

# Planning Commission Memorandum

Community Development Department

Planning Division

3900 Main Street, Riverside, CA 92522 | Phone: (951) 826-5371 | RiversideCA.gov

# PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING DATE: JULY 23, 2015 AGENDA ITEM NO.: 8

# PROPOSED PROJECT

Case Numbers	P12-0601 (Tentative Tract Map), P12-0697 (Rezoning) & P12-0698 (General Plan Amendment	)
Request	0.27 acres of an existing 5.08 acre pa	eloped parcels totaling 9.03 acres into 10
Applicant	Hank Jong, EGL Associates	
Project Location	Southwesterly corner of the intersection of Dauchy and Cactus Avenue.	
APN	276-040-009, 276-040-010	
Project area	Approximately 9.03 acres	CACTUS AV
Ward	4	HAF
Neighborhood	Alessandro Heights	
Specific Plan	None	FERRARI DR
General Plan Designation	HR- Hillside Residential & VLDR - Very Low Density Residential	
Zoning Designation	RC – Residential Conservation & R- 1-1/2 acre – Single Family Residential	NORTH
Staff Planner	Brian Norton, Associate Planner; 951	-826-2308; bnorton@riversideca.gov

# RECOMMENDATIONS

Pursuant to Chapters 2.40 and 19.050.030 of the Riverside Municipal Code, the Planning Commission shall review the proposed project subject to its consistency with the Zoning Code (Title 19) and Subdivision Code (Title 18); including the proposed Tentative Parcel Map, and Planned Residential Development Permit. The decision of Planning Commission to grant a tentative tract map shall require an affirmative vote of a simple majority of the membership present and voting. Specifically, staff recommends that the City Planning Commission:

- 1. **RECOMMEND** that the City Council **DETERMINE** that this proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment based on the findings set forth in the case record and recommend City Council adoption of a Mitigated Negative Declaration; and
- 2. **RECOMMEND ADOPTION** of the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) pursuant to CEQA Section 15097 and California Public Resources Code 21081.6; and
- 3. **RECOMMEND APPROVAL** of Planning Cases Numbers: P12-0601 (Tentative Tract Map P36370), P12-0697 (Rezone) & P12-0698 (General Plan Amendment), based on the findings outlined in the staff report and summarized in the attached findings and subject to the recommended conditions; and
- 4. **RECOMMEND ADOPTION** of attached exhibits 6-12 as approved project plans, subject to recommended conditions of approval.

# SITE BACKGROUND

The project consists of two contiguous lots, totaling approximately 9.03 acres. The larger of the two lots is approximately 5.10 acres in area and zoned R-1-1/2 Acre – Single Family Residential. The second parcel is approximately 3.93 acres in size and zoned RC- Residential Conservation. The site has an average natural slope ranging between 14.49 and 17.52 percent. Topography consists of hilly terrain with a gradual gradient towards the northeast portion of the site. In 2009, a building permit was secured to demolish an approximately 800 square foot single family residence and an 800 square foot detached structure. The site is currently vacant.

# PROPOSAL

The following applications have been submitted:

- Tentative Tract Map (36370)
- Rezone (Portion of R-1-1/2 acre to RC)
- General Plan Amendment (Portion of VLDR to HR)

As shown in Exhibit 8, the applicant is proposing to subdivide two lots, totaling 9.03 acres in size, into 10 residential lots, for future development of single family residences. Lots 1 and 2 are proposed on the westerly parcel, each consisting of at least 2.0 acres; while lots 3 through 10 will range in area from 21,789 square feet (0.5 acres) to 26,961 square feet (0.62 acres) and are proposed on the easterly parcel.

Future development of Lots 1, 3 and 4 will take access from Cactus Avenue; Lots 5, 6, 7 and 8 will take access from a newly created cul-de-sac and Lots 9 and 10 will take access from Ferrari Drive along the southerly portion of the project. Due to the terrain along the southerly portion of the project, and as part of half street improvements along Ferrari Drive, a small amount of grading will occur off-site on the parcel located southerly of Ferrari Drive.

As part of this proposal, the applicant has applied for a General Plan Amendment and Rezone of approximately 0.27 acres, to be designated from VLDR – Very Low Density Residential to HR – Hillside Residential and rezone from R-1-1/2 acre Zone to RC – Residential Conservation, to ensure Lots 1 and 2 satisfy the minimum 2.0 acre lot requirement of the RC Zone.

# **PROJECT ANALYSIS**

Authorization and Compliance Summary						
	Consistent	Inconsistent	N/A			
<i>General Plan 2025</i> The proposed project is consistent with the underlying General Plan 2025 land use designation of HR- Hillside Residential and VLDR – Very Low Density Residential, which will further the intent of the General Plan by facilitating in-fill development, while directly addressing the City's housing needs. Further, the project as proposed will continue the development pattern of high quality single-family residential uses in the Alessandro Heights area.	V					
<b>Zoning Code Land Use Consistency (Title 19)</b> The underlying RC – Residential Conservation Zone and R-1-1/2 acre – Single Family Residential Zone is consistent with the HR- Hillside Residential and VLDR – Very Low Density Residential General Plan land use designation. Development standards pertaining to development in the RC and R-1-1/2 zones are discussed below.	V					
<i>Compliance with Citywide Design &amp; Sign Guidelines</i> No development is proposed at this time. Once development on individual lots is proposed, plans will be reviewed to ensure compliance with the City's design guidance document. A Design Review submittal will be required.			$\checkmark$			

# COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

			Tentative Tract Map – R-1-1/2 Acre Zone (Title 19)									
Stan	dard		Proposed								Consistent	Inconsistent
						Lot						
		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	OS		
Avg. Natu	ıral Slope	12.81	15.95%	15.10%	11.93%	16.59%	13.61%	16.41%	16.29%			
Lot Area	½ ac	0.62 ac	0.58 ac	0.50 ac	0.52 ac	0.50 ac	0.52 ac	0.55 ac	0.52 ac	4.31 ac	$\checkmark$	
Lot Width	125 feet	Proposed lot design consistent with applicable development standards						da				
Lot Depth	150 feet											
Resid		12,333 sqft	12,051 sqft	16,169 sqft	14,146 sqft	16,281 sqft	13,023 sqft	15,725 sqft	13,131 sqft		$\checkmark$	
Pad Size		Propose	Proposed Pad Sizes are consistent with Section 17.28.020 – Hillside Grading Ordinance									
Density	2.odu/ac	Density is consistent with permitted densities of 2.0 du/ac										

Tentative Tract Map – RC Zone (Title 19)								
Stan	dard		Pro	oposed	Consistent	Inconsistent		
	Lot							
		1	2	OS				
Avg. Nat	ural Slope	18.19%	16.07%					
Lot Area	2.0 ac	2.05 ac	2.00 ac	4.05 ac	$\checkmark$			
Lot Width	130 feet	Propose	ed lot design c	$\checkmark$				
Lot Depth	100 feet		developm	$\checkmark$				
	lential	20,881 sqft	20,606 sqft		$\mathbf{\nabla}$			
Pad	Size	Proposed Pad Sizes are consistent with Section 17.28.020 – Hillside Grading Ordinance						
Density	o.5du/ac	Density is consistent with permitted densities at 0.5 du/ac						

# TENTATIVE TRACT MAP

The proposed map design can be supported as proposed, subject to the recommended conditions of approval. The proposed subdivision, which consists of an in-fill development, proposes to develop the site in compliance with the lot requirements of the underlying RC and R-1-1/2 acre zones and will allow for a logical subdivision of the subject lots in a manner consistent with the established neighborhood development patterns. This proposal will allow a residential density consistent with the surrounding neighborhood and has been designed to accommodate 10 conventional single family residential lots in compliance with the applicable standards of the underlying zones. Further, the proposed lots are compatible in size with other single family residential lots in the immediate area. Thus, it will allow for the future construction of single family residences of comparable size to those residences found throughout the surrounding neighborhood and in a manner compliant with all applicable site development standards.

#### Location and Access

As designed Lots 1, 3 and 4 will be served by Cactus Avenue, an existing, partially improved 80 foot collector. Lots 2, 9 and 10 will be served by Ferrari Drive, an unimproved 66 foot collector, used to access multiple properties to the west of the subject site. Lastly, Lots 5 through 8 will be served by a proposed 60 foot cul-de-sac, accessed via Dauchy Avenue. Off-site improvements including installation of sidewalk, parkway, curb and gutter along Cactus Avenue, Ferrari Drive, and Dauchy Avenue are proposed to facilitate access to the proposed subdivision. Based on the proposed subdivision design and consistency with the General Plan, staff does not anticipate that the amount of traffic generated by the subdivision will have a significant impact on the existing street system.

#### Walls and Fences

The site is currently vacant and is located immediately adjacent to other vacant property. As the proposal does not include a wall and fence plan, a complete wall and fencing plan will be required to be submitted and approved by staff prior to grading permit issuance.

#### Design Review

As noted earlier, no development of the newly created lots within this subdivision is proposed at this time. Per City policy, a Design Review application will be required to be submitted for consideration by Design Review staff prior to the issuance of any building permits to insure that the development of all lots will be in compliance with Citywide Design Guidelines and zoning standards. Separate applications and fees will be required for the Design Review application.

#### NEIGHBORHOOD COMPATIBILITY

The proposed in-fill single family residential subdivision and the future development of 10 single family residences will not be out of character in this area. The subject site is located within an established residential neighborhood. The surrounding properties to the east, north, and west are developed with single family residences on single family residential parcels of similar size as the ones proposed in this subdivision. Future development of the site will be subject to scrutiny through the City's Design Review process, insuring that future residences meet all applicable development standards and design policies.

# PUBLIC NOTICE AND COMMENTS

Public hearing notices were mailed to property owners within 300 feet of the site. No responses have been received by Planning Staff at this time. Staff received a letter from the adjacent property owner, to the west, indicating support of the project.

# **EXHIBITS LIST**

- 1. Staff Findings
- 2. Staff Conditions of Approval
- 3. Aerial Photo/Location
- 4. Existing General Plan Map
- 5. Existing Zoning Map
- 6. Proposed General Plan Map
- 7. Proposed Zoning Map
- 8. Proposed Subdivision Map
- 9. Proposed Grading Plan
- 10. Existing Site Photos
- 11. CEQA Document
- 12. Public Response Letters

Report and Recommendations Prepared by: Report and Recommendations Reviewed by:

Report and Recommendations Approved by:

Brian Norton, Associate Planner Kyle Smith, AICP, Senior Planner Jay Eastman, AICP, Interim City Planner Emilio Ramirez, Interim Community Development Director



# **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT** Planning Division

City of Arts & Innovation

# **EXHIBIT 1- STAFF RECOMMENDED FINDINGS**

PLANNING CASES:

P12-0601 (Tentative Tract Map) P12-0697 (ReZone) P12-0698 (General plan Amendament)

# STAFF FINDINGS

Staff Recommends that the City Planning Commission:

- 1. **RECOMMEND** that the City Council **DETERMINE** that this proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment based on the findings set forth in the case record and recommend City Council adoption of a Mitigated Negative Declaration;
- 2. **RECOMMEND APPROVAL** of Planning Case Numbers: P12-0601 (Tentative Tract Map), P12-0697 (ReZone) & P12-0698 (General Plan Amendment) based on the findings outlined in the staff report and summarized in the attached findings and subject to the recommended conditions;
- 3. **RECOMMEND ADOPTION** of attached exhibits 6-12 as approved project plans, subject to recommended conditions of approval.

Based on the following findings:

- a. The proposed subdivision, with the recommended conditions of approval, will facilitate future in-fill development, which is consistent with the goals, policies, and objectives of the General Plan, the Zoning Code and the Arlington Heights Neighborhood;
- b. The proposed subdivision will facilitate future development which is consistent with the goals, policies, and objectives of the General Plan, the HR-Hillside Residential land use designation, the VLDR-Very Low Density Residential land use designation and the Zoning Code with implementation of the recommended conditions of approval;
- c. With the recommended conditions of approval, the proposed subdivision will result in future development that will be consistent with surrounding development in this area, not materially detrimental to the health, safety and general welfare of the public or otherwise injurious to the environment or to the property or improvements within the area; resulting in no land use compatibility impacts;
- d. The proposed Zoning Code amendment will not adversely affect surrounding properties; and
- e. The project is consistent with and promotes the following General Plan policies:

Policy LU-3.1: Pursue methods to preserve hillside open space and natural habitat.

Policy LU-4.1: Adhere to the protections for hillside development set forth in Proposition R and Measure C.

<u>Policy LU-4.2</u>: Enforce the hillside grading provisions of the City's Grading Code (Title 17) to minimize ground disturbance associated with hillside development; respect existing land contours to maximum feasible extent.

<u>Policy LU-7.3</u>: Continue to require natural open space easements in conjunction with new development in hillside and arroyo areas over non-graded areas of the development.



# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Planning Division

# **EXHIBIT 2 - STAFF RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL**

## **CONDITIONS & GENERAL INFORMATION NOTES**

Case Number: P12-0698 (General Plan Amendment)

Meeting Date: July 23, 2015

## CONDITIONS

# Case Specific

- Planning
- 1. The HR Hillside Residential land use designation shall be applied to Lots 1 and 2 as shown on Exhibit 6 of the staff report.
- 2. All applicable conditions of related Planning Cases P12-0601 (Tentative Tract Map) and P12-0697 (Rezoning) shall apply to this project.

## Standard Conditions

#### • Planning

- 3. There shall be a two-year time limit in which to satisfy the approved conditions and finalize this action. Subsequent one-year time extensions may be granted by the City Council upon request by the applicant. Any extension of time beyond five years may only be granted after an advertised public hearing by the City Council.
- 4. All necessary parcel description describing the exact area to be rezoned shall be prepared, signed and sealed by a licensed Land Surveyor or Civil Engineer authorized to practice Land Surveying in the State of California for the area of the property to be rezoned. Descriptions are required to on 8 1/2 inch by 11 inch paper with the title "Attachment A" at the top.

# Public Works

THE FOLLOWING PUBLIC WORKS "ENGINEERING" CONDITIONS TO BE MET PRIOR TO CASE FINALIZATION:

- 5. All applicable conditions of related Planning Cases P12-0601 (Tentative Tract Map) shall apply to this project.
- Fire
- 6. No conditions

## • Public Utilities

- 7. No conditions
- Park and Recreation
- 8. No conditions

## **GENERAL INFORMATION NOTES**

- 1. Appeal Information
  - a. Actions by the City Planning Commission, including any environmental finding, may be appealed to the City Council within ten calendar days after the decision.
  - b. Appeal filing and processing information may be obtained from the Community Development Department, Planning Division, Public Information Section, 3rd Floor, City Hall.

#### CONDITIONS

#### Case Specific

- Planning
- 9. The RC Residential Conservation Zone shall be applied to Lots 1 and 2 as shown on Exhibit 6 of the staff report.
- 10. All applicable conditions of related Planning Cases P12-0601 (Tentative Tract Map) and P12-0698 (General Plan amendment) shall apply to this project.

## Prior to Grading Permit Issuance:

11. The Rezoning shall be finalized and adopted.

## Standard Conditions

#### • Planning

- 12. When all of the conditions of approval have been completed, the applicant shall apply for a request for processing through the Public Works Department to initiate finalization of this rezoning. A fee may be required.
- 13. There shall be a two-year time limit in which to satisfy the approved conditions and finalize this action. Subsequent one-year time extensions may be granted by the City Council upon request by the applicant. Any extension of time beyond five years may only be granted after an advertised public hearing by the City Council.
- 14. All necessary parcel description describing the exact area to be rezoned shall be prepared, signed and sealed by a licensed Land Surveyor or Civil Engineer authorized to practice Land Surveying in the State of California for the area of the property to be rezoned. Descriptions are required to on 8 1/2 inch by 11 inch paper with the title "Attachment A" at the top.

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION NOTES**

- 1. Appeal Information
  - a. Actions by the City Planning Commission, including any environmental finding, may be appealed to the City Council within ten calendar days after the decision.
  - b. Appeal filing and processing information may be obtained from the Community Development Department, Planning Division, Public Information Section, 3rd Floor, City Hall.

Case Number: P12-0601 (TM-36370)

#### **CONDITIONS**

#### Case Specific

## • Planning

- 1. All conditions approved by the Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission development review case ZAP1092MA13 shall apply.
- 2. Any future development shall comply with all development standards of the RC- Residential Conservation Zone R-1-1/2 Acre Zone and entitlement processes at the time such development is submitted for permitting.
- 3. Any proposed sewer lift stations shall not be located within front yard areas. The proposed sewer lift station shall be located along Dauchy Avenue and adequately screened to Staffs satisfaction.

#### Prior to Map Recordation

- 4. The landowner shall convey and have recorded an avigation easement to the March Inland Port Airport Authority.
- 5. The applicant shall work with Southern California Edison, Riverside Public Utilities, Public Works Department and the Planning Division to reflect existing above ground power poles along Ferrari Drive and a portion of Dauchy Avenue to be undergrounded.

#### Prior to Grading Permit Issuance

- 6. The Rezoning and General Plan amendment shall be finalized and adopted.
- 7. Tract Map 36370 shall be recorded.
- 8. Precise grading plans shall meet the adopted standards found under Section 17.28.020 of the Hillside/Arroyo Grading.
- 9. The applicant shall record an open space easement over the open space area to remain undisturbed and ungraded in perpetuity for Lots located in the RC Residential Conservation Zone (Lots 1 and 2).
- 10. \* During grading of the property, the bedrock milling features associated with CA-RIV-10255 and CA-RIV-10256 shall be moved to an open space location, as identified in Lot 1 and Lot 2 of Tentative Tract Map No. 36370 where they can be preserved in perpetuity in an undisturbed state. The Pechanga Tribe shall work with the project archaeologist and the grading contractor to identify the features to be relocated prior to any disturbance or grading within 100 feet of the archaeological site. Before construction activities are allowed to resume in the affected area, any visible artifacts shall be recovered and the features recorded using professional archaeological methods. Should subsurface resources or

features be identified during or as a result of the removal process, current archaeological protocols will be conducted, in consultation with the Pechanga Tribe, including but not limited to photo documentation, sketches, excavation, specialized testing if appropriate and artifact collection. The current Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) forms for CA-RIV-10255 and CA-RIV-10256 shall be updated by the project archaeologist, detailing which features were or were not relocated, the process taken and the feature' new location. The site records should clearly indicate that the features are not in their original location and why they were relocated.

- 11. \* Prior to beginning project construction, the project applicant shall retain a qualified archaeological monitor to monitor all ground-disturbing activities in an effort to identify any unknown archaeological resources. Any newly discovered cultural resource deposits shall be subject to a cultural resources evaluation.
- 12. \* At least 30 days prior to beginning project construction, the project applicant shall contact the Pechanga Tribe notify the Tribe of grading, excavation and the monitoring program and to develop a Cultural Resources Treatment and Monitoring Agreement between the Tribe and the Developer and Applicant. The Agreement shall address the treatment of known cultural resources, the designation, responsibilities and participation of professional Pechanga Tribal monitors during grading, excavation and ground disturbing activities; project grading and development scheduling; terms of compensation for monitors; and treatment and final disposition of any cultural resources, sacred sites and human remains discovered on the site.
- 13. \* Prior to beginning project construction, the project archeologist shall file a pre-grading report with the City of Riverside Planning Division to document the proposed methodology for grading activity observation which will be determined in consultation with the Pechanga Tribe. Said methodology shall include the requirement for a qualified archaeological monitor to be present and to have the authority to stop and redirect grading activities. In accordance with the agreement required in Cultural 2, the archeological monitor's authority to stop and redirect grading will be exercised in consultation with Pechanga Tribe in order to evaluate the significance of resources found on the property. Tribal and archaeological monitors shall be allowed to monitor all grading, excavation and groundbreaking activities and shall have the authority to stop and redirect grading activities.
- 14. \*All tree felling, brushing, grading or other habitat-removal activities during the avian breeding season (February 1st through August 31st) shall be avoided. However, should it be necessary to conduct tree felling, brushing, grading or other habitat-removal activities during the avian breeding season, a preconstruction nesting "sweep" of all areas within 300 feet of the proposed activity shall be required. The result of the survey shall be provided in a report to the City and Wildlife Agencies staff for concurrence with the conclusions and recommendations.
- 15. A detailed wall and fencing plan shall be submitted for review and approval by Design Review staff.
- 16. A 40-scale precise grading plan shall be submitted to the Planning Division and include the following:

- a. Hours of construction and grading activity are limited to between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. weekdays and 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Saturdays. No construction noise is permitted on Sundays or Federal Holidays;
- b. Compliance with City adopted interim erosion control measures;
- c. Compliance with all recommendations of the required Project specific Water Quality Management Plan;
- d. Compliance with any applicable recommendations of qualified soils engineer to minimize potential soil stability problems;
- e. Include a note requiring the developer to contact Underground Service Alert at least 48 hours prior to any type of work within pipeline easement; and
- f. The Project shall abide by the SCAQMD's Rule 403 concerning Best Management Practices for construction sites in order to reduce emissions during the construction phase. Measures may include:
  - i Development of a construction traffic management program that includes, but is not limited to, rerouting construction related traffic off congested streets, consolidating truck deliveries, and providing temporary dedicated turn lanes for movement of construction traffic to and from site;
  - ii Sweep streets at the end of the day if visible soil material is carried onto adjacent paved public roads;
  - iii Wash off trucks and other equipment leaving the site;
  - iv Replace ground cover in disturbed areas immediately after construction;
  - v Keep disturbed/loose soil moist at all times;
  - vi Suspend all grading activities when wind speeds exceed 25 miles per hour; and
  - vii Enforce a 15 mile per hour speed limit on unpaved portions of the construction site.

#### During Grading and Construction

- 17. To reduce diesel emissions associated with construction, construction contractors shall provide temporary electricity to the site to eliminate the need for diesel-powered electric generators, or provide evidence that electrical hook ups at construction sites are not cost effective or feasible.
- 18. To reduce construction related particulate matter air quality impacts of projects the following measures shall be required:
  - a. the generation of dust shall be controlled as required by the AQMD;
  - b. grading activities shall cease during periods of high winds (greater than 25 mph);

- c. trucks hauling soil, dirt or other emissive materials shall have their loads covered with a tarp or other protective cover as determined by the City Engineer; and
- d. the contractor shall prepare and maintain a traffic control plan, prepared, stamped and signed by either a licensed Traffic Engineer or a Civil Engineer. The preparation of the plan shall be in accordance with Chapter 5 of the latest edition of the Caltrans Traffic Manual and the State Standard Specifications. The plan shall be submitted for approval, by the engineer, at the preconstruction meeting. Work shall not commence without an approved traffic control plan.
- 19. \* The following mitigation measures should be implemented to reduce project-related adverse impacts to archaeological resources and sites containing Native American human remains that may be inadvertently discovered during construction of projects proposed in the City's General Plan Update:
  - a. In areas of archaeological sensitivity, including those that may contain buried Native American human remains, a registered professional archaeologist and a representative of the culturally affiliated Native American Tribe, with knowledge in cultural resources, should monitor all project-related ground disturbing activities that extend into natural sediments in areas determined to have high archaeological sensitivity.
  - b. If buried archaeological resources are uncovered during construction, all work must be halted in the vicinity of the discovery until a registered professional archaeologist can visit the site of discovery and assess the significance and origin of the archaeological resource. If the resource is determined to be of Native American origin, the Tribe shall be consulted. If the archaeological resource is determined to be a potentially significant cultural resource, the City, in consultation with the project archaeologist and the Tribe, shall determine the course of action which may include data recovery, retention in situ, or other appropriate treatment and mitigation depending on the resources discovered.

In the event of an accidental discovery of any human remains in a location other than a dedicated cemetery, the steps and procedures specified in Health and Safety Code 7050.5, State CEQA Guidelines 15064.5(e), and Public Resources Code 5097.98 must be implemented. Specifically, in accordance with Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 5097.98, the Riverside County Coroner must be notified within 24 hours of the discovery of potentially human remains. The Coroner will then determine within two working days of being notified if the remains are subject to his or her authority. If the Coroner recognizes the remains to be Native American, he or she shall contact the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) by phone within 24 hours, in accordance with PRC Section 5097.98. The NAHC will then designate a Most Likely Descendant (MLD) with respect to the human remains within 48 hours of notification. The MLD then has the opportunity to recommend to the property owner or the person responsible for the excavation work means for treating or disposing, with appropriate dignity, the human remains and associated grave goods within 24 hours of notification. Whenever the NAHC is unable to identify a MLD, or the MLD fails to make a recommendation, or the landowner or his or her authorized representative rejects the recommendation of the MLD and the mediation provided for in subdivision (k) of PRC Section 5097.94 fails to provide measures acceptable to the landowner, the landowner or his or her authorized representative shall re-inter the human

remains and items associated with Native American burials with appropriate dignity on the property in a location not subject to further subsurface disturbance.

- 20. The Construction Contractor shall time the construction activities so as to not interfere with peak-hour traffic and minimize obstruction of through traffic lanes adjacent to the site; if necessary, a flag person shall be retained to maintain safety adjacent to existing roadways.
- 21. Should cultural, historical or archeological items be found during grading and construction activity, the construction and grading of this project all activity shall be halted in the vicinity of the find and diverted until a qualified archeologist meeting the Secretary of the Interior standards can evaluate the nature and significance of the find. If human remains are uncovered, the applicant shall contact the County Coroner's Office.
- 22. The applicant shall be responsible for erosion and dust control during both the grading and construction phases of the project.
- 23. *Advisory:* State and Federal regulations require preparation and implementation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). Compliance with this requirement is enforced by the Water Quality Control Board.

## Prior to Building Permit Issuance

- 24. *Advisory:* Payment of Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) fees as applicable.
- 25. *Advisory:* Any applicable Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District facilities must be constructed to District standards, and District plan check and inspection will be required. Separate plan check and inspection fees apply.
- 26. The developer shall be subject to the payment of school fees and local traffic and transportation fees in accordance with City Ordinances, as applicable.
- 27. Property Owner(s) shall submit Administrative Design Review applications for the development of new residences on each lot. The site plan and building elevations will be subject to review and approval of Design Review staff. Separate applications and fees will be required.
- 28. Plans showing typical front yard landscape and irrigation design, including implementation of water quality management facilities on each lot, shall be submitted for Design Review staff approval. A separate applications and filing fee is required. Landscaping and irrigation plans must be submitted prior to building permit issuance and landscaping must be installed prior to occupancy of each residence.

#### Public Works

#### Prior to Map Recordation:

29. A "FINAL MAP" shall be processed with the Public Works Department and recorded with the County Recorder. The "FINAL MAP" shall be prepared by a Land Surveyor or Civil Engineer authorized to practice Land Surveying I the State of California and shall comply with the State Subdivision Map Act and Title 18 of the Riverside Municipal Code. All applicable checking and recording fees are the responsibility of the applicant.

Exhibit 2 - Staff Conditions Page 16

- 30. Deed for widening Cactus Avenue to 40 feet from monument centerline to Public Works specifications.
- 31. Installation of curb and gutter at 20 feet from monument centerline, sidewalk and matching paving on Cactus Avenue to Public Works specifications.
- 32. Installation of curb and gutter at 20 feet from monument centerline, sidewalk and matching paving on Dauchy Avenue to Public Works specifications.
- 33. Deed for widening Ferrari Drive to 33 feet from monument centerline to Public Works specifications.
- 34. Full half-street improvements on Ferrari Drive, sidewalk, curb and gutter at 18 feet northerly of monument centerline with roadway paving to 10 feet southerly of centerline to Public Works specifications. The proposed retaining walls along the southerly and westerly portions of the street are not allowed. A six foot wide graded shoulder with 2% maximum cross-slope will be provided along the southerly edge of the roadway along with 2:1 maximum cut and fill slopes to match existing ground line.
- 35. Full improvement of interior street based on residential cul-de-sac street standards.
- 36. Installation of sewers and sewer laterals to serve this project. Septic systems are not allowed for this subdivision pursuant to RMC 18.210.110. The nearset gravity line is in John F Kennedy at Wood Road, which is approximately 5,000 feet away. The elevation of the existing sewer pump station along the easterly side of Dauchy is too high to allow gravity service into it. Additionally, the existing pump station may not be adequately sized to accommodate flows from the proposed subdivision, and any improvements to increase the capacity will be the responsibility of the Developer.
- 37. Design and Construction of sewage lift station to serve lots which do not gravity flow into existing sewage lift station at intersection of Ferrari Drive and Dauchy Avenue to Public Works specifications.
- 38. The tentative map does not provide sufficient information regarding proposed drainage patterns or facilities to accept and convey flows. Installation of offsite drainage facilities near the corner of Cactus and Dauchy may be required to accommodate the proposed development. Storm drain design and construction will be contingent on engineer's drainage study as accepted by Public Works.
- 39. Off-site improvement plans to be approved by Public Works prior to map recordation.
- 40. A surety prepared by Public Works to be posted to guarantee the required off-site improvements prior to map recordation.
- 41. Size, number and location of driveways to Public Works specifications.
- 42. Prior to final inspection for the development project, the applicant shall pay the Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) in accordance with the fee schedule in effect at the time of payment. If the project improvements include qualifying right-of-way dedications and/or street improvements to a TUMF regional arterial roadway as identified on

the Regional System of Highways and Arterials, the developer may have the option to enter into a Credit/ Reimbursement Agreement with the City and Western Riverside Council of Governments (WRCOG) to recover costs for such work based on unit costs as determined by WRCOG.

The terms of the agreement shall be in accordance with the RMC Chapter 16.68 and the TUMF Administrative Plan requirements. Credit/reimbursement agreements must be fully executed prior to receiving any credit/reimbursement. An appraisal is required for credit/reimbursement of right of way dedications and credit/reimbursement of qualifying improvements requires the public bidding and payment of prevailing wages in accordance with Sate Law. For further assistance, please contact the Public Works Department.

- 43. Prior to issuance of a building or grading permit, the applicant shall submit to the City for review and approval, a project-specific WQMP that:
  - a. Addresses Site Design BMP's such as minimizing impervious areas, maximizing permeability, minimizing directly connected impervious areas, creating reduced or "zero discharge" areas and conserving natural areas;
  - b. Incorporates the applicable Source Control BMP's as described in the Santa Ana River Region WQMP and provides a detailed description of their implementation;
  - c. Incorporates Treatment Control BMP's as described in the Santa Ana River Region WQMP and provides information regarding design considerations;
  - d. Describes the long-term operation and maintenance requirements for BMP's requiring long-term maintenance; and
  - e. Describes the mechanism for funding the long-term operation and maintenance of the BMP's requiring long-term maintenance.
- 44. Prior to issuance of any building or grading permits, the property owner shall record a "Covenant and Agreement" with the County-Clerk Recorder or other instrument acceptable to the City Attorney to inform future property owners of the requirement to implement the approved project-specific WQMP. Other alternative instruments for requiring implementation of the approved project-specific WQMP include: requiring the implementation of the project-specific WQMP in the Home Owners Association or Property Owners Association Conditions, Covenants and Restrictions (C,C&R's); formation of Landscape, Lighting and Maintenance Districts, Assessment Districts or Community Service Areas responsible for implementing the project-specific WQMP; or equivalent may also be considered. Alternative instruments must be approved by the City prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits.
- 45. If the project will cause land disturbance of one acre or more, it must comply with the statewide General Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Construction Activity. The project applicant shall cause the approved final project-specific WQMP to be incorporated by reference or attached to the project's Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan as the Post-Construction Management Plan.
- 46. Prior to building or grading permit closeout or the issuance of a certificate of occupancy or certificate of use, the applicant shall:

- a. Demonstrate that all structural BMP's described in the project-specific WQMP have been constructed and installed in conformance with approved plans and specifications;
- b. Demonstrate that applicant is prepared to implement all non-structural BMP's described in the approved project-specific WQMP; and
- c. Demonstrate that an adequate number of copies of the approved project-specific WQMP are available for the future owners/ occupants.

## • Fire Department

Contact Margaret Albanese at 951-826-5825 for questions regarding fire conditions or corrections.

The following to be met prior to construction permit issuance:

- 47. Requirements for construction shall follow the currently adopted California Building Code and California Fire Code with City of Riverside amendments.
- 48. Construction plans shall be submitted and permitted prior to construction.
- 49. Any required fire hydrants shall be installed and operational prior to Fire Department release of permit.
- 50. Fire Department access is required to be maintained during all phases of construction.

#### Public Utilities

Contact Matt Bates for questions regarding water conditions or corrections:

- 51. *Advisory*: All utilities shall be satisfactorily relocated, protected and/or replaced to the specifications of the affected departments and agencies.
- 52. *Advisory*: Utility easements shall be provided and/or retained to the specifications of the affected departments and agencies.
- 53. *Advisory*: The provision of water facilities in accordance with the City of Riverside Public Utilities Water Rules.
- 54. *Advisory*: The provision of utility fees and charges in accordance with the City of Riverside Public Utilities Water Rules.

*Contact Summer Delgado at 951-826-2129 for questions regarding public utilities (electric) conditions/corrections listed below:* 

55. All utilities shall be satisfactorily relocated, protected and/or replaced to the specifications of the affected departments and agencies, and easements for such facilities retained as necessary.

56. The provision of utility easements, water, street lights and electrical underground and/or overhead facilities and fees in accordance with the rules and regulations of the appropriate purveyor.

## • Parks, Recreation and Community Services

## Prior to Map Recordation:

57. Dedicate Multi-purpose Recreational Trail Easements/rights- of-way, designated for nonmotorized use, along alignments as acceptable to the Park and Recreation Department and as necessary for implementation of the City's Multi-purpose Recreational Trails System. For questions or concerns regarding this condition, contact Trails Coordinator, 951-826-2000.

#### Prior to issuance of grading permit:

58. Grading for trail easement/rights of way areas, shall be subject to the review and approval of the Park, Recreation & Community Services Department. Grade trails 2%-4% with maximum 2% cross slope. No trail slope shall exceed 7%. Slopes/grades of driveway segments passing through trail easements shall match cross-slope of trail easement (usually 2%). Concrete driveways passing through trail easements shall have a rough broom finish to provide better traction for trail users. For questions or concerns regarding this condition, contact Trails Coordinator, 951/826-2000.

## Prior to Building Permit Issuance:

59. Payment of all applicable park development fees (local, regional/reserve, trail and aquatic) as mitigation for the impacts of the project on the park development and open space needs of the City. For questions or concerns regarding this condition, contact Park Planning & Design, 951-826-2000.

#### Standard Conditions

#### • Planning

- 60. There is a thirty-six-month time limit in which to satisfy the conditions and record this map. Six subsequent one-year time extensions may be granted by the City Planning Commission upon request by the applicant. Application for a one-year time extension must be made prior to the expiration date of the map. No time extension may be granted for applications received after the expiration date of the map.
- 61. Within 30 days of the approval of the project by the City the developer/subdivider shall execute an agreement, approved by the City Attorney's Office, to defend, indemnify, including reimbursement, and hold harmless the City of Riverside, its agents, officers and employees from any claim, action, or proceeding against the City of Riverside, its agents, officers, or employees to attack, set aside, void, or annul, an approval by the City's advisory agency, appeal board, or legislative body concerning this subdivision, which action is brought within the time period provided for in Section 66499.37 of the Government Code. The City will promptly notify the Developer/subdivider of any such claim, action or proceeding and the City will cooperate in the defense of the proceeding.

- 62. The applicant shall continually comply with all applicable rules and regulations in effect at the time permit is approved and exercised and which may become effective and applicable thereafter.
- 63. This project shall fully and continually comply with all applicable conditions of approval, State, Federal and local laws in effect at the time the permit is approved and exercised and which may become effective and applicable thereafter, and in accordance with the terms contained within the staff report and all testimony regarding this case. Failure to do so will be grounds for Code Enforcement action, revocation or further legal action.

# **GENERAL INFORMATION NOTES**

- 1. Appeal Information
  - b. Actions by the City Planning Commission, including any environmental finding, may be appealed to the City Council within fifteen calendar days after the decision.
  - c. Appeal filing and processing information may be obtained from the Community Development Department, Planning Division, Public Information Section, 3rd Floor, City Hall.



Exhibit 3 - P12-0601, P12-0697, P12-0698, Aerial Photo



Exhibit 4 - P12-0601, P12-0697, P12-0698, General Plan Land Use



Exhibit 5 - P12-0601, P12-0697, P12-0698, Zoning Map

0 70 140 280 420 560 Feet



Exhibit 6 - P12-0601-0697-0698, Proposed General Plan Land Use Designation



Exhibit 7 - P12-0601-0697-0698, Proposed Zoning



Exhibit 8 - P12-0601-0697-0698, Proposed Subdivision Map



Exhibit 9 - P12-0601-0697-0698, Proposed Grading Plan



Exhibit 9 - P12-0601-0697-0698, Proposed Grading Plan



Exhibit 9 - P12-0601-0697-0698, Proposed Grading Plan



Exhibit 10 - P12-0601-0697-0698, Existing Site Photos



# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Planning Division

# **EXHIBIT 11 - MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

WARD: 4

- 1. Case Number:P12-0698 (General Plan Amendment), P12-0697 (Rezoning) and P12-0601<br/>(Tentative Tract Map)
- 2. Project Title: Tentative Tract Map 36370
- 3. Hearing Date: July 23, 2015
- 4. Lead Agency: City of Riverside Community Development Department Planning Division 3900 Main Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Riverside, CA 92522
- 5. Contact Person: Brian Norton, Associate Planner Phone Number: (951) 826-2308, bnorton@riversideca.gov
- 6. **Project Location:** 14601 Dauchy Avenue, situated on the westerly side of Dauchy Avenue, between Ferrari Drive and Cactus Avenue
- 7. Project Applicant/Project Sponsor's Name and Address:

Applicant Hank Jong EGL Associates, Inc. 11819 Goldring Road, Unit A Arcadia, CA 91006

<u>Owner</u> Dauchy Villa Development, LLC 6006 Reno Avenue Temple City, CA 91780

- 8. General Plan Designation: HR Hillside Residential; VLDR Very Low Density Residential
- 9. Zoning: RC Residential Conservation; R-1-1/2 Acre Single Family Residential

#### 10. Description of Project:

The applicant is requesting 1) a General Plan Amendment (GPA) to amend the General Plan land use designation for approximately 0.27 acres of an existing 5.08-acre parcel from VLDR - Very Low Density Residential to HR - Hillside Residential; 2) a Zoning Code Map Amendment to amend the zoning designation of approximately 0.2 7 acres of an existing 5.08-acre parcel from the R-1-1/2 Acre – Single-family Residential

Zone to the RC – Residential Conservation Zone; and 3) a Tentative Tract Map (TM-36370) to facilitate the subdivision of two contiguous undeveloped parcels totaling approximately 9 acres into 10 lots.

The proposed grading for the project site primarily consists of two parcels, northerly of Ferrari Drive totaling approximately 9.0 acres and a small, off-site portion of an adjacent parcel to the south of Ferrari Drive. The larger of the two parcels is approximately 5.1 acres in area and has a General Plan land use designation of VLDR - Very Low Density Residential and is zoned R-1-1/2 Acre – Single-family Residential. The other parcel is approximately 3.84 acres in size and has a General Plan land use designation of HR - Hillside Residential and is zoned RC – Residential Conservation. The proposed GPA and Rezoning would place approximately 0.20 acres of the R-1-1/2 Acre in the RC Zone and is required to meet the minimum lot size as required by the RC Zone for lots 1 and 2 of the proposed tract map.

The proposed tract map will subdivide the subject site into ten lots ranging in size from 21,830 square feet (0.50 acres) in area to 94,448 square feet (2.17 acres), for future development of ten single family residences. Lots 1 and 2 will be placed in the RC Zone with access from Cactus Avenue and Ferrari Drive, respectively. Lots 3 through 10 will be placed in the R-1-1/2 Acre Zone. Lost 3 and 4 will gain access from Cactus Avenue, with lots 9 and 10 gaining access from Ferrari Drive. Lost 5 through 8 will gain access via a new 60-foot wide cul-de-sac street. None of the lots will gain access via Dauchy Avenue. The proposed tract map meets all of the development standards of the Zoning and Grading Codes, with no variances or grading exceptions requested.

	Existing Land Use	<b>General Plan Designation</b>	Zoning Designation
Project Site	Vacant Land	HR – Hillside Residential; VLDR – Very Low Density Residential	RC – Residential Conservation; R-1-1/2 Acre – Single Family Residential
North	Single Family Residential	VLDR – Very Low Density Residential	R-1-1/2 Acre – Single Family Residential
East	Single Family Residential	VLDR – Very Low Density Residential	R-1-1/2 Acre – Single Family Residential
South	Vacant Land	VLDR – Very Low Density Residential	R-1-1/2 Acre – Single Family Residential
West	Vacant Land	HR – Hillside Residential	RC – Residential Conservation

11. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

12. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financial approval, or participation agreement.):

None

- 13. Other Environmental Reviews Incorporated by Reference in this Review:
  - a. General Plan 2025
  - b. GP 2025 FPEIR
  - c. Cultural Resources Survey and Assessment prepared by Professional Archaeological Services, dated December 22, 2012
  - d. Habitat Assessment prepared by Vincent N. Schneidt Biological Consulting, dated February 2012
  - e. Project Specific Water Quality Management Plan prepared by EGL Associates, Inc., dated August 30, 2013

# 14. Acronyms

ALCIUZ	A In the lifetime Commental II at the Town Charles
AICUZ -	Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study
AQMP -	Air Quality Management Plan
AUSD -	Alvord Unified School District
CEQA -	California Environmental Quality Act
CMP -	Congestion Management Plan
EIR -	Environmental Impact Report
EMWD -	Eastern Municipal Water District
EOP -	Emergency Operations Plan
FEMA -	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FPEIR -	GP 2025 Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report
GIS -	Geographic Information System
GhG -	Green House Gas
GP 2025 -	General Plan 2025
IS -	Initial Study
LHMP -	Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
MARB/MIP -	March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port
MJPA-JLUS -	March Joint Powers Authority - Joint Land Use Study
MSHCP -	Multiple-Species Habitat Conservation Plan
MVUSD -	Moreno Valley Unified School District
NCCP -	Natural Communities Conservation Plan
OEM -	Office of Emergency Services
OPR -	Office of Planning & Research, State
PEIR -	Program Environmental Impact Report
PW -	Public Works, Riverside
RCALUC -	Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission
RCALUCP -	Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan
RCP -	Regional Comprehensive Plan
RCTC -	Riverside County Transportation Commission
RMC -	Riverside Municipal Code
RPD -	Riverside Police Department
RPU -	Riverside Public Utilities
RTIP -	Regional Transportation Improvement Plan
RTP -	Regional Transportation Plan
RUSD -	Riverside Unified School District
SCAG -	Southern California Association of Governments
SCAQMD -	South Coast Air Quality Management District
SCH -	State Clearinghouse
SKR-HCP -	Stephens' Kangaroo Rat - Habitat Conservation Plan
SWPPP -	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan
USGS -	United States Geologic Survey
WMWD -	Western Municipal Water District
WQMP -	Water Quality Management Plan
" Quit -	water Quality Management I fair

# ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.



**DETERMINATION:** (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation which reflects the independent judgment of the City of Riverside, it is recommended that:

The City of Riverside finds that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

The City of Riverside finds that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

The City of Riverside finds that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

The City of Riverside finds that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.

The City of Riverside finds that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name & Title

Date \_\_\_\_\_

For City of Riverside

 $\times$ 



# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Planning Division

City of Arts & Innovation

# Environmental Initial Study

# EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b. **Impacts Adequately Addressed.** Identify which effects from the above checklist were with in the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
  - c. **Mitigation Measures.** For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measure which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) The explanation of each issue should identify:
  - a. the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
  - b. the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. AESTHETICS. Would the project:				
a. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			$\boxtimes$	

1a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Master Plan of Roadways, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards and Parkways, Table 5.1-A – Scenic and Special Boulevards, and Table 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways)

The project site will facilitate the future development of 10 residential lots. Since the project site will be located in the RC and R-1-1/2 acre Zones, any future development of single family homes will require compliance with the RC and R-1-1/2 acre development standards. Further, a condition of approval will require the submittal of a separate Administrative Design Review case for each lot. The Design Review process will further ensure that the single family homes will be compatible with the surrounding area and all applicable development standards. As the site is generally surrounded by suburban development, the future development of up to 10 single family residences in compliance with the development standards of the proposed zones and any applicable conditions of approval will not have an adverse effect on any scenic vistas. Therefore, the proposed project will have **less than significant impacts** to a scenic vista.

b.	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	$\boxtimes$	
	within a state scenic lighway?		

1b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Master Plan of Roadways, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards, Parkways, Table 5.1-A – Scenic and Special Boulevards, Table 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways, the City's Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual, Title 20 – Cultural Resources and, Title 19 – Article V – Chapter 19.100 – Residential Zones - RC Zone)

There are no scenic highways within the City that could potentially be impacted. In addition the proposed project is not located along or within view of a scenic boulevard, parkway or special boulevard as designated by the City's General Plan 2025 and therefore will not have any effect on any scenic resources within a scenic roadway. Compliance with the City's Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual, scenic resources will be protected and even enhanced. The Zoning Code regulates building setbacks, building heights, land uses, landscaping, parking and other development standards for use and development of all properties. Lastly, a Cultural Resources Assessment was prepared, indicating that the site, including the prehistoric components (rock outcroppings) do not appear to qualify as historic resources. However, as noted in the assessment report the results of archaeological research demonstrate that the project area is sensitive for prehistoric resources. However, under SB18 consultation with the Pechanga Tribe occurred in regard to the Milling Slicks noted in the Cultural Resources Report. The Pechanga Tribe recommended mitigation measures be applied to the project for on-site monitoring and for the relocation of the two boulders noted as RIV-10255 and RIV-10256 to the open space easement on Lots 1 and 2. BY implementing the following mitigation measures the project will be **less than significant impact with mitigation**.

**Aesthetics 1:** During grading of the property, the bedrock milling features associated with CA-RIV-10255 and CA-RIV-10256 shall be moved to an open space location, as identified in Lot 1 and Lot 2 of Tentative Tract Map No. 36370 where they can be preserved in perpetuity in an undisturbed state. The Pechanga Tribe shall work with the project archaeologist and the grading contractor to identify the features to be relocated prior to any disturbance or grading within 100 feet of the archaeological site. Before construction activities are allowed to resume in the affected area, any visible artifacts shall be recovered and the features recorded using professional archaeological methods. Should subsurface resources or features be identified during or as a result of the removal process, current archaeological protocols will be conducted, in consultation with the Pechanga Tribe, including but not limited to photo documentation, sketches, excavation, specialized testing if appropriate and artifact collection. The current Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) forms for CA-RIV-10255 and CA-RIV-10256 shall be updated by the project archaeologist, detailing which features were or were not relocated, the process taken and the feature' new location. The site records should clearly indicate that the features are not in their original location and why they were relocated.

c. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings? imes

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
		Incorporated		

1c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 FPEIR, Zoning Code, Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines)

The proposed project is required to implement the General Plan 2025 goals and policies and will be subject to Design Review consistent with established Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines. Due to all these factors, direct, indirect and cumulative impacts on the visual character and quality of the area are less than significant impacts.

- d. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?
  - 1d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.1-2 Mount Palomar Lighting Area, Title 19 – Article VIII – Chapter 19.556 – Lighting, Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines)

The subsequent development of up to 10 single family residences will involve the introduction of new lighting typically associated with residential development. This lighting will be similar to that which exists in the surrounding area and will not be considered significant. Additionally, the site is not within the Mount Palomar Lighting Area. The impact is less than significant.

				-
2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES:				1
In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information complied by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:				
a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				$\boxtimes$
<ul> <li>2a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-2 – A) Appendix I – Designated Farmland Table)</li> <li>The Project is located within an urbanized area. A review of Figure</li> </ul>	- •e OS-2 – Ag	ricultural Suit	ability of the	General Plai
2025 reveals that the project site is not designated as Prime Far Importance, as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmlan Resources Agency. Therefore, the project will have <b>no impact</b> direc	d Mapping a	nd Monitoring	g Program of th	he California
b. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				$\boxtimes$
2b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-3 - W Figure 5.2-4 – Proposed Zones Permitting Agricultural Use A review of Figure 5.2-2 – Williamson Act Preserves of the Genera located within an area that is affected by a Williamson Act Preserve project site is not zoned for agricultural use and is not next to land have <b>no impact</b> directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	es, and Title 1 al Plan 2025 i e or under a V	<b>9)</b> FPEIR reveals Villiamson Ad	s that the proje et Contract. N	ect site is not foreover, the
c. Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section				$\boxtimes$
Draft Environmental Initial Study 4			P12-06	98-0697-06

Exhibit 11 - P12-0601-0697-0698, CEQA Document

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
12220(g)) timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?				
<b>2c. Response:</b> (Source: GIS Map – Forest Data) The City of Riverside has no forest land that can support 10-perce Therefore, <b>no impacts</b> will occur from this project directly, indirectly			es it have any	y timberland.
d. Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				$\boxtimes$
<b>2d. Response:</b> <i>(Source: GIS Map – Forest Data)</i> The City of Riverside has no forest land that can support 10-perce therefore <b>no impacts</b> will occur from this project directly, indirectly			es it have an	y timberland,
e. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				$\boxtimes$
<i>Forest Data)</i> The project is located in an urbanized area of the City. Additionally therefore does not support agricultural resources or operations. The farmland to non-agricultural uses. In addition, there are no agricultur proximity of the subject site. The City of Riverside has no fores Therefore, <b>no impacts</b> will occur from this project directly, indirect agricultural use or to the loss of forest land.	project will a ral resources t land that c	not result in the or operations, i an support 10-	e conversion ( including farm -percent nativ	of designated alands within e tree cover.
3. AIR QUALITY.				
Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:				
a. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>3a. Response: (Source: South Coast Air Quality Managen (AQMP))</li> <li>Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment California Association of Governments (SCAG) are considered conforecast numbers were used by SCAG's modeling section to forecast such as the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), the SCAQMD's A (TRIP), and the Regional Housing Plan. This project is consistent forecasts identified by the Southern California Association of Government California Association of Government (TRIP), and the Regional Housing Plan. This project is consistent forecasts identified by the Southern California Association of Government California Association of Government (TRIP). The project will have a less than significant impact dire of an air quality plan.</li> </ul>	and populati sistent with the st travel dema QMP, Region t with the pre- ernments (SC at with the Ge	on forecasts in the AQMP grow and and air qua nal Transportat ojections of en AG) that are concernal Plan 202	dentified by wth projection ality for plann tion Improven mployment an consistent with 5, it is also co	the Southern s, since these ing activities nent Program d population the General onsistent with
<ul> <li>b. Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
3b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3- Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District An Air Quality Model was conducted using CalEEMod. The resu project would generate emissions far lower than the SCAQMD three was determined to be less than significant directly, indirectly a contribute to an existing air quality violation.	s 2007 AQMI Its of the air sholds for sig	P, CalEEMod . quality model mificance for a	20013.2.2) showed that the ir quality emission	he propose ssions and
c. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non- attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				
<ul> <li>3c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Tal Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management Distri- 20013.2.2)</li> <li>Because the proposed project is consistent with the General Plan 202 result of the project were previously evaluated as part of the cumula Plan 2025 Program. As a result, the proposed project does not</li> </ul>	ct's 2007 Air 25, cumulative tive analysis of result in any	Quality Mana impacts relate of build out ant new significa	agement Plan, ed to criteria po icipated under ant impacts th	<i>CalEEMa</i> ollutants as the Genera at were no
previously evaluated and for which a statement of overriding consid FPEIR. Therefore, cumulative air quality emissions impacts are less d. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant	than signific		t of the Genera	u Plan 202

concentrations? 3d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 Air Quality Management Plan, CalEEMod 20013.2.2)

Short-term impacts associated with construction from General Plan 2025 typical build out will result in increased air emissions from grading, earthmoving, and construction activities. Mitigation Measures of the General Plan 2025 FPEIR requires individual development to employ construction approaches that minimize pollutant emissions (General Plan 2025 FPEIR MM AIR 1- MM AIR 5, e.g., watering for dust control, tuning equipment, limiting truck idling times). In conformance with the General Plan 2025 FPEIR MM AIR 1 and MM AIR 7 a CalEEMod computer model analyzed short-term construction and long-term operational related impacts of the project and determined that the proposed project would not exceed SCAQMD thresholds for short-term construction and long-term operational impacts. Therefore, the project will not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations and a **less than significant impact** will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively for this project.

e. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?			$\boxtimes$	
---	--	--	-------------	--

## 3e. Response:

While exact quantification of objectionable odors cannot be determined due to the subjective nature of what is considered "objectionable," the nature of the proposed 10 lot single family residential subdivision, associated infrastructure and related off-site improvements present a potential for the generation of objectionable odors associated with construction activities. The operation of subdivision is not typically associated with the generation of objectionable odors. However, the construction activities associated with the expected build out of the project site will generate airborne odors like diesel exhaust emissions, architectural coating applications, and on- and off-site improvement installations. However, said emissions would occur only during daylight hours, be short-term in duration, and would be isolated to the immediate vicinity of the construction site. Therefore, they would not expose a substantial number of people to objectionable odors on a permanent basis. Therefore, the project will not cause objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people and a **less than significant impact** directly, indirectly and cumulatively will occur.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:		Sec.		
<ul> <li>a. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?</li> </ul>	1			
<ul> <li>4a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – St Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHC Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHC prepared by Vincent N. Schneidt Biological Consulting, dat A habitat assessment prepared by a qualified biologist was prepared show that potential for suitable habitat exists, with the potential songbirds considered significant. As such, tree felling, brushing, g avian breeding season (March 1<sup>st</sup> through August 31<sup>st</sup>) shall be avo felling, brushing, grading or other habitat-removal activities during "sweep" of all areas within 300 feet of the proposed activity will be prepared with project will have a less than significant impact with mitigation with</li> </ul>	CP Cores and Area Plans, D Plant Specie CP Burrowin ted February for the project displacement grading or ot ided. Howeve the avian bur required. The the conclusion	A Linkages, Fi Figure 5.4-4 - es Survey Are og Owl Survey 2012) et. The finding impacts to ne her habitat-ren er, should it be reeding season result of the su ons and recom	igure OS-8 – MSHCP Crite a, Figure 5.4 Area, Habita sting raptors noval activitie e necessary to , a preconstru urvey shall be mendations. T	MSHCP Cell eria Cells and -7 – MSHCP at Assessment or migratory es during the conduct tree action nesting provided in a Therefore, the
<ul> <li>b. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>4b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – St Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHO Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCP - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine by Vincent N. Schneidt Biological Consulting, dated Febru As required under the MSHCP, a habitat assessment prepared by assessment finds the proposed project complies with Section 6.1.2 protection of riparian/riverine areas and vernal pools within the plan and other applicable requirements, impacts to any riparian habitat of or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Dep Services are found to have a less than significant impact directly, in</li> </ul>	CP Cores and Area Plans, F Plant Specie P Burrowing Areas and Ve ary 2012) a qualified w of the MSH area. Throug or other sensitive partment of F ndirectly and	d Linkages, Fi Figure 5.4-4 - es Survey Are Owl Survey A ernal Pools, He as prepared fo CP, which out th compliance ive natural con ish and Game	igure OS-8 – MSHCP Crita a, Figure 5.4 Area, MSHCP abitat Assessm or the project. lines the require with MSHCP nmunity ident	MSHCP Cell eria Cells and -7 – MSHCP Section 6.1.2 ment prepared The habitat irements and Section 6.1.2 tified in local
c. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				
4c. Response: (Source: City of Riverside GIS/CADME USGS The habitat assessment prepared by a qualified was prepared for thi substantial adverse effect, on federally protected wetlands as defined not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct remov no wetlands exist on site. Therefore, a less than significant impa federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean	s project dete l by Section 4 val, filling, hy act will occu	ermined that th 04 of the Clean ydrological inte r directly, indi	n Water Act (i erruption or ot rectly and cu	ncluding, but ther means as mulatively to

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interr	uption, or oth			
<ul> <li>d. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?</li> </ul>				
4d. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 – Figure of Refer to Response 4a. above.	OS-7 – MSH	CP Cores and	Linkage)	
e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>4e. Response: (Source: MSHCP, Title 16 Section 16.72.040 – Mitigation Fee, Title 16 Section 16.40.040 – Establishing Riverside Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual)</li> <li>Implementation of the proposed Project is subject to all applicable F to the protection of biological resources and tree preservation. In add Municipal Code Section 16.72.040 establishing the MSHCP min Threatened and Endangered Species Fees. Any project within the C street tree within a City right-of-way must follow the Urban Forest T for the planting, pruning, preservation, and removal of all trees in Ci based on national standards for tree care established by the Internat Association, and the American National Standards Institute. Any fur Manual when planting a tree within a City right-of-way, and therefor</li> <li>f. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?</li> </ul>	a Threatened ederal, State, dition, the pro- tigation fee City of Riversi ree Policy Ma ty rights-of-w tional Society ture project w	and local policy opect is required and Section 1 ide's boundari anual. The Ma yay. The speci- of Arboricult vill be in comp	gered Species cies and regula d to comply w 16.40.040 esta es that propos mual document fications in the ure, the National liance with the	Fees, City of ations related ith Riverside ablishing the es planting a tts guidelines e Manual are nal Arborists
<ul> <li>4f. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 – Figure and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Stephen Mathews Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan an Sobrante Landfill Habitat Conservation Plan)</li> <li>The proposed project is consistent with the guidelines of MSHCP, Urban/Wildlife Interface and related policies in the General Plan 20 consistent with the SKR HCP and with General Plan Policy OS- indirectly and cumulatively to the provisions of an adopted Habitat Plan, or other approved local, regional, or State habitat conservation plan</li> </ul>	s' Kangaroo nd Natural including Se 025, including 5.3. Impacts Conservation	Rat Habitat Community C ection 6.1.4, G g Policy LU-7 s will be less	Conservation Conservation 2 uidelines Pert .4. As well, t than signific	Plan, Lake Plan, and E aining to the the project is ant directly,
5. CULTURAL RESOURCES.				
<ul> <li>Would the project:</li> <li>a. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>5a. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.5-A Histor and Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code, by Professional Archaeological Services, dated December 2</li> <li>A Cultural Resources Assessment was prepared, indicating that the do not appear to qualify as historic resources. However, given the consultation with the Native American Heritage Commission and undertaken in accordance with SB18. The Tribe disagreed with the and has provided mitigation measures. By implementing the follow</li> </ul>	<i>Cultural Res</i> <i>2, 2012)</i> site, including nat the project d one Native conclusion the	g prehistoric co et proposes to e American T hat the milling	y and Assessm omponents (m amend the C ribe (Pechang slicks were no	<i>illing slicks),</i> feneral Plan, a) has been ot significant

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
---	--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	--------------

**Cultural 1:** Prior to beginning project construction, the project applicant shall retain a qualified archaeological monitor to monitor all ground-disturbing activities in an effort to identify any unknown archaeological resources. Any newly discovered cultural resource deposits shall be subject to a cultural resources evaluation.

**Cultural 2:** At least 30 days prior to beginning project construction, the project applicant shall contact the Pechanga Tribe notify the Tribe of grading, excavation and the monitoring program and to develop a Cultural Resources Treatment and Monitoring Agreement between the Tribe and the Developer and Applicant. The Agreement shall address the treatment of known cultural resources, the designation, responsibilities and participation of professional Pechanga Tribal monitors during grading, excavation and ground disturbing activities; project grading and development scheduling; terms of compensation for monitors; and treatment and final disposition of any cultural resources, sacred sites and human remains discovered on the site.

**Cultural 3:** Prior to beginning project construction, the project archeologist shall file a pre-grading report with the City of Riverside Planning Division to document the proposed methodology for grading activity observation which will be determined in consultation with the Pechanga Tribe. Said methodology shall include the requirement for a qualified archaeological monitor to be present and to have the authority to stop and redirect grading activities. In accordance with the agreement required in Cultural 2, the archeological monitor's authority to stop and redirect grading will be exercised in consultation with Pechanga Tribe in order to evaluate the significance of resources found on the property. Tribal and archaeological monitors shall be allowed to monitor all grading, excavation and groundbreaking activities and shall have the authority to stop and redirect grading activities and shall have the authority to stop and redirect grading activities and shall have the authority to stop and redirect grading activities and shall have the authority to stop and redirect grading activities and shall have the authority to stop and redirect grading activities. The pre-grading report shall also address the process and relocation area of the resources identified in Aesthetics 1.

**Cultural 4:** The following mitigation measures should be implemented to reduce project-related adverse impacts to archaeological resources and sites containing Native American human remains that may be inadvertently discovered during construction of projects proposed in the City's General Plan Update:

- a. In areas of archaeological sensitivity, including those that may contain buried Native American human remains, a registered professional archaeologist and a representative of the culturally affiliated Native American Tribe, with knowledge in cultural resources, should monitor all project-related ground disturbing activities that extend into natural sediments in areas determined to have high archaeological sensitivity.
- b. If buried archaeological resources are uncovered during construction, all work must be halted in the vicinity of the discovery until a registered professional archaeologist can visit the site of discovery and assess the significance and origin of the archaeological resource. If the resource is determined to be of Native American origin, the Tribe shall be consulted. If the archaeological resource is determined to be a potentially significant cultural resource, the City, in consultation with the project archaeologist and the Tribe, shall determine the course of action which may include data recovery, retention in situ, or other appropriate treatment and mitigation depending on the resources discovered.

In the event of an accidental discovery of any human remains in a location other than a dedicated cemetery, the steps and procedures specified in Health and Safety Code 7050.5, *State CEQA Guidelines* 15064.5(e), and Public Resources Code 5097.98 <u>must</u> be implemented. Specifically, in accordance with Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 5097.98, the Riverside County Coroner must be notified within 24 hours of the discovery of potentially human remains. The Coroner will then determine within two working days of being notified if the remains are subject to his or her authority. If the Coroner recognizes the remains to be Native American, he or she shall contact the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) by phone within 24 hours, in accordance with PRC Section 5097.98. The NAHC will then designate a Most Likely Descendant (MLD) with respect to the human remains within 48 hours of notification. The MLD then has the opportunity to recommend to the property owner or the person responsible for the excavation work means for treating or disposing, with appropriate dignity, the human remains and associated grave goods within 24 hours of notification. Whenever the NAHC is unable to identify a MLD, or the MLD fails to make a recommendation, or the landowner or his or her authorized representative rejects the recommendation of the MLD and the mediation provided for in subdivision (k) of PRC Section 5097.94 fails to provide measures acceptable to the landowner, the landowner or his or her authorized representative shall re-inter the human remains and items associated with Native American burials with appropriate dignity on the property in a location not subject to further subsurface disturbance.

	JES (AND SUPPORTING ORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	ral 5: The landowner shall relinquish ownership of all cultural ological artifacts that are found on the project area to the appro	and the second second second second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and the second se	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?				
	<b>Response:</b> (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Arch Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D – Cultural Assessment and Historic Structure Evaluation by Evan Jon o Response 5a above.	Resources S	Study and Ph	ase I Culture	
c.	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				$\boxtimes$
This Pr	<b>Response:</b> (Source: General Plan 2025 Policy HP-1.3 and Structure Evaluation by Evan Jones Company 2008, re-eva oject will be located on a site where no paleontological resources. Therefore, <b>no impacts</b> directly, indirectly and cumulative	<i>luated 2013)</i> rces exist as	defined in Sec	tion 15064.5 c	of the CEQA
d.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				
5d.	Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Arch Cultural Resources Sensitivity and Phase I Cultural Resour Evan Jones Company 2008, re-evaluated 2013)				

A Cultural Resources Study was prepared by Professional Archaeological Services on January 26, 2015 and while the site is not known to contain human remains a mitigation measure has been added in case of inadvertent discovery of human remains on-site.

**Cultural 4:** The following mitigation measures should be implemented to reduce project-related adverse impacts to archaeological resources and sites containing Native American human remains that may be inadvertently discovered during construction of projects proposed in the City's General Plan Update:

- c. In areas of archaeological sensitivity, including those that may contain buried Native American human remains, a registered professional archaeologist and a representative of the culturally affiliated Native American Tribe, with knowledge in cultural resources, should monitor all project-related ground disturbing activities that extend into natural sediments in areas determined to have high archaeological sensitivity.
- d. If buried archaeological resources are uncovered during construction, all work must be halted in the vicinity of the discovery until a registered professional archaeologist can visit the site of discovery and assess the significance and origin of the archaeological resource. If the resource is determined to be of Native American origin, the Tribe shall be consulted. If the archaeological resource is determined to be a potentially significant cultural resource, the City, in consultation with the project archaeologist and the Tribe, shall determine the course of action which may include data recovery, retention in situ, or other appropriate treatment and mitigation depending on the resources discovered.

In the event of an accidental discovery of any human remains in a location other than a dedicated cemetery, the steps and procedures specified in Health and Safety Code 7050.5, *State CEQA Guidelines* 15064.5(e), and Public Resources Code 5097.98 <u>must</u> be implemented. Specifically, in accordance with Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 5097.98, the Riverside County Coroner must be notified within 24 hours of the discovery of potentially human remains. The Coroner will then determine within two working days of being notified if the remains are subject to his or her authority. If the Coroner recognizes the remains to be Native American, he or she shall contact the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) by phone within 24 hours, in accordance with PRC Section 5097.98. The NAHC will then designate a Most Likely Descendant (MLD) with respect to the human remains within 48 hours of notification. The MLD then has the opportunity to recommend to the property owner or the person responsible for the excavation work means for treating or disposing, with appropriate dignity, the human remains and associated grave goods within 24 hours of notification. Whenever the NAHC is unable to identify a MLD, or the MLD fails to make a recommendation, or the landowner or his or her authorized representative rejects the recommendation of the MLD and the mediation provided for in subdivision (k) of PRC Section 5097.94 fails to provide measures acceptable to the landowner, the landowner or his or her authorized

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
representative shall re-inter the human remains and items associated on the property in a location not subject to further subsurface disturb		American buria	als with approp	oriate dignity
6. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:				
<ul> <li>Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>6i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 Appendix E – Geotechnical Report)</li> <li>Seismic activity is to be expected in Southern California. In the Ciproject site does not contain any known fault lines and the potential the project complies with all of development standards of Title 17 – Further, compliance with the California Building Code regulations ground will occur directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</li> </ul>	ty of Riversic for fault ruptu Grading Code	le, there are no tre or seismic s e without the n	Alquist-Priolo haking is low. eed for grading	o zones. The As designed g exceptions.
ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?				$\boxtimes$
<b>6ii. Response:</b> (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Append The San Jacinto Fault Zone located in the northeastern portion of southern portion of the City's Sphere of Influence, have the potent cause intense ground shaking. Because the proposed project compl associated with strong seismic ground shaking will have <b>no impact</b>	f the City, or tial to cause r ies with Calif	the Elsinore moderate to lar fornia Building	Fault Zone, lo ge earthquake Code regulati	s that would
iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>6iii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 Zones, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils Geotechnical Report)</li> <li>The project site is located in an area with very low potential for Liquefaction Zones Map – Figure PS-2. Compliance with the Califor related to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction wo cumulatively.</li> </ul>	s with High S or liquefaction rnia Building	Shrink-Swell P n as depicted Code regulation	otential, and A in the Genera ons will ensure	Appendix E - Il Plan 2025 that impacts
iv. Landslides?			$\times$	
6iv. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figur – Geotechnical Report, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, J Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan SWPPP)			by Steep Slope	
		s could occur nd removal of		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
		Incorporated		

## acre: SWPPP)

Erosion and loss of topsoil could occur as a result of the project. State and Federal requirements call for the preparation and implementation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) establishing erosion and sediment controls for construction activities. The project must also comply with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) regulations. In addition, with the erosion control standards for which all development activity must comply (Title 18), the Grading Code (Title 17) also requires the implementation of measures designed to minimize soil erosion. Compliance with State and Federal requirements as well as with Titles 18 and 17 will ensure that soil erosion or loss of topsoil will be **less than significant impact** directly, indirectly and cumulatively.

c. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?

 $\times$ 

6c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 – Regional Fault Zones, Figure PS-2 – Liquefaction Zones, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potential, Figure 5.6-1 - Areas Underlain by Steep Slope, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, and Appendix E – Geotechnical Report, Project Specific Geotechnical Report prepared by Geo-Environmental, Inc.)

The average natural slope of the subject site is 15.8 percent. As designed the project complies with all of development standards of Title 17 – Grading Code without the need for grading exceptions. Further, compliance with the City's existing codes and the policies contained in the General Plan 2025 help to ensure that impacts related to geologic conditions are reduced to less than significant impacts level directly, indirectly and cumulatively.

d. Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?

6d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, Figure 5.6-5 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potential, Appendix E – Geotechnical Report, and California Building Code as adopted by the City of Riverside and set out in Title 16 of the Riverside Municipal Code)

Expansive soil is defined under *California Building Code*. The soil type of the subject site is Fallbrook (See Figure 5.64 - Soils of the General Plan 2025 Program Final PEIR.) Compliance with the applicable provisions of the City's Subdivision Code-Title 18 and the California Building Code with regard to soil hazards related to the expansive soils will be reduced to a **less than significant impact** level for this project directly, indirectly and cumulatively.

e. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?						
<b>6e.</b> Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types) The proposed project will be served by sewer infrastructure. Therefore, the project will have no impact.						

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
7. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project:		1000		
<ul> <li>a. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?</li> </ul>				
<b>7a. Response:</b> Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment a considered consistent with the AQMP growth projections, since the section to forecast travel demand and air quality for planning activities the Regional Housing Plan. As the project is consistent with the City State's goals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels b reduction in GhG emissions below 1990 levels by 2050 as stated in 1 proposed project are expected to be far lower than the SCAQMD the have <b>less than significant impacts</b> with respect to GHG emissions.	ese forecast n es such as the r's General Pi y the year 20 Executive Ore	umbers were u RTP, the SCA lan, the project 20 as stated in der S-3-05. En	used by SCAC QMD's AQM t will not inter t AB 32 and a missions result	G's modeling P, RTIP, and fere with the in 80 percent ting from the
b. Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?			$\boxtimes$	
California Association of Governments (SCAG) are considered com- forecast numbers were used by SCAG's modeling section to forecast such as the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), the SCAQMD's A (RTIP), and the Regional Housing Plan. This project is consisten forecasts identified by the Southern California Association of Gover Plan 2025 "Typical Growth Scenario." Since the project is consisten the AQMP. The project will have a <b>less than significant i</b> implementation of an air quality plan.	st travel dema QMP, Region t with the pr nments (SCA nt with the G	and and air qua nal Transportat ojections of en G) which are o eneral Plan 202	ality for plann tion Improven mployment an consistent with 25 it is also co	ing activities nent Program d population h the General onsistent with
8. HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:				
<ul> <li>a. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?</li> </ul>				$\boxtimes$
8a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Ele Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Califor 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictiona	rnia Building 1 LHMP, 200	g Code, Rivers )4 Part 1, OEM	ide Fire Depo I's Strategic I	artment EOP, Plan)
The proposed project does not involve the transport, use, or disp residential subdivision. As such, the project will have <b>no impact</b> rel material either directly, indirectly and cumulatively.				
b. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				$\boxtimes$
<ul> <li>8b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Ele Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Fede Riverside's EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area Strategic Plan)</li> <li>The proposed project does not involve the use of any hazardous directly, indirectly or cumulatively for creating a significant hazard foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of ha</li> </ul>	<i>eral Regulati</i> – <i>Multi-Juri</i> materials. A l to the publi	ons, Californi sdictional LH s such the pro c or the enviro	ia Building ( MP, 2004 Pa oject will have	<i>Code, City of</i> <i>urt 1, OEM's</i> e no impact

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one- quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				
8c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety an CalARP RMP Facilities in the Project Area, Figure 5.13 Figure 5.13-3 AUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-E AUSD Boundaries, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 o Code)	3-2 – RUSD B Schools, Fi	oundaries, Ta gure 5.13-4	ble 5.13-D R	USD Schools, hool District
The proposed project does not involve any emission or handling of one-quarter mile of an existing school because the (The site is approximately 0.68 miles from the nearest school). emitting hazardous emissions or handling hazardous or acutely l quarter mile of an existing or proposed school directly, indirectly or	proposed Therefore, the hazardous mat	use is a project will l terials, substan	residential have <b>no imp</b> a	subdivision. act regarding
d. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				$\boxtimes$
<ul> <li>8d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-5 – H CERCLIS Facility Information, Figure 5.7-B – Regulat EnviroStor Database Listed Sites)</li> <li>A review of hazardous materials site lists compiled pursuant to Go site is not included on any such lists. Therefore, the project would h public or environment directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</li> </ul>	ted Facilities	in TRI Inform de Section 659	62.5 found the	.7-C – DTSC at the project
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	s t			
<ul> <li>8e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – A and March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Com Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (A The proposed project is located within Safety and/or Airport Con General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR for March Air Reserve Base Commission (ALUC) to ensure that the project is consistent with t land use standards in the RCALUP. Because the project has been impacts related to hazards from airports are less than significant impacts related to hazards from airports are less than significant impacts</li> </ul>	nprehensive 1 August 2005) mpatibility An . The project he compatibilit found to be c	tea 3 as depict was reviewed ity area as well onsistent with	n (1999), Ain ted on Figure by the Airpo l as in complia the RCALUC	5.7-2 of the ort Land Use ance with the P by ALUC,
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	1			
<b>8f. Response:</b> <i>(Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Air</i> Because the proposed project is not located within proximity of a project will not expose people residing or working in the City t would have <b>no impact</b> directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	private airstrip	o, and does not	t propose a pri	ivate airstrip,
g. Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				
<ul> <li>8g. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.7 – Haz EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisd Plan)</li> <li>The project will be served by existing, fully improved streets, include the served by existing.</li> </ul>	lictional LHM	P, 2004 Part 1	, and OEM's	Strategic

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
will be required to be designed to meet the Public Works and Fire I have a less than significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulati						
h. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?			$\boxtimes$			
8h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-7 – Fire Riverside's EOP, 2002, http://intranet/Portal/uploads/H Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1/Part 2 and The project site is located within a Very High Fire Severity Zones ( with respect to defensible space and clearing of vegetation adja California Government Code, the Building Code and local regulation directly, indirectly, and cumulatively on exposing people or structure wildland fires, including where wild lands are adjacent to urbanized	<b>Riv City EO</b> <b>OEM's Strat</b> VHFSZ). As cent to new ns, the project es to a signific	P complete.p tegic Plan) a result, specia structures. Wi t will have a les	df, Riverside al consideration th strict adhe ss than signifi	on is required prence to the icant impact		
9. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.	-	·	1	1		
Would the project: a. Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?						
<ul> <li>State's General Permit for Construction Activities, administered b measures will be required to be implemented to effectively contributed pollutants during construction. Given compliance with a surface water quality and the fact that the project will not result in project as designed is anticipated to result in a less than significate water quality standards or waste discharge.</li> <li>b. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for</li> </ul>	ol erosion an l applicable 1 a net increa	d sedimentation local, state, and se of surface	on and other nd federal lav water runoff,	construction- vs regulating the proposed		
<ul> <li>would not support existing land uses of planned uses for which permits have been granted)?</li> <li>9b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 – RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), Table PF-2 – RPU Projected Water Demand, Table PF-3 – Western Municipal Water District Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), RPU Map of Water Supply Basins, RPU Urban Water Management Plan, WMWD Urban Water Management Plan)</li> <li>The proposed project is located within the Riverside South Water Supply Basin. This proposed project involves a residential subdivision. The project is required to connect to the City's sewer system and comply with all NPDES and WQMP requirements that will ensure the proposed project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level. Therefore, there will be no impact to groundwater supplies and recharge either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</li> </ul>						
c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?			$\boxtimes$			
9c. Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan, and Project	Specific – H	ydrology Study		Pollution		

Draft Environmental Initial Study 15 Exhibit 11 - P12-0601-0697-0698, CEQA Document

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
---	--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	--------------

Prevention Plan, Project Specific Water Quality Management Plan prepared by EGL Associates, Inc., dated August 30, 2013)

The project is subject to NPDES requirements; areas of one acre or more of disturbance are subject to preparing and implementing a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for the prevention of runoff during construction. Erosion, siltation and other possible pollutants associated with long-term implementation of projects are addressed as part of the Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) and grading permit process. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to existing drainage patterns.



9d. Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan, and Project Specific – Hydrology Study, Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, Project Specific Water Quality Management Plan prepared by EGL Associates, Inc., dated August 30, 2013)

The project will not directly or indirectly result in any activity or physical alteration of the site or surrounding area, (i.e. through grading, ground disturbance, structures or additional paving) that would alter the existing drainage pattern of the site, alter the course of stream or river, or increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site because the project consists of a residential subdivision. Therefore no flooding on or off-site as a result of the project will occur and there will be **no impact** directly, indirectly or cumulatively that would substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site.

e.	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of		$\boxtimes$	
	polluted runoff?			

9e. Response: (Source: Project Specific Water Quality Management Plan prepared by EGL Associates, Inc., dated August 30, 2013)

Within the scope of the project is the installation of storm water drainage system. As the storm water drainage system will be installed concurrently with the construction of this project, the storm water drainage system will be adequately sized to accommodate the drainage created by this project. The project is expected to generate the following pollutants: sediment/turbidity, nutrients, trash and debris, oxygen demanding substances, bacteria and viruses, oil & grease, and pesticides. These expected pollutants will be treated through the incorporation of the site design, source control and treatment control measures specified in the project specific WQMP. Therefore, as the expected pollutants will be mitigated through the project site design, source control, and treatment controls already integrated into the project design, the project will not create or contribute runoff water exceeding capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff and there will be a **less than significant impact** directly, indirectly or cumulatively.

f. Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	] [			$\times$	
9f. Response: (Source: Project Specific – Stormwater	<b>Pollution</b> P	Prevention	Plan, Proje	ect Specific	Water <b>Oualit</b> y

Management Plan prepared by EGL Associates, Inc., dated August 30, 2013)

The project is over one are in size and is required to have coverage under the State's General Permit for Construction Activities (SWPPP). As stated in the Permit, during and after construction, best management practices (BMPs) will be implemented to reduce/eliminate adverse water quality impacts resulting from development. Furthermore, the City has ensured that the development does not cause adverse water quality impacts, pursuant to its Municipal Separate Storm System (MS4) permit through the project's WQMP. The proposed development will increase the amount of impervious surface area in the City. This impervious area includes paved parking areas, sidewalks, roadways, and building rooftops; all sources of runoff that may carry pollutants and therefore has the potential to degrade water quality. This development has been required to prepare preliminary BMP's that have been reviewed and approved by Public Works. Final BMP's will be required prior to grading permit issuance. The purpose of this requirement is to insure treatment BMP's are installed/constructed as part of the project so that the pollutants generated by the project will be treated in perpetuity. Therefore, impacts related to degrading water quality are **less than significant** directly, indirectly and cumulatively.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
g. Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				$\boxtimes$
9g. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flow Zone X, Map Number 06065C0710G Effective Date August A review of National Flood Insurance Rate Map and Figure 5.8-2 FPEIR, shows that the project is not located within or near a 100-ye by this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively as it will not place	28, 2008) – Flood Ha ar flood haz	zard Areas of ard area. There	the General F will be <b>no in</b>	Plan Program npact caused
h. Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				$\boxtimes$
Zone X, Map Number 06065C0710G Effective Date August The project site is not located within or near a 100-year flood has FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas and the National Flood place a structure within a 100-year flood hazard area that would imp directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	zard area as Insurance R	ate Map. The	refore, the pro	oject will not ct will occur
<ul> <li>Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?</li> </ul>				$\boxtimes$
5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas and the National Flood Insurance Ra General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard A within a flood hazard or dam inundation area that would expose peo death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failur indirectly or cumulatively will occur.	reas. Therefore or struct	ore, the projec ures to a signif	t will not plac icant risk of le	e a structure oss, injury or
j. Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				$\boxtimes$
<b>9j. Response:</b> <i>(Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.8 – Hydr</i> Tsunamis are large waves that occur in coastal areas; therefore, sinc due to tsunamis will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively			a coastal area	, no impacts
10. LAND USE AND PLANNING: Would the project:				
a. Physically divide an established community?			$\boxtimes$	
10a.Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urbe Riverside GIS/CADME map layers) The proposed project has been designed to be consistent with the pat adequate access, circulation and connectivity consistent with the requirements of the Zoning and Subdivision Codes. Therefore, the p significant.	tern of devel e General P	opment of the lan 2025, and	surrounding and 1 in compliant	rea providing ace with the
<ul> <li>b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?</li> </ul>				
10b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 202 – Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Title 19 – Zon				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
---	--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	--------------

Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 – Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines)

The proposed General Plan Amendment and Rezoning would place approximately 0.20 acres in the RC Zone and are required to meet the minimum lot size of the RC Zone for lots 1 and 2 of the proposed tract map. As such, the proposal will increase the amount of land designated as Residential Conservation and is generally consistent with the General Plan 2025. Further, although the project is located within the boundaries of the RCALUCP it has been designed to be consistent with the standards of the plan. As well, the project is not a project of Statewide, Regional or Area wide Significance. As such, this project will have a **less than significant impact** directly, indirectly or cumulatively.

c. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?			$\boxtimes$		

10c.Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 – Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, Table LU-5 – Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Redevelopment Areas, enter appropriate Specific Plan if one, Title 19 – Zoning Code, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 7 – Noise Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 – Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines

The proposed project is consistent with the guidelines of MSHCP, including Section 6.1.4, Guidelines Pertaining to the Urban/Wildlife Interface and related policies in the General Plan 2025, including Policy LU-7.4. As well, the project is consistent with the SKR HCP and with General Plan Policy OS-5.3. Impacts will be **less than significant** directly, indirectly and cumulatively to the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or State habitat conservation plan.

11. MINERAL RESOURCES.			
Would the project:			
a. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?		$\boxtimes$	

## 11a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – Mineral Resources)

The proposed project is within Mineral Resources area MRZ-3. The quarrying of have not been active for decades and most extraction sites are now beyond the urban periphery. Therefore, the project as proposed has **less than significant** impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively in the loss of known mineral resources that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state.

b.	Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general		$\boxtimes$
	plan, specific plan or other land use plan?		

## 11b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – Mineral Resources)

The GP 2025 FPEIR determined that there are no specific areas with the City of Sphere Area which have locally-important mineral resource recovery sites and that the implementation of the General Plan 2025 would not significantly preclude the ability to extract state-designated resources. The proposed project is consistent with the General Plan 2025. Therefore, there is **no impact**.

12.	NOISE	

 12.00	ould the project result in:		1. arts - 4	
a.	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?			

12a. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 Roadway Noise, Figure N-2 – 2003 Freeway Noise, Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Roadway Noise, Figure N-6 – 2025 Freeway Noise, Figure N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 – Riverside and Flabob Airport Noise Contours, Figure N-9 – March ARB Noise Contours, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria, FPEIR Table 5.11-1 –

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<ul> <li>Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report, Title 7 – N</li> <li>The future development of up to 10 single family residences is no noise levels. However, development of the property in the manner levels, primarily during construction. These activities will be subjein significant impacts would occur.</li> </ul>	Noise Code) of anticipated proposed cou	to cause long- ild result in ter	-term increases mporary increa	s in ambient uses in noise
b. Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>12b. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 - 2003 I Figure N-3 - 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 - 2025 Road N-7 - 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 - Riverside and I ARB Noise Contours, FPEIR Table 5.11-G - Vibration Sol - Noise Existing Conditions Report)</li> <li>A temporary increase in noise and vibration levels may be noticed will be subject to compliance with the City's Noise Ordinance and Also, with the development and use for up to 8 single family residen</li> </ul>	Iway Noise, F Flabob Airpo urce Levels F during project a less than	igure N-6 – 20 rt Noise Contr for Construction ct construction significant sho	025 Freeway N ours, Figure 1 on Equipment, a; however, the ort-term impac	Noise, Figur N-9 – March Appendix ( ese activities t will occur.
c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
<ul> <li>ARB Noise Contours, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use I Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report, Title 7 – N</li> <li>The future development of up to 10 single family residences is no noise levels. However, development of the property in the manner levels, primarily during construction. These activities will be subje no significant impacts would occur.</li> </ul>	e 5.11-E – 1 Noise Code) ot anticipated proposed cou	nterior and it to cause long ild result in ter	Exterior Noise -term increases mporary increa	e Standards s in ambient ases in noise
d. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>12d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.11-J – Construction I Conditions Report)</li> <li>The future development of up to 10 single family residences is no noise levels. However, development of the property in the manner levels, primarily during construction. These activities will be subje no significant impacts would occur.</li> </ul>	ot anticipated proposed cou	to cause long- ild result in te	-term increases mporary increa 'ity's Noise Or	s in ambient uses in noise
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				
12e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure N-8 – Rive – March ARB Noise Contour, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Air Reserve Base/March inland Port Comprehensive Land Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005)) The proposed project is located within Safety and/or Airport Com-	Use Noise C nd Use Plan	ompatibility C (1999),Air In	riteria, RCAL estallation Con	UCP, Marci npatible Us

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
the project is consistent with the compatibility zone as well as in co Because the project has been found to be consistent with the RC airports are less than significant impacts directly, indirectly and cur	CALUCP by .	h the land use		
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				$\boxtimes$
<ul> <li>12f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – A March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Compreh Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (A Per the GP 2025 Program FPEIR, there are no private airstrips w residing in the City to excessive noise levels. Because the proposed General Plan 2025, is not located within proximity of a private airstrip will not expose people residing or working in the City to excessive no no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</li> </ul>	<i>tensive Land</i> <i>lugust 2005)</i> within the Cit l project cons rip, and does	y that would ists of develop not propose a	<b>1999)and Air</b> expose people ment anticipa private airstrip	• Installation e working or ted under the p, the project
13. <b>POPULATION AND HOUSING.</b> Would the project:				
a. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>Population and Households Forecast, Table 5.12-B – Get 2025, Table 5.12-C – 2025 General Plan and SCAG Origination Projections 2025, Capital Improvement Program and SCAG The project involves the future construction of new homes that ma additional infrastructure that could indirectly induce population groups Rezoning would place approximately 0.20 acres in the RC Zone required by the RC Zone for lots 1 and 2 of the proposed tract m Hillside Residential and VLDR – Very Low Density Residential la 2025 Program and the additional infrastructure is consistent with the Final PEIR determined that Citywide, future development anticipate not have significant population growth impacts. Because the property that result in new impacts beyond those previously evaluated in the than significant both directly and indirectly.</li> </ul>	<i>Comparisons,</i> <i>G's RCP and</i> y directly ind wth. Howeve and are requ ap, the proje nd use design e General Pla d under the G osed project i ously evaluate	Table 5.12-DRTP)suce populationr, while the prinested to meetct is generallylation establishn 2025 Programegeneral Plan 20s consistent withd in the GP 20	- General F n growth, and toject's propose the minimum consistent with ed under the m. The Gener 025 Typical sc rith the Gener 025 FPEIR the	Man Housing may involve sed GPA and n lot size as ith the HR – General Plan al Plan 2025 enario would al Plan 2025 project does
b. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?			$\boxtimes$	
<b>13b. Response:</b> <i>(Source: CADME Land Use 2003 Layer)</i> The project involves the development of a vacant site and will resu While the project's proposed GPA and Rezoning would place appro meet the minimum lot size as required by the RC Zone for lots 1 ar consistent with the HR – Hillside Residential and VLDR – Very Lo under the General Plan 2025 Program and does not involve rezoning to a non-residential designation or to a different residential designa the site or that would result in the elimination of future housing u project will be <b>less than significant</b> directly, indirectly and cumulating	ximately 0.2 a ad 2 of the pro- w Density Re g land from an tion that wou mits anticipat	acres in the RC oposed tract m sidential land n existing resid ld lower reside	Zone and are ap, the projec use designatio lential land us ential densities	requested to t is generally n established e designation s planned for
c. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?			$\boxtimes$	
Draft Environmental Initial Study 20			P12-06	98-0697-0601

## Exhibit 11 - P12-0601-0697-0698, CEQA Document

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	тпраст
13c. Response: (Source: CADME Land Use 2003 Layer)		1	100.000	100 C # 113
The project will not displace any people, necessitating the constru- project site is proposed vacant land that has no existing housing proposed project. Therefore, this project will <b>have no impact</b> on p either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	or residents	that will be re	moved or affe	ected by the
14. PUBLIC SERVICES.				_
Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered			-	
governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
a. Fire protection?				$\boxtimes$
14a. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.13-B – Fire Station Statistics and Ordinance 5948 § 1)	Locations, T	Cable 5.13-C -	Riverside Fire	
addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, comp Fire Department practices, there will be <b>no impacts</b> on the demand indirectly or cumulatively. b. Police protection?				her directly,
-				$\boxtimes$
<b>14b. Response:</b> <i>(Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-8 – Net</i> The project consists of a 10 lot subdivision. Adequate police faciliti Policing Center to serve this project. In addition, with implementa existing codes and standards, and through Police Department pra additional police facilities of services either directly, indirectly or cur	es and service ation of Gene actices, there	es are provided eral Plan 2025	by the East No policies, com	pliance with
c. Schools?			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>14c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.13-2 – RUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-E – AUSD, Table 5.13-G – Stude Level, and Figure 5.13-4 – Other School District Boundaries. The project consists of a 10 lot subdivision. Adequate school facilit School District to serve this project. In addition, with implementate existing codes and standards, and through Riverside Unified School impact of new development, there will be less than significant in either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</li> </ul>	ent Generation es) ies and servion ation of Generation District Sch	ces are provide eral Plan 2025 ool District imp	and AUSD B d by the River policies, com pact fees used	by Education side Unified pliance with to offset the
d. Parks?			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>14d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 – Parecreation Facilities, Parks Master Plan 2003, GP 2025 Types, and Table 5.14-C – Park and Recreation Facilities II.</li> <li>The project consists of a 10 lot subdivision. Adequate park facilities is neighborhood to serve this project. In addition with implementate existing codes and standards, and through Park, Recreation and Cosignificant impacts on the demand for additional park facilities or set of the set of the</li></ul>	FPEIR Table Funded in the es and service tion of Gener ommunity Se	e 5.14-A – Par e Riverside Rei ces are provide eral Plan 2025 ervices practice	ils, Table PR- rk and Recrea naissance Initi d in the Arling policies, comp es, there will b	<i>ation Facility</i> <i>fative)</i> gton Heights pliance with be less than
e. Other public facilities?				
14e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure LU-8 – C Facilities, Figure 5.13-6 - Community Centers, Table 5.3	-		-	-

Draft Environmental Initial Study 21 Exhibit 11 - P12-0601-0697-0698, CEQA Document

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
---	--------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	--------------

## **Riverside Public Library Service Standards**)

The project consists of a10 lot subdivision. Adequate public facilities and services, including libraries and community centers, are provided in the Arlington Heights Neighborhood to serve this project. In addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Park and Recreation and Community Services and Library practices, there will be no impacts on the demand for additional public facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.

## **15. RECREATION.** Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood

or be accelerated?



15a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 – Parks, Open Spaces and Trails, Table PR-4 – Park and Recreation Facilities, Figure CCM-6 – Master plan of Trails and Bikeways, Parks Master Plan 2003, FPEIR Table 5.14-A – Park and Recreation Facility Types, and Table 5.14-C – Park and Recreation Facilities Funded in the Riverside Renaissance Initiative, Table 5.14-D – Inventory of Existing Community Centers, Riverside Municipal Code Chapter 16.60 - Local Park Development Fees, Bicycle Master Plan May 2007)

The General Plan 2025 analyzed the RC - Residential Conservation and VLDR - Very Low Density Residential General Plan Land Use for this property. The project is consistent with the adopted General Plan 2025 and will pay applicable Park Development Impact Fees to the City of Riverside Parks, Recreation and Community Services Department therefore this project will have a **no impact** directly, indirectly or cumulatively.

b.	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the	
	construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	

15b. Response:

The project will not include new recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities; therefore, there will be no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.

16. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project result in:		
a. Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?		

16a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Master Plan of Roadways, FPEIR Figure 5.15-4 Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service (LOS) (Typical 2025), Table 5.15-D – Existing and Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H – Existing and Typical Density Scenario Intersection Levels of Service, Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Intersection Improvement Recommendations, Table 5.15-J - Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at LOS E or F in 2025, Table 5.15.-K - Freeway Analysis Proposed General Plan, Appendix H – Circulation Element Traffic Study and Traffic Study Appendix, SCAG's RTP)

This project involves the future construction of up to 10 new single family residences and thus will result in a minimal increase in vehicular trips onto existing local streets both during and after construction. The proposed project would not generate additional vehicular trips either directly or indirectly, other than what has already been considered under the City's General Plan. Due to the proposal this project will not generate a significant number of additional vehicle trips, it is not anticipated that the LOS of any nearby intersections will be affected. Therefore, no significant change to the levels of

X

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
service of nearby intersections and only an incremental incre implementation of this project and the project's individual or cum policies pertaining to the performance of the circulation system will	nulative impa	ct to all appli		
b. Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				
<ul> <li>16b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H – Exis of Service, Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Inter – Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at L Proposed General Plan, Appendix H – Circulation H SCAG's RTP)</li> <li>This project involves the future construction of up to 10 new sing increase in vehicular trips onto existing local streets both during a generate additional vehicular trips either directly or indirectly, otl City's General Plan. Also, since this proposal will not generate a sin nearby intersection will not be affected. Therefore, no significant and only an incremental increase of traffic load or capacity are of project's individual or cumulative impact to all applicable plans, ord circulation system based upon the accessed levels in the adopted General c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an</li> </ul>	(LOS) (Typic ting and Typi rsection Impre OS E or F in Clement Traff le family resident after constant that gnificant nume change to the expected with inances or pol- neral Plan 202	cal 2025), Ta ical Density St ovement Reco 2025, Table St fic Study and dences and the struction. The thas already ber of addition levels of serv implementati icies pertainin	ble 5.15-D – cenario Inters mmendations, 5.15K – Free I Traffic Stud us will result is proposed pro- been consider- nal vehicle trip rice of nearby on of this pro- g to the perfor	Existing and ection Levels Table 5.15-J way Analysis by Appendix, in a minimal bject will not ed under the s the LOS of intersections bject and the mance of the
increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks? <b>16c. Response:</b> <i>(Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – A</i>	irport Safety	Zones and In	fluence Areas	. RCALUCP.
March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Compreh Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (A The proposed project is located within Safety and/or Airport Com General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR for March Air Reserve Base Compatibility Plan (RCALUCP). The project was reviewed by the the project is consistent with the compatibility zone as well as in co Because the project has been found to be consistent with the RCAL are less than significant impacts directly, indirectly and cumulative	tensive Land (ugust 2005)) apatibility Are as noted in Airport Land mpliance with UCP by staff.	Use Plan ( ea 3 as depict the Riverside Use Commiss a the land use	1999)and Air ted on Figure County Airpo ion (ALUC) to standards in th	5.7-2 of the ort Land use o ensure that he RCALUP.
d. Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				
16d. Response: (Source: Project Site Plans, Lane Striping and The proposed project is compatible with adjacent existing uses and as not to cause any incompatible use or additional or any hazards to of approval, the proposed cul-de-sac, all proposed driveways, side comply with the applicable development standards of the Riverside I than significant impact on increasing hazards through design or increasing e. Result in inadequate emergency access?	street configu the surroundin walks, walls/f Municipal Coo	rations. As w ng area or gen fences, and lar de. Therefore,	eral public. A ndscaping will this project wi	s a condition l be required ll have a <b>less</b>
<ul> <li>16e. Response: (Source: California Department of Transport Fire Code)</li> <li>The project has been developed in compliance with Title 18, Sect (California Fire Code 2007); therefore, there will be a less than a sign emergency access.</li> </ul>	ion 18.210.03	0 and the Cit	<i>nual, Municip</i> y's Fire Code	Section 503

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
f. Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities)?				$\boxtimes$
16f. Response: (Source: FPEIR, General Plan 2025 Land Mobility and Education Elements, Bicycle Master Plan, Sci The project, as designed, does not create conflicts with adopted transportation (e.g. bus turnouts, bicycle racks). As such, the p cumulatively on adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alter	hool Safety P 1 policies, pl project will	rogram – Wall lans or progra have <b>no imp</b> a	k Safe! – Drive ms supporting	e Safe!) g_alternativ
17. UTILITIES AND SYSTEM SERVICES. Would the project:				1
<ul> <li>a. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?</li> <li>17a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PF-2 - A</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>Sewer Permit (MS4), as enforced by the Regional Water Quality project would not exceed applicable wastewater treatment requirem sewer system or stormwater system within the City. Because the regulations related to wastewater treatment the project will have a less b. Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?</li> </ul>	ents of the R proposed pr	WQCB with r oject is requir	espect to disch	narges to th
<ul> <li>17b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 – RP Table PF-2 – RPU Projected Water Demand, Table P. Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), RPU, FPEIR Table RPU Including Water Reliability for 2025, Table 5.16-I - C J - General Plan Projected Water Demand for WMWL Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City of Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the Planni Facilities and Figure 5.16-6 – Sewer Infrastructure and Wo Although the project will be required to place a sewer pump of intersection of Dauchy and Cactus Avenues, the project as propose and does not exceed the densities for the VLDR and HR land uses, consistent with the Typical Growth Scenario of the General Plan 20 determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-E, 5.16-F, 5.16-G, 5.16- Final PEIR). Therefore, the project will have no impact resulting in facilities or the expansion of existing facilities directly, indirectly or</li> <li>c. Require or result in the construction of new storm water</li> </ul>	F-3 – Wester 5.16-G – Ge Current and H D Including of Riverside' ing Area Ser instewater Into n-site and up ed, is consister thus the prop 25 where fut H, 5.16-I, 5.1 the construct	ern Municipal meral Plan Pro- Projected Water Water Reliabit s Sewer Service wed by WMW egrated Master ograde the exi- ent with the ap- ject and upgra- ure water and y 16-J and 5.16-K- ion of new water	Water Distri ojected Water r Use WMWD ility 2025, Ta ce Area & Ta D, Figure 5.1 Plan and Cer sting pump st proved Genera de of the sewe wastewater gen X of the Genera	ict Projecta Demand for Table 5.1 ble 5.16-K ble 5.16-K ble 5.16-L 6-4 – Wath tified EIR., ation at th al Plan 202 or pumps ar neration wa al Plan 202
drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
<b>17c. Response:</b> <i>(Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-2 - Drainage Fac.</i> The increase in impervious surface area resulting from construction project will generate increased storm water flows with potential to additional facilities. However, the Subdivision Code (Title 18, Sec. City for new construction. Fees are transferred into a drainage facility	n of the 10 si impact draina tion 18.48.02	age facilities an 0) requires drai	nd require the inage fees to b	provision o e paid to th

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Control and Water Conservation District. This Section also con 66483), which provides for the payment of fees for construction of of the conditions of approval/waiver for filing of a final map or par- require the City to continue to routinely monitor its storm drain syste in the City's Capital Improvement plan. Implementation of these pa- drainage systems. The General Plan 2025 also includes policies and of the development of such facilities. Therefore, the project will have facilities that would not require the expansion of existing facilities de-	drainage facil cel map. Gene em and to fund olicies will en programs that ve less than s	e California ( ities. Fees are ral Plan 2025 d and improve sure that the C t will minimiz ignificant imp	required to be Policies PF 4. those systems ity is adequate the environm pacts storm w	e paid as part 1 and PF 4.3 as identified ely served by mental effects
<ul> <li>d. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?</li> <li>17d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-3 – Water Service)</li> </ul>				$\boxtimes$
<ul> <li>E – RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR, T – General Plan Projected Water Demand for RPU including and Projected Domestic Water Supply (acre-ft/year) WM WMWD, Table 5.16-J – General Plan Projected Water D RPU Master Plan, EMWD Master Plan, WMWD Master P The project will not exceed expected water supplies. The project is Scenario where future water supplies were determined to be adequand 5.16-J of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). Therefore, the pro- water supplies either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</li> </ul>	ng Water Rela WD Table 5. emand for W lan, and High consistent with tate (see Table	iability for 202 16-I Current MWD Include agrove Water I th the General es t.16-E, 5.16	25, Table 5.16 t and Projecte ing Water Rel District Maste Plan 2025 Typ 5-F, 5.16-G, 5.	-H – Current ed Water Use liability 2025, r Plan) pical Growth .16-H, 5.16-I
<ul> <li>e. Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>17e. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-5 - Sewer Service 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the Planning Master Plan and Certified EIR)</li> <li>The project will not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of (I consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Growth Scenario wh adequate (see Table 5.16-K of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR).</li> <li>anticipates and provides for this type of project. Therefore, no in cumulatively will occur.</li> </ul>	City of Rivers g Area Served Regional Wate ere future was Further, the cu	side's Sewer S I by WMWD, er Quality Con stewater gener urrent Wastewa	tervice Area, 1 and Wastewar trol Board). T ation was dete ater Treatment	Table 5.16-L         ter Integrated         The project is         rmined to be         Master Plan
f. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				$\boxtimes$
<ul> <li>17f. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.16-A – Existing Landy Generation from the Planning Area)</li> <li>The project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Buil determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-A and 5.16-M of the Ge landfill capacity will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</li> </ul>	d-out Project	level where f	uture landfill	capacity was
g. Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				$\boxtimes$
<b>17g. Response:</b> <i>(Source: California Integrated Waste Manager</i> ) The California Integrated Waste Management Act under the Public I least 50% of all solid waste generated by January 1, 2000. The C above State requirements. In addition, the California Green Building hazardous construction and demolition debris for all projects and 1 non-residential projects beginning January 1, 2011. The proposed requirements as well as the California Green Building Code and as s regulations related to solid waste. Therefore, <b>no impacts</b> related t	Resource Cod City is curren g Code require 00% of excav l project mus uch would no	e requires that tly achieving a es all developm vated soil and t comply with t conflict with	local jurisdict a 60% diversi nents to divert land clearing the City's w any Federal, S	ions divert at on rate, well 50% of non- debris for all aste disposal State, or local

## ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):

Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	Significant

cumulatively.

<b>18. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.</b>		_		
a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or an endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				
<ul> <li>18a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – M Cell Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSH and Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endem Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCH - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine prepared by Osborne Biological Consulting on January 2. Neighborhood Conservation Areas, Figure 5.5-1 - Archaeo Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside</li> <li>Potential impacts related to habitat of fish or wildlife species were Initial Study, and were all found to be less than significant with m archaeological and paleontological resources related to major period prehistory were discussed in the Cultural Resources Section of the</li> </ul>	ASHCP Core CP Area Pla ic Plant Spec P Burrowing e Areas and 5, 2013, FPE logical Sensit Municipal C discussed in itigation. Alls of Caliform	s and Linkag ns, Figure 5.4 vies Survey An Owl Survey A Vernal Pool CIR Table 5.5 tivity, Figure ode) the Biologica dditionally, po ia and the Ci	es, Figure OS 4-4 - MSHCP rea, Figure 5.4 Area, MSHCP s, and Habita 5.5-2 - Prehist I Resources Se otential impact ty of Riverside	S-8 – MSHC Criteria Cel 4-7 – MSHC Section 6.1. Assessmen Districts an toric Cultura ection of this to cultural e's history o
b. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited,				
b. Does the project nave impacts that are individually innited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?				
18b. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 6 – Long-Term Effe	ects/ Cumula	tive Impacts	for the Gener	al Plan 202
<b>Program)</b> Because the project is consistent with the General Plan 2025, no n cumulative impacts of the proposed project beyond those previous significant.				
c. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				
<b>18c. Response:</b> (Source: FPEIR Section 5 – Environmental Imp Effects on human beings were evaluated as part of the aesthetics, air and housing, hazards and hazardous materials, and traffic sections of	quality, hydr this initial st	ology & wate udy and foun initial study,	r quality, nois	e, population an significan

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21087, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21082.1, 21083, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21151, Public Resources Code; Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino, 202 Cal.App.3d 296 (1988); Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors, 222 Cal.App.3d 1337 (1990).

Monitoring/Reporting Method	Issuance of Grading Permit and During Grading	Issuance of grading permit.
Responsible Monitoring Party <sup>1</sup>	Qualified Archaeologist	Planning Division
Implementation Timing	Prior to Issuance of Grading Permit	Prior to Issuance of Grading permit.
Mitigation Measures	Aesthetics 1: During grading of the property, the bedrock milling features associated with CA-RIV- 10255 and CA-RIV-10256 shall be moved to an open space location, as identified in Lot 1 and Lot 2 of Tentative Tract Map No. 36370 where they can be preserved in perpetuity in an undisturbed state. The Pechanga Tribe shall work with the project archaeologist and the grading contractor to identify the features to be relocated prior to any disturbance or grading within 100 feet of the archaeological site. Before construction activities are allowed to resume in the affected area, any visible artifacts shall be recovered and the features recorded using professional archaeological methods. Should subsurface resources or features be identified during or as a result of the removal process, current archaeological protocols will be conducted, in consultation with the Pechanga Tribe, including but not limited to photo documentation, sketches, excavation, specialized testing if appropriate and artifact collection. The current Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) forms for CA-RIV-10255 and CA-RIV-10256 shall be updated by the project archaeologist, detailing which features were or were not relocated, the process taken and the feature' new location. The site records should clearly indicate that the features are not in their original location and why they were relocated.	MM Bio 1: All tree felling, brushing, grading or other habitat-removal activities during the avian breeding season (March $1^{st}$ through August $31^{st}$ ) shall be avoided. However, should it be necessary to conduct tree felling, brushing, grading or other habitat-removal activities during the avian breeding season, a preconstruction nesting "sweep" of all
Impact Category	Aesthetics	Biological

Staff Recommended Mitigation Measures

<sup>1</sup> All agencies are City of Riverside Departments/Divisions unless otherwise noted. Environmental Initial Study

P12-0698-0697-0601

27

Exhibit 11 - P12-0601-0697-0698, CEQA Document

Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party <sup>1</sup>	Monitoring/Reporting Method
	areas within 300 feet of the proposed activity shall be required. The result of the survey shall be provided in a report to the City and Wildlife Agencies staff for concurrence with the conclusions and recommendations.			
Cultural	<b>Cultural 1:</b> Prior to beginning project construction, the project applicant shall retain a qualified archaeological monitor to monitor all ground- disturbing activities in an effort to identify any unknown archaeological resources. Any newly discovered cultural resource deposits shall be subject to a cultural resources evaluation.	Prior to Issuance of Grading Permit	Developer and Planning Division	Prior to Any Grading Activity
Cultural	<b>Cultural 2:</b> At least 30 days prior to beginning project construction, the project applicant shall contact the Pechanga Tribe notify the Tribe of grading, excavation and the monitoring program and to develop a Cultural Resources Treatment and Monitoring Agreement between the Tribe and the Developer and Applicant. The Agreement shall address the treatment of known cultural resources, the designation, responsibilities and participation of professional Pechanga Tribal monitors during grading, excavation and ground disturbing activities; project grading and development scheduling; terms of compensation for monitors; and treatment and final disposition of any cultural resources, sacred sites and human remains discovered on the site.	Prior to Grading	Developer and Pechanga Tribe	Prior to Issuance of Grading Permit
Cultural	Cultural 3: Prior to beginning project construction, the project archeologist shall file a pre-grading report with the City of Riverside Planning Division to document the proposed methodology for grading activity observation which will be determined in consultation with the Pechanga Tribe. Said methodology shall include the requirement for a qualified archaeological monitor to be present and to have the authority to stop and redirect grading activities. In accordance with the agreement required in Cultural 2, the archeological monitor's	Prior to Grading	Planning Division	Prior to Issuance of Grading Permit

Impact Category		Cultural
Mitigation Measures	authority to stop and redirect grading will be exercised in consultation with Pechanga Tribe in order to evaluate the significance of resources found on the property. Tribal and archaeological monitors shall be allowed to monitor all grading, excavation and groundbreaking activities and shall have the authority to stop and redirect grading activities. The pre-grading report shall also address the process and relocation area of the resources identified in Aesthetics 1.	Cultural 4: The following mitigation measures should be implemented to reduce project-related adverse impacts to archaeological resources and sites containing Native American human remains that may be inadvertently discovered during construction of projects proposed in the City's General Plan Update: a. In areas of archaeological sensitivity, including those that may contain buried Native American human remains, a registered professional archaeologist and a representative of the culturally affiliated Native American Tribe, with knowledge in cultural resources, should monitor all project-related ground disturbing activities that extend into natural sediments in areas determined to have high archaeological sensitivity. b. If buried archaeological resources are uncovered during construction, all work must be halted in the vicinity of the discovery until a registered professional archaeologist can visit the site of discovery and assess the significance and origin of the archaeological resource is determined to be a potentially significant cultural resource, the City, in consultation with the project archaeologist and the Tribe, shall determine the course of action which may include data
Implementation Timing		During Grading
Responsible Monitoring Party <sup>1</sup>		Qualified Archaeologist
Monitoring/Reporting Method		During Grading

Environmental Initial Study

P12-0698-0697-0601

# 29

TAPE, DO NOT STAPLE

LATER MINISTELLER LANDRE

rada ratford Rd Los A jeles CA. 90042





TAPE DO TOT STARLE

City of Riverside Planning Division Attn: Brian Norton - P12-0698, P12-0697 & P12-0601 3900 Main Street Riverside, CA 92522

# **Response to Public Notice**

Any information submitted on this form is public record and can be viewed by any member of the public upon request.

Please note that public comment for this project closes at the Public Meeting on July 23, 2015

Please enter any comments you may have about this proposal below. (Please print or type all information):

COMMENTS: a a ni