

1 RESOLUTION NO.

2 A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF  
3 RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA, OPPOSING PROPOSED  
4 CALIFORNIA ASSEMBLY BILL 742 WHICH, IF PASSED,  
5 WOULD REMOVE THE ABILITY OF CALIFORNIA LAW  
6 ENFORCEMENT ORGANIZATIONS TO UTILIZE POLICE K-  
7 9'S AS A NON-LETHAL FORCE OPTION.

8 WHEREAS, the City of Riverside, California ("City") is a municipal corporation, duly  
9 organized under the constitution and laws of the State of California; and

10 WHEREAS, on February 13, 2023, Assemblyman Corey Jackson (D-Moreno Valley)  
11 introduced California Assembly Bill 742 which, if adopted, would prohibit the use of police K-  
12 9 units for apprehension and crowd control, as specified in the bill; and

13 WHEREAS, the City actively opposes California Assembly Bill 742 and considers the  
14 proposed bill an uninformed and counter-productive attempt to eliminate a lawful, effective,  
15 and legitimate non-lethal force option throughout the State of California; and

16 WHEREAS, the City promotes an acute understanding of the importance of de-  
17 escalation techniques and advocates for effective de-escalation tools, including the use and  
18 presence of police K-9's in appropriate situations; and

19 WHEREAS, the City advocates for and promotes the use of controlled and necessary  
20 non-lethal force options versus lethal force options when appropriate; and

21 WHEREAS, the City understands the incredible value of police K-9's and the  
22 invaluable non-lethal force option police K-9's provide for police officer's in dangerous  
23 situations; and

24 WHEREAS, the City recognizes and declares that police K-9's are the only use of force  
25 that can be "re-called" or "called-off" after being deployed but prior to an apprehension if  
26 necessary; and

27 NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Riverside,  
28 as follows:

Section 1: That police K-9s provide an effective de-escalation tool and non-lethal

1 force option for California law enforcement organizations.

2 Section 2: The City of Riverside firmly opposes California Assembly Bill 742 and  
3 considers the proposed legislation irresponsible, counter-productive, and unnecessary.

4 Section 3: The City of Riverside publicly voices said opposition to California  
5 Assembly Bill 742 and will work collaboratively with elected officials, the California Police  
6 Chief's Association, the League of California Cities, and other like-minded organizations to  
7 advocate against the passage of California Assembly Bill 742.

8 ADOPTED by the City Council this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2023.

9

10

\_\_\_\_\_  
PATRICIA LOCK DAWSON  
Mayor of the City of Riverside

11

12 Attest:

13

\_\_\_\_\_  
14 DONESIA GAUSE  
15 City Clerk of the City of Riverside

16 //

17 //

18 //

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

I, Donesia Gause, City Clerk of the City of Riverside, California, hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the City Council of said City at its meeting held on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2023, by the following vote, to wit:

Ayes:

Noes:

Absent:

Abstain:

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the official seal of the City of Riverside, California, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2023.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Donesia Gause  
City Clerk of the City of Riverside