



City of Arts & Innovation

RIVERSIDE PUBLIC UTILITIES DEPARTMENT

Water Division

Addendum to a Mitigated Negative Declaration

Introduction

Addendum No. 1 to the Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Mission Inn Booster Station Installation & Pressure Rezoning Project has been prepared by the City of Riverside Public Utilities Department (“City”) in conformance with the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code, § 21000 et seq.) (“CEQA”), the State CEQA Guidelines (Cal. Code Regulations, Title 14, Chapter 3 § 15000 et seq.) and the City of Riverside Resolution No. 21106 (Local CEQA Guidelines), to address minor changes to the Mission Inn Booster Station Installation & Pressure Rezoning Project (as described below) as a result of revisions during Project design.

Section 15164(b) of the State *CEQA Guidelines* states:

An addendum to an adopted negative declaration may be prepared if only minor technical changes or additions are necessary or none of the conditions described in Section 15162 calling for the preparation of a subsequent EIR or negative declaration have occurred.

The purpose of Addendum No. 1 is to demonstrate that only minor changes have been made to the Project and that any potentially significant impacts can be mitigated through implementation of mitigation measures identified in the Mitigated Negative Declaration and clarified in this Addendum.

Background

An Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Mission Inn Booster Station Installation and Rezoning Project (hereinafter the “2016 IS/MND”) was circulated for a 30-day public review period from April 4, 2016 to May 4, 2016, pursuant to State *CEQA Guidelines* Section 15073. The project evaluated in the 2016 IS/MND was the construction and operation of the Mission Inn Booster Station, construction of new and replacement water pipelines, demolition of the existing Rubidoux and Mary Evans Booster Stations, and the consolidation of three existing pressure zones. Refer to **Figure 1 – Aerial Photograph**.¹

The Mission Inn Booster Station as evaluated in the 2016 IS/MND (the “Original Project”) was designed to house pumps in a 16-foot-wide by 30-foot-long and 9-foot tall pre-cast concrete building with two roof access hatches. Per Riverside Public Utilities specifications the electrical transformer was proposed to be approximately 5.5 feet tall. Because the Mission Inn Booster Station component of the Original Project is proposed to be located within Loring Park and will be visible by vehicular, bicycle, and pedestrian traffic traveling northwest on Mission Inn Avenue, Riverside Public Utilities (RPU) staff consulted with the City’s Community Development Department, Historic Preservation, Neighborhoods and Urban Design Division (Historic Preservation) staff regarding the location and appearance of the booster station. As a result of that consultation, the Original Project incorporated

¹ Figures are in a separate section at the end of this Addendum.

several features to minimize grading and disturbance within the park and to soften the appearance of the booster station's appearance. The Original Project proposed a retaining wall (ranging in height from two to five feet) with cable fence safety rail to be constructed northwest and northeast of the booster station building in addition to landscaping consisting of low ground cover, medium grasses, and screening hedges to be installed around the Booster Station Building and transformer. (Refer to **Figure 2A Original Project – Conceptual Landscape.**) Landscaping will be maintained by RPU. The exterior finish was selected so as to not substantially conflict with the surrounding historic resources, (i.e. Seventh Street (Mission Inn Avenue), Mount Rubidoux, Colony Heights, and Evergreen Quarter Historic Districts, and the Buena Vista Bridge Landmark #74.) The Original Project did not propose any alteration to and would avoid impacting the existing stone wall during construction and maintenance. (2016 IS/MND, pp. 3–4, 25–26.)

The Original Project would require the removal of two to three existing trees near the proposed site of the booster station that were determined by the City's Park Superintendent to be in poor health. The Original Project would plant two to three new trees at Loring Park around the proposed booster station as well as shrubs to partially shield the view of the booster station from Mission Inn Avenue. As part of the 2016 IS/MND, a series of "before" and "after" views of the proposed location of the booster station and transformer with and without the Original Project from four vantage points was prepared. The "after" views are for three time periods: landscaping newly installed, one year after installation, and five years after installation. The Original Project's "before" and "after" views are included in this Addendum as **Figures 2B through 2I – Original Project Conceptual Landscape.** The "after" views do not include the two to three trees that will be removed as part of the Project. (2016 IS/MND, pp. 4, 27-28.)

To confirm that the Original Project is consistent with historic resources in Loring Park and the surrounding area, a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) application would be required for review and approval from the City's Cultural Heritage Board. The COA will analyze the proposed booster station's ability to comply with historic standards and guidelines so as to affirm the appropriate design of the structure within Loring Park and its historic surroundings, and to incorporate any identified conditions of approval as part of the COA process. (IS/MND, pp. 4, 25–27.)

Revised Project

Following preparation of the 2016 IS/MND, as part of the engineering design of the booster station and in anticipation of the COA process, RPU staff engaged in additional consultation with Historic Preservation staff regarding the appearance of the booster station building and landscaping. As a result of this consultation, minor changes to Original Project's fencing and conceptual landscape plan are proposed. Additionally, the location of the antenna needed for the Project's Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system has been identified. These minor changes are referred to as the "Revised Project" in this Addendum and shown on **Figure 3A – Revised Project Conceptual Landscape.**

The Revised Project constitutes minor changes to the Original Project's booster station building (change in roof color), fencing, and landscaping around the booster station and identification of the location of the SCADA antenna. The location of the booster station remains the same as in the Original Project and no revisions are proposed to any of the proposed pipelines. As shown in **Figure 3A – Revised Project Conceptual Landscape,** the Revised Project proposes the following minor changes from the Original Project:

- A key stone retaining wall with wrought iron fence to the northwest and northeast of the booster station building instead of a retaining wall with cable fence safety rail.
- A lighter colored roof on the booster station building.
- Black steel trellis with screening vines on the southeast elevation of the booster station building.
- A 35-foot tall SCADA antenna location in the northern portion of the project site.

It is important to note that although **Figure 3A – Revised Project Conceptual Landscape** shows more details, such as concrete curbs and gutters on the booster station site than **Figure 2A – Original Project Conceptual Landscape**, these additional details are not revisions to the Original Project. Rather, this additional information reflects engineering details developed subsequent to the 2016 IS/MND.

Environmental Analysis

Because the Revised Project would be located on the same site, use the same amount and type of construction equipment, serve the same function, and have the same footprint, i.e., disturbance area, as the Original Project, impacts from the Revised Project would be the same as the Original Project with regard to: agriculture and forest resources, air quality, biological resources, geology and soils, greenhouse gas emissions, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology and water quality, land use and planning, mineral resources, noise, population and housing, public service, recreation, transportation and traffic, and utilities and service systems. Therefore, these impacts are not discussed further in this Addendum and this analysis focuses on impacts to aesthetics and cultural resources. A table summarizing the conclusions of the 2016 IS/MND and this Addendum is included in **Table 1 – Summary of 2016 IS/MND Conclusions, Mitigation Measures, and Revised Project Conclusions**.

Aesthetics

2016 IS/MND Conclusion: Less than Significant Impact.

Revised Project: No New Impact

As with the Original Project, construction of the Revised Project will result in a short-term impact from the presence of construction equipment. However, this impact will be temporary and will cease when construction is complete.

As with the Original Project, the booster station component of the Revised Project will be visible by vehicular, bicycle, and pedestrian traffic traveling northwest on Mission Inn Avenue toward the City of Jurupa Valley. In order to evaluate the changes to the appearance of the booster station site resulting from the Revised Project, a series of “before” and “after” views (“visual simulations”) of the proposed location of the booster station and transformer with and without the Revised Project from four vantage points (“scenes”) was prepared. The “after” visual simulations are of the same four scenes (views) and same three time periods (i.e., landscaping newly installed, one year after installation, and five years after installation). The Revised Project’s “before” and “after” visual simulations are included in this Addendum as **Figures 3B through 3I – Revised Project Conceptual Landscape**. The “after” visual simulations do not include the two to three trees that will be removed as part of the Project.

Figures 3B and 3C are visual simulations of the booster station site for the Revised Project as viewed from Mt. Rubidoux Drive. From this location, the appearance of the booster station site when the Revised Project landscaping is newly installed includes the booster station building, the wrought iron fencing, the steel trellis with planted vines, and the SCADA antenna. In comparing the visual simulations in **Figures 3B and 3C** (Revised Project) to the visual simulations in **Figures 2B and 2C** (Original Project) the booster station building appears less stark due to the introduction of the steel trellis and vines, the lighter colored roof, and the retaining wall. The SCADA antenna is visible; however as it is placed in front of an existing tree, it does not block the view of a scenic vista.

Figures 3D and 3E are visual simulations of the booster station site for the Revised Project as viewed from Mt. Rubidoux Drive looking northwest. From this location, the appearance of the booster station site when the Revised Project landscaping is newly installed includes landscaping, driveway, and the booster station building with the steel trellis and vines. In comparing the visual simulations in **Figures 3D and 3E** (Revised Project) to **Figures 2D and 2E** (Original Project), the trellis and vines in the Revised Project break up the view of the surface of the booster station building and the lighter roof material eliminates the dark horizontal line that is visible in the Original Project's visual simulation (**Figures 2D and 2E**).

Figures 3H and 3I are visual simulations of the booster station site for the Revised Project as viewed from Mt. Rubidoux Drive looking northeast. From this location, the appearance of the booster station site when the Revised Project landscaping is newly installed includes the landscaping, the wrought iron fencing, and the booster station building with the doors visible. In comparing the visual simulations in **Figures 3H and 3I** (Revised Project) to **Figures 2H and 2I** (Original Project), the lighter roof material eliminates the dark roof line visible in the Original Project's visual simulation (**Figures 2H and 2I**).

In consultation with Historic Preservation staff, the exterior finish of the booster station building and roof color of the Revised Project was selected so as to not substantially conflict with the surrounding historic resources (i.e., Seventh Street (Mission Inn Avenue), Mount Rubidoux, Colony Heights, and Evergreen Quarter Historic Districts, and the Buena Vista Bridge Landmark #74) and thus not distract or diminish the scenic value of the area particularly when viewed from Mission Inn Avenue. As with the Original Project, the Revised Project's booster station building and landscaping will require review and approval of a COA by the City Cultural Heritage Board. If conditions of approval are identified as part of the COA process, the Revised Project will be required to incorporate such conditions. Thus, implementation of the Revised Project will not detract from, or otherwise substantially impact, Mission Inn Avenue's scenic designation. To ensure that aesthetic impacts resulting from the Revised Project are less than significant, the Revised Project will implement mitigation measure **MM AES 1** (which is the same as **MM CR 1**) as clarified below:²

MM AES 1 (same as MM CR 1): To reduce potential direct and indirect impacts to Mount Rubidoux, the Buena Vista Bridge, and the Seventh Street Historic District, Mount Rubidoux Historic District, Colony Heights Historic District, Evergreen Quarter Historic District, and Loring Park, the exterior treatment of the Mission Inn Booster Station shall be generally consistent to the nearest historic features in the viewshed, which is the Buena Vista Bridge and its accompanying stone walls, through the use of treated concrete in muted color without creating a

² Text to be deleted is shown as strikethrough (~~example text~~) and text to be added is shown as double underlined (example text).

false impression of being historical in origin. Landscaping shall be planted and maintained around the booster station and electrical transformer in substantial conformance with the conceptual landscaping shown in Figure 6A3A of Addendum No. 1 to the Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Mission Inn Booster Station Installation & Pressure Rezoning Project. The historic stone wall along Mt. Rubidoux Drive shall not be damaged or altered as a result of Project-related construction, operation, or maintenance.

Cultural Resources

2016 IS/MND Conclusion: Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated.

Revised Project: No New Impact

The Revised Project would not result in any effects to cultural resources more severe than those described in the adopted MND. In fact, the Revised Project's proposed change in fencing, landscaping, and roof color are the result of consultation between RPU design staff and Historic Preservation staff. Regarding the proposed visual appearance and consistency with historic resources, the Revised Project will be required to submit a COA application for review and approval by the City's Cultural Heritage Board and implement any conditions of approval imposed by the Cultural Heritage Board. The Revised Project will also implement mitigation measure **MM CR 1** (which is the same as **MM AES 1**) as clarified below:

MM CR 1 (same as MM AES 1): To reduce potential direct and indirect impacts to Mount Rubidoux, the Buena Vista Bridge, and the Seventh Street Historic District, Mount Rubidoux Historic District, Colony Heights Historic District, Evergreen Quarter Historic District, and Loring Park, the exterior treatment of the Mission Inn Booster Station shall be generally consistent to the nearest historic features in the view shed, which is the Buena Vista Bridge and its accompanying stone walls, through the use of treated concrete in muted color without creating a false impression of being historical in origin. Landscaping shall be planted and maintained around the booster station and electrical transformer in substantial conformance with the conceptual landscaping shown in Figure 6A3A of Addendum No. 1 to the Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Mission Inn Booster Station Installation & Pressure Rezoning Project. The historic stone wall along Mt. Rubidoux Drive shall not be damaged or altered as a result of Project-related construction, operation, or maintenance

In addition to implementing clarified mitigation measure **MM CR 1**, the Revised Project will also implement mitigation measures MM CR 2 through MM CR 4 as set forth in the 2016 IS/MND and Table 1 –**Summary of 2016 IS/MND Conclusions, Mitigation Measures, and Revised Project Conclusions**.

Summary

The following table presents the 2016 IS/MND significance finding, any applicable mitigation measures, and finding for the Revised Project.

**Table 1 – Summary of 2016 IS/MND Conclusions,
Mitigation Measures, and Revised Project Conclusions**

Environmental Issue	2016 IS/MND Conclusion	2016 IS/MND Mitigation Measures and Addendum No. 1 Clarified Mitigation Measures	Revised Project
Aesthetics	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	MM AES 1 (same as MM CR 1): To reduce potential direct and indirect impacts to Mount Rubidoux, the Buena Vista Bridge, and the Seventh Street Historic District, Mount Rubidoux Historic District, Colony Heights Historic District, Evergreen Quarter Historic District, and Loring Park, the exterior treatment of the Mission Inn Booster Station shall be generally consistent to the nearest historic features in the viewshed, which is the Buena Vista Bridge and its accompanying stone walls, through the use of treated concrete in muted color without creating a false impression of being historical in origin. Landscaping shall be planted and maintained around the booster station and electrical transformer in substantial conformance with the conceptual landscaping shown in Figure <u>6A3A of Addendum No. 1 to the Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Mission Inn Booster Station Installation & Pressure Rezoning Project</u> . The historic stone wall along Mt. Rubidoux Drive shall not be damaged or altered as a result of Project-related construction, operation, or maintenance.	No New Impact (See discussion following this table.)
Agriculture and Forestry Resources	No Impact	None	No New Impact
Air Quality	Less than Significant Impact	None	No New Impact
Biological Resources	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	MM BIO 1: If feasible, removal of any trees or vegetation shall be done during the non-nesting season (September to February). If construction cannot be limited to the non-nesting season, a qualified biologist shall	No New Impact

Environmental Issue	2016 IS/MND Conclusion	2016 IS/MND Mitigation Measures and Addendum No. 1 Clarified Mitigation Measures	Revised Project
		<p>check the trees for potential nesting sites no more than three (3) days prior to any tree removal activities. If nesting birds are present, the area shall be avoided and the trees undisturbed until the young have fledged as determined by the qualified biologist. Avoidance will involve a prescribed 500-foot buffer zone for birds of prey and a 100- to 300-foot buffer zone for songbirds from sensitive locations.</p>	
Cultural Resources	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	<p>MM CR 1 (same as MM AES 1): To reduce potential direct and indirect impacts to Mount Rubidoux, the Buena Vista Bridge, and the Seventh Street Historic District, Mount Rubidoux Historic District, Colony Heights Historic District, Evergreen Quarter Historic District, and Loring Park, the exterior treatment of the Mission Inn Booster Station shall be generally consistent to the nearest historic features in the viewshed, which is the Buena Vista Bridge and its accompanying stone walls, through the use of treated concrete in muted color without creating a false impression of being historical in origin. Landscaping shall be planted and maintained around the booster station and electrical transformer in substantial conformance with the conceptual landscaping shown in Figure 6A3A of Addendum No. 1 to the <u>Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Mission Inn Booster Station Installation & Pressure Rezoning Project</u>. The historic stone wall along Mt. Rubidoux Drive shall not be damaged or altered as a result of Project-related construction, operation, or maintenance.</p> <p>MM CR 2: To reduce impacts to cultural and/or archaeological resources resulting from an inadvertent discovery during construction at Loring Park, all initial ground disturbing activities at Loring Park shall be monitored by a qualified professional archaeologist and a</p>	No New Impact (See discussion following this table.)

Environmental Issue	2016 IS/MND Conclusion	2016 IS/MND Mitigation Measures and Addendum No. 1 Clarified Mitigation Measures	Revised Project
		<p>Morongo Band of Mission Indians-affiliated Native American Monitor. Should any cultural and/or archaeological resources be inadvertently discovered during construction, construction activities in the vicinity of the discovery shall immediately halt, construction shall be moved to other parts of the Project site, the Soboba Band of Luiseño Indians shall be notified, and the significance of the resource(s) shall be determined. If the find is determined to be a historical or unique archaeological resource, as defined in Section 15064.5 of the California Code of Regulations (State CEQA Guidelines) or a tribal cultural resource as defined in California Public Resources Code 21074 (CEQA Statue), reburial, avoidance, or other appropriate measures shall be implemented.</p> <p>MM CR 3: To reduce impacts to cultural and/or archaeological resource resulting from construction within 9th Street and Redwood Drive, and decommissioning of the Rubidoux Booster Station, all initial ground disturbing activities within 9th Street, Redwood Drive, and the Rubidoux Booster Station shall be monitored by a qualified professional archaeologist. Should any cultural and/or archaeological resources be or inadvertently discovered during construction, construction activities in the vicinity of the discovery shall immediately halt, construction shall be moved to other parts of the Project site, the Soboba Band of Luiseño Indians shall be notified, and the significance of the resource(s) shall be determined. If the find is determined to be a historical or unique archaeological resource, as defined in Section 15064.5 of the California Code of Regulations (State CEQA Guidelines) or a tribal cultural resource as defined in California Public Resources Code 21074 (CEQA Statue), reburial, avoidance, or other appropriate measures shall be implemented.</p>	

Environmental Issue	2016 IS/MND Conclusion	2016 IS/MND Mitigation Measures and Addendum No. 1 Clarified Mitigation Measures	Revised Project
		<p>MM CR 4: Should any paleontological resources be uncovered during construction, construction activities in the vicinity of the discovery shall be moved and a qualified paleontological resources specialist will be retained to evaluate the resources. If the find is determined to be significant, avoidance or other appropriate measures as identified by the paleontologist shall be implemented. Appropriate measures include a qualified paleontologist to be permitted to recover, evaluate; and curate the find(s) in accordance with current standards and guidelines.</p>	
Geology and Soils	Less than Significant Impact	None	No New Impact
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Less than Significant Impact	None	No New Impact
Hazard and Hazardous Materials	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	None	No New Impact
Hydrology and Water Quality	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	None	No New Impact
Land Use and Planning	Less than Significant Impact	None	No New Impact
Mineral Resources	Less than Significant Impact	None	No New Impact
Noise	Less than Significant with Mitigation	<p>MM NOI 1: As part of the final design and equipping of the booster station, the booster station building shall use of building</p>	No New Impact

Environmental Issue	2016 IS/MND Conclusion	2016 IS/MND Mitigation Measures and Addendum No. 1 Clarified Mitigation Measures	Revised Project
	Incorporated	<p>materials, noise attenuating louvres, and/or interior insulation such that the noise level 50 feet from the building shall not exceed 51 dBA when the pumps and ventilation fan are in operation.</p> <p>MM NOI 2: To minimize noise impacts resulting from poorly tuned or improperly modified vehicles and construction equipment, all vehicles and construction equipment shall maintain equipment engines and mufflers in good condition and in proper tune per manufacturers' specifications to the satisfaction of the City of Riverside. Equipment maintenance records and equipment design specification data sheets shall kept and maintained by the contractor and available for review by the City upon request.</p> <p>MM NOI 3: To minimize noise from idling engines, all vehicles and construction equipment shall be prohibited from idling in excess of three (3) minutes when not in use.</p> <p>MM NOI 4: During construction, the Project contractor shall limit truck deliveries to the same hours specified for operation of construction equipment.</p> <p>MM NOI 5: To inform potential sensitive receivers of pending construction, the City shall give written notification to all property addresses, as shown on the latest Riverside County Assessors' roll within two-hundred (200) feet of the construction footprint/alignment no less than seven (7) days prior to the start of construction. The written notification shall include a tentative construction schedule and contact information for use by the public if specific noise issues arise.</p>	
Population and Housing	No Impact	None	No New Impact

Environmental Issue	2016 IS/MND Conclusion	2016 IS/MND Mitigation Measures and Addendum No. 1 Clarified Mitigation Measures	Revised Project
Public Services	No Impact	None	No New Impact
Recreation	Less than Significant Impact	None	No New Impact
Transportation/Traffic	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	MM TRANS 1: During the design phase, the City or its Project contractor shall prepare a Construction Traffic Management Plan to the satisfaction of and approval by the City of Riverside Public Works Department, City of Riverside Police Department, and City of Riverside Fire Department prior to the initiation of any construction activities that requires a lane or roadway closure. The Construction Traffic Management Plan shall include the estimated day(s), time(s), and duration of any lane closures that are anticipated to be required due to Project construction.	No New Impact
Utilities and Service Systems	Less Than Significant Impact	None	No New Impact

Conclusion

With implementation of the mitigation measures identified in the 2016 MND, the proposed Revised Project will not result in any new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant impacts; therefore a subsequent, or supplemental MND is not required.

Findings

State *CEQA Guidelines* Section 15164(b) states:

An addendum to an adopted negative declaration may be prepared if only minor technical changes or additions are necessary or none of the conditions described in Section 15162 calling for the preparation of a subsequent EIR or negative declaration have occurred.

The following table presents a summary of the Revised Project's consistency with each condition in Section 15162.

Table 2 – Section 15162 Conditions and Findings

Section 15162 Condition	Revised Project Consistency
<p>(1) <i>Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new, significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects</i></p>	<p>The Revised Project proposes different fencing, landscaping, and a lighter roof and steel trellis on the booster station building (see Figures 3A through 3I – Revised Project Conceptual Landscape). The preceding analysis shows that these changes constitute a minor revision to the Original Project that does not involve new significant environmental effects or any increase in the severity of previous environmental effects.</p>
<p>(2) <i>Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or</i></p>	<p>There are no changes in the circumstances under which the Revised Project will be undertaken. As shown in the preceding analysis, implementation of the Revised Project will not result in new significant environmental effects or any increase in the severity of previously environmental effects.</p>
<p>(3) <i>New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the Negative Declaration was adopted, shows any of the following:</i></p>	<p>There is no new information of substantial importance.</p>
<p>(A) <i>The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration;</i></p>	<p>As shown in the preceding analysis, no new impacts will occur as a result of the Revised Project.</p>
<p>(B) <i>Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR</i></p>	<p>There were no significant environmental effects identified in the 2016 MND. Further, as shown in the preceding analysis, no new impacts will occur as a result of implementation of the Revised Project.</p>

Section 15162 Condition	Revised Project Consistency
<p>(C) <i>Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or</i></p>	<p>All potentially significant impacts identified in the 2016 MND were determined to be less than significant with incorporation of mitigation measures. The Revised Project incorporates feasible mitigation to reduce potential impacts to less than significant. The Revised Project will not result in any new impacts that were not evaluated in the 2016 MND.</p>
<p>(D) <i>Mitigation measures or alternatives that are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.</i></p>	<p>All potentially significant impacts identified in the 2016 MND were determined to be less than significant with incorporation of mitigation measures. Minor revisions to some of the mitigation measures adopted in the 2016 MND and Addendum No. 1 are proposed for clarity. No new mitigation measures are needed for the Revised Project.</p>

The City of Riverside has reviewed the Revised Project in light of the requirements defined under the State *CEQA Guidelines* and determined that none of the above conditions requiring preparation of a subsequent or supplemental MND apply.

Figures

Figures referenced in this Addendum are included on the following pages.