


City of Arts & Innovation

## CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES

THURSDAY, APRIL 7, 2016, 9:00 A.M.  
ART PICK COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY HALL  
3900 MAIN STREET

### PLANNING COMMISSIONERS

		M A N N I N G	S T O S E L	T A V A G L I O N E	P A R K E R	R I G G L E	T E U N I S S E N	R O S S O U W	K A I N	Z A K I
	WARDS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	C W 3	C W 3
<p>recommendations. Comments from the audience: Cristina Duran and Kevin Dawson spoke in opposition citing issues with air quality, public transit, Marketplace Specific Plan, insufficient noticing to the Eastside Community, parking, and job generation. Cindy Roth, Greater Riverside Chamber of Commerce, and Daniel Frankel, spoke in support of the project. The public hearing was closed. Following discussion the Planning Commission recommended that the City Council: 1) Determine that Planning Cases P14-0045, P14-0046, P14-0047, P14-0048, P15-0953 and P15-0954 will not have a significant effect on the environment based on the findings set forth in the case record and recommend adoption of a Mitigated Negative Declaration; 2) Recommend adoption of the mitigation monitoring or reporting program pursuant to CEQA Section 21081.6; and 3) Approve Planning Cases P14-0045, P14-0046, P14-0047, P14-0048, P15-0953 and P15-0954 based on the findings in the staff report and subject to the recommended conditions with modification that The Southern Pacific Railroad bridge exterior should also be renovated subject to the Design Guidelines.</p>	Motion Second All Ayes				X	X				
<p><b>PLANNING CASE P15-1098 – Conditional Use Permit, 141 East Alessandro Boulevard, Unit D</b> Proposal by Stuart Beck of GB Architecture to consider a Conditional Use Permit to establish a Veterinary Clinic in a 2,010 square-foot lease space within the Mission Grove Plaza. Sean Kelleher, Associate Planner, presented the staff report. Dr. Fred Madriaga, applicant, stated he was in agreement with staff's recommendations. There were no comments from the audience. Following discussion the Planning Commission recommended that the City Council: 1) Determine that the proposed project is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act per Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) of the CEQA Guidelines; and; 2) Approve Planning Case P15-1098 (Conditional Use Permit) based on the findings outlined in the staff report and subject to the recommended conditions.</p>	Motion Second All Ayes				X	X				
<p><b>PLANNING CASES P15-0133 and P16-0105 – Conditional Use Permit and Variance, 2951 Jackson Street</b> Proposal by Andrea Urbas, Cortel, Inc., on behalf of Verizon to consider a Conditional Use Permit and Variance to permit a 68-foot high, co-locatable wireless telecommunications facility, camouflaged as an eucalyptus tree. Brian Norton, Senior Planner, presented the staff report. Andrea Urbas, Cortel, representing Verizon, stated she was in agreement with the conditions except for condition 14 requiring removal of graffiti within 48 hours and requested 72 hours instead. Staff stated this was a standard condition and clarified that the removal was required within 24</p>										



City of Arts & Innovation

## CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES

THURSDAY, APRIL 7, 2016, 9:00 A.M.  
ART PICK COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY HALL  
3900 MAIN STREET

### PLANNING COMMISSIONERS

		M A N N I N G	S T O S E L	T A V A G L I O N E	P A R K E R	R I G G L E	T E U N I S S E N	R O S S O U W	K A I N	Z A K I
	WARDS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	C W 3	C W 3
hours. Chair Manning expressed his concern that the location was in a high school and that the graffiti needed to be removed as soon as possible. The City's Graffiti Removal Program was suggested as a tool to assist the applicant in removing any graffiti in a timely manner. Ms. Urbas accepted the condition as written. There were no comments from the audience. Following discussion the Planning Commission recommended that the City Council: 1) Determine that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment based on the findings set forth in the case record and adopt a Negative Declaration; 2) Approve Planning Cases P15-0133 and P16-0105 based on the findings outlined in the staff report and subject to the recommended conditions.	Motion Second All Ayes					X		X		
<u>PLANNING CASES P15-0826 and P15-0827 – Conditional Use Permit, and Variance – 8223 California Avenue</u> Proposal by Sunnshine Schupp of Spectrum Services, on behalf of Verizon Wireless, to consider a Conditional Use Permit and Variance to permit a 55-foot high wireless telecommunications facility, camouflaged as a broadleaf tree that is higher than permitted by Code, on 4.79 acres developed with First Free Methodist Church of Riverside. Brian Norton, Senior Planner, presented the staff report. Sunnshine Schupp, Spectrum Services, stated they were in agreement with staff's recommendations. There were no comments from the audience. Following discussion the Planning Commission recommended that the City Council: 1) Determine that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment based on the findings set forth in the case record and recommend City Council adoption of a Negative Declaration; and; 2) Approve Planning Cases P15-0826 and P15-0827 based on the findings outlined in the staff report and subject to the recommended conditions.	Motion Second All Ayes			X		X				
Commissioner Riggie recused himself from the following case due to financial conflict of interest and left the dais.										
<u>PLANNING CASES P14-0887, P14-0888, P14-0889 &amp; P14-0890 – Conditional Use Permit, Design Review and Variances – 1115 La Cadena</u> Proposal by Atman Kadakia of the Greens Group, to consider a Conditional Use Permit and Design Review of a plot plan and building elevations for the construction of a 2,400 square-foot drive-thru fast food restaurant and Variances to allow fewer parking spaces than required by Code; and to locate a fast food drive-thru restaurant on collector streets, on 0.49 acres developed with an abandoned gas station. Brian Norton, Senior Planner, presented the staff report. Andrew Walcker, Psomas, representing the applicant stated they were in agreement with staff										