



CITY OF
RIVERSIDE

COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

PLANNING DIVISION

DRAFT NEGATIVE DECLARATION

WARD:
Citywide

1. **Case Number:** P18-0290
2. **Project Title:** City of Riverside Lighting Standards Update
3. **Hearing Date:** May 31, 2018 at 9:00 AM
4. **Written Comments:**
Start: May 4, 2018
End: 5:00 PM on May 25, 2018
5. **Lead Agency:**
City of Riverside
Community & Economic Development Department
Planning Division
3900 Main Street, 3rd Floor
Riverside, CA 92522
6. **Contact Person:**
Phone Number: (951) 826-5264
7. **Project Location:** ☒ The Project is located Citywide.
8. **Project Applicant/Project Sponsor's Name and Address:**
City of Riverside
Community & Economic Development Department
Planning Division
3900 Main Street, 3rd Floor
Riverside, CA 92522
9. **General Plan Designation:** Multiple General Plan designations in Citywide Project.
10. **Zoning:** All Zones (Citywide)
11. **Description of Project:**

Proposal by the City of Riverside to amend the Zoning Code (Title 19 of the Riverside Municipal Code) for a comprehensive update to Chapter 19.556 - Lighting. Proposed amendments include, but are not limited to: 1) reorganization and update to bring the existing Code into compliance with State laws and technological advancements; 2) new or modified definitions related to lighting; 3) creation of lighting zones; 4) new design and development standards; 5) new procedures for reviewing lighting plans; and 6) establishing prohibited types of lighting.

The proposal sets forth standards to ensure that outdoor lighting is adequate for safety, security and commerce, while preserving the naturally occurring darkness of the night sky by mitigating artificial sky glow created by glare and light trespass.

Outdoor lighting installed or modified after the effective date of the proposed amendments shall comply with the new requirements. This includes new lighting, replacement lighting, and additions or alterations to existing lighting, whether attached to structures, poles, at grade, or any other location.

12. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

The project is located Citywide and has a variety of surrounding land uses, zoning and general plan designations.

13. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financial approval, or participation agreement.):

- a. Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) reviews all legislative proposals that occur within the influence areas of the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP).

14. Other Environmental Reviews Incorporated by Reference in this Review:

- a. The General Plan 2025 Final Program Environmental Impact Report (FPEIR)

15. Acronyms

AICUZ -	Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study
AQMP -	Air Quality Management Plan
AUSD -	Alvord Unified School District
CEQA -	California Environmental Quality Act
CMP -	Congestion Management Plan
EIR -	Environmental Impact Report
EMWD -	Eastern Municipal Water District
EOP -	Emergency Operations Plan
FEMA -	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FPEIR -	GP 2025 Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report
GIS -	Geographic Information System
GHG -	Green House Gas
GP 2025 -	General Plan 2025
IS -	Initial Study
LHMP -	Local Hazard Mitigation Plan
MARB/MIP -	March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port
MJPA-JLUS -	March Joint Powers Authority - Joint Land Use Study
MSHCP -	Multiple-Species Habitat Conservation Plan
MVUSD -	Moreno Valley Unified School District
NCCP -	Natural Communities Conservation Plan
OEM -	Office of Emergency Services
OPR -	Office of Planning & Research, State
PEIR -	Program Environmental Impact Report
PW -	Public Works, Riverside
RCALUC -	Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission
RCALUCP -	Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan
RCP -	Regional Comprehensive Plan
RCTC -	Riverside County Transportation Commission

RMC -	Riverside Municipal Code
RPD -	Riverside Police Department
RPU -	Riverside Public Utilities
RTIP -	Regional Transportation Improvement Plan
RTP -	Regional Transportation Plan
RUSD -	Riverside Unified School District
SCAG -	Southern California Association of Governments
SCAQMD -	South Coast Air Quality Management District
SCH -	State Clearinghouse
SKR-HCP -	Stephens' Kangaroo Rat - Habitat Conservation Plan
SWPPP -	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan
USGS -	United States Geologic Survey
WMWD -	Western Municipal Water District
WQMP -	Water Quality Management Plan

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics | <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture & Forest Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Geology/Soils |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Greenhouse Gas Emissions | <input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials | <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology/Water Quality |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use/Planning | <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Noise |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Population/Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Service | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation/Traffic | <input type="checkbox"/> Tribal Cultural Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Utilities/Service Systems |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance | | |

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation which reflects the independent judgment of the City of Riverside, it is recommended that:

The City of Riverside finds that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. ☒

The City of Riverside finds that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared. ☐

The City of Riverside finds that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required. ☐

The City of Riverside finds that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed. ☐

The City of Riverside finds that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required. ☐

Signature _____

Date _____

Printed Name & Title Christina Bartscher/Associate Planner

For City of Riverside



ENVIRONMENTAL INITIAL STUDY

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except “No Impact” answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A “No Impact” answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A “No Impact” answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. “Potentially Significant Impact” is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more “Potentially Significant Impact” entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) “Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated” applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from “Potentially Significant Impact” to a “Less Than Significant Impact.” The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from “Earlier Analyses,” as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. **Earlier Analysis Used.** Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. **Impacts Adequately Addressed.** Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. **Mitigation Measures.** For effects that are “Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated,” describe the mitigation measure which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.

- 8) The explanation of each issue should identify:
- a. the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. AESTHETICS. Would the project:				
a. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
1a. Response: No Impact. The proposed amendments to the lighting standards are intended to reduce inappropriate or excessive use of artificial light, thereby reducing overall effects of light pollution. The proposed Code changes do not, in and of themselves, initiate any physical changes; and the physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. Therefore, the proposed project will have no impact to a scenic vista directly, or cumulatively.				
b. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
1b. Response: No Impact. The project consists of no actual physical changes to any object in nature or to any building. The proposed amendments to the lighting standards are intended to reduce light pollution and promote night sky aesthetics. The physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. Therefore, the proposed project will have no impact to a scenic resource direct, indirectly or cumulatively.				
c. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
1c. Response: No Impact. The proposed project consists of amendments to the lighting standards within the Zoning Code. These standards would be applicable citywide and are designed to reduce light pollution, and protect the night sky from excessive artificial light. The proposed Code changes do not, in and of themselves, initiate any physical changes; and the physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. Therefore, the project will not degrade the existing visual character of the City and no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to the visual character or quality will occur.				
d. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
1d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.1-2 – Mount Palomar Lighting Area, Title 19 – Article VIII – Chapter 19.556 – Lighting, Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines) No Impact. The project would not result in a new source of light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views. No new lighting is directly proposed by the project; nor any exterior building materials that would contribute to daytime glare impacts. The project consists of the establishment of new more restrictive light standards. To further reduce impacts related to light pollution, the City will require at the time of issuing of building permits all developments that introduce light sources, or modifications to existing light sources, to comply with anti-light pollution standards including, but not limited, to shielding devices and lumen restrictions. The physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. As such, the project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively which would adversely affect day or nighttime views.				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES:				
<p>In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:</p>				
<p>a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>2a. Response:</p> <p>No Impact. The proposal is an amendment to the City's lighting ordinance, and would not result in the physical development of any land within the City. Therefore, the project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively related to the conversion of farmland to non-agricultural uses.</p>				
<p>b. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>2b. Response:</p> <p>No Impact. The proposal is an amendment to the City's lighting ordinance, and would not result in the physical development of any land within the City. Therefore the project will not conflict with any existing zoning for agricultural use. Therefore, the project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on existing zoning for agricultural uses or Williamson Act contracts.</p>				
<p>c. Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)) timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>2c. Response:</p> <p>No Impact. The proposal is an amendment to the City's lighting ordinance, and would not result in the physical development of any land within the City. Therefore the project will not conflict with any existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land or timberland. Therefore, the project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on forest land, timberland, or timberland zoned for Timberland Production.</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d. Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2d. Response: No Impact. The proposal is an amendment to the City's lighting ordinance, and would not result in the physical development of any land within the City. Therefore the project will not result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land. Furthermore, the City of Riverside has no forest land that can support 10-percent native tree cover, nor does it have any timberland. Therefore, no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively which would result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use.				
e. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2e. Response: No Impact. The proposal is an amendment to the City's lighting ordinance, and would not result in the physical development of any land within the City. Therefore the project will not result in any changes in the existing environment that could result in conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural use, or conversion of forest land to non-forest use. Therefore, no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively which would result in the conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural uses, or the conversion of forest land to non-forest use.				
3. AIR QUALITY.				
Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:				
a. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3a. Response: (<i>Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 Air Quality Management Plan, URBEMIS 2007 Model, CalEEMod 2007 Model</i>) No Impact. The proposal is an amendment to the City's lighting ordinance, and would not result in the physical development of any land within the City. The project does not directly or indirectly create construction, grading or earthmoving activities. As such, the project does not in conflict with the General Plan 2025 Program "Typical Growth Scenario" or the 2007 AQMP. The proposed project will not conflict or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan, and therefore this project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to the implementation of an air quality management plan.				
b. Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3b. Response (<i>Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 Air Quality Management Plan, URBEMIS 2007 Model, CalEEMod 2007 Model</i>) No Impact. The proposal is an amendment to the City's lighting ordinance, and will not result in the violation of any ambient air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation, because the project does not directly or indirectly create construction, grading or earthmoving activities. The project consists of the establishment of new more restrictive light standards to reduce impacts related to light pollution; and the physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. The project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to ambient air quality, or contribute to an existing air quality violation.				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 Air Quality Management Plan, URBEMIS 2007 Model, CalEEMod 2007 Model)				
No Impact. The proposal is an amendment to the City's lighting ordinance, and will not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant because the project does not directly or indirectly create construction, grading or earthmoving activities. The project consists of the establishment of new more restrictive light standards to reduce impacts related to light pollution. As such, no impact cumulatively to a net increase of any criteria pollutant will occur.				
d. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 Air Quality Management Plan, URBEMIS 2007 Model, CalEEMod 2007 Model)				
No Impact. The proposal is an amendment to the City's lighting ordinance, and would not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations because the project does not directly or indirectly create construction, grading or earthmoving activities that would expose sensitive receptors to substantial short-term pollutant concentrations, and because the project consists of the establishment of new more restrictive light standards to reduce impacts related to light pollution. As such, no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to a sensitive receptor will occur.				
e. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3e. Response: No Impact. The proposal is an amendment to the City's lighting ordinance, and would not expose a substantial number of people to objectionable odors because no odors will be generated by the proposed project. The project creates new lighting standards to reduce inappropriate or excessive use of artificial light, thereby reducing overall effects of light pollution. Therefore, no impact related to objectionable odors will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – Stephen's Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Core Reserve and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHCP Cores and Linkages, Figure OS-8 – MSHCP Cell Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Area Plans, Figure 5.4-4 - MSHCP Criteria Cells and Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Plant Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-7 – MSHCP Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCP Burrowing Owl Survey Area)				
No Impact. The proposal is an amendment to the City's lighting ordinance, and would not directly or indirectly result in the physical development of any land within the City. Additionally, the physical development that the amended Code would				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. The project will have no impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively on habitat modifications, species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, and policies or regulations of the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.</p>				
<p>b. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>4b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – Stephen’s Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Core Reserve and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHCP Cores and Linkages, Figure OS-8 – MSHCP Cell Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Area Plans, Figure 5.4-4 - MSHCP Criteria Cells and Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Plant Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-7 – MSHCP Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCP Burrowing Owl Survey Area, MSHCP Section 6.1.2 - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools)</p> <p>No Impact. The proposal is an amendment to the City’s lighting ordinance, and would not result in the physical development of any land within the City. Additionally, the physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. The project will have no impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
<p>c. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>4c. Response: (Source: City of Riverside GIS/CADME USGS Quad Map Layer)</p> <p>No Impact. The proposal is an amendment to the City’s lighting ordinance, and would not result in the physical development of any land within the City. Additionally, the physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. The proposed project would have no impact to federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
<p>d. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>4d. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 –Figure OS-7 – MSHCP Cores and Linkage)</p> <p>No Impact. The proposal is an amendment to the City’s lighting ordinance, and would not result in the physical development of any land within the City. Therefore, the proposal will not result in a barrier to the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites. In addition, the proposed project includes more restrictive lighting standards, which are beneficial to nocturnal animals who are adversely affected by excessive nighttime lighting. Therefore, the project will have no impact to wildlife movement directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
<p>e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>4e. Response: (Source: MSHCP, Title 16 Section 16.72.040 – Establishing the Western Riverside County MSHCP Mitigation Fee, Title 16 Section 16.40.040 – Establishing a Threatened and Endangered Species Fees, City of Riverside Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual)</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>No Impact. The project proposes revisions to lighting standards, which are intended to further reduce light pollution. There is no physical development proposed, and the physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. In addition, the General Plan 2025 includes policies to ensure that future development would not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, including tree preservation policies. This project has been reviewed against these policies and found to be in compliance. For these reasons, the project will have no impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively on local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, including tree preservation.</p>				
<p>f. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>4f. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – Stephen’s Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Core Reserve and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Stephens’ Kangaroo Rat Habitat Conservation Plan, Lake Mathews Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan and Natural Community Conservation Plan, and El Sobrante Landfill Habitat Conservation Plan)</p> <p>No Impact. The project proposes revisions to lighting standards, which are intended to further reduce light pollution. There is no physical development proposed, and the physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. Therefore, the project will have no impact on the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or State habitat conservation plan, either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
<p>5. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:</p>				
<p>a. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>5a. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.5-A Historical Districts and Neighborhood Conservation Areas and Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code)</p> <p>No Impact. The proposal is an amendment to the City’s lighting ordinance, which does not create or relate to earthwork or building demolition. Furthermore, the proposed Code revisions exempt projects that are subject to Title 20 and have been granted a Certificate of Appropriateness. As such, the project will have no impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively on historical resources as defined in Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines.</p>				
<p>b. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>5b. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Archaeological Sensitivity and Figure 5.5-2 - Prehistoric Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D – Cultural Resources Study)</p> <p>No Impact. The project proposes revisions to the City’s lighting standards, which does not create or relate to activities involving grading/ground disturbance. Therefore the proposal would not create a potential for disturbance of archeological resources. The project will have no impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively to an archeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines.</p>				
<p>c. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>5c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Policy HP-1.3)</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>No Impact. The project proposes revisions to lighting standards, which does not create or relate to activities involving grading/ground disturbance. Therefore the proposal would not create a potential for disturbance of paleontological resources or site or unique geologic features. The project will have no impact directly or indirectly on a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature.</p>				
d. Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>5d. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Archaeological Sensitivity and Figure 5.5-2 - Prehistoric Cultural Resources Sensitivity)</p> <p>No Impact. The project proposes revisions to lighting standards, which does not create or relate to activities involving grading/ground disturbance. Therefore the proposal would not create a potential for disturbance of human remains. The project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively regarding the disturbance of any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries.</p>				
6. GEOLOGY AND SOILS.				
Would the project:				
a. Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
i. Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>6i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 – Regional Fault Zones & General Plan 2025 FPEIR Appendix E – Geotechnical Report)</p> <p>No Impact. The project proposes revisions to the lighting standard. There is no physical development proposed as part of the project, and therefore the proposal would not result in a rupture of a known earthquake fault. Any physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. The proposed code amendment will have no impact related to fault ruptures, either directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>6ii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Appendix E – Geotechnical Report)</p> <p>No Impact. The project proposes revisions to the lighting standard. There is no physical development proposed as part of the project, and therefore the proposal would not cause or impact properties as a result of intense seismic ground shaking. Any physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. The proposed amendment would have no impact related to seismic ground shaking directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>6iii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 – Regional Fault Zones, Figure PS-2 – Liquefaction Zones, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potential, Appendix E – Geotechnical Report)</p> <p>No Impact. The project proposes revisions to the lighting standard. There is no physical development proposed as part of the project, and therefore the proposal would not cause or otherwise impact properties as a result of seismic-related ground failure. The physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. The proposed amendment would have no impact, directly, indirectly and cumulatively, as a result of seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction.</p>				
iv. Landslides?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>6iv. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6-1 – Areas Underlain by Steep Slope, Appendix E – Geotechnical Report, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan SWPPP)</p> <p>No Impact. The project proposes revisions to the lighting standard. There is no physical development proposed as part of the project, and therefore the proposal would not pose a risk to areas prone to landslides. Any physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. There will be no impact related to landslides as a result of the project, directly, indirectly and cumulatively.</p>				
b. Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>6b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6-1 – Areas Underlain by Steep Slope, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan SWPPP)</p> <p>No Impact. The proposal is an amendment to the City’s lighting ordinance. There is no physical development proposed as part of the project, therefore the project does not involve development, grading activities, or structures that would result in soil erosion or the loss of topsoil. Any physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. The project will have no impact resulting in substantial soil erosion or loss of topsoil directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
c. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>6c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 – Regional Fault Zones, Figure PS-2 – Liquefaction Zones, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potential, Figure 5.6-1 - Areas Underlain by Steep Slope, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, and Appendix E – Geotechnical Report)</p> <p>No Impact. The proposal is an amendment to the City’s lighting ordinance. There is no physical development proposed as part of the project, therefore the project does not involve development, grading activities, or structures that would cause soil to become unstable. The physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. The project has no impact on geologic units or soil that could become unstable and result in an on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse, either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
d. Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>6d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, Figure 5.6-5 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potential, Appendix E – Geotechnical Report, and California Building Code as adopted by the City of Riverside and set out in Title 16 of the Riverside Municipal Code)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards. There is no physical development proposed as part of the project, therefore it does not involve any construction activities, grading or new structures on expansive soil that could pose risk to life or property. The physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. As such, the project will have no impact resulting in substantial risks to life or property due to expansive soils either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
e. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>6e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types)</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards. There is no physical development proposed as part of the project, and the proposal does not involve any use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems. The project will have no impact on or in regards to soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems.				
7. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project:				
a. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
7a. Response: No Impact. The proposed project consists of revisions to the lighting standards, and will not result in any physical development. The physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. Additionally, the revised lighting standards consider the use of LED lighting, which was previously not allowed or not addressed in the old Code; therefore, it is expected that the new lighting standards would assist in reducing energy consumption. Reduced energy consumption can lead to lower GHG emissions depending on how the energy is produced. The project will not conflict with the City's General Plan policies and statewide Building Code requirements designed to reduce GHG emissions since the project will not result in a net increase in GHG emissions, and it will not interfere with the State's goals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions stated in AB 32 and Executive Orders S-3-05 and B-30-15. The project will have no impact with respect to GHG emissions.				
b. Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
7b. Response: No Impact. The proposed project consists of revisions to the lighting standards, and will not result in physical development. The physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. Additionally, the revised lighting standards consider the use of LED lighting, which was previously not allowed or not addressed in the old Code; therefore, it is expected that the new lighting standards would assist in reducing energy consumption. Reduced energy consumption can lead to lower GHG emissions depending on how the energy is produced. The project will not conflict with the City's General Plan policies and statewide Building Code requirements that reduce GHG emissions. Since the project will not result in a net increase in GHG emissions, it will not conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation related to the reduction in the emissions of GHG, and therefore no impact will occur directly, indirectly and cumulatively in this regard.				
8. HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:				
a. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
8a. Response: (<i>Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Element, GP 2025 FPEIR, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code, Riverside Fire Department EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1, OEM's Strategic Plan</i>) No Impact. The proposed project does not involve transport, use, or disposal of any hazardous material because the project consists of proposed changes to the lighting standards. As such, the project will have no impact related to the transport, use, or disposal of any hazardous material either directly, indirectly and cumulatively.				
b. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>8b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Element, GP 2025 FPEIR Tables 5.7 A – D, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code, City of Riverside’s EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1, OEM’s Strategic Plan)</p> <p>No Impact. The proposed project does not involve the use of any hazardous materials. As such, the project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively for creating a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment.</p>				
c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>8c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety and Education Elements, GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.7-D - CalARP RMP Facilities in the Project Area, Figure 5.13-2 – RUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-D RUSD Schools, Figure 5.13-3 AUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-E AUSD Schools, Figure 5.13-4 – Other School District Boundaries, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code)</p> <p>No Impact. The proposed project consists of revisions to the lighting standards, and will not result in any physical development. The physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. As a result, the proposal does not involve any emission or handling of any hazardous materials, substances or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing school because the proposed project involves revisions to lighting standards. The project will have no impact regarding emitting hazardous emissions or handling hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
d. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>8d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-5 – Hazardous Waste Sites, GP 2025 FPEIR Tables 5.7-A – CERCLIS Facility Information, Figure 5.7-B – Regulated Facilities in TRI Information and 5.7-C – DTSC EnviroStor Database Listed Sites)</p> <p>No Impact. The proposed project consists of revisions to the lighting standards, and will not result in any physical development. The physical development that the amended Code would allow for is the same or more restrictive than the current standards. As such, the project does not involve hazardous materials sites listed pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5. The project would have no impact on any listed hazardous material sites that could pose a significant hazard to the public or environment, directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>8e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP and March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999), Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005))</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to the lighting standards to reduce light pollution. The Code revisions will apply to all development proposals in the City (i.e., a “citywide” code revision). There are properties that lie within an Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP); however, the proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. The Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission has been provided a copy of the proposed revisions, and will review and determine ALUCP</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
consistency prior to project approval by the City Council. The project will have no impact resulting in a safety hazard for people residing or working in proximity to an airport, directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
8f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP) No Impact. Because the project consists of proposed revisions to the lighting standards to reduce light pollution. The Code revisions will apply to all development proposals in the City (i.e., a “citywide” code revision). There are properties that lie within an Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP); however the proposed development standards are more restrictive standards to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources / The project will not expose people residing or working in the City to an safety hazards related to a private airstrip, and would have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
g. Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
8g. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.7 – Hazards and Hazardous Materials, City of Riverside’s EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1, OEM’s Strategic Plan) No Impact. The proposed project consists of revisions to the lighting standards, and will not result in any physical development or alterations; as such the project will not impair implementation or physically interfere with an adopted emergency plan. Therefore, no impact , either directly, indirectly or cumulatively to an emergency response or evacuation plan will occur.				
h. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
8h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-7 – Fire Hazard Areas, GIS Map Layer VHFSZ 2010, City of Riverside’s EOP, 2002, Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1/Part 2, OEM’s Strategic Plan) No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to the lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. The proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. The project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires. Therefore, no impact regarding wildland fires either directly, indirectly or cumulatively from this project will occur.				
9. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:				
a. Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
9a. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.8-A – Beneficial Uses Receiving Water) No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to the lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. The proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. The project will not directly or indirectly result in physical impacts that would have any effect on water quality or be affected by water quality standards, or waste discharge requirements. The project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to any water quality standards or waste discharge.				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>9b. Response: (<i>Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 – RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), Table PF-2 – RPU Projected Water Demand, Table PF-3 – Western Municipal Water District Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), RPU Map of Water Supply Basins, RPU Urban Water Management Plan, WMWD Urban Water Management Plan</i>)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to the lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. The proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. The proposal will not directly or indirectly deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with ground water recharge, such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level. The project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to groundwater supplies.</p>				
c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>9c. Response:</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to the lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. The proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. The proposal will not directly or indirectly result in physical development (i.e. through grading, ground disturbance, structures or paving) that would alter the existing drainage patterns of the site. Erosion or siltation on- or off-site will not occur as a result of the Code revisions. The project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to existing drainage patterns.</p>				
d. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>9d. Response:</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to the lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. The proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. The project will not directly or indirectly result in physical alteration (i.e. through grading, ground disturbance, structures or additional paving) that would alter any existing drainage patterns, alter the course of stream or river, or increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding. Flooding on or off-site as a result of the project will not occur, and there will be no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on the rate or amount of surface runoff in any manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site.</p>				
e. Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
9e. Response:				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to the lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. The proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. The proposal will not directly or indirectly result in any activity or physical alteration (i.e. through grading, ground disturbance, structures or additional paving) that would create or contribute runoff water in excessive of the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems, or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff. The project will not create or contribute runoff water exceeding capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; therefore there will be no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
f. Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>9f. Response:</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to the lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. The proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. The proposal will not directly or indirectly result in any activity or physical alteration (i.e. through grading, ground disturbance, structures or additional paving) that would create or contribute runoff water that would substantially degrade water quality. The project will not degrade water quality and there will be no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
g. Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>9g. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flood Hazard Areas, and FEMA Flood Hazard Maps)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to the lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and, and does not include any physical development. The proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. The proposal does not involve construction, therefore there will be no impact caused by this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively, as it will not place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area.</p>				
h. Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>9h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flood Hazard Areas, and FEMA Flood Hazard Maps)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to the lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. The proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. The project does not involve the construction of any structure, therefore, the project will not place a structure within a 100-year flood hazard area that would impede or redirect flood flows and no impact will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
i. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>9i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flood Hazard Areas, and FEMA Flood Hazard Maps)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to the lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. The proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. The project does not involve construction, therefore the project will not place a structure within a flood hazard or dam inundation area that would expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam and therefore no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively will occur.</p>				
j. Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>9j. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.8 – Hydrology and Water Quality)</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>No Impact. Tsunamis are large waves that occur in coastal areas; therefore, since the City is not located in a coastal area, no impacts due to tsunamis will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively. Additionally, the proposed project consists of revisions to the lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. The project will not directly or indirectly result in physical alterations. Therefore, no impact potential for seiche or mudflow exists either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
10. LAND USE AND PLANNING:				
Would the project:				
a. Physically divide an established community?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>10a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urban Design Element)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to the lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. The proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. The project does not involve the subdivision of land or the creation of streets that could alter the existing surrounding pattern of development or an established community. There will be no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to an established community.</p>				
b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>10b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, Table LU-5 – Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Redevelopment Areas, Title 19 – Zoning Code, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 7 – Noise Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 – Buildings and Construction, Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to existing lighting standards within the Zoning Code to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. The proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. This project would not conflict with the General Plan 2025, any neighborhood plan, or any specific plan; and would further the General Plan 2025's Policy OS-2.5, which states that the City will "Review and feasibility of creating a 'night-time sky' ordinance to reduce light pollution". This project is not a project of Statewide, Regional or Areawide Significance. For these reasons, this project will have no impact on an applicable land use plan, policy or regulation directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
c. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>10c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 – Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, Table LU-5 – Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Redevelopment Areas, enter appropriate Specific Plan if one, Title 19 – Zoning Code, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 7 – Noise Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 – Buildings and Construction, Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to existing lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. The proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. . The revisions are intended to preserve the night sky, which would have positive wildlife benefits, particularly to nocturnal animals. For these reasons, this project will have no impact to any habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan, directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
11. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
11a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – Mineral Resources) No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to existing lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development, and therefore does not involve the extraction of mineral resources or grading activities. The project will have no impact on mineral resources, directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
b. Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
11b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – Mineral Resources) No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to existing lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. Therefore the project will not result in the loss of any mineral resource recovery sites, and will have no impact on mineral resources, directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
12. NOISE. Would the project result in:				
a. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
12a. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 Roadway Noise, Figure N-2 – 2003 Freeway Noise, Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Roadway Noise, Figure N-6 – 2025 Freeway Noise, Figure N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 – Riverside and Flabob Airport Noise Contours, Figure N-9 – March ARB Noise Contours, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria, FPEIR Table 5.11-I – Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table 5.11-E – Interior and Exterior Noise Standards, Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report, Title 7 – Noise Code) No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to existing lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development, and therefore does not involve construction or activities that would increase ambient noise levels. The project will have no impact on the exposure of persons to or the generation of noise levels in excess of established City standards either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
b. Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
12b. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 Roadway Noise, Figure N-2 – 2003 Freeway Noise, Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Roadway Noise, Figure N-6 – 2025 Freeway Noise, Figure N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 – Riverside and Flabob Airport Noise Contours, Figure N-9 – March ARB Noise Contours, FPEIR Table 5.11-G – Vibration Source Levels For Construction Equipment, Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report) No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to existing lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development, and therefore does not involve development, construction, or activities that would result in any exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels. The project will have no impact on the exposure of persons to the generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>12c. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 Roadway Noise, Figure N-2 – 2003 Freeway Noise, Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Roadway Noise, Figure N-6 – 2025 Freeway Noise, Figure N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-8 – Riverside and Flabob Airport Noise Contours, Figure N-9 – March ARB Noise Contours, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria, FPEIR Table 5.11-I – Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table 5.11-E – Interior and Exterior Noise Standards, Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report, Title 7 – Noise Code)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to existing lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development, and therefore does not involve uses or activities that would result in a substantial permanent increase ambient noise levels in the project vicinity. The project will have no impact on existing noise levels, either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
d. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>12d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.11-J – Construction Equipment Noise Levels, Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to existing lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. Therefore, the proposal does not result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels above levels existing without the project, because the project does not involve activities such as construction, or other related temporary or long-term noise generating activities. The project will have no impact to ambient noise levels from temporary or periodic increases in ambient noise levels,, either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>12e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure N-8 – Riverside and Flabob Airport Noise Contours, Figure N-9 – March ARB Noise Contour, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria, RCALUCP, March Air Reserve Base/March inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999), Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005))</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to existing lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. The Code revisions will apply to all development proposals in the City (i.e., a “citywide” code revision). There are properties subject to the Code revisions that lie within an Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP); however, the proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. The proposal does not involve any physical development, and as such will not expose people residing or working within the proximity to the airport to excessive noise levels. The Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission has been provided a copy of the proposed revisions, and will review and determine ALUCP consistency prior to project approval by the City Council. The project will have no impact, either directly, indirectly or cumulatively, on excessive noise levels.</p>				
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
12f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP, March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999) and Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005))				
<p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to existing lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not consist of physical development. The Code revisions will apply to all development proposals in the City (i.e., a “citywide” code revision). There are properties subject to the Code revisions that lie within an Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP); however, the proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. Additionally, the Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission has been provided a copy of the proposed revisions, and will review and determine ALUCP consistency prior to project approval by the City Council. Because the proposal does not include any physical development, the project will not expose people residing or working in the City to excessive noise levels related to a private airstrip, and would have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
13. POPULATION AND HOUSING.				
Would the project:				
a. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
13a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table LU-3 – Land Use Designations, FPEIR Table 5.12-A – SCAG Population and Households Forecast, Table 5.12-B – General Plan Population and Employment Projections– 2025, Table 5.12-C – 2025 General Plan and SCAG Comparisons, Table 5.12-D - General Plan Housing Projections 2025, Capital Improvement Program, SCAG’s RCP and RTP)				
<p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to existing lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. Therefore the project does not propose new homes or businesses that would directly induce substantial population growth, and does not involve the addition of new roads or infrastructure that would indirectly induce substantial population growth. This project will have no impact on population growth, either directly or indirectly.</p>				
b. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
13b. Response:				
<p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to existing lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. Therefore, the project will not displace existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. No existing housing will be removed or affected by the proposed project, therefore, there will be no impact on existing housing either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
c. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
13c. Response:				
<p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to existing lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. Therefore, the project will not displace any people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. No existing housing or residents will be removed or affected by the proposed project; therefore, this project will have no impact on the displacement of people, and will not necessitate the need for replacement housing, either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
14. PUBLIC SERVICES.				
Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
a. Fire protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
14a. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.13-B – Fire Station Locations, Table 5.13-C – Riverside Fire Department Statistics and Ordinance 5948 § 1)				
No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to existing lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. Because the project does not include any physical development, it will not generate any substantial adverse physical impacts associated with governmental facilities and services, including fire protection. This project will not result in the intensification of land uses, and there will be no impact on the demand for additional fire facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
b. Police protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
14b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-8 – Neighborhood Policing Centers)				
No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to existing lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. Because the project does not include any physical development, it will not generate any substantial adverse physical impacts associated with governmental facilities and services, including police protection. Adequate police facilities and services will not be affected by this project. This project will not result in the intensification of land use, and there will be no impact on the demand for additional police facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
c. Schools?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
14c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.13-2 – RUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-D – RUSD, Figure 5.13-3 – AUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-E – AUSD, Table 5.13-G – Student Generation for RUSD and AUSD By Education Level, and Figure 5.13-4 – Other School District Boundaries)				
No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to existing lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. Because the project does not include any physical development, it will not generate any substantial adverse physical impacts associated with governmental facilities and services, including schools. The project will not create or induce residential development, and will not involve the addition of any housing units or increase numbers of school age children. Therefore the project will have no impact on the demand for additional school facilities or services, either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
d. Parks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
14d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 – Parks, Open Spaces and Trails, Table PR-4 – Park and Recreation Facilities, Parks Master Plan 2003, GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.14-A – Park and Recreation Facility Types, and Table 5.14-C – Park and Recreation Facilities Funded in the Riverside Renaissance Initiative)				
No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to existing lighting standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. Because the project does not include any physical development, it will not generate any substantial adverse physical impacts associated with governmental facilities and services, including parks. The project does not propose or induce residential development, and thus will not involve the addition of any housing units that would increase the population or induce demands on park facilities. Therefore, there will be no impact on the demand for additional park facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e. Other public facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>14e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure LU-8 – Community Facilities, FPEIR Figure 5.13-5 - Library Facilities, Figure 5.13-6 - Community Centers, Table 5.3-F – Riverside Community Centers, Table 5.13-H – Riverside Public Library Service Standards)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. Because the project does not include any physical development, it will not generate any substantial adverse physical impacts associated with governmental facilities and services. The project will not create or induce residential development, and will not involve the addition of any housing units, commercial uses, or industrial uses. The project will not increase demand for public facilities and services, including libraries and community centers. There will be no impacts on the demand for additional public facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
15. RECREATION.				
a. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>15a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 – Parks, Open Spaces and Trails, Table PR-4 – Park and Recreation Facilities, Figure CCM-6 – Master plan of Trails and Bikeways, Parks Master Plan 2003, FPEIR Table 5.14-A – Park and Recreation Facility Types, and Table 5.14-C – Park and Recreation Facilities Funded in the Riverside Renaissance Initiative, Table 5.14-D – Inventory of Existing Community Centers, Riverside Municipal Code Chapter 16.60 - Local Park Development Fees, Bicycle Master Plan May 2007)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. Because the project does not include any physical development, it will not generate any substantial adverse physical impacts on neighborhood and regional park and recreation facilities. The project will not create or induce residential development, and will not involve the addition of any housing units, commercial uses, or industrial uses. The project will not increase demand for public facilities and services, and will not result in an intensification of land use. There will be no impact on the demand for additional recreational facilities, either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
b. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>15b. Response:</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. Because the project does not include any physical development, it does not include recreational facilities, or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities. The project will not create or induce residential development, and will not involve the addition of any housing units, commercial uses, or industrial uses. The project will not increase demand for public facilities and services, and will not result in an intensification of land use. Therefore, there will be no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on the environment as a result of adverse physical effects from proposed, induced, constructed or expanded recreational facilities.</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
16. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project result in:				
a. Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>16a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Master Plan of Roadways, FPEIR Figure 5.15-4 – Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service (LOS) (Typical 2025), Table 5.15-D – Existing and Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H – Existing and Typical Density Scenario Intersection Levels of Service, Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Intersection Improvement Recommendations, Table 5.15-J – Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at LOS E or F in 2025, Table 5.15-K – Freeway Analysis Proposed General Plan, Appendix H – Circulation Element Traffic Study and Traffic Study Appendix, SCAG’s RTP)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. Because the project does not include any physical development, the proposal does not increase in the intensity of use, and does not result in any measurable increase in traffic. Therefore, the project has no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to the capacity of the existing circulation system.</p>				
b. Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>16b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Master Plan of Roadways, FPEIR Figure 5.15-4 – Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service (LOS) (Typical 2025), Table 5.15-D – Existing and Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H – Existing and Typical Density Scenario Intersection Levels of Service, Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Intersection Improvement Recommendations, Table 5.15-J – Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at LOS E or F in 2025, Table 5.15-K – Freeway Analysis Proposed General Plan, Appendix H – Circulation Element Traffic Study and Traffic Study Appendix, SCAG’s RTP)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code standards to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. Because the project does not include any physical development, it does not impact the level of service, travel demand, or other traffic standard as defined by the Riverside County’s Congestion Management Program (CMP). Therefore, there is no impact either directly, indirectly or cumulatively to the CMP.</p>				
c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>16c. Response: (Source: <i>General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP, March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999) and Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005)</i>)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of proposed revisions to the lighting standards to reduce light pollution. The proposal does not include any physical development, and all projects proposed within the City will be subject to the new lighting regulations, which are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. The Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission has been provided a copy of the proposed revisions, and will review and determine ALUCP consistency prior to project approval by the City Council. Because there is no development associated with the proposed project, it will not change air traffic patterns, increase air traffic levels or change the location of air traffic patterns. As such, this project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on air traffic patterns and related safety risks.</p>				
d. Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>16d. Response:</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. Because the project does not include any physical development, it does not include any design features or incompatible uses that would substantially increase hazards. As such, the project will have no impact related to traffic or circulation hazards, either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
e. Result in inadequate emergency access?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>16e. Response: (Source: <i>California Department of Transportation Highway Design Manual, Municipal Code, and Fire Code</i>)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code to reduce light pollution, and does not include any physical development. Because the project does not include any physical development, the project cannot create a physical impact that would limit or otherwise result in inadequate emergency access; therefore there will be no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to emergency access.</p>				
f. Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>16f. Response: (Source: <i>FPEIR, General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urban Design, Circulation and Community Mobility and Education Elements, Bicycle Master Plan, School Safety Program – Walk Safe! – Drive Safe!</i>)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code, and does not include any physical development. Furthermore, all projects proposed within the City will be subject to the new lighting regulations that are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. Because there is no physical development associated with the proposal, no site modifications will occur that would result in conflicts with adopted policies, plans or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g. bus turnouts, bicycle racks). As such, the project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation.</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
17. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code Section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is:				
a. Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code Section 5020.1(k), or	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
17a. Response: (AB52 Consultation) No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code, and does not include any physical development. Because the project does not involve physical development, the project will not result in an adverse change in a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe. The tribes were consulted and due to the Legislative nature of the project, all tribes either declined consultation or did not respond to the request for interest in consultation. The project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe.				
b. A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
17b. Response: (AB52 Consultation) No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code, and does not include any physical development. Because the project does not involve physical development of any land, it will not result in an adverse change in a resource of significance to a California Native American tribe. The tribes were consulted pursuant to the requirements of the Public Resources Code and due, to the nature of the project, declined consultation or did not respond to the request for consultation. The project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on a resource of significance to a California Native American tribe.				
18. UTILITIES AND SYSTEM SERVICES. Would the project:				
a. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
18a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PF-2 – Sewer Facilities Map, FPEIR Figure 5.16-5 – Sewer Service Areas, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City of Riverside’s Sewer Service Area, Table 5.16-L - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the Planning Area Served by WMWD, Figure 5.8-1 – Watersheds, Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and Certified EIR) No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code, and does not include any physical development. Because there is no physical development associated with the proposal, it will not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB). There will be no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to wastewater treatment requirements or facilities.				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b. Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>18b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 – RPU PROJECTED DOMESTIC WATER Supply (AC-FT/YR), Table PF-2 – RPU Projected Water Demand, Table PF-3 – Western Municipal Water District Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), RPU, FPEIR Table 5.16-G – General Plan Projected Water Demand for RPU Including Water Reliability for 2025, Table 5.16-I - Current and Projected Water Use WMWD, Table 5.16-J - General Plan Projected Water Demand for WMWD Including Water Reliability 2025, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City of Riverside’s Sewer Service Area & Table 5.16-L - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the Planning Area Served by WMWD, Figure 5.16-4 – Water Facilities and Figure 5.16-6 – Sewer Infrastructure and Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and Certified EIR.)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code, and does not include any physical development. Because there is no physical development associated with the proposal, it will not require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities, or expansion of existing facilities. Therefore, the project will have no impact resulting in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or the expansion of existing facilities directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
c. Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>18c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-2 - Drainage Facilities)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code, and does not include any physical development. Because there is no physical development associated with the proposal, it will not require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities. Therefore, the project will have no impact resulting in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or the expansion of existing facilities directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
d. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>18d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-3 – Water Service Areas, Figure 5.16-4 – Water Facilities, Table 5.16-E – RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR, Table 5.16-F – Projected Water Demand, Table 5.16-G – General Plan Projected Water Demand for RPU including Water Reliability for 2025, Table 5.16-H – Current and Projected Domestic Water Supply (acre-ft/year) WMWD Table 5.16-I Current and Projected Water Use WMWD, Table 5.16-J – General Plan Projected Water Demand for WMWD Including Water Reliability 2025, RPU Master Plan, EMWD Master Plan, WMWD Master Plan, and Highgrove Water District Master Plan)</p> <p>No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code, and does not include any physical development. Because there is no physical development associated with the proposal, the project will not affect existing water supplies or require new entitlements or resources. Therefore, the project will have no impact resulting in insufficient water supplies either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
e. Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project’s projected demand in addition to the provider’s existing commitments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>18e. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-5 - Sewer Service Areas, Figure 5.16-6 -Sewer Infrastructure, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City of Riverside’s Sewer Service Area, Table 5.16-L - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the Planning Area Served by WMWD, and Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and Certified EIR)</p>				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<p>No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code, and does not include any physical development. Because there is no physical development associated with the proposal, it will not affect or exceed wastewater treatment requirements of (Regional Water Quality Control Board). Therefore, there are no impact to the wastewater treatment provider, directly, indirectly or cumulatively will occur.</p>				
<p>f. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>18f. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.16-A – Existing Landfills and Table 5.16-M – Estimated Future Solid Waste Generation from the Planning Area)</p>				
<p>No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code, and does not include any physical development. Because there is no physical development associated with the proposal, it will not affect future landfill capacity. Therefore, no impact to landfill capacity will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
<p>g. Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>18g. Response: (Source: California Integrated Waste Management Board 2002 Landfill Facility Compliance Study)</p>				
<p>No Impact. The project consists of revisions to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code, and does not include any physical development. Because there is no physical development associated with the proposal, it will not affect or impact the management of solid waste. Therefore, the proposed project would not conflict with any Federal, State, or local regulations related to solid waste, and would have no impacts related to solid waste statutes, directly, indirectly or cumulatively.</p>				
<p>19. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.</p>				
<p>a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or an endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>19a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – Stephen's Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Core Reserve and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHCP Cores and Linkages, Figure OS-8 – MSHCP Cell Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Area Plans, Figure 5.4-4 - MSHCP Criteria Cells and Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Plant Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-7 – MSHCP Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCP Burrowing Owl Survey Area, MSHCP Section 6.1.2 - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools, FPEIR Table 5.5-A Historical Districts and Neighborhood Conservation Areas, Figure 5.5-1 - Archaeological Sensitivity, Figure 5.5-2 - Prehistoric Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code)</p>				
<p>No Impact. The project consists of revision to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code, and does not include any physical development. The proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. The amendments would further protect the night sky from excessive light pollution, and thus would have beneficial effects on the quality of the environment for wildlife populations. Because there is no physical development associated with the proposal, the project will have no impact on the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, fish or wildlife population's ability to maintain self-sustaining levels, the plant or animal community, or number or range of a rare or an endangered plant or animal important to the history or prehistory of California.</p>				
<p>b. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?				
19b. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 6 – Long-Term Effects/ Cumulative Impacts for the General Plan 2025 Program)				
<p>No Impact. The project consists of revision to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code, and does not include any physical development. The proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. In addition, the project is consistent with General Plan 2025. Because there is no development associated with the project, and the development standards are the same or more restrictive on development proposals, there are no anticipated cumulative impacts of the proposed project beyond those previously considered. The proposal will have no impact in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.</p>				
c. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
19c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 5 – Environmental Impact Analysis for the General Plan 2025 Program)				
<p>No Impact. The project consists of revision to the lighting standards in the Zoning Code, and does not include any physical development. The proposed development standards are more restrictive than the existing Code, especially as it relates to backlighting, uplighting, and glare from light sources. Effects on human beings were evaluated as part of the aesthetics, air quality, hydrology & water quality, noise, population and housing, hazards and hazardous materials, and traffic sections of this initial study, and were found to have no impact. Based on the analysis and conclusions in this initial study, the project will have no impact on human beings, directly or indirectly.</p>				

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21087, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21082.1, 21083, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21151, Public Resources Code; Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino, 202 Cal.App.3d 296 (1988); Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors, 222 Cal.App.3d 1337 (1990).