# JOINT CITY COUNCIL/BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES MEETING August 28, 2015 FEEDBACK SUMMARY

Listed below is a summary of comments and feedback given during the Utility 2.0 presentation on 8/28/2015 from both City Council and Board of Public Utilities members by category followed by General Comments.

# **Electric Supply:**

Mayor/City C	Mayor/City Council:	
	Figure out reserves in a public process	
	Implement	
	Talk of Rate Increases before this is counterproductive.	
	Vista Substation on earthquake fault need second connection	
	Why do renewables decrease over time? Is this contracts or physical resources	
	All Good Feedback	
	Duck Curve/Prosumer how will these generators play into Renewable Power Supply?	
	RTRP – second connection to City to continue with process to achieve.	
Board:		
	Need to be ready for the future. Plan for it.	
	Need to establish our own energy standard – maintain our leadership in creating clean, vibrant, sustainable regional economy.	

## **Electric Infrastructure:**

Investment	Mayor/City Council:
Options:	Strive to achieve Option 3 (Gardner)
	Option 3 Substations (Melendrez)
	Option 2 and maybe Option 3 depending on finances (Soubirous)
	Minimum Option 3 (Mac Arthur)
	Investment to Proactive Option 3 (Burnard)
	Board:
	Option 2/3 based on ability to pay and impact to ratepayers (Ferguson)
	Option 2 seems like the minimal to maintain safe, reliable services (O'Farrell)
Mayor/City Cou	ncil:
	Overhead Transmission and Distribution is less expensive and easier to repair seek appropriate mix.
	Funding Source? What Cost? Who will pay cost?
	Need to update power poles and infrastructure in Green Belt for frost protection
	Need to continue to look for additional renewable resources and addition to peaker plant or acceleration of
	RTRP.
	Need to upgrade Downtown/Mag Center, Arlington Village, Hunter Park, UCR and CBU
	Interested in technology in both videos; IBM storm and San Jose
Board:	
	Infrastructure replacement is item 1
	Assure integration of technology into all aspects of replacement projects
	Basic infrastructure should progress at an accelerated but prudent rate.
	Customers of Riverside 2.0 demand smart energy from 2 way meters to renewable power to notification to
	customers
	Need to progress on all.
	Must get ahead of electric infrastructure replacement and technology needs
	Integrate both RPU's grid and financial modeling
	RTRP should be a priority for 2 <sup>nd</sup> connection to the grid
Water Infrast	ructure:
Investment	Mayor/City Council:

Investment	Mayor/City Council:
Options	Strive for Option 3 (Gardner)
	Option 4 – Water Treatment Plant improving water in Gage Canal for Green Belt farmers (MacArthur)
	Option 3 – Water distribution pipeline, use high reserves to pay for it (Perry)

	Board:
	Option 3 (Cole)
	Option2/3 based on ability to pay and impact ratepayers. (Ferguson)
Mayor/City Council:	
	Drive Economic Development through infrastructure improvements and new technology
	Take advantage of the challenges the state is facing to accelerate infrastructure improvements
	Need healthy discussion about Water Reserves.
	We must have a plan to replace aging water pipes and provide effective service to our residents
	Move forward with Technology we are behind and must catch up and move ahead of the game
	Distribution Pipelines long term planning to keep and get 75 year cycle
Board:	
	Integrate technology in all infrastructure development including metering
	Distribution pipelines long term planning to keep and get pipelines to 75 year replacement cycle
	Maximize asset management/monetize to minimize rate payer impacts
	Although AMI may be viewed as an extra, the value it will create to the utility and prosumer to regulate, now
	and monitor our own use will be essential for Millenial and Generation X customers.
	AMI provides very beneficial customer and utility benefits that will match our customer expectations.
	Can't fall below 100 year pipeline replacement cycle, wouldn't be responsible
	Remove Techite Pipe immediately
	Bring transmission pipeline to 100 year or less.

# Water Supply:

al/distribution
ower should not have
inancially.
Inducidity.
y to pay)

# Technology Revisited:

Investment	Mayor/City Council:
Options	Move toward top of Fast Followers (Gardner)
	Semi-Fast Follower. Don't want to buy the latest as can be old quickly (Soubirous)

	Move Technology Plan to Fast Follower status (Mac Arthur)
	At least become Fast Follower (Perry)
	Fast follower makes sense. (Burnard)
	Board:
	Need to be a Fast Follower (Cole)
	Becoming Fast Follower will require investment but will position us to meet customer expectations. (Scott-
	Coe)
Mayor/City Council:	,
	Utility cannot become too remote from the rest of the city. Better partnerships with other departments rather
	than duplicate services (GIS, IT, HR).
	2 way customer meter technology (electric and water)
	Report cost savings due to efficiencies
	Take savings and utilize for improvements in technology, reporting standards which will increase transparency
	and customer service.
	Must take steps to improve areas of asset management and customer focused technology
	Real time operational communications from the meters and pumps to control center makes sense.
	Interactive Voice (IVR) if this refers to call center be careful live response is a benefit
Board:	
	Move to smart meters, realizing there are costs for not moving there in the past. Just do it.
	Move to implement technology immediately. From infrastructure to the customer's doorstep.
	High priority – key to training staff at same over all staffing level.

### Fiber Business:

Mayor/City Council:	
	Fiber is a must! Accelerate – it allows us to continue to be a City of Innovation.
	Pursue Fiber options.
	Hire staff to manage fiber networks.
	Purchase and deploy fiber management software.
	Fiber now into "tech" areas.
	Create new Technology Utility: Fiber Infrastructure, Operations, Services Private/Public Partnership?
	We must take steps to increase our ability to provide fiber optic technology across the entire city.
	Fiber optics to Airport commercial areas (Jurupa/Van Buren).
Board:	
	Continue fiber network at accelerated rate.

#### Workforce Development:

Investment Options	None of the participants stated that they were against moving forward with a Workforce Development Plan	
Mayor/City Counci	Mayor/City Council:	
	Must be the most desirable utility to work.	
	Take care of your troops and they will take care of us/you.	
	Headed in the right direction on training and recruitment	
	Career ladder discussions with Unions?	
	What is the rate of internal promotion/competitive salary.	
	Is it time to consider incorporating City's Sewer Treatment into RPU? (Recycled Water, Power, and Run Plant,	
	etc.)	
	Improve workforce efficiency look at each position and move/combine if needed.	
	Recruitment, Retention, Training now and future, 1-3-5 plan for all , Funding/Cost Benefit	
	Continue to attract top talent at RPU.	
	Hold all employees accountable for performance standards.	
	Improve reporting standards of inefficiencies within all departments.	
	Cross train employees with emphasis on customer service.	
	Career Development is a must and our responsibility to our employees.	
	Suggest bringing a college to one of our sites. Work and learn in a City facility.	

Board:	
	Need to capture the institutional knowledge before it leaves us.
	Knowing the workforce needs is critical. This will result in engagement of current and future employees.
	Workforce Plan/Strategy is very good
	High priority, like workforce talent system
	Do new positions compare with Fast Follower Utilities? Compensation/Job Description/Role
	Status on ability to "rapid" hire to ensure RPU's first choice is selected
	Without aggressive workforce development we put our future Utility 2.0 plans and the utility at risk. This
	strategy meets the needs and requirements.

### **General Comments:**

Mayor/City Council:	
	Back to Mission Statement
	We have seen needs need to prioritize
	Need safe city for employees, visitors and citizens
	He likes the inclusive nature of partnerships with schools/universities
	Wants to promote livability and resiliency - why do we live, visit stay?
	Wants to see us pursue Fiber Optic Business as revenue source, and a way to keep the types of businesses we
	want here.
	Get reserve issues handled before rate increases.
	RTRP is the most important thing.
	Interested in monetizing passive assets.
	Wants to be sure we are a fast follower in technology.
	Move recycled water goal up from 4000 AFY to higher amount.
	Increase partnerships with other city departments.
	Has a sense of excitement about the plan.
	Wants to see Firm Infrastructure commitment blended with technology
	Biggest bang for our buck need to find balance in the wish list
	Communicating with the board is good
	The Utility 2.0 plan is a no brainer but must be based on what we can afford and emerging technologies.
	Like involving the public and the straightforward transparency
	We own it, we should know all about it
	Finances, reserves and the public trust
	Must keep information on the web
	Finance: pay down debt, eliminate the reliability charge, leverage passive assets to pay down bonds and
	excessive fees
	Consider and R&R funds for infrastructure – add it to the hotel tax
	Costs vs. Needs – how we pay, and who is going to pay
	Fiber is non-electric – explore how rate payers can support that
	Be the leader like San Jose video
	Give staff tools they need to be cutting edge
	Hold staff accountable
	Technology – look to 3.0 and lead the pack
	Customer Communication is key
	Improve Green Belt and help growers improve water delivery system
	Need to find balance of reality vs. wishes
	Likes Workforce Development Plan
	With technology should be leading not catching up
	Plan is a wish board need to blend future with cost
	Has optimism
	Wants to be on leading edge
	Need to watch our future reliability

Board:	
	Good alignment between Board and Council
	Consensus will come down to the finances – how much will it cost?
	Need to see WHAT IF's mixed with options
	RTRP is critical to our future
	Thanked everyone
	Feels like this is an authentic evaluation
	Integrated plans are important (Riverside 2.0 and Utility 2.0)
	To maintain integration, evaluation of efficacy will be needed along with ongoing communication
	Wants to add efficacy benchmarks to Land Use Committee metrics.
	Agrees with Option 3 for future to protect our infrastructure.
	Feels Option 2/3 is important to blend ability to pay with needs and impact with ratepayer
	Wants to maximize assets we have
	Monetize passive assets
	Synchronize projects for affordability
	Create build up to Utility 3.0!
	Invitation to create infrastructure for future city that protects a hidden resource with proactive, positive
	future, and productive infrastructure
	Love the detail, feel ready to take this on.
	Ready for revolutionary change in power supply
	Need to set our own carbon standard
	Invest in infrastructure and technology
	Appreciate the common ground created with the City Council
	Dependable and forward looking staff
	We needed this input and the 1 on 1 contact with constituents.
	Need staff feedback on Council/Board in the form of recommendations.