

GREEN ORCHARD PLACE
OVERLOOK PARKWAY

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (EIR) (SCH NO. 2011021028)

CRYSTAL VIEW TERRACE/GREEN ORCHARD
PLACE/OVERLOOK PARKWAY PROJECT
(P11-0050) FOR THE CITY OF RIVERSIDE,
CALIFORNIA

December 4, 2012

PREPARED FOR:

City of Riverside

Community Development Department
Planning Division

PREPARED BY:

RECON

1927 Fifth Avenue San Diego, CA 92103-2358 Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Crystal View Terrace/ Green Orchard Place/ Overlook Parkway Project (P11-0050), City of Riverside SCH No. 2011021028

Prepared for Prepared by

City of Riverside RECON Environmental, Inc.

Community Development Department 1927 Fifth Avenue

Planning Division San Diego, CA 92101-2358

3900 Main Street, Third Floor P 619.308.9333 F 619.308.9334

Riverside, CA 92522 RECON Number 6103

Attn: Diane Jenkins, Principal Planner December 4, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acronyms

Executive Summary

1.0	Intr	oduction	1-1
	1.1	Purpose and Scope	1-1
	1.2	Compliance with CEQA	1-2
2.0	Pro	ject Description	2-1
	2.1	Project Overview	2-1
	2.2	Project Background	2-2
	2.3	Project Objectives	2-6
	2.4	Environmental Baseline	2-7
	2.5	Project Location and Existing Conditions	2-7
	2.6	Proposed Project	2-17
	2.7	Off-Site Improvements	2-45
	2.8	Approvals	2-47
3.0	Env	vironmental Analysis	3-1
	3.1	Agricultural Resources	3.1-1
	3.2	Air Quality	3.2-1
	3.3	Biological Resources	3.3-1
	3.4	Cultural/Paleontological Resources	3.4-1
	3.5	Drainage/Hydrology/Water Quality	3.5-1
	3.6	Energy Use and Conservation	3.6-1
	3.7	Geology and Soils	3.7-1
	3.8	Greenhouse Gases	3.8-1
	3.9	Land Use and Aesthetics	3.9-1
	3.10	Noise	3.10-1
	3.11	Transportation/Traffic	3.11-1
4.0	Cur	nulative Impacts	4-1
	4.1	Agriculture	4-5
	4.2	Air Quality	4-6
	4.3	Biological Resources	4-8
	4.4	Cultural/Paleontological Resources	4-10
	4.5	Hydrology/Water Quality	4-11
	4.6	Energy	4-12
	4.7	Geology and Soils	4-13
	4.8	Greenhouse Gases	4-14
	4.9	Land Use/Visual Effects/Neighborhood Character	4-14
	4.10	Noise	4-15
	4.11	Transportation/Traffic	4-17

5.0	Gro	wth Inducement	5-1
	5.1	Direct Growth-inducting Impacts in the Surrounding Environment	5-1
	5.2	Indirect Growth-inducting Impacts in the Surrounding Environment	5-2
6.0	Sig	nificant Unavoidable Environmental Effects/	
	Irre	versible Changes	6-1
	6.1	Significant Environmental Effects Which Cannot Be Avoided if the Project is Implemented	6-1
	6.2	Irreversible Environmental Changes Which Would Result if the Project is Implemented	6-2
7.0	Effe	ects Found Not to be Significant	7-1
	7.1	Hazardous Materials and Public Health	7-1
	7.2	Mineral Resources	7-4
	7.3	Population and Housing	7-5
	7.4	Public Services	
	7.5	Recreational Resources	
	7.6	Utilities and Service Systems	7-9
8.0	Pro	ject Alternatives	8-1
	8.1	Introduction	8-1
	8.2	Scenario 1: Gates Closed to Through Traffic, No Connection of Overlook Parkway	8-18
	8.3:	Scenario 2: Gates Open, No Connection of Overlook Parkway	8-20
	8.4: 8.5	Scenario 3: Gates Open and Connection of Overlook Parkway	8-22
		and the Proposed Road C Constructed	8-23
9.0	Ref	erences Cited	10-1
10.0	Ind	ividuals and Agencies Consulted	11-1
11.0	Cer	tification	12-1

FIGURES

2-1:	Regional Location	2-9
2-2:	Project Vicinity	2-11
2-3:	Riverside General Plan 2025 – Master Plan of Roadways	2-13
2-4:	Scenario 1	2-19
2-5:	Scenario 2	2-22
2-6:	Scenario 3	2-23
2-7:	Eastern Fill Crossing PIA	2-25
2-8:	Grading Plan for Eastern Fill Crossing	2-26
2-9:	Alessandro Arroyo PIA	2-27
2-10:	Alessandro Arroyo Bridge Plan View Exhibit	2-28
2-11:	Alessandro Arroyo Bridge Cross Section	2-29
2-12:	Alessandro Arroyo Bridge Elevation	2-31
2-13:	Scenario 4	2-37
2-14:	Western PIA	2-38
2-15:	Proposed C Street Plan View and Cross Section	2-39
2-16:	Scenario 4 Components	2-43
3.1-1:	Arlington Heights Greenbelt and Gage Canal	3.1-5
3.1-2:	Important Farmland	3.1-9
3.1-3:	Williamson Act Contract Lands	3.1-11
3.2-1:	Link and Receptor Network For a Single Intersection with Dedicated	
	Left Turn Lanes	3.2-31
3.3-1:	Biological Study Area	3.3-3
3.3-2:	Study Area in Relation to MSHCP Criteria Cells, Critical Habitat, and	
	Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Fee Area	3.3-8
3.3-3:	Existing Vegetation Communities/Land Cover Types	3.3-19
3.3-4:	ACOE Jurisdictional Resources	3.3-29
3.3-5:	CDFG Jurisdictional Resources	3.3-33
3.3-6:	RWQCB Jurisdictional Resources	3.3-35
3.3-7:	MSHCP Riparian/Riverine Jurisdictional Resources	3.3-37
3.3-8:	Eastern and Arroyo Survey Areas Impacts to Biological Resources	3.3-47
3.3-9:	Eastern and Arroyo Survey Areas Impacts to ACOE	
	Jurisdictional Resources	3.3-48
3.3-10:	Eastern and Arroyo Survey Areas Impacts to CDFG	
	Jurisdictional Resources	3.3-49
3.3-11:	Eastern and Arroyo Survey Areas Impacts to RWQCB	
	Jurisdictional Resources	3.3-50
3.3-12:	Eastern and Arroyo Survey Areas Impacts to MSHCP Riparian/Riverine	
	Jurisdictional Resources	3.3-51
3.3-13:	Western Survey Area Impacts to Biological Resources	3.3-54
3.3-14:	Western Survey Area Impacts to ACOE Jurisdictional Resources	3.3-55
3.3-15:	Western Survey Area Impacts to CDFG Jurisdictional Resources	3.3-56
3.3-16:	Western Survey Area Impacts to RWQCB Jurisdictional Resources	3.3-57
3.7-1	Regional Fault Zones	3.7-3

FIGURES (Cont.)

3.7-2:	Soil Types	3.7-7
3.7-3:	Soils with High Shrink–Swell Potential	3.7-11
3.7-4:	Liquefaction Zones	3.7-13
3.7-5:	Subsidence Zones	3.7-15
3.9-1:	Neighborhood Locations	3.9-5
3-9-2:	Planned Land Uses	3.9-7
3.9-3:	Airport Compatibility and Safety Zones	3.9-17
3.9-4:	Existing Visual Character – Scenario 3	3.9-22
3.9-5:	Alessandro Arroyo/PIA	3.9-23
3.9-6:	Fill Crossing/Eastern PIA	3.9-24
3.9-7:	Overlook Parkway	3.9-25
3.9-8:	Proposed C Street/Arlington Heights Greenbelt	3.9-26
3.9-9:	Gage Canal	3.9-27
3.9-10:	Victoria Avenue	3.9-28
3.9-11:	Scenic and Special Boulevards and Parkway (General Plan 2025)	3.9-31
3.10-1:	Scenario 2 Future Traffic Noise Contours	3.10-27
3.10-2:	Scenario 3 Future Traffic Noise Contours	3.10-31
3.10-3:	Scenario 4 Future Traffic Noise Contours	3.10-33
3.10-4:	Scenario 3 Construction Noise Receptors	3.10-38
3.10-5:	Scenario 4 Construction Noise Receptors	3.10-43
3.11-1:	Existing and Planned Network of Bicycle and Trail Facilities	3.11-13
3.11-2:	Fire Station Locations	3.11-15
3.11-3:	Neighborhood Policing Centers	3.11-19
3.11-4:	Intersections within the Study Area	3.11-21
3.11-5:	Roadway Segments within the Study Area	3.11-22
3.11-6	Public Transit Network	3.11-23
3.11-7a:	Existing Intersection Configuration within the Study Area	3.11-29
	Existing Intersection Configuration within the Study Area	3.11-30
3.11-8:	Traffic Count Comparison (AM Peak Hour) – Gates Open versus	
	Gates Closed	3.11-31
3.11-9:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Gates Closed	3.11-33
3.11-10:	Scenario 3 ADT Volume Difference – Compared to Gates Open	
	Baseline in Year 2011	3.11-98
3.11-11:	Scenario 4 ADT Volume Difference – Compared to Gates Open	
	Baseline in Year 2011	3.11-100
3.11-12:	Scenario 3 ADT Volume Difference – Compared to Gates Open	
	Baseline in Year 2035	3.11-101
3.11-13:	Scenario 4 ADT Volume Difference – Compared to Gates Open	
	Baseline in Year 2035	3.11-103
3.11-14:	Scenario 2 Mitigated Intersection Configuration (2011 – Gates	
	Closed)	3.11-109

3.2-9

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

Monitoring Stations

FIGURES (Cont.)			
3.11-15:	Scenario 3 Mitigated Intersection Configuration (2011 – Gates Closed)	3.11-111	
3.11-16:	Scenario 4 Mitigated Intersection Configuration (2011 – Gates Closed)	3.11-113	
3.11-17:	Scenario 3 Mitigated Intersection Configuration (2011 – Gates Open)	3.11-116	
3.11-18:	Scenario 4 Mitigated Intersection Configuration (2011 – Gates Open)	3.11-117	
3.11-19:	Scenario 2 Mitigated Intersection Configuration (2035 – Gates Closed)	3.11-120	
3.11-20:	Scenario 3 Mitigated Intersection Configuration (2035 – Gates Closed)	3.11-123	
3.11-21:	Scenario 4 Mitigated Intersection Configuration (2035 – Gates Closed)	3.11-128	
3.11-22:	Scenario 1 Mitigated Intersection Configuration (2035 – Gates Open)	3.11-133	
3.11-23:	Scenario 3 Mitigated Intersection Configuration (2035 – Gates Open)	3.11-136	
3.11-24:	Scenario 4 Mitigated Intersection Configuration (2035 – Gates Open)	3.11-139	
	a: Year 2011 – Gates Closed, Impact and Mitigation Summary b: Year 2011 – Gates Open, Impact and Mitigation Summary	3.11-147 3.11-149	
3.11-26	a: Year 2035 – Gates Closed, Impact and Mitigation Summary b: Year 2035 – Gates Open, Impact and Mitigation Summary	3.11-151 3.11-153	
4-1: 8-1:	Cumulative Projects Map Proposed C Street – Madison Street Extension Alternative	4-3 8-5	
8-2: 8-3:	Proposed C Street – Victoria Underpass Alternative Washington Street and Lincoln Street Improvements (No Proposed	8-7	
0-3.	C Street)	8-9	
TABLES	3		
S-1: 2-1:	Summary of Significant Environmental Analysis Results Construction Equipment for Overlook Parkway	S-1 2-35	
2-2:	Construction Equipment for the Proposed C Street	2-45	
3.1-1: 3.1-2:	Important Farmland within the Arlington Heights Greenbelt Impacts to FMMP Designated Farmland and Farmland of Local	3.1-7	
3.2-1:	Importance under Scenario 4 Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.1-14 3.2-2	
3.2-2: 3.2-3:	Ambient Air Quality Summary – South Coast Air Basin Summary of Air Quality Measurements Recorded at the Riverside – Magnolia Avenue and Rubidoux – Mission Boulevard	3.2-7	

TABLES (Cont.)

3.2-4:	Construction Equipment Parameters	3.2-19
3.2-5:	Summary of Worst-Case Construction Emissions	3.2-20
3.2-6:	Construction Equipment Parameters for the Proposed C Street	3.2-21
3.2-7:	Summary of Worst-Case Construction Emissions for the	
	Proposed C Street	3.2-21
3.2-8a:	Existing Total Daily Summer Running Mobile Emissions	3.2-23
3.2-8b:	Existing Total Daily Winter Running Mobile Emissions	3.2-24
3.2-8c:	Buildout Total Daily Summer Running Mobile Emissions	3.2-24
3.2-8d:	Buildout Total Daily Winter Running Mobile Emissions	3.2-25
3.2-9a:	Scenario 1 Worst-Case CO Concentrations	3.2-33
3.2-9b:	Scenario 2 Worst-Case CO Concentrations	3.2-36
3.2 - 9c:	Scenario 3 Worst-Case CO Concentrations	3.2-39
3.2-9d:	Scenario 4 Worst-Case CO Concentrations	3.2-42
3.3-1:	Provisions of the MSHCP Applicable to Each Scenario	3.3-9
3.3-2:	Planning Species and Biological Issues/Considerations for the	
	Riverside/Norco Area Plan	3.3-14
3.3-3:	Vegetation Communities and Land Cover Types	3.3-17
3.3-4:	Sensitive Plant Species with the Potential to Occur within the	
	Study Area	3.3-21
3.3-5:	Sensitive Wildlife Species with the Potential to Occur within the	
	Study Area	3.3-24
3.3-6:	Jurisdictional Resources within the Survey Areas	3.3-28
3.3-7a:	Impacts to Jurisdictional Resources in the Eastern Survey Area	3.3-52
3.3-7b:	Impacts to Jurisdictional Resources in the Alessandro Arroyo	
	Survey Area	3.3-52
3.3-8:	Impacts to Jurisdictional Resources in the Western Survey Area	3.3-53
3.5-1:	Beneficial Uses of Receiving Waters	3.5-2
3.6-1:	Riverside Public Utilities Electric Use 2009/2010	3.6-4
3.6-2:	Riverside Public Utilities Energy Resources	3.6-4
3.6-3:	Fill-Crossing and Bridge Construction Fuel Consumption	3.6-8
3.6-4:	Construction Fuel Consumption for the Proposed C Street	3.6-9
3.6-5:	Long-Term Operational-Related Fuel Use Impacts	3.6-13
3.7-1:	Soil Types within Each Project Impact Area	3.7-6
3.8-1a:	Existing Annual Vehicle GHG Emissions	3.8-12
3.8-1b:	Year 202 Annual Vehicle GHG Emissions	3.8-12
3.8-1c:	Buildout Annual Vehicle GHG Emissions	3.8-13
3.8-2:	Fill-Crossing and Bridge Construction Equipment Parameters	3.8-15
3.8-3:	Summary of Fill-Crossing and Bridge Construction GHG Emissions	
	for Scenario 3	3.8-15
3.8-4:	Construction Equipment Parameters for the Proposed C Street	3.8-16
3.8-5:	Summary of Scenario 4 Construction GHG Emissions	3.8-16
3.8-6a:	Existing Annual Total GHG Emissions	3.8-17
3.8-6b:	Year 2020 Annual Total GHG Emissions	3.8-17

TABLES (Cont.)

3.8 - 6c:	Buildout Annual Total GHG Emissions	3.8-18
3.8-7:	Significance of GHG Impacts	3.8-22
	General Plan 2025 Land Use Designations	3.9-9
	Noise/Land Use Compatibility Criteria	3.10-3
3.10-2:	Interior and Exterior Noise Standards	3.10-4
3.10-3:	Existing Noise Levels	3.10-7
3.10-4:	Future Noise Levels for Scenario 2 Roadways with Noise Level	
	Increases of 1 dB or More Compared to Gates Closed Baseline	3.10-10
3.10-5:	Future Noise Levels for Scenario 3 Roadways with Noise Level	
	Increases of 1 dB or More Compared to Gates Closed Baseline	3.10-12
3.10-6:	Future Noise Levels for Scenario 4 Roadways with Noise Level	
	Increases of 1 dB or More Compared to Gates Closed Baseline	3.10-15
3.10-7:	Future Noise Levels for Scenario 1 Roadways with Noise Level	
	Increases of 1 dB or More Compared to Gates Open Baseline	3.10-19
3.10-8:	Future Noise Levels for Scenario 3 Roadways with Noise Level	
	Increases of 1 dB or More Compared to Gates Open Baseline	3.10-21
3.10-9:	Future Noise Levels for Scenario 4 Roadways with Noise Level	
	Increases of 1 dB or More Compared to Gates Open Baseline	3.10-23
3.10-10:	Scenario 2 Traffic Noise Contour Distances	3.10-26
3.10-11:	Scenario 3 Traffic Noise Contour Distances	3.10-28
3.10-12:	Scenario 4 Traffic Noise Contour Distances	3.10-30
3.10-13:	Fill-Crossing and Bridge Construction Equipment and Source	
	Noise Levels	3.10-37
3.10-14:	Fill Crossing and Bridge Construction Noise Levels at Modeled	
	Receivers	3.10-39
3.10-15:	Parkway Construction Equipment and Source Noise Levels	3.10-41
3.10-16:	Parkway Construction Noise Levels At Modeled Receivers	3.10-42
3.11-1:	LOS Definitions and Criteria for Intersections	3.11-2
3.11-2:	Gates Closed – Existing Peak Hour Intersection Operations	3.11-36
3.11-3:	Gates Closed – Existing Roadway Segment Operations	3.11-37
3.11-4:	Gates Open – Existing Peak Hour Intersection Operations	3.11-38
3.11-5:	Gates Open – Existing Roadway Segment Operations	3.11-39
3.11-6:	Maximum LOS for Study Area Intersections	3.11-43
3.11-7:	Maximum LOS for Study Area Roadway Links	3.11-44
3.11-8:	City of Riverside Roadway Capacities	3.11-45
3.11-9:	Existing plus Project (2011) Scenario 2 Compared to Gates Closed	
	Baseline Peak Hour Intersection Analysis	3.11-47
3.11-10:	Existing plus Project (2011) Scenario 2 Compared to Gates Closed	
	Baseline Roadway Link Analysis	3.11-49
3.11-11:	Existing plus project (2011) Scenario 3 Compared to Gates Closed	
	Baseline Peak Hour Intersection Analysis	3.11-50
3.11-12:	Existing plus project (2011) Scenario 3 Compared to Gates Closed	
	Baseline Roadway Link Analysis	3.11-52

TABLES (Cont.)

3.11-13:	Existing plus project (2011) Scenario 4 Compared to Gates Closed Baseline Peak Hour Intersection Analysis	2 44 52
2 44 44.	•	3.11-53
3.11-14.	Existing plus project (2011) Scenario 4 Compared to Gates Closed Baseline Roadway Link Analysis	3.11-55
3.11-15:	Existing plus project (2011) Scenario 1 Compared to Gates Open Baseline Peak Hour Intersection Analysis	3.11-57
3.11-16:	Existing plus Project (2011) Scenario 1 Compared to Gates Open	
3 11-17.	Baseline Roadway Link Analysis Existing plus Project (2011) Scenario 3 Compared to Gates Open	3.11-58
	Baseline Peak Hour Intersection Analysis	3.11-60
	Existing plus Project (2011) Scenario 3 Compared to Gates Open Baseline Roadway Link Analysis	3.11-61
3.11-19:	Existing plus Project (2011) Scenario 4 Compared to Gates Open Baseline Peak Hour Intersection Analysis	3.11-63
3.11-20:	Existing plus Project (2011) Scenario 4 Compared to Gates Open	3.11-64
3.11-21:	Baseline Roadway Link Analysis Year 2035 (Buildout) Gates Closed Baseline Peak Hour Intersection	3.11-04
	Analysis	3.11-67
3.11-22:	Year 2035 (Buildout) Gates Closed Baseline Roadway Link Analysis	3.11-68
3.11-23:	Year 2035 (Buildout) Scenario 2 Compared to Gates Closed Baseline Peak Hour Intersection Analysis	3.11-70
3.11-24:	Year 2035 (Buildout) Scenario 2 Compared to Gates Closed Baseline Roadway Link Analysis	3.11-72
3.11-25:	Year 2035 (Buildout) Scenario 3 Compared to Gates Closed Baseline	
	Peak Hour Intersection Analysis	3.11-74
3.11-26:	Year 2035 (Buildout) Scenario 3 Compared to Gates Closed Baseline Roadway Link Analysis	3.11-76
3.11-27:	Year 2035 (Buildout) Scenario 4 Compared to Gates Closed Baseline	
3.11-28	Peak Hour Intersection Analysis Year 2035 (Buildout) Scenario 4 Compared to Gates Closed Baseline	3.11-78
	Roadway Link Analysis	3.11-80
3.11-29:	Year 2035 (Buildout) Gates Open Baseline Peak Hour Intersection	2 11 02
2 11 20.	Analysis Year 2035 (Buildout) Gates Open Baseline Roadway Link Volumes	3.11-82 3.11-84
	Year 2035 (Buildout) Scenario 1 Compared to Gates Open Baseline	3.11-04
	Peak hour intersection Analysis	3.11-86
3.11-32:	Year 2035 (Bbuildout) Scenario 1 Compared to Gates Open Baseline Roadway Link Analysis	3.11-87
3.11-33:	Year 2035 (Buildout) Scenario 3 Compared to Gates Open Baseline	0.11 07
	Peak Hour Intersection Analysis	3.11-89
3.11-34:	Year 2035 (Buildout) Scenario 3 Compared to Gates Open Baseline Roadway Link Analysis	3.11-91

TABLES (Cont.)

3.11-35:	Year 2035 (Buildout) Scenario 4 Compared to Gates Open Baseline		
	Peak Hour Intersection Analysis	3.1	11-93
3.11-36:	Year 2035 (Buildout) Scenario 4 compared to Gates Open Baseline		
	Roadway Link Analysis	3.1	11-95
3.11-37:	Intersection Impact Summary – All Scenarios	3.11	1-105
3.11-38:	Roadway link impact Summary – All Scenarios	3.11	1-106
3.11-39:	Year 2011 – Intersection Mitigation Summary – All Scenarios	3.11	1-141
3.11-40:	Year 2011 – Roadway Link Mitigation Summary – All Scenarios	3.11	1-143
3.11-41:	Year 2035 – Intersection Mitigation Summary – All Scenarios	3.11	1-144
3.11-42:	Year 2035 – Roadway Link Mitigation Summary – All Scenarios	3.11	1-145
4-1:	Cumulative Projects		4-2
4-2:	Significant and Unavoidable Cumulative Traffic Impacts, Year 2035		4-17
4-3:	Significant and Unavoidable Cumulative Traffic Impacts to CMP Faciliti	es	4-18
8-1:	Comparison of Scenario Impacts		8-12
8-2:	Comparison of Project Objectives and Scenarios		8-20

APPENDIXES

- Notice of Preparation and Comments A:
- Environmental Initial Study B:
- Air Quality Analysis C:
- Biological Technical Report D:
- Cultural Resources Survey E:
- Preliminary Hydrology and Hydraulics for Overlook Parkway Bridge Greenhouse Gas Analysis F:
- G:
- Land Use Consistency Table H:
- Noise Analysis I:
- Traffic Impact Analysis J: