or other tangible materials to be introduced and considered at the hearing; and 9.Signed under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California.				
38		E. Complaints shall be filed with the City Clerk within 180 calendar days of discovery of an alleged violation of this chapter, but in no event shall the complaint be filed later than three years from the date of the alleged violation. Discovery is defined as when the complainant knew or reasonably should have known of the alleged violation through the exercise of reasonable diligence.				Statute of limitation reduced to one year;
	INSERT	<b>The complainant may be permitted to submit one revised complaint to only add additional allegations of prohibited conduct. This updated complaint must be submitted to the City Clerk following the established policies and procedures within thirty (30) days of the original complaint being filed with the Clerk and within the 180 calendar days of discovery of an alleged violation of this chapter. The only amendment may be the addition of additional allegations of violations of prohibited conduct</b>		BOE approved 8/4/2022 for 2022 annual review regarding amending a complaint.		APPROVED
39		F. Upon filing of the complaint, the City Clerk shall review the complaint for completeness only. If the complaint is deemed incomplete the <b>City Clerk shall notify the complainant in writing within ten City business days as to the deficiencies.</b> A complaint shall not be deemed filed until the City Clerk accepts it as complete.	Define completeness. If complaint is not against a public official as noted in RMC 2.78.070 (D)(2), is this grounds to be deemed incomplete and not filed?			
	INSERT	<b>If an amended complaint is submitted to the City Clerk within the 30-day time frame, the City Clerk reviews the amended complaint for completeness only. The time frames established in the RMC for actions by the City Clerk, Hearing Panel and Ethics Board will be reset using the date that the amended complaint has been accepted and determined to be complete</b>		BOE approved 8/4/2022 for 2022 annual review regarding amending a complaint.		APPROVED
	INSERT	<b>The acceptance of the amended complaint is not deemed to be a continuance</b>		BOE approved 8/4/2022 for 2022 annual review regarding amending a complaint.		APPROVED
40		G. <b>Within 20 City business days of the City Clerk deeming the complaint complete, the City Clerk shall set the matter</b> for a pre-hearing conference before a hearing panel of the Board of Ethics and notify in writing the complainant and the public official against whom the complaint is filed of the date, time, and location of the pre-hearing conference. The pre-hearing conference date shall be within 45 City business days of the complaint being deemed complete.	Setting Pre-Hearing Conference. Add procedure for drawing Hearing Panel as noted under RMC 2.80.040 (B)(3).			RENAME PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE
41		H. The <b>City Clerk shall provide a copy</b> of the complaint and all required information and tangible evidence, without charge, to the public official against whom the complaint is made <b>within ten City business days</b> after the complaint is deemed complete.				
42		I. The public official against whom the complaint is made <b>shall file the following with the City Clerk no later than 20 calendar days prior to the date set for the hearing:</b> 1.A written reply to the complaint; 2.Copies of any and all documents, photographs, recordings or other tangible materials to be introduced and considered at the hearing; and 3.The names, addresses, telephone numbers and email addresses, if known, of any person the public official intends to call as a witness at the hearing.	For de novo hearings, does the public official get to submit a new reply? For example, Public official does not submit a reply for the original hearing but then when City Council directs a de novo hearing, does the Public Official have a new opportunity to submit a reply?		Move to Hearing Procedures.	Separate Pre-Conference from Hearing Procedures.  Public official or subject of the complaint should have the option to speak at the pre-hearing conference  Respondent shall have option to respond instead of "must respond";
43		J. The City Clerk shall provide a copy of the written reply and all required information and tangible evidence, without charge, <b>to the complainant no later than ten City business days after receipt</b> of the foregoing from the public official against whom the complaint is made.			Move it to Hearing Procedures	

LINE	RMC Code Section	RMC Language	Issues	Board of Ethics Recommendations	Staff Recommendations	JOINT ICGC/BOE AH Workshops Recommendations
44	2.78.080 Hearing procedures.		Procedures for pre-hearing conference and hearing should be in separate sections to be distinct.			
45	A.	The Board of Ethics shall have the authority to adopt hearing procedures not in conflict with this chapter. In addition to any hearing procedures adopted by the Board of Ethics, the following hearing procedures shall also apply.	Hearing Procedures need to be updated.			
46	B.	The pre-hearing conference and hearing on the merits are not formal judicial proceedings. The technical rules of evidence do not apply.	From 2020 Annual Review: 4. Add language explaining the legal recourse the parties may have during the hearing proceedings			Attorneys are not a part of pre-hearing conference proceedings.
47	C.	The Chair of the hearing panel of the Board of Ethics shall preside over the pre-hearing conference and hearing.	From 2020 Annual Review: 5. Define the City Attorney's (staff) role in guiding the Hearing Panel discussion			Perry noted that staff should be there to ensure that the rules are followed for legal opinions and using the City Clerk's office as a resource
48	D.	No witnesses, documents, photographs, recordings or other tangible materials, other than those submitted with the complaint or reply, shall be introduced at the hearing or considered by the hearing panel. However, witnesses, documents, photographs, recordings and other tangible evidence may be introduced and considered upon a finding by a majority of the hearing panel that the discovery of such evidence came to the awareness of the proponent after the filing of the complaint or reply and that the proponent disclosed such information to the City Clerk as soon as practicable after becoming aware of its existence. Any witnesses, documents, photographs, recordings and other tangible evidence subpoenaed by the hearing panel shall also be introduced and considered.	Who has subpoena power?		How does electronically submitted evidence get accepted such as urls or video clips? Practice has been that hyperlinks to documents are not acceptable since links may not work with time - we ask complainant to attach or provide actual document.	The burden of proof falls on the complainant.  Remove subpoena power language.
49	E.	The hearing panel of the Board of Ethics shall conduct a pre-hearing conference prior to a hearing date being set by the City Clerk. All parties are to attend the pre-hearing conference; however, the absence of any party at the pre-hearing conference shall not be grounds for a continuance and the pre-hearing conference shall proceed as if the absent party were present. The pre-hearing conference shall be conducted as follows:	From 2020 Annual Review: 6. Evaluate if a pre-hearing is necessary			ICGC/BOE AH determined pre-hearing conference is necessary to vet frivolous complaints.  Continuance should be allowed by BOE during the pre-hearing conference and/or hearing.
50		1.The hearing panel shall review the complaint to determine if it complies with all of the following to establish jurisdiction of the Board of Ethics: a.The complaint procedures section of this chapter have been followed; b.The complaint is against a public official set forth in the scope section of this chapter; c.The complaint alleges a violation of one or more of the provisions of the prohibited conduct section of this chapter; and d.The complaint does not restate allegations of violations that were the subject of a previous complaint.				Board of Ethics should review complaint for elements of sections allegedly violated and have an opportunity to ask questions at the pre-hearing conference
51		2.If a majority of the hearing panel determines that the complaint does not comply with all of the provisions of subsection E.1 above, the Chair shall state the findings of deficiency on the record and shall call for a vote of the hearing panel to dismiss the complaint without a hearing. A roll call vote of the hearing panel shall be taken by the City Clerk who will record the vote of each member of the hearing panel. The Chair of the hearing panel shall instruct the City Clerk to prepare a Statement of Findings for the hearing panel <i>to adopt at the next regular meeting of the Board of Ethics</i> . <b>This statement of findings shall be considered the final decision of the hearing panel and is immediately appealable</b> to the City Council pursuant to the appeal procedures section of this chapter. If a majority of the hearing panel determines that the complaint complies with all of the provisions of subsection E.1 above, then the pre-hearing conference shall proceed.	Is the intention for Pre-hearing conference findings to be appealable to the City Council?	<b>Pending recommendations to add language that the Chair may write the Statement of Findings under RMC 2.78.080 (N). Findings are to be adopted at the next regular meeting.</b>		Pre-hearing conference determination should not be appealable.
52		3.The hearing panel shall facilitate settlement discussions between the parties.	Define how settlement discussions can be facilitated by the hearing panel.			More formality to settlement discussions; possible mediator
53		4. The hearing panel shall review the submitted tangible evidence to determine if it is relevant to the issues raised in the complaint. If it is determined, by a majority vote, that any such evidence is irrelevant to the issues raised in the complaint, then such evidence will be deemed inadmissible at the hearing and shall be excluded.				
54		5. The complainant shall verbally present to the hearing panel any and all evidence, both tangible and testimonial, that will be presented at the hearing to prove the allegations in the complaint.	There is no provision for the public official to speak.			
55		6. The hearing panel shall determine, by a majority vote, whether the complainant has shown that the evidence, if taken as true, more likely than not shows that there may be a potential violation of the prohibited conduct section of this chapter.				
56		7. If it is determined by the hearing panel that it is more likely than not that there may be a potential violation of the prohibited conduct section of this chapter has occurred, then <b>the City Clerk shall set a hearing date on the complaint within 20 City business days of the pre-hearing conference. The hearing date shall be within 45 City business days following the pre-hearing conference.</b>	Per RMC 2.78.080 (N), is it necessary to prepare a Statement of Findings when the Hearing Panel determines "it is more likely than not that there may be a potential violations"? It is not specified here. Since the public official is required to submit a reply no later 20 days before the hearing, the hearing cannot be set for less than 21 days after the pre-hearing conference.			Status quo

LINE	RMC Code Section	RMC Language	Issues	Board of Ethics Recommendations	Staff Recommendations	JOINT ICGC/BOE AH Workshops Recommendations
57		8. If it is determined by the hearing panel that the complainant has failed to show that it is more likely than not that there may be a potential violation of the prohibited conduct section of this chapter, the Chair of the hearing panel shall instruct the City Clerk to prepare a statement of findings for the hearing panel <i>to adopt at the next regular meeting of the Board of Ethics. This statement of findings shall be considered the final decision of the hearing panel and is immediately appealable</i> to the City Council pursuant to the appeal procedures section of this chapter.	Is the intention for Pre-hearing conference findings to be appealable to the City Council?	<b>PROPOSED CHANGE BY BOE - Council approved introduced ordinance on 2/15/22:</b> 8. If it is determined by the hearing panel that the complainant has failed to show that it is more likely than not that there may be a potential violation of the prohibited conduct section of this chapter, the Chair of the hearing panel shall instruct the City Clerk to prepare a statement of findings for the hearing panel <i>to adopt at the next regular meeting of the Board of Ethics. Alternatively, the hearing panel may designate members of the hearing panel to prepare the findings. If members of the hearing panel prepare the findings, such findings shall be adopted at the next regular meeting of the Board of Ethics.</i> This statement of findings shall be considered the final decision of the hearing panel and is immediately appealable to the City Council pursuant to the appeal procedures section of this chapter.		Pre-hearing conference determination was not intended to be appealable; members reiterating the parties having the opportunity to speak;  Question: DOES THE BOE STILL WANT THE OPTION TO DESIGNATE THE HP MEMBERS or CHAIR TO WRITE FINDINGS?  Pre-hearing is like a settlement conference
58		9. The hearing panel may discuss and act upon whether or not any subpoenas are necessary to be issued by the hearing panel pursuant to Riverside Municipal Code section 2.80.040.A.5 and B.5.				Remove subpoena power
59		10. The hearing panel may set time limits for the parties to present their evidence at the hearing on the complaint.				
60		11. Other than as provided for above, no decision or ruling made by the hearing panel at the pre-hearing conference is appealable to the City Council pursuant to the appeal procedures section of this chapter until after there is a final decision by the hearing panel following a hearing on the complaint.	This appears to refer to the Hearing Panel finding in Step 7 above, this decision is not appealable until after the hearing.			Pre-hearing was intended to not be appealable; Huerta Board recommend that pre-hearing not be appealable; members reiterating the parties having the opportunity to speak; Pre-hearing is like a settlement conference
61		<b>Prior to the commencement of the hearing</b> , either party may ask the City Clerk for a continuance of the hearing on either of the following grounds: F. 1.The unavailability of the party at the hearing due to illness or other reason acceptable to the City Clerk; or 2.The unavailability of a witness identified in the complaint or reply.	This only applies to the hearing. Define "prior to the commencement of the hearing."	What is timely?		Continuance should be allowed by BOE during the pre-hearing conference and/or hearing.
62		G. Only one continuance of the hearing shall be granted by the City Clerk to each party. If a continuance is granted, the <b>City Clerk shall give written notice to all parties</b> of the new hearing date, time, and location <b>within 20 City business days of the granting of the continuance.</b>	The RMC does not specify number of days for new hearing date upon granting of continuance. Hearings could potentially be continued twice.	The Board of Ethics has expressed opposition to the City Clerk granting continuances.		
63		H. The complainant shall have the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence to establish a violation of the prohibited conduct section of this chapter. Preponderance of evidence means evidence that is more convincing and, therefore, more probable in truth and accuracy. The complainant shall proceed first. The complainant may, but is not required to, make an opening and closing statement, examine and cross-examine witnesses, reference particular tangible evidence submitted with the complaint or reply, and introduce rebuttal evidence. The complainant shall have a maximum of 15 minutes to make both an opening and closing statement, if desired. The apportionment of the maximum total time of 15 minutes shall be at the sole discretion of the complainant.	Add to Hearing Procedures that all parties and witnesses shall be sworn in by the City Clerk.			
64		I. The public official shall proceed second. The public official may, but is not required to make an opening and closing statement, examine and cross-examine witnesses, reference particular tangible evidence submitted with the complaint or reply, and introduce rebuttal evidence. The public official shall have a maximum of 15 minutes to make both an opening and closing statement, if desired. The apportionment of the maximum total time of 15 minutes shall be at the sole discretion of the public official.				
65		J. Neither party shall be allowed to examine the other party as part of their presentation of evidence. However, a party may cross-examine the other party as to any matters directly testified to by that party during their presentation of evidence. Cross-examination is limited to only those matters testified to by the party or witness during their presentation of evidence.				
66		K. During the hearing, any member of the hearing panel of the Board of Ethics may ask questions of the parties or witnesses.				
67		L. The parties may present rebuttal evidence. The complainant may present rebuttal to the public official's evidence following the completion of the presentation of evidence by the public official. The public official may offer rebuttal evidence to the complainant's evidence during the public official's presentation of evidence. The public official may also present evidence to rebut the complainant's rebuttal evidence. Rebuttal evidence must be evidence directly or indirectly refuting the evidence introduced by the other party.				
68		M. Upon the conclusion of evidence presented by the parties, the Chair shall facilitate verbal deliberations by the hearing panel.				
69		N. All findings shall be by a majority vote of the hearing panel and recorded by the City Clerk.		<b>PROPOSED CHANGE BY BOE - Council approved introduced ordinance on 2/15/22:</b> N. All findings shall be <u>approved</u> by a majority vote of the hearing panel and recorded by the City Clerk. <u>The hearing panel may approve such findings at the conclusion of the hearing and direct the City Clerk to prepare the findings or designate members of the hearing panel to prepare the findings. If members of the hearing panel prepare the findings, the hearing panel must consider and approve such findings within 30 days after the hearing, at a subsequent meeting of the hearing panel.</u>	"within 30 days" does not specify if Calendar or business days" and RMC 2.78.090 states the City Clerk "shall place the appeal on a regular City Council agenda within 30 City business days". Also, the style and format of the Statement of Findings should be brief and concise for consistency.	City Clerk "shall place the appeal on a regular City Council agenda within 30 <b>City business</b> days".  APPROVED



LINE	RMC Code Section	RMC Language	Issues	Board of Ethics Recommendations	Staff Recommendations	JOINT ICGC/BOE AH Workshops Recommendations
70		O. Within five City business days following the hearing, the City Clerk shall notify all parties in writing of the decision of the hearing panel and the appeal procedures.	This does not state whether the Chair shall direct the City Clerk to prepare the Statement of Findings. The Statement of Findings needs to be approved at the next regular meeting to be the final decision.	<b>PROPOSED CHANGE BY BOE - Council approved introduced ordinance on 2/15/22:</b> O. Within five City business days following the hearing <b>and approval of the findings</b> , the City Clerk shall notify all parties in writing of the decision of the hearing panel and the appeal procedures.	If the City Clerk is going to notify the parties of the decision of the hearing, it cannot be done within 5 business days if it is necessary to wait for the "approval of the findings written by members of the Hearing Panel". If it is the intention of the RMC to notify the parties as soon as possible so the parties may pursue appropriate action as soon as possible, then maybe the City Clerk can notify the parties of the decision without the findings being approved?	ADD after " <b><u>approval of the findings at the next regular Board of Ethics meeting.</u></b> "  After determination that violation of the prohibited conduct has occurred; and no appeal has been filed - a report to impose sanctions shall be referred to City Council.
71		P. No member of the Board of Ethics who is either the complainant, or the subject of a complaint filed pursuant to this chapter, shall sit as a member of the hearing panel considering such complaint and must recuse himself or herself as a member of that panel.	This provision should be moved to the section for drawing of the hearing panel by the City Clerk who then disqualies the official if they are party to the complaint.			
72		Q. Neither the complainant, nor the public official against whom a complaint is filed pursuant to this chapter, shall be represented at the hearing by an attorney. Although an attorney may be present and consulted at the hearing, the attorney shall not address the hearing panel or participate in any aspect of the hearing.				
73	<b>2.78.090 Appeal procedures.</b>					
74		A. A decision by the hearing panel <b>finding a violation of the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter shall be automatically appealed to the City Council. The City Clerk shall place the appeal on the agenda of a regular meeting of the City Council within 30 City business days of the hearing by the hearing panel and shall notify the parties in writing of the hearing date.</b>		<b>PROPOSED CHANGE BY BOE:</b> A. A decision by the hearing panel finding a violation of the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter shall be automatically appealed to the City Council. The City Clerk shall place the appeal on the agenda of a regular meeting of the City Council within 30 City business days of the <b>approval of the findings</b> by the hearing panel and shall notify the parties in writing of the hearing date.	This should be consistent with 2.78.080.	Replace "automatically" to "may be" appealed; if appealed, complainant must identify a clear error or an abuse of discretion.
75		B. A decision by the hearing panel of the Board of Ethics <b>not finding a violation of the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter may be appealed</b> to the City Council by either party. The appeal shall be taken by <b>filing a written notice of appeal with the City Clerk within ten City business days following the date of the hearing</b> by the hearing panel. The notice of appeal shall be in writing on a form provided by the City Clerk. <b>The City Clerk shall place the appeal on the agenda for a regular meeting of the City Council within 30 City business days of the filing of the notice of appeal. The City Clerk shall notify the parties in writing of the hearing date.</b>	From 2020 Annual Review: 2. Amend to include an automatic appeal to the City Council when the BOE denies complaint, similar to when BOE determines there was a violation			
76		C. If there is no appeal of the decision by the hearing panel, then the decision of the hearing panel shall become final and there shall be no further right to appeal.				
77		D. The record on appeal shall consist of a transcript of the hearing before the hearing panel, as well as all tangible evidence and testimony considered at the hearing. No new evidence will be received or considered by the City Council at the hearing on the appeal.				City council should be able to ask clarifying questions. HP Chair or designee should be present at the appeal.
78		E. The City Council shall review the record of the hearing to determine whether the hearing panel committed a clear error or an abuse of discretion based upon the record. If no such finding is made by a majority of the City Council, then the City Council shall adopt the decision of the hearing panel as the findings of the City Council on appeal. If there is a finding by the City Council of a clear error or an abuse of discretion by the hearing panel, then that finding shall be clearly stated and the matter shall be referred back to the Board of Ethics for a <i>de novo</i> (new) re-hearing of the matter in light of the findings on appeal.	From 2020 Annual Review: 1. Add a provision to the Code that would allow the Complainant, the Chair of BOE, and the public official to participate in the appeals process to address questions by the City Council 3. Request City Attorney add language that will allow public officials to speak and make comments during the appeal proceedings.	<b>PROPOSED CHANGE BY BOE - Council approved introduced ordinance on 2/15/22 but on BOE 8/4/22 meeting, BOE determined</b> <b><u>The de novo re-hearing shall be conducted before the same hearing panel convened in accord with Riverside Municipal Code section 2.80.040(B)(3a-i), except that a pre-hearing conference shall not be required. The hearing shall be conducted in accord with the hearing procedures set forth in the Riverside Municipal Code Section 2.78.080.</u></b>	Does language need to be added here or under the drawing of panels clarifying that if the original hearing panel cannot be convened due to attrition, then new members shall be appointed in the order drawn if qualified to serve or redrawn from the new members.  Add language establishing authority for the final resolve when City Council determines that the hearing panel committed a clear error or an abuse of discretion based upon the record during the de novo hearing.	RE "same hearing panel at de novo": add language that the same hearing panel to the extent possible shall be convened. ( <i>allowing for members no longer on the BOE.</i> )  Complainant must identify a clear error or an abuse of discretion; HP Chair or designee shall be present during appeal proceedings
79		F. If the City Council finds there is a violation of the Prohibited Conduct section of this chapter, then the City Council may determine sanctions in accordance with the enforcement and sanctions section of this chapter.				Discussion about when items are referred to district attorney and FPPC
80		G. The City Clerk shall notify both parties in writing of the findings and determination of the City Council on the appeal. The findings and determination of the City Council is final and there is no further right to appeal.				
81		H. Complaints, replies, supporting documentation, transcripts of hearings, notices of appeal, and all decisions thereon shall be public records and retained by the City Clerk for a period of at least two years.				
82		I. No <b>elect</b> ed official who is either the complainant, or the subject of a complaint, filed pursuant to this chapter shall participate in the appeal of a decision of the hearing panel considering such complaint and must recuse himself or herself from participation.	From 2020 Annual Review: 7. Change "elected official" to "public official."			Change to "public official"