Fairbank, Maslin, Maullin, Metz & Associates FM3

CITY OF RIVERSIDE BENCHMARK ISSUES SURVEY 220-4495-WT N=600 MARGIN OF SAMPLING ERROR $\pm 4.0\%$ (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL) A/B SPLIT

Hello, I'm ______ from California Public Research, a public opinion research company. (IF RESPONDENT REPLIES IN SPANISH, FOLLOW THE ESTABLISHED PROCEDURE FOR HANDING OFF TO AN INTERVIEWER WHO SPEAKS SPANISH.) We are <u>not</u> telemarketers trying to sell you anything or ask for a donation. We're conducting a public opinion survey about some important issues for residents in the City of Riverside. May I please speak to ______? (YOU MUST SPEAK TO THE VOTER LISTED. VERIFY THAT THE VOTER LIVES AT THE ADDRESS LISTED, OTHERWISE TERMINATE.) (IF NOT AVAILABLE, ASK WHEN IT WOULD BE CONVENIENT TO CALL AGAIN.)

A. Before we begin, I need to know if I have reached you on a cell phone, and if so, are you in a place where you can talk safely without endangering yourself or others?

Yes, cell and can talk safely	64 %
Yes, cell but cannot talk safely	TERMINATE
No, not on cell	36%
(DON'T READ) DK/NA/REFUSED	TERMINATE

1. **(T)** Do you think things in _____ **(READ ITEMS FROM LIST)** are generally headed in the right direction, or do you feel things have gotten pretty seriously off on the wrong track?

		RIGHT	WRONG	DON'T READ
		DIRECTION	TRACK	<u>(DK/NA)</u>
(DO	NOT ROTATE)			
a.	Riverside County	63%	20%	17%
	May 2010	54%	29%	17%
b.	The City of Riverside			
	January 2013	67%	20%	13%
	May 2010	62%	24%	14%
c.	Your neighborhood	72 %	22 %	6%
	May 2010	75 %	17%	8%

220-4495-WT

- PAGE 2
- 2. Generally speaking, how would you rate ______ as a place to live: is it an excellent place to live, a pretty good place, only fair, or a poor place to live?

					(DON'T		
		PRETTY	ONLY		READ)	EXC/	FAIR/
	EXC	GOOD	FAIR	POOR	DK/NA	GOOD	POOR
a.	The City of Riverside22%	57% -	18% -	2%	1%	79%	20%
b.	Your neighborhood30%	49% -	17% -	3 %	1%	79%	20%

3. Next, I'm going to mention a list of organizations. After each one, please tell me if you feel that organization is doing an excellent job, a pretty good job, only a fair job or a poor job. (RANDOMIZE)

	(DON'T		
	PRETTY READ)	EXC/	FAIR/
	EXC GOOD FAIR POOR DK/NA	GOOD	POOR
[]a.	Riverside City government overall 9% 48% 30% 8% 4%	57%	38%
[]b.	The Riverside City Council7%44% 30% 10% 10%	50%	40%
[]c.	The Riverside Police Department 28%48% 17% 5% 2%	76%	22%
[]d.	The Riverside Fire Department 45%47% 5% 3%	91%	5%

4. Next, in your personal opinion, do you think there is a great need, some need, a little need, or no real need for additional funds to provide the level of city services that Riverside residents need and want?

GREAT/SOME NEED63%
Great need27 %
Some need35%

LITTLE/NO REAL NEED------32%

Little need	13%
No real need	19%

(DON'T READ) Don't know ------ 5%

220-4495-WT

5. Now, let me ask you some questions about a ballot measure that might appear on a future Riverside ballot. It may be titled the CITY OF RIVERSIDE PUBLIC SAFETY AND VITAL CITY SERVICES MEASURE and read as follows:

"To prevent cuts to police officers, firefighters, paramedics, 9-1-1 emergency response, school safety patrols, anti-gang/drug programs, graffiti removal, library/youth after-school/senior/disabled services, park safety/maintenance; repair local streets/potholes/infrastructure; other general services, shall an ordinance be adopted establishing a one-cent sales tax providing 48 million dollars per year until ended by voters, requiring independent audits, citizens oversight and all funds remaining local?"

If the election were held today, do you think you would vote "yes" in favor of this measure or "no" to oppose it? (IF YES/NO, ASK: "Is that definitely or just probably YES/NO?") (IF UNDECIDED, DON'T KNOW, NO ANSWER, ASK: "Do you lean toward voting yes or no?")

TOTAL YES65%
Definitely yes33%
Probably yes 31 %
Undecided, lean yes 1%
TOTAL NO33%
TOTAL NO33% Undecided, lean no0%

(DON'T READ) DK/NA ----- 3%

(IF Q5 CODED 1, SKIP Q6)

6. What if the Riverside ballot measure that I just described to you was for one-half of a cent providing 24 million, instead of one cent providing 48 million dollars? If that were the case, would you vote yes in favor of it, or no to oppose it? (IF YES/NO, ASK:) "Is that definitely (YES/NO) or just probably?" (IF UNDECIDED, ASK:) "Well, do you lean towards voting yes or no?"

TOTAL YES 53% Definitely yes 16% Probably yes 35% Undecided, lean yes 3%
TOTAL NO 43% Undecided, lean no 1% Probably no 10% Definitely no 32%
(DON'T READ) DK/NA 3%

Q5/Q6 COMBINED

TOTAL YES 69% Definitely yes 43 % Probably yes 23 % Undecided, lean yes 2 %
TOTAL NO 29% Undecided, lean no 1% Probably no 7% Definitely no 22%
(DON'T READ) DK/NA 2%

(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)

7. Next, I am going to read you a series of issues some people say are problems in Riverside. After I mention each one, please tell me whether you personally consider it to be an extremely serious problem, very serious problem, somewhat serious problem or not really a problem at all in Riverside. If you don't know or don't have an opinion, you can tell me that also. **(RANDOMIZE)**

		EXT SER PROB	VERY SER PROB	SMWT SER PROB	NOT A PROB	DK/NA	EXT/ VERY SER	SMWT/ NOT A SER
(SPLI	T SAMPLE A ONLY)							
[]a.	Traffic congestion on local streets							
	and roads	15%	23 %	38%	- 22%	2%	38%	60%
[]b.	The lack of housing that families							
	can afford	14%		34 %	- 21%	9%	36%	55%
[]c.	Lack of parks and recreation							
	opportunities	3%	7 %	23 %	- 62%	5%	10%	85%
[]d.	Crime, gangs and drugs	18%	26%	42%	8%	6%	44%	50%
[]e.	9-1-1 emergency response times	6%	6%	13%	- 37%	37%	13%	51%
[]f.	(T) The condition of the local							
	economy	9%		42%	- 21%	9%	27%	63%
	May 2010	33%	38%	16%	- 11%	2%	71%	27%
[]g.	(T) The amount you pay in local taxes May 2010						22% 34%	66% 61%
(SPLI	T SAMPLE B ONLY)							
[]h.	The condition of local streets,							
	roads and potholes	12%	25%	42%	- 20%	2%	36%	62%
[]i.	Not enough growth and		/-		/ _	_ / -		
	development	7%	10 %	25%	- 48%	10%	17%	73%
[]j.	Current electrical and water utility							
	rates	11%	15 %	34%	- 30%	9%	26%	65%
[]k.	Waste and inefficiency in local	-						
.,	government	15%	19%	29%	- 18%	19%	34%	47%

FM3	RESEARCH
-----	----------

220-4495-WT

(SPL)	T SAMPLE B CONTINUED)	EXT SER <u>PROB</u>	VERY SER <u>PROB</u>	SMWT SER <u>PROB</u>	NOT A <u>PROB</u>	<u>DK/NA</u>	EXT/ VERY <u>SER</u>	SMWT/ NOT A <u>SER</u>
[]l. []m.	The number of homeless people (T) Unemployment and the loss of	27%	33%	32%	4 %	4%	60%	36%
	jobs	16%	28%	27 %	16%	13 %	44%	43%
	May 2010	43%	41%	10%	5 %	2%	84%	15%
[]n.	(T) The effect of state budget cuts	10.00				• • ~	22.2	10 7
	on City of Riverside services						33%	43%
	May 2010	29%	32%	18%	11%	10%	61%	29%
(RES) 8.	UME ASKING ALL RESPONDENT Next, I am going to read you some sta each of the following statements is acc "Is that very (ACCURATE/INACCU	atements a curate or	inaccurat	e. (IF A	CCURAT	FE/INAC	CURAT	
[]a.	New management at City Hall is now moving the City in the right	VERY <u>ACC</u>	SMWT ACC	SMWT <u>INACC</u>	VERY <u>INACC</u>	<u>DK/NA</u>	TOTAL <u>ACC</u>	TOTAL <u>INACC</u>
	direction financially.	17%	37%	12%	9%	25%	54%	21%

(ASK SPLIT SAMPLE A ONLY)

(ASK	SPLIT SAMPLE A ONLY)						
[]b.	(T) Riverside City government is						
	fiscally responsible	22%	42%	16%	6% 13%	64%	23%
	January 2015					74%	17%
	January 2013					75%	17%
	May 2010					71%	19%
	May 2010	55 %	30 %	11 %	0 70 11 70	/1 70	1970
[]e	City employee pensions are						
[]c.							
	significantly hurting the City's	240	100	100	1100 000	1207	200
	budget	24%	19%	18%	11%27%	43%	29%
•	SPLIT SAMPLE B ONLY)						
[]d.	To balance the state budget,						
	Sacramento politicians have taken						
	millions of dollars from the City of						
	Riverside that were used to create						
	local jobs, stimulate economic						
	growth and fix roads	25%	26%	10%	9% 30%	51%	19%
	May 2010	31%	30%	9%	6% 25%	61%	15%
	<i>,</i>	-					
[]e.	Recent changes to City employee						
	pension plans are important for						
	balancing the City budget.	28%	26%	12%	10% 24%	54%	22%
	buluneing the City budget.	2070	2070	12/0		5170	
						L	

9. Now, returning to the **CITY OF RIVERSIDE PUBLIC SAFETY AND VITAL CITY SERVICES MEASURE** we were discussing earlier, I am going to read you a list of ways in which the funds generated by this ballot measure <u>could</u> be spent and provisions that could potentially be included. Regardless of your opinion of the measure, after I mention each one, please tell me how important that provision or use of funds is to you personally: is it extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not too important? **(RANDOMIZE)**

		,			NOT		EXT/
		EXT	VERY	SMWT	TOO		VERY
		IMPT	IMPT	IMPT	IMPT	DK/NA	IMPT
(ASK	SPLIT SAMPLE A ONLY)						
[]a.	Preventing cuts to the number of firefighters	25 %	42%	22%	9%	2%	67%
[]b.	Preventing cuts to school safety patrols	23 %	41%	25%	9%	2%	65%
[]c.	Maintaining vehicles for first responders						79%
[]d.	Maintaining and improving 9-1-1 emergency						
	response times	27 %	39%	21%	8 %	4%	67%
[]e.	Library services	19%	34 %	34 %	12 %	0%	53%
[]f.	Removing graffiti	20 %	36%	36%	8%	1%	55%
[]g.	Senior services	23 %	45%	26%	6%	1%	67%
[]h.	Repairing streets and potholes	24 %	48%	26%	2 %	0%	72%
[]i.	Replacing state takeaways of local funds						48%
[]j.	Parks safety and maintenance						60%
[]k.	Maintaining the infrastructure improvements						
	made by the Riverside Renaissance project		31%	37 %	12 %	8%	43%
[]1.	Requiring that all funds remain local in						
	Riverside	36%	48%	13%	2 %	1%	84%
[]m.	Ensuring public disclosure of all spending	31%	52%	14%	3 %	0%	83%
[]n.	The measure would be in effect until ended						
	by voters	20%	45%	21%	9%	6%	64%
[]0.	(T) Requiring all expenditures be subject to						
	annual independent audits	24 %	45%	23%	4 %	3%	70%
	May 2010						78%
	,						
(ASK	SPLIT SAMPLE B ONLY)						
[]p.	Preventing cuts to police protection	32 %	44 %	16%	6%	2%	76%
[]q.	Preventing cuts to paramedic services	33 %	44 %	17%	5%	1%	77%
[]r.	Preventing cuts to anti-gang and drug						
	programs						71%
[]s.	Maintaining 9-1-1 emergency response times	47 %	46%	5 %	1%	1%	93%
[]t.	Retaining and attracting local businesses	32 %	43%	20%	4 %	1%	74%
[]u.	Services for the disabled						72%
[]v.	Maintaining local infrastructure	24 %	43%	28%	4 %	1%	67%
[]w.	Maintaining street lighting						71%
[]x.	Reducing traffic						61%
[]y.	Repairing sidewalks	15 %	33%	39%	12 %	1%	48%
[]z.	Repairing streets	21 %	46%	28%	5%	1%	66%
[]aa.	City transit service for seniors and disabled						
-	residents	22 %	45%	24 %	8%	1%	67%
						,	

	NOT		EXT/			
	EXT	VERY	SMWT	TOO		VERY
	IMPT	IMPT	IMPT	IMPT	DK/NA	<u>IMPT</u>
(ASK SPLIT SAMPLE B CONTINUED)						
[]bb. Community parks, playgrounds, and sports						
fields	16%	44 %	32%	7%	2%	59%
[]cc. Youth after-school programs	26%	37%	26%	10%	2%	63%
[]dd. Programs to reduce homelessness	27 %	42%	25%	6%	1%	69%
[]ee. Requiring independent annual financial audits -	23 %	40%	25%	7 %	6%	63%
[]ff. Requiring the measure to end after 16 years						
and expire at that time	17 %	25%	34 %	13 %	11%	43%
[]gg. (T) Prohibiting any of the funds raised from						
being taken by Sacramento	35 %	44 %	16%	4 %	2%	78%
May 2010						76%

NOW I AM GOING TO READ YOU SOME STATEMENTS FROM BOTH SUPPORTERS AND OPPONENTS OF THE RIVERSIDE BALLOT MEASURE WE HAVE BEEN DISCUSSING.

10. First, I am going to read you some informational statements from people who <u>support</u> the **CITY OF RIVERSIDE PUBLIC SAFETY AND VITAL CITY SERVICES MEASURE**. After hearing each statement, please tell me if it makes you more inclined to <u>support</u> the measure. If you do not believe the statement, or if it has no effect on your thinking one way or the other, please tell me that too. (**IF MORE INCLINED, ASK:** "Is that much more or just somewhat?") (**RANDOMIZE**)

		MUCH MORE <u>INCL</u>	SMWT MORE <u>INCL</u>	LESS <u>INCL</u>	DON'T <u>BEL</u>	NO <u>EFFECT</u>	<u>DK/NA</u>	TOTAL MORE <u>INCL</u>	
[]a.	(CUTS) If this measure does not pass, the City will be forced to slash funding for basic services such as police and fire protection, paramedics and 9-1-1 emergency response, senior services, youth programs, street and road repairs, libraries, parks and other programs that make Riverside a desirable place								
	to live, visit and do business	46%	22%	3%	15%	14%	1%	67%	

FM3	RESEARCH
-----	----------

PAGE 8

		MUCH MORE INCL	SMWT MORE INCL	LESS INCL	DON'T BEL	NO EFFECT DK/NA	TOTAL MORE INCL
[]b.	(NEIGHBORING CITIES) People live in Riverside because it offers a better quality of life and better services than some other cities in the County. But without this measure, the City will have to cut basic services. We cannot let Riverside turn into some of our nearby cities, with giant budget deficits, more crime, gangs, and graffiti and rundown streets						<u>68%</u>
	cannot be taken by the State. All funds required by law to remain local	120%	20%	20%	60%	170% 20%	72%
[]d. []e.	(PUBLIC SAFETY) The cost of providing police, fire, paramedic and 9-1-1 emergency response services in Riverside has gone up significantly in recent years and is projected to climb even higher. Without this measure, the City will not have the resources necessary to keep our city safe						66%
	improving our local infrastructure, such as repairing streets, roads, sidewalks, and streetlights, maintaining fire and police stations and the local convention center	- 38%	27%	4%	- 12%	17%2%	66%

FIVIS RESEARCII	220-4	4 93-W I				1	AGE 9
	MUCH MORE INCL	SMWT MORE INCL	LESS INCL	DON'T BEL	NO EFFECT D		TOTAL MORE INCL
(SPLIT SAMPLE A ONLY)							
[]f. (HOMELESSNESS)							
Homelessness and pan handling has							
reached epidemic levels in							
Riverside and Southern California,							
with more homeless people							
impacting our parks, business							
districts, and downtown areas.							
This measure will fund							
enforcement and support programs							
to get people off of the streets and							
out of the parks into appropriate							
housing and support programs	49%	21 %	6%	9%	14 %	-1%	70%
[]g. (ACCOUNTABILITY) This							
measure includes tough							
accountability by continuing to							
require annual independent							
financial audits and public review							
of expenditures, and ensures that							
all funds remain in the City of							
Riverside and cannot be taken by							
Sacramento. These fiscal							
safeguards will ensure funds							
continue to be used efficiently,	150	27.0	0.01		10.00	29	740
effectively and as promised	47%	27%	0%	3%	19%	-2%	74%
(SPLIT SAMPLE B ONLY)							
[]h. (T) (YOUTH) Providing local youth with access to quality							
libraries, parks, and community							
centers will give them safe places							
to go where they are supervised							
and receive positive influences.							
Passing this measure is an effective							
way to keep our young people on							
the right track and off the streets,							
away from gangs and drugs	48%	26%	2%	8%	16%	-0%	74%
May 2010							66%
	2270	,.	- /0	/ -		- /*	0070

220-4495-WT

PAGE 9

FM3 RESEARCH

FM3	RESEARCH	
-----	----------	--

(SPLIT SAMPLE B CONTINUED)	MUCH MORE <u>INCL</u>	SMWT MORE <u>INCL</u>	LESS <u>INCL</u>	DON'T <u>BEL</u>	NO <u>EFFECT</u>	<u>DK/NA</u>	TOTAL MORE <u>INCL</u>
[]i. (CITY PROGRESS) The City of Riverside has taken major steps to address our fiscal needs. Efficiency is up and administrative expenses have been reduced significantly in just the last few years. And City employees are contributing more to pensions. But, even with all that progress, this measure is the only way to make sure Riverside does not face the same long-term fiscal challenges as some of our							
neighboring cities	29%	31%	3%	10%	24 %	3%	60%

11. Now that you have heard more about it, let me ask you again about the ballot measure we have been discussing. Again it may be titled, the CITY OF RIVERSIDE PUBLIC SAFETY AND VITAL CITY SERVICES MEASURE and read as follows:

"To prevent cuts to police officers, firefighters, paramedics, 9-1-1 emergency response, school safety patrols, anti-gang/drug programs, graffiti removal, library/youth after-school/senior/disabled services, park safety/maintenance; repair local streets/potholes/infrastructure; other general services, shall an ordinance be adopted establishing a one-cent sales tax providing 48 million dollars per year until ended by voters, requiring independent audits, citizens oversight and all funds remaining local?"

If the election were held today, do you think you would vote "yes" in favor of this measure or "no" to oppose it? (IF YES/NO, ASK: "Is that definitely or just probably YES/NO?") (IF UNDECIDED, DON'T KNOW, NO ANSWER, ASK: "Do you lean toward voting yes or no?")

TOTAL YES71% Definitely yes47% Probably yes23% Undecided, lean yes1%
TOTAL NO 28% Undecided, lean no 0% Probably no 7% Definitely no 20%
(DON'T READ) DK/NA 1%

PAGE 11

FM3 RESEARCH

220-4495-WT

12. Now I am going to read you some statements from people who <u>oppose</u> this local sales tax measure. After hearing each statement, please tell me if it makes you more inclined to <u>oppose</u> the measure. If you do not believe the statement, or if it has no effect on your thinking one way or the other, please tell me that too. (**IF MORE INCLINED, ASK:** "Is that much more or just somewhat?") (**RANDOMIZE**)

		MUCH MORE INCL	SMWT MORE INCL	LESS INCL	DON'T BEL	NO EFFECT DK/NA	TOTAL MORE INCL
[]a.	(WASTE) The City has plenty of money to meet the needs of its residents. The real problem is that City government is riddled with waste and inefficiency, such as using our tax dollars on unessential projects. We should vote no on this measure because we cannot trust the City with our hard earned						
	money	23 %		8%	20%	29%3%	41%
[]b.	(CITY EMPLOYEES) The truth is we would not need to increase the sales tax if public employees had less generous benefits and paid their fair share of pension payments. It is not fair to ask Riverside taxpayers to pay even						
	more for city employees	25%		7%	16%	28%2%	47%
[]c.	(ECONOMY) The prices for food, gas and other everyday items are on the rise and taxes are already out of control. We have all had to live within our means and the City should too. Residents should reject this new, forever tax measure because we simply cannot afford to pay higher taxes right now	28%	22%	7%	14%	28%1%	50%
[]d.	(CROWDED BALLOT) With so many tax increases on the November ballot already, at some point we just have to say, "No. Enough is enough." Local residents, especially seniors, cannot afford increase after increase in						
	burdensome taxes	29%	20%	0%	13%	2%	48%

220-4495-WT

13. Sometimes over the course of surveys such as this, people change their minds, and sometimes they do not. I would like to ask you one last time about the proposed ballot measure that may be titled the **CITY OF RIVERSIDE PUBLIC SAFETY AND VITAL CITY SERVICES MEASURE** and may read as follows:

"To prevent cuts to police officers, firefighters, paramedics, 9-1-1 emergency response, school safety patrols, anti-gang/drug programs, graffiti removal, library/youth after-school/senior/disabled services, park safety/maintenance; repair local streets/potholes/infrastructure; other general services, shall an ordinance be adopted establishing a one-cent sales tax providing 48 million dollars per year until ended by voters, requiring independent audits, citizens oversight and all funds remaining local?"

If there were an election today, do you think you would vote "yes" in favor of this measure or "no" to oppose it? (IF YES/NO, ASK: "Is that definitely or just probably YES/NO?") (IF UNDECIDED, DON'T KNOW, NO ANSWER, ASK: "Do you lean toward voting yes or no?")

TOTAL YES64%
Definitely yes40%
Probably yes 22 %
Undecided, lean yes 2%
TOTAL NO 36%
Undecided, lean no 1%
Probably no 11 %
Definitely no24%
(DON'T READ) DK/NA 0%

220-4495-WT

(ASK ONLY IN RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT)

14. Now I would like to ask you about a different measure that could be on the ballot in November. It is titled **RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHOOL REPAIR AND STUDENT SAFETY MEASURE** and may read as follows:

"In order to:

- Repair or replace aging, outdated classrooms and school buildings
- Upgrade classrooms, science labs, career-training facilities, and computer systems to keep pace with technology
- Improve student safety, campus security, and seismic safety
- And provide students access to the education, technology, and facilities they need to succeed in college and careers

Shall the Riverside Unified School District issue 392 million dollars in bonds at legal interest rates, with independent citizen oversight, no money for administrator salaries, and all money staying local?"

If there were an election today, do you think you would vote "yes" in favor of this measure or "no" to oppose it? (IF YES/NO, ASK: "Is that definitely or just probably YES/NO?") (IF UNDECIDED, DON'T KNOW, NO ANSWER, ASK: "Do you lean toward voting yes or no?")

TOTAL YES64%
Definitely yes37%
Probably yes 24 %
Undecided, lean yes 2%
TOTAL NO 33%
Undecided, lean no 1%
Probably no 11 %
Definitely no21%
(DON'T READ) DK/NA 3%

220-4495-WT

15. Next, I am going to read you a list of individuals and organizations that may provide information on the proposed local ballot measure we have been discussing. After I read each one, please tell me if you would find that individual's or organization's opinion on the topic to be very believable, somewhat believable, not too believable, or not at all believable. If you have never heard of the individual or organization, or do not have an opinion, you can tell me that too. (RANDOMIZE)

	organization, or do not have		- , ,	NOT	NOT	NVR		,	TOTAL
		VERY	SMWT	TOO	AT ALL	HRD	NO	TOTAL	NOT
		BEL	BEL	BEL	BEL	OF	OPIN	BEL	BEL
[]a.	The Riverside City Council	- 12%	49%	10 %	8%	3%	17%	61%	18%
[]b.	Riverside Mayor Rusty								
	Bailey	-26%	42%	8%-	8%	4 %	14%	67%	15%
[]c.	Riverside City Manager	- 10%	36%	10 %	8%	13%	23%	46%	18%
[]d.	Local labor unions	- 16%	32%		13 %	7 %	17%	48%	28%
[]e.	City of Riverside Fire Chief-	- 31%	39%	4 % -	4%	6%	16%	70%	8%
[]f.	The Riverside Press								
	Enterprise	-17%	41%		12%	6%	15%	57%	22%
[]g.	Riverside County Taxpayers								
-	Association	-14%	36%	7%-	5%	18%	21%	50%	11%
(SPLI	T SAMPLE A ONLY)								
[]h.	City of Riverside Police								
	Chief	-25%	34 %	8%-	6%	7%	20%	59%	14%
[]i.	The Riverside Chamber of								
	Commerce	-14%	38%		5%	8%	24%	52%	15%
(SPLI	T SAMPLE B ONLY)								
[]j.	City of Riverside Police								
	Officers Association	- 30%	39%	8%-	6%	5 %	12%	69%	14%
[]k.	Local employers	- 18%	45%	6%-	4 %	8%	19%	63%	10%
(RESUME ASKING ALL RESPONDENTS)									
[]1.	Riverside City Firefighters								
	Association	- 35%	41%	3 % -	6%	3 %	11%	76%	9%

THESE ARE MY FINAL QUESTIONS, AND THEY ARE JUST FOR CLASSIFICATION PURPOSES.

16. **(T)** Do you own or rent your home?

Own 64 %
Rent 31 %
(DON'T READ) DK/NA/Refused5%

17. **(T)** With which racial or ethnic group do you identify yourself: Hispanic or Latino; African American or Black; Anglo or White; Asian or Pacific Islander; or some other ethnic or racial background?

Latino/Hispanic30%
African American/Black7%
White52%
Asian/Pacific Islander 3%
(MIXED RACE) 2%
(OTHER) 4%
(DON'T READ) DK/NA/REFUSED 2%

THANK AND TERMINATE

GENDER (BY OBSERVATION):

LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW:

PARTY REGISTRATION:

Male479 Female 539	
English919	76
Spanish99	76

Democrat 40%
Republican 37 %
No Party Preference18%
Other 5%

FLAGS

<u>P06</u> 27%
G06 49%
F08 52%
P0827%
G08 66 %
M09 38%
P10 41%
G10 61%
P12 45%
G12 84 %
BLANK 14%

VOTE BY MAIL

1	16%
2	9%
3+	
BLANK	43%

PERMANENT ABSENTEE

Yes	61%
No	39%

CITY COUNCIL WARD

1 (Gardner)	13%
2 (Melendrez)	14%
3 (Soubrious)	18%
4 (Davis)	19%
5 (Mac Arthur)	13%
6 (Perry)	11%
7 (Burnard)	12%

SCHOOL DISTRICT

Riverside Unified School District78	%
Other22	%

HOUSEHOLD PARTY TYPE

D1	22%
D2+	11%
R1	15%
R2+	15%
I1+	12%
Mixed	26%

SPLIT SAMPLE

Split A	- 50%
Split B	50%

AGE

18-24	9%
25-29	8%
30-34	8%
35-39	6%
40-44	8%
45-49	7%
50-54	9%
55-59	12 %
60-64	9%
65-74	14 %
75+	10%