EXHIBIT 6

Mission Inn

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

3649 Mission Inn Ave **Unit #:**

Report or Incident Number: P17106495 242 / Battery LEOKA x 1 **Disposition:** ARR
6/14/2017 1:42:06AM
Officer: Susanto, Jonathan

Report or Incident Number: P18158743 H&S / Health & Safety Viol-Generic **Disposition:**
8/23/2018 5:41:22PM
Officer: Guerrero-Estrada, Ricardo

Report or Incident Number: P18158826 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
8/23/2018 7:51:22PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18174965 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
9/16/2018 2:50:35AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18175167 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
9/16/2018 1:37:11PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18217110 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
11/16/2018 1:48:02AM
Officer: Munoz, Juan

Report or Incident Number: P18217813 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
11/17/2018 2:10:02AM
Officer: Munoz, Juan

Report or Incident Number: P18217808 422 / Criminal Threats **Disposition:** ARR
11/17/2018 2:03:25AM
Officer: Espinosa, Steven

Report or Incident Number: P18218285 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/17/2018 10:40:51PM
Officer:

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18218294 Suspicious Circumstances **Disposition:** SUS
11/17/2018 10:56:27PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18160855 5150 / Mental Subject **Disposition:**
8/26/2018 11:12:15PM
Officer: Chipukites, Matthew

Report or Incident Number: P18200353 5150 / Mental Subject **Disposition:**
10/22/2018 5:24:34PM
Officer: Ramirez, Arthur

Report or Incident Number: P18162406 Incident Report **Disposition:** EXC
8/29/2018 1:04:19AM
Officer: 1906 K Jones

Report or Incident Number: P18209607 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/4/2018 10:56:36PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18210266 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/5/2018 7:44:04PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18210864 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/6/2018 2:46:18PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18210865 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/6/2018 2:46:31PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18208055 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/2/2018 1:26:24PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18208056 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/2/2018 1:26:30PM
Officer:

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18208469 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:**
11/3/2018 2:01:15AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18208803 SUSPER / Suspicious Person **Disposition:**
11/3/2018 5:14:20PM
Officer: Ramirez, Arthur

Report or Incident Number: P18172455 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
9/12/2018 5:35:18PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18215330 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/13/2018 10:49:36AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18216873 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
11/15/2018 4:45:22PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18206927 537(a) PC / Defrauding an Innkeeper **Disposition:** SUS
10/31/2018 7:40:23PM
Officer: Espinosa, Steven

Report or Incident Number: P17031540 Incident Report **Disposition:** EXC
2/20/2017 2:21:06AM
Officer: Blevins, Edward Jr.

Report or Incident Number: P18066505 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:** EXC
4/11/2018 9:05:46PM
Officer: Gallardo-Licea, Claudia

Report or Incident Number: P18157077 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
8/21/2018 3:03:49PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18204091 415 / Disturbance - Generic **Disposition:**
10/27/2018 9:31:13PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18205052 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
10/29/2018 10:34:21AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18218395 647(f) PC / Public Intoxication **Disposition:** ARR
11/18/2018 1:41:13AM
Officer: Espinosa, Steven

Report or Incident Number: P18219156 LPROP / Lost Property **Disposition:** EXC
11/19/2018 10:28:47AM
Officer: Underwood-Porter

Report or Incident Number: P16195504 273.5/ Domestic Violence **Disposition:** ARR
10/23/2016 2:35:05AM
Officer: Garcia, Edward D

Report or Incident Number: P17036618 459C / Burglary - Commercial **Disposition:** SUS
2/28/2017 11:53:10AM
Officer: Barnhill, Austin

Report or Incident Number: P18222845 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/25/2018 11:18:39AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18222927 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/25/2018 2:17:23PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18223018 ANIMAL / Animal Control Notification **Disposition:**
11/25/2018 5:26:20PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18223014 106 / Out Of The Unit **Disposition:**
11/25/2018 5:17:20PM
Officer: Czobakowski, Jerilyn

Report or Incident Number: P18223545 AODRFD / Assist Fire Department **Disposition:**
11/26/2018 12:18:38PM
Officer: Lim, David

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18223748 SPECIAL / Police Special Detail **Disposition:**
11/26/2018 5:12:19PM
Officer: Perkins, Aaron

Report or Incident Number: P18181407 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
9/25/2018 6:29:05PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18227205 647(F) / Drunk in Public **Disposition:** ARR
12/1/2018 11:41:58PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18184863 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
9/30/2018 1:59:08PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18224519 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
11/27/2018 7:22:38PM
Officer: Sivula, Theresa

Report or Incident Number: P18225661 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/29/2018 2:31:30PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18225983 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
11/30/2018 4:02:11AM
Officer: Mann, Justin

Report or Incident Number: P18213298 SUSPER / Suspicious Person **Disposition:**
11/9/2018 11:34:36PM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18213820 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/10/2018 8:33:42PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18183257 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
9/28/2018 3:27:33AM
Officer: Krotz, Robert

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18087319 594(b)(1) / Vandalism **Disposition:** ARR
5/12/2018 2:46:01AM
Officer: Ruiz, Wendy

Report or Incident Number: P18152546 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
8/14/2018 10:34:52PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18192733 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
10/11/2018 1:58:42PM
Officer: Rodriguez, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P18193020 602 / Trespassing **Disposition:** ARR
10/11/2018 9:37:01PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18193643 SUSPER / Suspicious Person **Disposition:**
10/12/2018 8:26:47PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18193789 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
10/13/2018 1:30:25AM
Officer: Espinosa, Steven

Report or Incident Number: P18194096 AREACK / Area Check **Disposition:**
10/13/2018 3:14:09PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18194100 23152 / Driving Under Influence **Disposition:**
10/13/2018 3:24:57PM
Officer: Diaz, Luis

Report or Incident Number: P18221055 AREACK / Area Check **Disposition:**
11/22/2018 12:55:08AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18221665 SS / Subject Stop **Disposition:**
11/23/2018 11:20:03AM
Officer: Olsen, Robert

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18221711 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
11/23/2018 12:33:52PM
Officer: Wilkes, Brendan

Report or Incident Number: P18222045 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
11/23/2018 11:06:10PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18221632 SPECIAL / Police Special Detail **Disposition:**
11/23/2018 10:01:30AM
Officer: Floyd, Daniel

Report or Incident Number: P18222334 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/24/2018 2:23:37PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18222342 PARK / Parking Problem **Disposition:**
11/24/2018 2:53:23PM
Officer: Diaz, Luis

Report or Incident Number: P18222443 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
11/24/2018 6:01:07PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18196283 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
10/16/2018 5:38:22PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18189377 MISPER / Missing Person / Located **Disposition:** EXC
10/6/2018 6:11:57PM
Officer: Ramirez, Arthur

Report or Incident Number: P18191869 488 / Petty Theft Submitted tc **Disposition:** OPEN
10/10/2018 12:55:48PM
Officer: Ellis, Mark

Report or Incident Number: P18192152 INC /Incident Report **Disposition:** EXC
10/10/2018 7:05:56PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18154282 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
8/17/2018 12:16:57PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18198379 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:** OPEN
10/19/2018 5:23:52PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18198685 602 / Trespassing **Disposition:**
10/20/2018 4:23:13AM
Officer: Castillo, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18194723 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
10/14/2018 2:48:02PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18194690 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:**
10/14/2018 1:44:58PM
Officer: Foster, Michael

Report or Incident Number: P18194916 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
10/14/2018 10:00:12PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18176356 647f / Public Intoxication **Disposition:** ARR
9/18/2018 11:51:39AM
Officer: Levett, Danielle

Report or Incident Number: P18177117 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
9/19/2018 12:29:44PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18155401 SUBDN / Person Down **Disposition:**
8/19/2018 4:56:34AM
Officer: Meisner, Justin A

Report or Incident Number: P18156260 LPROP / Lost Property **Disposition:** EXC
8/20/2018 1:53:13PM
Officer: Hipwell, Heather

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18156233 KPEACE / Keep The Peace **Disposition:**
8/20/2018 1:02:12PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18202236 602(K) / Trespassing **Disposition:** ARR
10/25/2018 5:57:05AM
Officer: Mann, Justin

Report or Incident Number: P18203495 242 / Battery **Disposition:** ARR
10/26/2018 9:11:27PM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18020847 SUSCIRC / Suspicious Circumstances **Disposition:** EXC
2/1/2018 11:29:49AM
Officer: Wiggs, Robert

Report or Incident Number: P18020961 WSR / Search Warrant ** **Disposition:** ARR
2/1/2018 1:45:55PM
Officer: Wiggs, Robert

3649 Mission Inn Ave **Unit #:**

Report or Incident Number: P16227002 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:**
12/11/2016 12:51:40PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18021866 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/2/2018 8:13:40PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18022359 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
2/3/2018 3:28:34PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18022687 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/4/2018 12:45:59AM
Officer: Simons, James

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18109826 537 / Defrauding an Innkeeper **Disposition:** OPEN
6/13/2018 8:19:41PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18110694 1057 / Unverified Call/Use Caution **Disposition:**
6/15/2018 12:48:10AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18059768 242 / Battery **Disposition:** ARR
4/1/2018 11:59:20PM
Officer: Meisner, Justin A

Report or Incident Number: P18147521 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
8/7/2018 3:05:33PM
Officer: Guerrero-Estrada, Ricardo

Report or Incident Number: P16163907 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
9/6/2016 8:39:32AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16163908 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
9/6/2016 8:40:36AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16165051 1183 / Unknown Injury Accident **Disposition:**
9/7/2016 6:55:08PM
Officer: Taack, Ryan

Report or Incident Number: P16165075 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
9/7/2016 7:21:48PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16212240 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
11/17/2016 3:06:47AM
Officer: Weddle, Spencer

Report or Incident Number: P16212967 459V / Burglary - Vehicle **Disposition:** OPEN
11/18/2016 7:53:58AM
Officer: McMillian, B

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P16213472 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
11/18/2016 10:40:22PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16213593 243(E)(1) PC - Domestic Battery/ 293 V **Disposition:** ARR
11/19/2016 2:07:36AM
Officer: Blevins, Edward Jr.

Report or Incident Number: P17025958 415F / Disturbance - Fight **Disposition:**
2/11/2017 12:28:35AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17026869 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
2/12/2017 3:45:06PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17027147 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
2/13/2017 6:26:56AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17027205 LPROP / Lost Property **Disposition:**
2/13/2017 8:33:24AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17114694 647FD / Intoxicated Subject On Drugs **Disposition:**
6/26/2017 2:25:44PM
Officer: Navar Jr, Alfonso

Report or Incident Number: P17163602 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
9/9/2017 10:57:21PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P17163701 415 / Disturbance - Generic **Disposition:**
9/10/2017 1:51:19AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17208953 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/18/2017 10:13:37PM
Officer: Simons, James

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P17209240 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/19/2017 1:18:51PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17209629 SPECIAL / Police Special Detail **Disposition:**
11/20/2017 8:47:40AM
Officer: Soria, Felix

Report or Incident Number: P17209909 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/20/2017 3:10:42PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16137669 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
7/29/2016 8:39:37PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16138655 20002 / Non Inj Hit And Run Accident **Disposition:**
7/31/2016 2:43:36PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16138801 415FAM / Disturbance Family **Disposition:**
7/31/2016 9:02:14PM
Officer: Goodson, Steve

Report or Incident Number: P16202981 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
11/2/2016 10:33:45PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16203336 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/3/2016 1:36:03PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16203742 AODRFD / Assist Fire Department **Disposition:**
11/4/2016 3:42:18AM
Officer: Maier, Frederick

Report or Incident Number: P16204331 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
11/4/2016 10:56:57PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P16204437 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
11/5/2016 2:16:06AM
Officer: Garcia, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P16204448 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
11/5/2016 2:46:15AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16204493 SUPP / Supplemental Information **Disposition:**
11/5/2016 7:16:43AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P17005109 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
1/9/2017 10:31:22AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17005245 537 / Defrauding The Innkeeper **Disposition:** ARR
1/9/2017 2:06:11PM
Officer: Fishell, Zach

Report or Incident Number: P17005388 SUPP / Supplemental Information **Disposition:**
1/9/2017 5:45:26PM
Officer: Pedersen, Christopher

Report or Incident Number: P17006726 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
1/11/2017 7:12:04PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P17099048 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
6/3/2017 12:39:55AM
Officer: Joseph, Jason

Report or Incident Number: P17142732 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
8/7/2017 8:16:44PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16135061 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
7/26/2016 10:51:07AM
Officer: Thomas, Vince

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P16135931 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
7/27/2016 1:53:07PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16182185 LPROP / Lost Property **Disposition:** EXC
10/3/2016 1:07:47PM
Officer: Underwood-Porter

Report or Incident Number: P16182355 23152 / Driving Under Influence **Disposition:**
10/3/2016 4:31:40PM
Officer: Leone, Gregory

Report or Incident Number: P16182897 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
10/4/2016 11:45:48AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17030213 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
2/17/2017 4:36:53PM
Officer: Elliott, James

Report or Incident Number: P17031243 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
2/19/2017 1:55:51PM
Officer: Arnold, Christi

Report or Incident Number: P17074813 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:** ARR
4/27/2017 6:24:37PM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P17075703 MUSIC / Disturbance - Loud Music **Disposition:**
4/29/2017 12:38:14AM
Officer: Joseph, Jason

Report or Incident Number: P17119783 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
7/3/2017 10:28:55PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17206564 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
11/15/2017 9:26:09AM
Officer:

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P17206939 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/15/2017 5:29:41PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17206962 20002 / Non Inj Hit And Run Accident **Disposition:**
11/15/2017 5:52:47PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17207069 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/15/2017 8:40:52PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17207739 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/16/2017 9:22:08PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18017549 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/27/2018 11:07:01PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18018371 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
1/29/2018 9:16:39AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18019135 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
1/30/2018 8:37:34AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18019136 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
1/30/2018 8:37:51AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17187810 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
10/17/2017 11:41:14AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18001016 LPROP / Lost Property **Disposition:** EXC
11/8/2017 12:00:00AM
Officer: Online, Report

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18001890 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/3/2018 7:39:52PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18045528 T / Traffic Stop **Disposition:**
3/10/2018 10:10:18PM
Officer: DeLaTorre, Jr, Vincente

Report or Incident Number: P18045688 SPECIAL / Police Special Detail **Disposition:**
3/11/2018 7:32:35AM
Officer: Carmona, Chris

Report or Incident Number: P18046283 LPROP / Lost Property **Disposition:** EXC
3/12/2018 10:15:34AM
Officer: Online, Report

Report or Incident Number: P18046500 SPECIAL / Police Special Detail **Disposition:**
3/12/2018 4:09:14PM
Officer: Park, Henry

Report or Incident Number: P18085586 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
5/9/2018 7:20:50PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18085853 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
5/10/2018 8:51:36AM
Officer: Hayes, Cheryl

Report or Incident Number: P18133101 Found Property **Disposition:** EXC
7/17/2018 11:44:32AM
Officer: Mendonca, Katie

Report or Incident Number: P18097943 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
5/27/2018 5:57:37AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18140008 166.4 PC / Violation Of Court Order **Disposition:** ARR
7/27/2018 12:58:15PM
Officer: Rojas, Mariyln

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18140518 INC / Miscellaneous Incident **Disposition:**
7/28/2018 8:44:03AM
Officer: Brandt, Burton

Report or Incident Number: P18140775 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
7/28/2018 6:39:33PM
Officer: Allison, John

Report or Incident Number: P17106872 5150V / Violent Mental Subject **Disposition:**
6/14/2017 3:50:18PM
Officer: Cruz, Joseph

Report or Incident Number: P17107673 H&S 11364.1 (A) Possession of a Cont **Disposition:** ARR
6/15/2017 6:17:35PM
Officer: Johansen, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P17153538 459.5 / Shoplifting **Disposition:** ARR
8/24/2017 7:49:06PM
Officer: Hiner, Amanda

Report or Incident Number: P17199186 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
11/3/2017 7:06:38PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17199739 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
11/4/2017 8:21:58PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P17199776 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
11/4/2017 9:28:56PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17200328 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
11/5/2017 8:43:08PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17200340 SUBDN / Person Down **Disposition:**
11/5/2017 9:08:05PM
Officer:

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18013806 — 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup — **Disposition:**
1/22/2018 7:49:10PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18015299 — BARCK / Bar Check — **Disposition:**
1/24/2018 8:20:19PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18015365 — BARCK / Bar Check — **Disposition:**
1/24/2018 11:07:02PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18055691 — 911OPEN / 9-1-1 With Open Landline — **Disposition:**
3/26/2018 7:17:42PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18055724 — SUBBOT / Subject Bothering — **Disposition:**
3/26/2018 8:27:12PM
Officer: Zackowski, William

Report or Incident Number: P18097097 — BARCK / Bar Check — **Disposition:**
5/25/2018 8:11:50PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18097183 — 415 / Disturbance - Generic — **Disposition:**
5/25/2018 10:44:50PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18097189 — 242 / Battery — **Disposition:**
5/25/2018 11:01:31PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P16130673 — 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup — **Disposition:**
7/19/2016 3:48:43PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16173961 — 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup — **Disposition:**
9/21/2016 12:21:41PM
Officer:

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P16217899 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
11/26/2016 9:20:10PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16218288 SPECIAL / Police Special Detail **Disposition:**
11/27/2016 5:02:18PM
Officer: Cleary, Joseph

Report or Incident Number: P16218802 LPROP / Lost Property **Disposition:** SUS
11/28/2016 1:49:48PM
Officer: Online, Report

Report or Incident Number: P17022096 240 / Assault **Disposition:**
2/5/2017 9:56:30AM
Officer: Nelson, Christopher

Report or Incident Number: P17022919 AREACK / Area Check **Disposition:**
2/6/2017 6:01:58PM
Officer: Cruz, Joseph

Report or Incident Number: P17062320 MUSIC / Disturbance - Loud Music **Disposition:**
4/9/2017 2:14:49AM
Officer: Escobar, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P17109262 594(b)(1) / Vandalism **Disposition:** ARR
6/18/2017 2:58:19AM
Officer: Prado, Moses

Report or Incident Number: P17110165 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
6/19/2017 5:17:24PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17158173 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
9/1/2017 1:04:17AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P17158960 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
9/2/2017 8:09:12AM
Officer:

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P17203286 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/9/2017 7:44:16PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17203339 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/9/2017 10:14:29PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17203845 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/10/2017 8:31:37PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17204390 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/11/2017 8:23:45PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17204494 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/11/2017 11:31:14PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18006147 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/10/2018 9:58:20PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18006588 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
1/11/2018 1:46:34PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18006874 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/11/2018 9:19:51PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18007482 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/12/2018 8:54:58PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18108098 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
6/11/2018 11:53:58AM
Officer: Smith, Michael

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P16170972 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
9/16/2016 9:12:14PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16171724 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
9/18/2016 4:20:04AM
Officer: Garcia, Edward D

Report or Incident Number: P16172261 459V / Burglary - Vehicle **Disposition:** SUS
9/19/2016 2:55:53AM
Officer: Garcia, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P18145478 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
8/4/2018 4:02:10PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18145481 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
8/4/2018 4:03:18PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18145821 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
8/5/2018 1:15:59AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18145845 MUSIC / Disturbance - Loud Music **Disposition:**
8/5/2018 1:54:40AM
Officer: Meisner, Justin A

Report or Incident Number: P18145888 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
8/5/2018 4:11:20AM
Officer: Meisner, Justin A

Report or Incident Number: P18146908 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
8/6/2018 6:29:53PM
Officer: Guerrero-Estrada, Ricardo

Report or Incident Number: P16160614 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
8/31/2016 10:21:42PM
Officer:

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P16204791 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
11/5/2016 6:33:24PM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P16204802 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
11/5/2016 6:46:57PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P17011498 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
1/19/2017 2:25:28PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17011998 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
1/20/2017 10:02:48AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17012536 415F / Disturbance Fight **Disposition:**
1/21/2017 2:34:13AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17054986 537 / Defrauding The Innkeeper **Disposition:** OPEN
3/28/2017 3:50:36PM
Officer: Ruiz, Wendy

Report or Incident Number: P17100436 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
6/5/2017 8:55:12AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17100751 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
6/5/2017 4:26:55PM
Officer: Tryon, Michele

Report or Incident Number: P17148788 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
8/17/2017 9:08:04AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17149072 SPECIAL / Police Special Detail **Disposition:**
8/17/2017 4:54:03PM
Officer: Newton, Ronel

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P17149288 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
8/18/2017 12:58:07AM
Officer: Hiner, Amanda

Report or Incident Number: P17193433 10851 / Stolen Vehicle **Disposition:**
10/26/2017 5:29:39AM
Officer: Cunningham, David

Report or Incident Number: P17193549 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
10/26/2017 9:24:35AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18009677 537 (a)(2)/ Defrauding The Innkeeper **Disposition:** OPEN
1/16/2018 2:31:05PM
Officer: Pasco, Tanya

Report or Incident Number: P18011442 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
1/18/2018 5:31:18PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18011513 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/18/2018 7:54:06PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18057270 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/28/2018 10:48:04PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18058534 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/30/2018 8:41:28PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18102747 SUSCIRC / Suspicious Circumstances **Disposition:**
6/3/2018 2:31:05AM
Officer: Rardin, Michael

Report or Incident Number: P18150698 415 / Disturbance - Generic **Disposition:**
8/12/2018 2:01:37AM
Officer: Park, Henry

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P17155703 488 PC / Petty Theft **Disposition:** OPEN
8/28/2017 12:17:36PM
Officer: Mutuku, James

Report or Incident Number: P17155789 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
8/28/2017 2:53:02PM
Officer: Robinson, Joseph

Report or Incident Number: P17196345 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
10/30/2017 3:16:24PM
Officer: Quevedo, Pablo

Report or Incident Number: P17196992 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
10/31/2017 12:58:17PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18002531 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/4/2018 8:20:45PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18003678 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
1/6/2018 7:53:29PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18003707 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/6/2018 8:26:54PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18053225 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/22/2018 8:21:34PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18053373 23152 / Driving Under Influence **Disposition:**
3/23/2018 2:11:15AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18054562 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/24/2018 11:16:42PM
Officer: Simons, James

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18054773 475 / Possess Counterfeit Money **Disposition:**
3/25/2018 10:10:04AM
Officer: Wheeler, Richard

Report or Incident Number: P16162860 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
9/4/2016 12:46:09PM
Officer: Lim, David

Report or Incident Number: P16163116 415F / Disturbance - Fight **Disposition:**
9/4/2016 9:37:17PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16163117 415F / Disturbance - Fight **Disposition:**
9/4/2016 9:37:18PM
Officer: Cuevas, Jorge

Report or Incident Number: P16207702 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
11/9/2016 9:57:41PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P17056399 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
3/30/2017 4:28:11PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17056838 SUPP / Supplemental Information **Disposition:**
3/31/2017 10:52:30AM
Officer: White, Garrett

Report or Incident Number: P17057158 THREAT / Threat Of Violence W/Order **Disposition:**
3/31/2017 7:23:25PM
Officer: Joseph, Jason

Report or Incident Number: P17150554 415F / Disturbance Fight **Disposition:**
8/20/2017 1:46:48AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17150768 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
8/20/2017 1:33:53PM
Officer:

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P17202641 BIKE / Bike Stop **Disposition:**
11/8/2017 9:42:52PM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17202673 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
11/8/2017 11:24:35PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18012180 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/19/2018 9:20:23PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18052019 CKWEL / Check The Welfare **Disposition:**
3/20/2018 10:03:03PM
Officer: Loera, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P18094910 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
5/23/2018 7:15:21AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18143495 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
8/1/2018 3:57:02PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18144851 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
8/3/2018 4:35:16PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16132332 SUBKNIFE / Person With A Knife **Disposition:**
7/21/2016 10:43:48PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16132354 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
7/21/2016 11:58:02PM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P16177326 FPROP / Found Property **Disposition:** EXC
9/26/2016 11:48:45AM
Officer: Underwood-Porter

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P16221665 SUSVEH / Suspicious Vehicle **Disposition:**
12/2/2016 8:50:31PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16221951 22651(o)(1) / Expired Registration **Disposition:** EXC
12/3/2016 11:17:20AM
Officer: Rardin, Michael

Report or Incident Number: P16222835 459V / Burglary - Vehicle **Disposition:** OPEN
12/4/2016 10:57:40PM
Officer: Garcia, Edward D

Report or Incident Number: P16223208 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
12/5/2016 3:37:06PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16223317 647FD / Intoxicated Subject On Drugs **Disposition:**
12/5/2016 6:25:31PM
Officer: Galbreath, Bryan

Report or Incident Number: P17071306 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
4/22/2017 3:13:46PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17058547 484G / Fraudulent Use Credit Card **Disposition:** SUS
4/3/2017 11:52:49AM
Officer: Causey, Dennis

Report or Incident Number: P17144652 537 / Defrauding The Innkeeper **Disposition:**
8/10/2017 2:53:41PM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17145179 166.4 / Violation Of Court Order **Disposition:**
8/11/2017 11:28:07AM
Officer: Romero, Leonard

Report or Incident Number: P17190037 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
10/20/2017 6:21:50PM
Officer: Lee, Daniel

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P17190150 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
10/20/2017 9:25:01PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17234009 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
12/31/2017 3:44:33AM
Officer: Garcia, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P17234150 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
12/31/2017 12:44:36PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17234247 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
12/31/2017 5:31:03PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18043657 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/7/2018 8:48:01PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18044320 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/8/2018 8:53:56PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18044551 SPECIAL / Police Special Detail **Disposition:**
3/9/2018 9:17:11AM
Officer: Dana, James

Report or Incident Number: P18045031 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/9/2018 11:26:54PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18045208 CKWEL / Check The Welfare **Disposition:**
3/10/2018 8:47:40AM
Officer: Chen, Jimmy

Report or Incident Number: P18134492 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
7/19/2018 10:32:38AM
Officer:

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18134604 594(A)(1) / Vandalism **Disposition:** SUS
7/19/2018 12:54:25PM
Officer: Montero, Humberto

Report or Incident Number: P18135428 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
7/20/2018 2:07:32PM
Officer: Wilkes, Brendan

Report or Incident Number: P18135663 BOYGIRL / Boyfriend/Girlfriend Disturb **Disposition:**
7/20/2018 10:33:50PM
Officer: McMillan, Brett

Report or Incident Number: P18135742 PARK / Parking Problem **Disposition:**
7/21/2018 2:03:41AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P17008877 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
1/15/2017 11:52:31AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17009085 23152 / Driving Under Influence **Disposition:**
1/15/2017 7:59:55PM
Officer: Barajas, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P17057855 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:** ARR
4/2/2017 2:50:30AM
Officer: Calderon, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18088154 484G / Fraudulent Use Credit Card **Disposition:**
5/13/2018 12:37:42PM
Officer: Harrison, Victoria

Report or Incident Number: P18121483 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
6/30/2018 10:52:45PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17205670 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
11/14/2017 1:59:25AM
Officer: Hunt, Shadee

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P17206427 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
11/15/2017 2:37:16AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18008080 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/13/2018 10:54:30PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18009673 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
1/16/2018 2:22:12PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18047431 11377(a) H&S / Possession of Controlle **Disposition:** ARR
3/13/2018 10:31:05PM
Officer: Morgan, Kyle

Report or Incident Number: P18048142 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/14/2018 10:45:27PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18048842 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/15/2018 9:34:19PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18100412 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
5/30/2018 8:44:01PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18100855 647FD / Intoxicated Subject On Drugs **Disposition:**
5/31/2018 1:11:17PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18101128 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
5/31/2018 7:45:10PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18142675 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
7/31/2018 3:30:05PM
Officer: Samano, Jesse

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P16165799 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
9/8/2016 8:55:56PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16211686 459V / Burglary - Vehicle **Disposition:**
11/16/2016 10:07:16AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16211884 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:** SUS
11/16/2016 3:02:47PM
Officer: Online, Report

Report or Incident Number: P16212175 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
11/16/2016 10:42:55PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16212209 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
11/17/2016 12:44:43AM
Officer: Runstuck, Kevin

Report or Incident Number: P17016855 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
1/28/2017 7:19:49AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17016858 243.4 / Sexual Battery **Disposition:**
1/28/2017 7:27:07AM
Officer: Rardin, Michael

Report or Incident Number: P17017110 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:** SUS
1/28/2017 5:40:39PM
Officer: Elliott, James

Report or Incident Number: P17153825 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
8/25/2017 10:38:13AM
Officer: Newton, Ronel

Report or Incident Number: P17155164 537 / Defrauding The Innkeeper **Disposition:**
8/27/2017 3:43:21PM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18016011 1183 / Unknown Injury Accident **Disposition:**
1/25/2018 7:20:53PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18016013 1183 / Unknown Injury Accident **Disposition:**
1/25/2018 7:21:50PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18016127 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/25/2018 11:25:14PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18016460 LPROP / Lost Property **Disposition:**
1/26/2018 12:10:16PM
Officer: Chen, Jimmy

Report or Incident Number: P18016795 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/26/2018 8:48:39PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18016905 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/27/2018 12:03:17AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18062677 SUSCIRC / Suspicious Circumstances **Disposition:**
4/6/2018 3:27:55AM
Officer: Navar Jr, Alfonso

Report or Incident Number: P18064049 537 / Defrauding The Innkeeper **Disposition:**
4/8/2018 10:06:54AM
Officer: Brandt, Burton

Report or Incident Number: P18064202 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
4/8/2018 3:29:48PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18103819 537 / Defrauding an Inn Keeper **Disposition:** EXC
6/4/2018 6:40:00PM
Officer: Needle, Timothy

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P16128919 415 / Disturbance - Generic **Disposition:**
7/17/2016 12:53:37AM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P16175097 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
9/22/2016 7:32:19PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16175225 SUBDN / Person Down **Disposition:**
9/22/2016 11:44:34PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16175222 5150 / Mental Subject **Disposition:**
9/22/2016 11:26:54PM
Officer: Olsen, Stefan

Report or Incident Number: P16175738 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
9/23/2016 7:20:31PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16175990 DISOR / Disoriented Subj **Disposition:**
9/24/2016 3:56:37AM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P16176364 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
9/24/2016 7:32:34PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16176396 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
9/24/2016 8:16:44PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16176455 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
9/24/2016 9:35:37PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16220091 FUP / Follow Up Investigation **Disposition:**
11/30/2016 1:22:48PM
Officer: Newton, Ronel

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P16220434 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
11/30/2016 10:07:06PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16220447 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:**
11/30/2016 10:36:54PM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P16220483 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
12/1/2016 12:29:44AM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16220901 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
12/1/2016 4:34:09PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16221145 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
12/2/2016 1:02:59AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P17065033 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
4/13/2017 7:49:49AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17112981 487 / Grand Theft **Disposition:** SUS
6/23/2017 8:52:32PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P17113835 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
6/25/2017 2:41:22AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16156384 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
8/25/2016 8:27:25PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16156808 537 / Defrauding The Innkeeper **Disposition:** SUS
8/26/2016 2:22:56PM
Officer: Vazquez, Edward

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P16200172 SUBDN / Person Down **Disposition:**
10/30/2016 12:32:05AM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16200710 INC / Miscellaneous Incident **Disposition:** EXC
10/31/2016 12:00:53AM
Officer: Kleveno, Taylor J

Report or Incident Number: P17008646 PARK / Parking Problem **Disposition:**
1/14/2017 11:06:28PM
Officer: Johansen, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P17053552 243(d) / Assault and Battery **Disposition:** ARR
3/26/2017 2:35:01AM
Officer: Gepford, Matthew

Report or Incident Number: P17096439 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
5/30/2017 10:10:43AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17186950 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
10/16/2017 2:57:56AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17230740 SUBDN / Person Down **Disposition:**
12/25/2017 8:58:09PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17230749 BOYGIRL / Boyfriend/Girlfriend Disturb **Disposition:**
12/25/2017 9:36:28PM
Officer: Loera, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P18040509 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/2/2018 9:11:26PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18040978 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/3/2018 7:32:34PM
Officer: Simons, James

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18041392 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:** OPEN
3/4/2018 1:38:57PM
Officer: Caton, Brian

Report or Incident Number: P18087058 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
5/11/2018 6:47:45PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18092567 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
5/19/2018 6:56:53PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18139055 647F / Intoxicated In Public *Relat **Disposition:**
7/26/2018 4:05:02AM
Officer: Krotz, Robert

Report or Incident Number: P17180525 503 / Embezzlement **Disposition:** EXC
10/5/2017 6:33:16PM
Officer: Loera, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P17224390 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
12/14/2017 8:25:41PM
Officer: DeGruy, Shawn

Report or Incident Number: P17225133 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
12/16/2017 12:23:34AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18030922 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/15/2018 9:18:41PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18031613 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
2/16/2018 11:27:27PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18031629 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/16/2018 11:40:22PM
Officer: Simons, James

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18032072 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/17/2018 8:10:34PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18032130 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
2/17/2018 10:06:26PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18120634 602 / Trespassing **Disposition:** ARR
6/29/2018 7:29:32PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P16196343 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
10/24/2016 3:32:16PM
Officer: Brandt, Burton

Report or Incident Number: P17001464 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
1/3/2017 3:27:39PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17001827 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
1/4/2017 7:03:11AM
Officer: Chen, Jimmy

Report or Incident Number: P17001846 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
1/4/2017 7:39:42AM
Officer: Lee, Daniel

Report or Incident Number: P17002346 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
1/4/2017 6:42:25PM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P17002349 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
1/4/2017 6:51:57PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17002586 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
1/5/2017 7:11:01AM
Officer:

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P17002719 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
1/5/2017 11:36:16AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17003004 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
1/5/2017 7:25:19PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P17003108 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
1/5/2017 11:45:10PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P17048573 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
3/18/2017 8:05:55AM
Officer: Nelson, Christopher

Report or Incident Number: P17049119 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
3/19/2017 1:29:34AM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P17049130 MUSIC / Disturbance - Loud Music **Disposition:**
3/19/2017 1:59:57AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17090275 MUSIC / Disturbance - Loud Music **Disposition:**
5/20/2017 11:52:51PM
Officer: Johansen, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P17090343 415F / Disturbance Fight **Disposition:**
5/21/2017 2:14:03AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17091008 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
5/22/2017 10:12:47AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17228098 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/20/2017 9:37:43PM
Officer: Simons, James

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P17228464 LPROP / Lost Property **Disposition:** EXC
12/21/2017 3:07:10PM
Officer: Online, Report

Report or Incident Number: P17228640 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/21/2017 8:25:14PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17228810 LPROP / Lost Property **Disposition:** EXC
12/22/2017 8:25:49AM
Officer: Ruiz, Wendy

Report or Incident Number: P18043616 1182 / Non Injury Traffic Accident **Disposition:**
3/7/2018 7:38:16PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18136647 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:** OPE
7/22/2018 2:47:09PM
Officer: Sami, Aryan

Report or Incident Number: P16195291 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
10/22/2016 8:48:54PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16146015 BOYGIRL / Boyfriend/Girlfriend Disturb **Disposition:**
8/11/2016 3:23:13AM
Officer: Grey, Paul

Report or Incident Number: P16184094 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
10/5/2016 10:07:48PM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P16184519 242 / Battery **Disposition:** OPEN
10/6/2016 1:49:49PM
Officer: Robinson, Joseph

Report or Incident Number: P16185137 PICKET / Strike Problems **Disposition:**
10/7/2016 10:52:50AM
Officer:

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P16185566 UNKTRB / Unknown Trouble **Disposition:**
10/7/2016 10:24:37PM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P16229327 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
12/14/2016 9:29:23PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16230446 PARK / Parking Problem **Disposition:**
12/16/2016 4:16:27PM
Officer: Johansen, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P16230681 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
12/16/2016 11:32:34PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16231072 FCHILD / Found Child Under 12 **Disposition:**
12/17/2016 5:37:41PM
Officer: Rardin, Michael

Report or Incident Number: P17035246 240 PC / Assault **Disposition:** OPEN
2/25/2017 6:10:06PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18067527 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
4/13/2018 7:54:35AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18112404 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
6/17/2018 7:10:31PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18112833 MISPER / Missing Person **Disposition:**
6/18/2018 1:41:12PM
Officer: Smith, Michael

Report or Incident Number: P18068587 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
4/14/2018 8:41:52PM
Officer: Simons, James

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18114571 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
6/20/2018 11:30:25PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18115084 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
6/21/2018 5:09:51PM
Officer: Braun, Marvin

Report or Incident Number: P17225762 242 / Battery **Disposition:** SUS
12/17/2017 2:44:48AM
Officer: Blevins, Edward Jr.

Report or Incident Number: P17226073 SUPP / Supplemental Information **Disposition:**
12/17/2017 6:27:47PM
Officer: Smith, Aaron

Report or Incident Number: P17226098 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
12/17/2017 7:14:34PM
Officer: Reeves Jr, Craig

Report or Incident Number: P18036209 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
2/24/2018 12:40:28AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18037746 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
2/26/2018 4:17:09PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18077572 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
4/28/2018 12:27:34AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18125273 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/5/2018 7:27:51PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18125200 602(O) / Trespassing **Disposition:** ARR
7/5/2018 5:46:26PM
Officer: Loera, Jose

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18125359 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/5/2018 9:19:38PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18125965 5150 / Danger to self **Disposition:** OPEN
7/6/2018 6:28:19PM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18126102 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/6/2018 9:55:25PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17121059 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
7/5/2017 11:39:21AM
Officer: Cuevas, Jorge

Report or Incident Number: P17165169 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
9/12/2017 12:56:12PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17165116 MISPER / Missing Person / Found Adul **Disposition:** EXC
9/12/2017 11:32:06AM
Officer: Robinson, Joseph

Report or Incident Number: P17211184 10851 / Stolen Vehicle sus **Disposition:** ARR
11/22/2017 3:27:52PM
Officer: Loera, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P17211408 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/22/2017 9:20:41PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17211446 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/22/2017 10:47:59PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17211556 INCUSTOD / In Custody Subject Detair **Disposition:**
11/23/2017 5:08:55AM
Officer: Cunningham, David

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P17211827 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/23/2017 8:52:01PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17211829 537 / Defrauding The Innkeeper **Disposition:**
11/23/2017 8:58:02PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P17211907 415F / Disturbance - Fight **Disposition:**
11/24/2017 12:22:22AM
Officer: Martin, Cedrick

Report or Incident Number: P17212048 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/24/2017 10:53:27AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18019265 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
1/30/2018 11:22:15AM
Officer: Shourds, Shawn

Report or Incident Number: P16169866 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
9/15/2016 9:46:56AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16169867 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
9/15/2016 9:47:24AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16170446 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
9/16/2016 1:56:26AM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P16216069 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
11/23/2016 9:30:51AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16216169 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
11/23/2016 12:42:20PM
Officer: Flores, Joseph

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P16216323 — 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup — **Disposition:**
11/23/2016 4:15:20PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16216580 — SUSA&O / Suspicious Auto And Occup — **Disposition:**
11/24/2016 2:40:30AM
Officer: Kopitch, Derek

Report or Incident Number: P16216811 — XPAT / Extra Patrol Request — **Disposition:**
11/24/2016 5:51:40PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16216812 — SUBDN / Person Down — **Disposition:**
11/24/2016 5:54:00PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16216914 — XPAT / Extra Patrol Request — **Disposition:**
11/24/2016 9:44:18PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16216967 — XPAT / Extra Patrol Request — **Disposition:**
11/25/2016 12:27:02AM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16217394 — FD / Flagdown To Officer — **Disposition:**
11/25/2016 9:27:32PM
Officer: Smith, Dawson

Report or Incident Number: P16217114 — SPECIAL / Police Special Detail — **Disposition:**
11/25/2016 10:58:12AM
Officer: Medina, Felix

Report or Incident Number: P17069099 — 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup — **Disposition:**
4/19/2017 1:11:04PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17069431 — PARK / Parking Problem — **Disposition:**
4/19/2017 11:28:22PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P17069731 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
4/20/2017 10:56:04AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17120685 243(e)(1) / Spousal Battery/PC293 Con **Disposition:** ARR
7/4/2017 11:10:05PM
Officer: Garcia, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P16134711 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:**
7/25/2016 8:21:09PM
Officer: Pedersen, Christopher

Report or Incident Number: P16186158 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
10/8/2016 9:24:44PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16227574 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
12/12/2016 10:40:43AM
Officer: Flores, Joseph

Report or Incident Number: P16228523 OD / Overdose **Disposition:**
12/13/2016 5:46:55PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17033466 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
2/23/2017 4:25:50AM
Officer: Lopez, Sancho

Report or Incident Number: P17034403 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
2/24/2017 10:43:23AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17034881 SUSPER / Suspicious Person **Disposition:**
2/25/2017 1:18:11AM
Officer: Escobar, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P17035078 SUSPER / Suspicious Person **Disposition:**
2/25/2017 12:36:38PM
Officer: Klein, Steve

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P17122673 CKWEL / Check The Welfare **Disposition:**
7/7/2017 6:45:24PM
Officer: Johansen, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P17123032 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
7/8/2017 12:58:14PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17168886 243.4 / Sexual Battery / P/C293 Confic **Disposition:** EXC
9/18/2017 11:11:40AM
Officer: Guerrero-Estrada, Ricardo

Report or Incident Number: P17218296 Incident Report **Disposition:** EXC
12/4/2017 6:23:47PM
Officer: Floyd, Daniel

Report or Incident Number: P17219223 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:**
12/6/2017 10:23:30AM
Officer: Cantino, Nicholas

Report or Incident Number: P17219366 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
12/6/2017 2:07:37PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17219613 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/6/2017 10:02:16PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18030168 5150 / Danger to Others **Disposition:** EXC
2/14/2018 6:30:46PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18030252 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/14/2018 10:09:00PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18076057 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
4/25/2018 8:14:56PM
Officer: Simons, James

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18076863 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
4/26/2018 11:18:30PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18077508 T / Traffic Stop **Disposition:**
4/27/2018 10:32:29PM
Officer: DeLaTorre, Jr, Vincente

Report or Incident Number: P18124276 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
7/4/2018 8:16:13PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18124535 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/4/2018 9:54:17PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P16153461 SUSPER / Suspicious Person **Disposition:**
8/22/2016 12:00:47AM
Officer: Watson, Zachary

Report or Incident Number: P16153774 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
8/22/2016 12:38:03PM
Officer: Thomas, Vince

Report or Incident Number: P16198696 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
10/27/2016 7:16:41PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P17004325 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
1/7/2017 10:36:20PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P17046503 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
3/15/2017 12:42:25PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17047825 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
3/17/2017 8:00:28AM
Officer: Nelson, Christopher

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P17129332 MISPER / Missing Person (Adult) **Disposition:** OPEN
7/18/2017 11:01:13AM
Officer: Tryon, Michele

Report or Incident Number: P17130138 SUSPER / Suspicious Person **Disposition:**
7/19/2017 2:14:43PM
Officer: Cruz, Strawberry

Report or Incident Number: P17130967 AREACK / Area Check **Disposition:**
7/20/2017 4:58:24PM
Officer: Lee, Daniel

Report or Incident Number: P17174727 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
9/27/2017 9:53:49AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17221772 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
12/10/2017 4:24:38PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17221833 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/10/2017 7:13:12PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17223326 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
12/13/2017 6:46:48AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17223373 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
12/13/2017 8:38:19AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18034840 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/21/2018 9:45:36PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18035124 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
2/22/2018 11:22:37AM
Officer: Gepford, Matthew

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P18035451 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/22/2018 7:37:13PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18083022 T / Traffic Stop **Disposition:**
5/6/2018 12:09:33AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18126675 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/7/2018 7:59:06PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18126889 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
7/8/2018 1:24:05AM
Officer: Dehdashtian, Marc

Report or Incident Number: P16147507 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
8/13/2016 8:52:12AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16194647 CASING / Casing For Burglary Or Robb **Disposition:**
10/21/2016 5:14:21PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16194906 415F / Disturbance - Fight **Disposition:**
10/22/2016 1:17:55AM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P16194928 148(a)(1) PC / Resisting Arrrest * I **Disposition:** ARR
10/22/2016 2:10:37AM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P16236032 CKWEL / Check The Welfare **Disposition:**
12/26/2016 2:51:57PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16236012 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
12/26/2016 2:09:59PM
Officer:

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P16236113 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
12/26/2016 5:59:07PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16236173 INC / Incident Report **Disposition:** EXC
12/26/2016 8:50:17PM
Officer: Galbreath, Bryan

Report or Incident Number: P17045301 SPECIAL / Police Special Detail **Disposition:**
3/13/2017 4:58:58PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17135827 459V / Burglary - Vehicle **Disposition:** EXC
7/28/2017 1:03:27AM
Officer: Espinosa, Steven

Report or Incident Number: P17136508 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
7/29/2017 3:46:01AM
Officer: Blevins, Edward Jr.

Report or Incident Number: P17179035 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
10/3/2017 6:26:54PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17179894 3056 / Parole Violation **Disposition:** ARR
10/4/2017 9:24:03PM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17225667 415F / Disturbance Fight **Disposition:**
12/16/2017 11:24:54PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17225657 415F / Disturbance - Fight **Disposition:**
12/16/2017 11:16:43PM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P16150619 SUPP / Supplemental Information **Disposition:**
8/17/2016 3:35:21PM
Officer: Robinson, Joseph

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P16151263 KPEACE / Keep The Peace **Disposition:**
8/18/2016 1:58:29PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16151534 UNKTRB / Unknown Trouble **Disposition:**
8/18/2016 8:09:30PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16193114 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
10/19/2016 1:21:52PM
Officer: Mann, Justin

Report or Incident Number: P16238666 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
12/30/2016 11:05:40PM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P16238676 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
12/30/2016 11:33:48PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16238699 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
12/31/2016 12:26:05AM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16239047 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
12/31/2016 7:46:55PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17000465 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
1/1/2017 7:39:37PM
Officer: McGuigan, William

Report or Incident Number: P17000966 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
1/2/2017 6:57:24PM
Officer: Hill, Darrell

Report or Incident Number: P17001149 211S / Silent Robbery Alarm **Disposition:**
1/3/2017 7:15:24AM
Officer:

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P17042768 — 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup — **Disposition:**
3/9/2017 5:20:38PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17043819 — SPECIAL / Police Special Detail — **Disposition:**
3/11/2017 8:57:47AM
Officer: Pap, Jeffrey

Report or Incident Number: P17084415 — 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup — **Disposition:**
5/12/2017 10:44:12AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17085714 — SUBBOT / Subject Bothering — **Disposition:**
5/14/2017 12:21:26PM
Officer: White, Garrett

Report or Incident Number: P17085787 — SUBBOT / Subject Bothering — **Disposition:**
5/14/2017 3:04:20PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17171530 — SS / Subject Stop — **Disposition:**
9/21/2017 10:49:28PM
Officer: Kerr, Richard

Report or Incident Number: P17212283 — 415V / Disturbance - Verbal — **Disposition:**
11/24/2017 7:15:32PM
Officer: Mattson, Jeffrey

Report or Incident Number: P17212243 — INC / Miscellaneous Incident — **Disposition:**
11/24/2017 5:57:22PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P17213045 — 488 PC / Petty Theft — **Disposition:** OPEN
11/26/2017 10:09:27AM
Officer: Aguirre, Priscilla

Report or Incident Number: P17213217 — SPECIAL / Police Special Detail — **Disposition:**
11/26/2017 5:05:43PM
Officer: Gepford, Matthew

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P17213420 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
11/27/2017 3:04:54AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18026119 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/8/2018 9:19:08PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18026551 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
2/9/2018 1:18:57PM
Officer: Harrison, Victoria

Report or Incident Number: P18072545 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
4/20/2018 3:20:20PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18072752 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
4/20/2018 9:11:35PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18115250 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
6/21/2018 9:27:31PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18115861 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
6/22/2018 8:44:05PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18115977 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
6/22/2018 11:25:52PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18116061 417 / Brandishing A Weapon **Disposition:**
6/23/2018 2:04:04AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18117001 242 / Battery **Disposition:** ARR
6/24/2018 2:06:18PM
Officer: Chen, Jimmy

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P16180823 487 / Grand Theft **Disposition:**
10/1/2016 3:18:28AM
Officer: Blevins, Edward Jr.

Report or Incident Number: P16231095 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
12/17/2016 6:25:07PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16231253 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
12/17/2016 11:32:23PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16231584 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
12/18/2016 3:53:31PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17037888 594(A)(1)/ Vandalism **Disposition:** SUS
3/2/2017 9:25:05AM
Officer: Underwood-Porter

Report or Incident Number: P17077256 23152 / Driving Under Influence **Disposition:**
5/1/2017 4:38:24PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17077780 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
5/2/2017 12:34:03PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17125784 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
7/12/2017 7:17:02PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17173981 SPECIAL / Police Special Detail **Disposition:**
9/26/2017 9:08:14AM
Officer: Mann, Justin

Report or Incident Number: P17214107 FCHILD / Found Child Under 12 **Disposition:**
11/28/2017 7:23:45AM
Officer: Thomas, Vince

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:43AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:43PM
Address: 3649 mission

Report or Incident Number: P17214293 Found Property **Disposition:** EXC
11/28/2017 11:08:49AM
Officer: McHugh, Ryan

Report or Incident Number: P17214261 653m PC / Annoying Phone Calls **Disposition:** SUS
11/28/2017 10:34:35AM
Officer: Rodriguez, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P17214607 Incident Report **Disposition:** EXC
11/28/2017 6:41:39PM
Officer: Knoffloch, Ronald

Report or Incident Number: P16149814 236 / False Imprisonment - 242 / Batter **Disposition:** EXC
8/16/2016 4:01:15PM
Officer: Robinson, Joseph

Report or Incident Number: P16190191 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
10/14/2016 7:23:38PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16190640 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
10/15/2016 4:18:51PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16190944 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
10/16/2016 1:21:14AM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P16190963 415(1) Fighting in Public changed to **Disposition:** EXC
10/16/2016 2:00:14AM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16191120 FPROP / Found Property **Disposition:**
10/16/2016 11:05:04AM
Officer: Klein, Steve

Report or Incident Number: P16237181 MUSIC / Disturbance - Loud Music **Disposition:**
12/28/2016 3:30:52PM
Officer: Loera, Jose

Riverside Police Department Mission Tobacco

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:48AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:48PM
Address: 3630 university

3630 University Ave **Unit #:**

Report or Incident Number: P18189002 SS / Subject Stop **Disposition:**
10/6/2018 1:59:50AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18155823 BOYGIRL / Boyfriend/Girlfriend Disturb: **Disposition:**
8/19/2018 10:08:41PM
Officer: Jones, Aaron

Report or Incident Number: P18164638 5150 / Mental Subject **Disposition:**
8/31/2018 11:24:02PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18172583 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
9/12/2018 9:14:53PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18173431 SS / Subject Stop **Disposition:**
9/14/2018 1:42:22AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18217100 415 / Disturbance - Generic **Disposition:**
11/16/2018 1:23:56AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18220761 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
11/21/2018 2:53:24PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18153255 Incident Report **Disposition:** EXC
8/16/2018 1:25:42AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18152283 245A1 / Assault With A Deadly Weapor **Disposition:** SUS
8/14/2018 2:45:36PM
Officer: Loera, Jose

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:48AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:48PM
Address: 3630 university

Report or Incident Number: P18114935 484(G) PC / Credit Card Fraud **Disposition:** OPEN
6/21/2018 2:04:47PM
Officer: Floyd, Daniel

Report or Incident Number: P18202958 RMC / Riverside Muni Code Violation **Disposition:**
10/26/2018 1:11:31AM
Officer: Munoz, Juan

3630 University Ave **Unit #:**

Report or Incident Number: P16179318 242 / Battery **Disposition:** OPEN
9/29/2016 2:27:30AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17025962 242 / Battery * V1/Heynen is a ; **Disposition:** EXC
2/11/2017 12:40:55AM
Officer: Johansen, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P17158452 SUSVEH / Suspicious Vehicle **Disposition:**
9/1/2017 2:00:41PM
Officer: Lee, Daniel

Report or Incident Number: P18100422 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
5/30/2018 8:50:04PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18101949 JUVPRB / Juvenile Problem **Disposition:**
6/1/2018 10:12:36PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P17029508 537 / Defrauding The Innkeeper **Disposition:**
2/16/2017 3:26:21PM
Officer: Lapoint, Taylor

Report or Incident Number: P17074883 C5 / Stake Out **Disposition:**
4/27/2017 9:07:43PM
Officer:

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:48AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:48PM
Address: 3630 university

Report or Incident Number: P17075666 CASING / Casing For Burglary Or Robb **Disposition:**
4/28/2017 11:17:09PM
Officer: Joseph, Jason

Report or Incident Number: P17119145 488 / Theft From Vehicle **Disposition:** OPEN
7/3/2017 1:50:47AM
Officer: Gutierrez, Karla

Report or Incident Number: P17046211 594 / Malicious Mischief **Disposition:** OPEN
3/15/2017 2:11:23AM
Officer: Cunningham, David

Report or Incident Number: P17016955 11160 / Mandated Report Health Practil **Disposition:** OPEN
1/28/2017 11:59:39AM
Officer: Underwood-Porter

Report or Incident Number: P17065648 SUSCIRC / Suspicious Circumstances **Disposition:**
4/13/2017 11:28:10PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P17068314 H&S / Health & Safety Viol-Generic **Disposition:**
4/18/2017 10:36:08AM
Officer: Thomas, Vince

Report or Incident Number: P17111529 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
6/21/2017 7:00:49PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18017094 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
1/27/2018 9:22:31AM
Officer: Cuevas, Jorge

Report or Incident Number: P18017213 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
1/27/2018 1:29:16PM
Officer: Cuevas, Jorge

Report or Incident Number: P18151761 AREACK / Area Check **Disposition:**
8/13/2018 7:47:43PM
Officer: Needle, Timothy

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:48AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:48PM
Address: 3630 university

Report or Incident Number: P17101218 242 / Battery **Disposition:** EXC
6/6/2017 10:07:31AM
Officer: Caton, Brian

Report or Incident Number: P18092648 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
5/19/2018 9:17:19PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P16171714 CUSTOM / Customer Problem **Disposition:**
9/18/2016 3:05:19AM
Officer: Garcia, Edward D

Report or Incident Number: P17112390 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:** OPEN
6/23/2017 12:06:52AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P17112992 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
6/23/2017 9:06:50PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17113355 SPECIAL / Police Special Detail **Disposition:**
6/24/2017 12:21:55PM
Officer: Leone, Gregory

Report or Incident Number: P17113973 594/ Vandalism **Disposition:** EXC
6/25/2017 11:25:38AM
Officer: Leone, Gregory

Report or Incident Number: P17160515 SUSA&O / Suspicious Auto And Occup **Disposition:**
9/5/2017 1:43:06AM
Officer: Cunningham, David

Report or Incident Number: P18103045 314.1 / Indecent Exposure **Disposition:**
6/3/2018 4:09:56PM
Officer: Caton, Brian

Report or Incident Number: P18103778 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
6/4/2018 5:21:00PM
Officer: Needle, Timothy

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:48AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:48PM
Address: 3630 university

Report or Incident Number: P18146380 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
8/6/2018 1:14:33AM
Officer: Meisner, Justin A

Report or Incident Number: P16129438 417(a)(1)/ Brandishing a Weapon **Disposition:** OPEN
7/17/2016 10:27:33PM
Officer: Blevins, Edward Jr.

Report or Incident Number: P17162348 RMC / Riverside Muni Code Violation **Disposition:**
9/7/2017 7:46:26PM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17109674 AODRFD / Assist Fire Department **Disposition:**
6/18/2017 9:43:20PM
Officer: Ruiz, Wendy

Report or Incident Number: P18053928 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/23/2018 10:48:02PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17052161 WIC 5150 Danger to Self and Others **Disposition:** OPEN
3/23/2017 8:54:21PM
Officer: Johansen, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P17139487 1182 / Non Injury Traffic Accident **Disposition:**
8/2/2017 5:20:50PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18039876 242 / Battery **Disposition:** ARR
3/1/2018 7:52:28PM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P17098398 AODRFD / Assist Fire Department **Disposition:**
6/2/2017 12:57:54AM
Officer: Johansen, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P17142164 23152 / Driving Under Influence **Disposition:**
8/7/2017 2:10:49AM
Officer: Montero, Humberto

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:48AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:48PM
Address: 3630 university

Report or Incident Number: P17229701 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
12/23/2017 6:59:06PM
Officer: Chen, Jimmy

Report or Incident Number: P18044342 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/8/2018 10:22:31PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18045051 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/9/2018 11:48:11PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18092097 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
5/18/2018 9:44:22PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18137609 CKWEL / Check The Welfare **Disposition:**
7/24/2018 1:51:31AM
Officer: Arretche, Raymond

Report or Incident Number: P17016115 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
1/27/2017 2:26:12AM
Officer: Blevins, Edward Jr.

Report or Incident Number: P17057793 242 / Battery **Disposition:** SUS
4/1/2017 11:42:19PM
Officer: Gepford, Matthew

Report or Incident Number: P17057719 314.1 / Indecent Exposure **Disposition:** OPEN
4/1/2017 9:01:39PM
Officer: Gepford, Matthew

Report or Incident Number: P17059604 245(a)(1) / Assault With A Deadly Weap **Disposition:** ARR
4/4/2017 9:47:09PM
Officer: Ruiz, Wendy

Report or Incident Number: P17101020 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
6/6/2017 1:39:14AM
Officer: Escobedo, Genero

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:48AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:48PM
Address: 3630 university

Report or Incident Number: P18134802 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
7/19/2018 5:27:48PM
Officer: Braun, Marvin

Report or Incident Number: P17049750 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
3/20/2017 7:31:24AM
Officer: Arnold, Christi

Report or Incident Number: P18087241 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
5/11/2018 11:35:23PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18087255 SS / Subject Stop **Disposition:**
5/12/2018 12:04:48AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17105077 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
6/12/2017 12:32:45AM
Officer: Vasquez, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P17151046 273A(A) PC / Child Endangerment **Disposition:** ARR
8/21/2017 1:06:13AM
Officer: Guerrero-Estrada, Ricardo

Report or Incident Number: P17151628 647F / Intoxicated In Public *Releas **Disposition:** EXC
8/22/2017 12:00:21AM
Officer: Ramirez, Arthur

Report or Incident Number: P18048875 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/15/2018 10:53:25PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P16190589 314.1 / Indecent Exposure **Disposition:**
10/15/2016 2:17:13PM
Officer: Rardin, Michael

Report or Incident Number: P17000272 314.1 / Indecent Exposure **Disposition:**
1/1/2017 12:50:02PM
Officer: Jones, Aaron

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:48AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:48PM
Address: 3630 university

Report or Incident Number: P17041744 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
3/8/2017 10:29:57AM
Officer: Robinson, Joseph

Report or Incident Number: P17221888 THREAT / Threat Of Violence W/Order **Disposition:**
12/10/2017 9:38:25PM
Officer: Johansen, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P16226800 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
12/11/2016 2:20:49AM
Officer: Blevins, Edward Jr.

Report or Incident Number: P16227042 314 / Indecent Exposure **Disposition:** ARR
12/11/2016 2:09:55PM
Officer: Klein, Steve

Report or Incident Number: P17032751 THREAT / Threat Of Violence W/Order **Disposition:**
2/21/2017 11:55:20PM
Officer: Cunningham, David

Report or Incident Number: P17079618 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
5/5/2017 12:32:20AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18031529 SS / Subject Stop **Disposition:**
2/16/2018 9:15:20PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18031623 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/16/2018 11:35:15PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17138505 537 / Defrauding The Innkeeper **Disposition:**
8/1/2017 11:42:37AM
Officer: Robinson, Joseph

Report or Incident Number: P17129414 537 / Defrauding The Innkeeper **Disposition:**
7/18/2017 1:41:10PM
Officer: Garcia, Edward D

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:48AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:48PM
Address: 3630 university

Report or Incident Number: P17130083 537 / Defrauding The Innkeeper * **Disposition:** EXC
7/19/2017 1:06:47PM
Officer: Tryon, Michele

Report or Incident Number: P17175232 653m(b) PC /Annoying/Harassing Mess **Disposition:** OPEN
9/27/2017 8:24:15PM
Officer: Loera, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P18126142 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/6/2018 11:02:43PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17030427 242 / Battery **Disposition:** OPEN
2/18/2017 1:13:49AM
Officer: Johansen, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P17217358 243(d) PC / Battery with GBI **Disposition:** OPEN
12/3/2017 12:13:04AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18028741 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
2/12/2018 5:30:49PM
Officer: Zackowski, William

Report or Incident Number: P18030264 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/14/2018 10:18:16PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18123769 10851 / Stolen Vehicle **Disposition:**
7/4/2018 2:08:23AM
Officer: Navar Jr, Alfonso

Report or Incident Number: P16236848 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
12/27/2016 11:53:17PM
Officer: Nhek, Steve

Report or Incident Number: P17136490 647(f) / Drunk in Public **Disposition:** ARR
7/29/2017 2:08:50AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:48AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:48PM
Address: 3630 university

Report or Incident Number: P17180932 FPROP / Found Property **Disposition:** EXC
10/6/2017 11:41:04AM
Officer: Ruiz, Wendy

Report or Incident Number: P18121970 245(a)(1) / Assault With A Deadly Weap **Disposition:** ARR
7/1/2018 5:15:54PM
Officer: Jones, Aaron

Report or Incident Number: P16185656 SUBDN / Person Down **Disposition:**
10/8/2016 1:23:41AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16185668 AODRFD / Assist Fire Department **Disposition:**
10/8/2016 1:41:46AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16227584 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
12/12/2016 10:54:55AM
Officer: Loera, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P17081273 CKWEL / Check The Welfare **Disposition:**
5/7/2017 4:00:09PM
Officer: Cultrera, Robert

Report or Incident Number: P18021934 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/2/2018 9:52:29PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18022676 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/4/2018 12:37:45AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18116506 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
6/23/2018 8:38:07PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18026132 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/8/2018 9:28:04PM
Officer: Simons, James

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:48AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:48PM
Address: 3630 university

Report or Incident Number: P18012110 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/19/2018 7:19:13PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18058588 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/30/2018 10:12:30PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18099055 CKWEL / Check The Welfare **Disposition:**
5/29/2018 12:39:49AM
Officer: Rodriguez, Jose

Lake Alice

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:47AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:47PM
Address: 3616 university

3616 University Ave **Unit #:**

Report or Incident Number: P18183158 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
9/27/2018 10:55:47PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18184603 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
9/30/2018 1:36:20AM
Officer: Walker, Brandon

Report or Incident Number: P18173322 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
9/13/2018 8:39:24PM
Officer: Collopy, Chad

Report or Incident Number: P18222077 SS / Subject Stop **Disposition:**
11/24/2018 12:47:26AM
Officer: Munoz, Juan

Report or Incident Number: P18160307 245 / Assault With A Deadly Weapon **Disposition:** ARR
8/26/2018 12:59:38AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18204706 CUSTOM / Customer Problem **Disposition:**
10/28/2018 7:00:57PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18207053 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/1/2018 1:18:04AM
Officer: Mattson, Jeffrey

Report or Incident Number: P18163001 FUP / Follow Up Investigation **Disposition:**
8/29/2018 8:14:02PM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18208943 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/3/2018 9:58:50PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:47AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:47PM
Address: 3616 university

Report or Incident Number: P18174911 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
9/16/2018 12:37:25AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18218385 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
11/18/2018 1:29:38AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18222083 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
11/24/2018 1:17:11AM
Officer: Watkins, Anthony

Report or Incident Number: P18164665 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
9/1/2018 12:08:34AM
Officer: Leyva, Andrew

Report or Incident Number: P18189004 415 / Disturbance - Generic **Disposition:**
10/6/2018 2:02:52AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18189619 242 PC / Battery/Changed to 243(d) PC **Disposition:** ARR
10/7/2018 1:31:35AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18180261 Lost Property **Disposition:** EXC
9/24/2018 9:03:03AM
Officer: Online, Report

Report or Incident Number: P18193624 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
10/12/2018 7:56:48PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18193678 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
10/12/2018 9:35:18PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18185946 CKWEL / Check The Welfare **Disposition:**
10/2/2018 12:47:22AM
Officer: Lapoint, Taylor

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:47AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:47PM
Address: 3616 university

Report or Incident Number: P18226631 T / Traffic Stop **Disposition:**
12/1/2018 1:23:43AM
Officer: Munoz, Juan

Report or Incident Number: P18151990 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
8/14/2018 7:02:30AM
Officer: Mendonca, Katie

Report or Incident Number: P18196817 CUSTOM / Customer Problem **Disposition:**
10/17/2018 1:40:58PM
Officer: Rodriguez, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P17209076 242 / Battery **Disposition:** EXC
11/19/2017 2:22:27AM
Officer: Arraiga, Evelyn

Report or Incident Number: P18153274 488 / Petty Theft *no citation issued **Disposition:** ARR
8/16/2018 2:19:30AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

3616 University Ave **Unit #:**

Report or Incident Number: P17209574 459A / Audible Burglary Alarm **Disposition:**
11/20/2017 6:48:43AM
Officer: Hunt, Shadee

Report or Incident Number: P18021902 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/2/2018 9:17:52PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18021931 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/2/2018 9:48:33PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18022673 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/4/2018 12:35:40AM
Officer: Simons, James

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:47AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:47PM
Address: 3616 university

Report or Incident Number: P18022688 SS / Subject Stop **Disposition:**
2/4/2018 12:46:36AM
Officer: Lucero, Gavin

Report or Incident Number: P18022711 SS / Subject Stop **Disposition:**
2/4/2018 1:19:47AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P17024468 911OPEN / 9-1-1 With Open Landline **Disposition:**
2/8/2017 11:00:16PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P17168669 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
9/18/2017 3:08:15AM
Officer: Vasquez, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P18023957 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
2/6/2018 1:43:48AM
Officer: Navar Jr, Alfonso

Report or Incident Number: P18068109 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
4/14/2018 12:48:46AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18068728 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
4/14/2018 11:46:12PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18069281 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
4/16/2018 12:12:53AM
Officer: Ruiz, Wendy

Report or Incident Number: P17060420 Incident Report (Possible 242 PC) **Disposition:** EXC
4/6/2017 2:35:34AM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P18003215 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/5/2018 10:18:56PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:47AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:47PM
Address: 3616 university

Report or Incident Number: P18003293 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/6/2018 1:40:44AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18052701 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/22/2018 12:58:47AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18103934 5150 / Mental Subject **Disposition:**
6/4/2018 11:34:25PM
Officer: Cunningham, David

Report or Incident Number: P18103966 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
6/5/2018 1:29:55AM
Officer: Navar Jr, Alfonso

Report or Incident Number: P16133288 CUSTOM / Customer Problem **Disposition:**
7/23/2016 1:40:27PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16133896 594 PC / Vandalism **Disposition:** OPEN
7/24/2016 3:01:00PM
Officer: Thomas, Vince

Report or Incident Number: P16227489 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
12/12/2016 8:56:12AM
Officer: Flores, Joseph

Report or Incident Number: P17034235 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
2/24/2017 1:39:46AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P17122652 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
7/7/2017 6:21:15PM
Officer: Johansen, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P17210811 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
11/21/2017 11:28:55PM
Officer: Lopez, Sancho

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:47AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:47PM
Address: 3616 university

Report or Incident Number: P17211362 242 / Battery Related P17 **Disposition:** EXC
11/22/2017 8:03:11PM
Officer: Knoffloch, Ronald

Report or Incident Number: P17211436 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/22/2017 10:31:32PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18017534 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/27/2018 10:53:24PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18105936 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
6/7/2018 9:58:00PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P16133122 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
7/23/2016 5:54:59AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16223447 SUSPER / Suspicious Person **Disposition:**
12/5/2016 11:18:38PM
Officer: Chen, Jimmy

Report or Incident Number: P17027937 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
2/14/2017 10:11:04AM
Officer: Robinson, Joseph

Report or Incident Number: P17028633 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
2/15/2017 10:08:34AM
Officer: Thomas, Vince

Report or Incident Number: P17071470 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
4/22/2017 8:37:46PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17161842 AODRFD / Assist Fire Department **Disposition:**
9/6/2017 11:44:05PM
Officer: Hiner, Amanda

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:47AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:47PM
Address: 3616 university

Report or Incident Number: P17161865 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
9/7/2017 12:43:17AM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P17208412 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/17/2017 10:21:34PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17208905 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/18/2017 8:41:44PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17209042 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/19/2017 12:58:05AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P16214229 459V / Burglary - Vehicle **Disposition:**
11/20/2016 3:03:00AM
Officer: Kleveno, Taylor J

Report or Incident Number: P17148545 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
8/16/2017 8:33:29PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P17149298 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
8/18/2017 1:41:40AM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P17149895 BOYGIRL / Boyfriend/Girlfriend Disturb **Disposition:**
8/18/2017 11:00:19PM
Officer: Gepford, Matthew

Report or Incident Number: P17149962 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
8/19/2017 1:44:20AM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P17199218 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/3/2017 8:12:51PM
Officer: Simons, James

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:47AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:47PM
Address: 3616 university

Report or Incident Number: P17199847 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
11/4/2017 11:53:07PM
Officer: Wright, Evan

Report or Incident Number: P18016838 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/26/2018 9:59:14PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18062500 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
4/5/2018 7:59:59PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18062551 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
4/5/2018 9:24:09PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18107842 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
6/10/2018 11:58:34PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18108443 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
6/11/2018 10:11:28PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P16154832 314.1 / Indecent Exposure **Disposition:**
8/23/2016 8:02:49PM
Officer: Robinson, Joseph

Report or Incident Number: P16199933 647A / Lewd Conduct **Disposition:**
10/29/2016 6:21:18PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16200151 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:**
10/30/2016 12:08:37AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17093211 314.1 / Indecent Exposure **Disposition:**
5/25/2017 10:40:07AM
Officer: Thomas, Vince

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:47AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:47PM
Address: 3616 university

Report or Incident Number: P17202677 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/8/2017 11:31:34PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18057189 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/28/2018 8:08:54PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18058562 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/30/2018 9:32:46PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18058632 417(a)(1) / Brandishing a Weapon **Disposition:** ARR
3/30/2018 11:41:30PM
Officer: Wallace, Travis

Report or Incident Number: P18100421 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
5/30/2018 8:49:18PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18101175 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
5/31/2018 9:21:36PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17181976 INC / Miscellaneous Incident **Disposition:** EXC
10/8/2017 2:55:47AM
Officer: Cleary, Joseph

Report or Incident Number: P17225748 602 / Trespassing *V/Boyer (**Disposition:** ARR
12/17/2017 2:04:23AM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18129369 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/11/2018 11:34:25PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18130040 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/12/2018 8:52:10PM
Officer: Simons, James

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:47AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:47PM
Address: 3616 university

Report or Incident Number: P17221580 LPROP / Lost Property **Disposition:**
12/10/2017 8:26:24AM
Officer: Ruiz, Wendy

Report or Incident Number: P18035488 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/22/2018 9:04:05PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18035500 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/22/2018 9:39:25PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P17144300 AODRFD / Assist Fire Department **Disposition:**
8/10/2017 12:54:00AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17228052 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/20/2017 7:56:45PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17228659 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/21/2017 9:13:33PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17228714 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/22/2017 12:10:12AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18039217 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/28/2018 8:19:27PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18039327 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/1/2018 1:21:00AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18081641 BIKE / Bike Stop **Disposition:**
5/4/2018 1:25:55AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:47AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:47PM
Address: 3616 university

Report or Incident Number: P18125440 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/5/2018 11:28:58PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18125479 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
7/6/2018 1:17:25AM
Officer: Segura, Jennifer

Report or Incident Number: P18126131 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/6/2018 10:41:32PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18126219 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/7/2018 12:58:07AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P16230045 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
12/15/2016 11:05:53PM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P16230748 242 / Battery **Disposition:** OPEN
12/17/2016 2:44:48AM
Officer: Kleveno, Taylor J

Report or Incident Number: P17224441 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/14/2017 10:29:47PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17225097 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/15/2017 11:15:45PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P16183790 AREACK / Area Check **Disposition:**
10/5/2016 3:08:06PM
Officer: Loera, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P16231276 AODRFD / Assist Fire Department **Disposition:**
12/18/2016 12:06:44AM
Officer: Garcia, Eric

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:47AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:47PM
Address: 3616 university

Report or Incident Number: P17081328 — 647FD / Intoxicated Subject On Drugs — **Disposition:**
5/7/2017 5:53:03PM
Officer: Cultrera, Robert

Report or Incident Number: P17123964 — SUBGUN / Person With A Gun — **Disposition:**
7/10/2017 1:53:45AM
Officer: Hunt, Shadee

Report or Incident Number: P17124208 — SUPP / Supplemental Information — **Disposition:**
7/10/2017 12:55:36PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17216194 — XPAT / Extra Patrol Request — **Disposition:**
12/1/2017 1:01:14AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P18031537 — SS / Subject Stop — **Disposition:**
2/16/2018 9:31:52PM
Officer: Lucero, Gavin

Report or Incident Number: P18031578 — BIKE / Bike Stop — **Disposition:**
2/16/2018 10:17:51PM
Officer: DeLaTorre, Jr, Vincente

Report or Incident Number: P18031624 — BARCK / Bar Check — **Disposition:**
2/16/2018 11:37:09PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18077580 — KPEACE / Keep The Peace — **Disposition:**
4/28/2018 12:36:21AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18126745 — BARCK / Bar Check — **Disposition:**
7/7/2018 9:56:45PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18126927 — OD / Overdose — **Disposition:**
7/8/2018 2:28:26AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:47AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:47PM
Address: 3616 university

Report or Incident Number: P17046153 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
3/14/2017 10:26:16PM
Officer: Tryon, Michele

Report or Incident Number: P18026129 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/8/2018 9:26:52PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18026151 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/8/2018 10:27:15PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18076056 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
4/25/2018 8:14:04PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18115318 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
6/22/2018 12:51:10AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18115797 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
6/22/2018 6:55:55PM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18115901 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
6/22/2018 9:49:50PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18116462 SUBDN / Person Down **Disposition:**
6/23/2018 7:24:53PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18116476 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
6/23/2018 7:45:28PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P17164449 SUBDN / Person Down **Disposition:**
9/11/2017 1:07:36PM
Officer: Ruiz, Wendy

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:47AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:47PM
Address: 3616 university

Report or Incident Number: P17207076 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/15/2017 8:57:43PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17207730 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
11/16/2017 8:57:09PM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P18020133 UNKTRB / Unknown Trouble **Disposition:**
1/31/2018 1:53:05PM
Officer: Wiggs, Robert

Report or Incident Number: P18065850 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
4/10/2018 11:43:14PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17141610 AODRFD / Assist Fire Department **Disposition:**
8/6/2017 2:03:12AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17184888 1203.2(a) PC / Probation Violation * **Disposition:** ARR
10/12/2017 1:56:48PM
Officer: Childers, Trevor

Report or Incident Number: P17229288 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/22/2017 11:43:10PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17229905 415 / Disturbance - Generic **Disposition:**
12/24/2017 12:20:56AM
Officer: Cleary, Joseph

Report or Incident Number: P17230768 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
12/25/2017 11:18:10PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18042152 459V / Burglary - Vehicle **Disposition:** SUS
3/5/2018 4:03:49PM
Officer: Online, Report

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:47AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:47PM
Address: 3616 university

Report or Incident Number: P16149801 — Trespass Arrest Authorization — **Disposition:** EXC
8/16/2016 3:34:31PM
Officer: Tryon, Michele

Report or Incident Number: P16193361 — SUBBOT / Subject Bothering — **Disposition:**
10/19/2016 7:14:54PM
Officer: Floyd, Daniel

Report or Incident Number: P17001599 — SUBBOT / Subject Bothering — **Disposition:**
1/3/2017 6:24:14PM
Officer: Brandt, Burton

Report or Incident Number: P17044306 — 415F / Disturbance - Fight — **Disposition:**
3/11/2017 11:54:43PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17086587 — ADVISED / Advised Complaint — **Disposition:**
5/15/2017 10:34:05PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17172790 — SS / Subject Stop — **Disposition:**
9/24/2017 2:07:08AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P18029650 — SUBDN / Person Down — **Disposition:**
2/14/2018 1:55:16AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18030262 — BARCK / Bar Check — **Disposition:**
2/14/2018 10:17:17PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18113856 — 242 / Battery — **Disposition:** OPEN
6/20/2018 1:58:02AM
Officer: Morgan, Kyle

Report or Incident Number: P18114563 — BARCK / Bar Check — **Disposition:**
6/20/2018 11:20:41PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:47AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:47PM
Address: 3616 university

Report or Incident Number: P18114590 415 / Disturbance - Generic **Disposition:**
6/21/2018 12:26:05AM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P17203317 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/9/2017 9:16:35PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17203413 243D / Battery with GBI **Disposition:** ARR
11/10/2017 1:37:46AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17203860 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/10/2017 8:49:14PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18010745 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
1/17/2018 9:24:08PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18048127 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/14/2018 10:19:59PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18048204 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/15/2018 1:33:35AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18048874 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/15/2018 10:52:23PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18048907 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/16/2018 12:36:22AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18048919 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:** ARR
3/16/2018 1:03:21AM
Officer: Wallace, Travis

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:47AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:47PM
Address: 3616 university

Report or Incident Number: P18103292 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
6/3/2018 11:55:44PM
Officer: Cultrera, Robert

Report or Incident Number: P18140371 INC / Incident Report **Disposition:**
7/27/2018 11:36:59PM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18141026 415F / Disturbance - Fight **Disposition:**
7/29/2018 1:52:20AM
Officer: Pap, Jeffrey

Report or Incident Number: P18141355 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:**
7/29/2018 6:40:58PM
Officer: Lindewall, Robert

Pixels

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

3535 University Ave **Unit #:**

Report or Incident Number: P18202947 SS / Subject Stop **Disposition:**
10/26/2018 12:36:29AM
Officer: Munoz, Juan

Report or Incident Number: P18203612 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
10/27/2018 12:46:35AM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18163145 415 / Disturbance - Generic **Disposition:**
8/30/2018 1:39:22AM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18163887 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
8/31/2018 12:40:50AM
Officer: Munoz, Juan

Report or Incident Number: P18164578 594(B)(1) / Felony Vandalism **Disposition:** OPEN
8/31/2018 9:58:13PM
Officer: Espinosa, Steven

Report or Incident Number: P18164698 415 / Disturbance - Generic **Disposition:**
9/1/2018 1:00:21AM
Officer: Hodge, Ryan

Report or Incident Number: P18165722 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
9/2/2018 8:58:12PM
Officer: Chipukites, Matthew

Report or Incident Number: P18203677 23103 / Reckless Driving **Disposition:**
10/27/2018 3:30:42AM
Officer: Chipukites, Matthew

Report or Incident Number: P18216370 647(F) / Public Intoxication **Disposition:** ARR
11/14/2018 11:34:17PM
Officer: Espinosa, Steven

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18168474 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
9/6/2018 11:20:07PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18169106 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
9/7/2018 9:07:58PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18169103 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
9/7/2018 8:55:21PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18169168 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
9/7/2018 10:53:23PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18213366 415 / Disturbance - Generic **Disposition:**
11/10/2018 1:52:58AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18167838 415F / Disturbance - Fight **Disposition:**
9/6/2018 1:43:07AM
Officer: Munoz, Juan

Report or Incident Number: P18167831 415(1) / Fighting in Public **Disposition:** ARR
9/6/2018 1:22:29AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18211931 SS / Subject Stop **Disposition:**
11/8/2018 1:52:41AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18173325 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
9/13/2018 8:51:16PM
Officer: Collopy, Chad

Report or Incident Number: P18158979 911HU / 9-1-1 Hangup **Disposition:**
8/24/2018 12:23:29AM
Officer:

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18159005 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
8/24/2018 1:33:20AM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18159713 415F / Disturbance - Fight **Disposition:**
8/25/2018 1:49:11AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18160258 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
8/25/2018 11:40:11PM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18207738 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
11/1/2018 11:14:05PM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18226520 242 / Battery **Disposition:** OPEN
11/30/2018 9:33:46PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18226635 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
12/1/2018 1:29:55AM
Officer: Walker, Brandon

Report or Incident Number: P18177544 415 PC / Disturbance - Public Fighting **Disposition:** ARR
9/20/2018 1:46:37AM
Officer: Espinosa, Steven

Report or Incident Number: P18178288 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
9/21/2018 1:36:21AM
Officer: Mattson, Jeffrey

Report or Incident Number: P18178936 415 / Disturbance - Generic **Disposition:**
9/22/2018 12:37:07AM
Officer: Watkins, Anthony

Report or Incident Number: P18225298 FUP / Follow Up Investigation **Disposition:**
11/28/2018 10:52:26PM
Officer: Munoz, Juan

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18221046 — 415 / Disturbance - Generic — **Disposition:**
11/22/2018 12:32:51AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18222046 — THREAT / Threat Of Violence W/Order — **Disposition:**
11/23/2018 11:12:02PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18222686 — 242 PC / Battery — **Disposition:** SUS
11/25/2018 1:39:11AM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18222693 — 273.5 / Domestic Violence / PC293 con — **Disposition:** ARR
11/25/2018 1:54:06AM
Officer: Glover, Richard

Report or Incident Number: P18193784 — 415V / Disturbance - Verbal — **Disposition:**
10/13/2018 1:25:13AM
Officer: Espinosa, Steven

Report or Incident Number: P18194042 — KPEACE / Keep The Peace — **Disposition:**
10/13/2018 1:21:47PM
Officer: Smith, Aaron

Report or Incident Number: P18191016 — 488 / Petty Theft — **Disposition:** EXC
10/9/2018 10:34:39AM
Officer: Online, Report

Report or Incident Number: P18181442 — UNKTRB / Unknown Trouble — **Disposition:**
9/25/2018 7:20:57PM
Officer: Braun, Marvin

Report or Incident Number: P18182478 — 10851 / Stolen Vehicle — **Disposition:**
9/27/2018 3:13:09AM
Officer: Mann, Justin

Report or Incident Number: P17148685 — INC / Incident Report — **Disposition:** EXC
8/17/2017 3:52:04AM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18188974 148(a)(1) PC / Resist or Delay **Disposition:** ARR
10/6/2018 1:00:04AM
Officer: Espinosa, Steven

Report or Incident Number: P18189607 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
10/7/2018 1:10:40AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18155316 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
8/19/2018 12:58:13AM
Officer: Watkins, Anthony

Report or Incident Number: P18155936 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
8/20/2018 3:11:51AM
Officer: Cultrera, Robert

Report or Incident Number: P18153250 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
8/16/2018 1:07:55AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18153996 23152 / Driving Under Influence **Disposition:**
8/16/2018 11:32:59PM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18154038 242 / Battery **Disposition:** SUS
8/17/2018 1:41:46AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18196579 Suspicious Circumstance **Disposition:** OPEN
10/17/2018 8:37:13AM
Officer: Dodson, Clarence

Report or Incident Number: P18197142 INC / Miscellaneous Incident **Disposition:** EXC
10/18/2018 12:44:35AM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18197152 5150 / Mental Subject **Disposition:** EXC
10/18/2018 1:14:01AM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

3535 University Ave **Unit #:**

Report or Incident Number: P17049627 242 / Battery **Disposition:** ARR
3/19/2017 10:45:41PM
Officer: Ruiz, Wendy

Report or Incident Number: P17099744 242 / Battery **Disposition:** ARR
6/4/2017 1:28:03AM
Officer: Joseph, Jason

Report or Incident Number: P18008069 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/13/2018 10:36:51PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18008082 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/13/2018 10:56:36PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18008173 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/14/2018 1:30:02AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18008615 69 PC / Resisting an Executive Officer \ **Disposition:** ARR
1/14/2018 11:12:09PM
Officer: Chipukites, Matthew

Report or Incident Number: P18009189 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
1/15/2018 11:03:55PM
Officer: Braun, Marvin

Report or Incident Number: P18058535 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/30/2018 8:42:01PM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18058681 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/31/2018 1:41:51AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18106629 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
6/8/2018 11:26:31PM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P17203976 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/11/2017 12:52:08AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17203994 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/11/2017 1:39:18AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17204379 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/11/2017 8:08:50PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18058691 415F / Disturbance - Fight **Disposition:**
3/31/2018 2:06:35AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18059218 BIKE / Bike Stop **Disposition:**
3/31/2018 10:54:22PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18059315 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
4/1/2018 2:01:07AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18059319 PARK / Parking Problem **Disposition:**
4/1/2018 2:08:04AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18097101 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
5/25/2018 8:17:19PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18097235 647 (F) PC / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:** ARR
5/26/2018 12:45:52AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18145833 415F / Disturbance - Fight **Disposition:**
8/5/2018 1:33:54AM
Officer: Munoz, Juan

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P17065542 INC / Incident Report **Disposition:** EXC
4/13/2017 7:41:24PM
Officer: Barrette, James

Report or Incident Number: P17211354 1203.2 / Probation Violation **Disposition:** ARR
11/22/2017 7:44:44PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18065119 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
4/9/2018 11:36:32PM
Officer: Morgan, Kyle

Report or Incident Number: P18065164 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
4/10/2018 1:16:04AM
Officer: Morgan, Kyle

Report or Incident Number: P17199841 242 PC / Battery **Disposition:** ARR
11/4/2017 11:39:38PM
Officer: Wright, Evan

Report or Incident Number: P18015394 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/25/2018 12:26:24AM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18016131 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/25/2018 11:31:52PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18016948 BIKE / Bike Stop **Disposition:**
1/27/2018 1:42:18AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P16201494 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
11/1/2016 2:15:19AM
Officer: Chen, Jimmy

Report or Incident Number: P17051574 SUSPER / Suspicious Person **Disposition:**
3/23/2017 1:36:57AM
Officer: Joseph, Jason

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P17148645 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
8/17/2017 1:11:02AM
Officer: Wiggs, Robert

Report or Incident Number: P17108556 PC 245 (A)(1) **Disposition:** ARR
6/17/2017 12:21:06AM
Officer: Joseph, Jason

Report or Incident Number: P17156123 243(e)(1) / Spousal Battery *S2 is also **Disposition:** SUS
8/29/2017 3:45:07AM
Officer: Garcia, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P17202683 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/8/2017 11:43:47PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18002507 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/4/2018 7:42:30PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18002570 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/4/2018 10:09:57PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18002643 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/5/2018 1:08:33AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P18003148 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/5/2018 7:59:40PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18003255 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/6/2018 12:05:19AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18003702 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/6/2018 8:23:07PM
Officer: Simons, James

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18049467 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/16/2018 9:50:38PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18049516 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/16/2018 11:45:46PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18049534 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/17/2018 12:17:15AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18050076 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/17/2018 10:30:56PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18050128 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/17/2018 11:30:44PM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18050600 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
3/18/2018 8:57:05PM
Officer: Caton, Brian

Report or Incident Number: P18050707 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
3/19/2018 1:46:09AM
Officer: Ruiz, Wendy

Report or Incident Number: P18100416 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
5/30/2018 8:45:51PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18101172 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
5/31/2018 9:19:10PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P16180092 537 / Defrauding The Innkeeper **Disposition:**
9/30/2016 12:30:33AM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P16180124 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
9/30/2016 1:56:39AM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P17030023 261 / Rape / 293 / Victim Info Confident **Disposition:** EXC
2/17/2017 11:09:08AM
Officer: Rardin, Michael

Report or Incident Number: P17116416 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
6/28/2017 10:15:25PM
Officer: Walker, Brandon

Report or Incident Number: P17117118 FUP / Follow Up Investigation **Disposition:**
6/29/2017 9:48:12PM
Officer: Barrette, James

Report or Incident Number: P17166191 415F / Disturbance - Fight **Disposition:**
9/13/2017 11:40:13PM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17209059 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
11/19/2017 1:33:39AM
Officer: Vasquez, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P17209185 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
11/19/2017 10:55:22AM
Officer: Chen, Jimmy

Report or Incident Number: P17209474 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
11/19/2017 11:21:20PM
Officer: Vasquez, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P17209649 242 / Battery *Related P172090[illegible] **Disposition:** OPEN
11/20/2017 9:12:05AM
Officer: Thomas, Vince

Report or Incident Number: P18017528 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/27/2018 10:47:58PM
Officer: Simons, James

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18017615 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/28/2018 1:01:57AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18062635 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
4/6/2018 12:58:27AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18105299 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
6/6/2018 11:29:16PM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18105343 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
6/7/2018 1:15:25AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18150674 T / Traffic Stop **Disposition:**
8/12/2018 1:10:17AM
Officer: Lucero, Gavin

Report or Incident Number: P16222351 SUBDN / Person Down **Disposition:**
12/4/2016 1:10:16AM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P17028416 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
2/15/2017 12:09:20AM
Officer: Escobedo, Genero

Report or Incident Number: P17191743 FUP / Follow Up Investigation **Disposition:**
10/23/2017 3:23:21PM
Officer: Stennett, Brett

Report or Incident Number: P18015319 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/24/2018 8:58:16PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18015368 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/24/2018 11:13:59PM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18055077 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
3/25/2018 10:29:14PM
Officer: Lapoint, Taylor

Report or Incident Number: P18095685 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
5/24/2018 12:49:49AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18148511 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
8/8/2018 11:05:02PM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P16175962 415F / Disturbance - Fight **Disposition:**
9/24/2016 1:45:55AM
Officer: Escobar, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P17018411 CUSTOM / Customer Problem **Disposition:**
1/30/2017 9:59:25PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17215525 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
11/30/2017 1:54:21AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P18108501 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
6/12/2018 12:19:07AM
Officer: Cunningham, David

Report or Incident Number: P16209679 SUBDN / Person Down **Disposition:**
11/13/2016 12:41:24AM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P17058229 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:**
4/2/2017 8:29:05PM
Officer: Canright, Langsdon

Report or Incident Number: P17198009 242 PC / Battery * LEOKA x 1 * **Disposition:** ARR
11/1/2017 11:20:07PM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18011614 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/18/2018 11:53:35PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18011637 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
1/19/2018 12:48:05AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18011659 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/19/2018 1:53:52AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18012765 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/20/2018 11:31:55PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18012797 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/21/2018 12:32:09AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P18053972 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/24/2018 12:00:08AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18054001 C6 / Out Of Service **Disposition:**
3/24/2018 1:21:56AM
Officer: Krotz, Robert

Report or Incident Number: P18054009 647f / Public Intoxication **Disposition:** ARR
3/24/2018 1:47:17AM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18054558 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/24/2018 11:10:09PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18054610 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/25/2018 12:38:54AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18140416 Incident Report **Disposition:** SUS
7/28/2018 1:19:23AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18140977 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/29/2018 12:07:32AM
Officer: Munoz, Juan

Report or Incident Number: P16213588 211 / Robbery **Disposition:**
11/19/2016 1:51:35AM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P17013871 211SA / Robbery - Strong Arm **Disposition:**
1/23/2017 2:28:08PM
Officer: Loera, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P17014152 242 / Battery **Disposition:** ARR
1/24/2017 1:58:27AM
Officer: Cunningham, David

Report or Incident Number: P18001906 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/3/2018 8:12:39PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18043668 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/7/2018 9:01:06PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18044384 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/9/2018 12:10:43AM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18045039 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/9/2018 11:37:44PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18126643 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/7/2018 7:03:06PM
Officer: Simons, James

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18126858 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/8/2018 12:24:33AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17001743 242 / Battery **Disposition:** EXC
1/3/2017 11:30:58PM
Officer: Prado, Moses

Report or Incident Number: P17135878 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
7/28/2017 3:46:55AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17228059 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/20/2017 8:04:19PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17228186 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/21/2017 1:33:00AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17228658 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/21/2017 9:08:07PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17228713 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/22/2017 12:06:03AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18087220 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
5/11/2018 11:10:18PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18087284 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
5/12/2018 12:59:02AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18087940 243(d) PC / Battery * Entered fr **Disposition:** ARR
5/13/2018 1:53:55AM
Officer: Cleary, Joseph

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18088399 THREAT / Threat Of Violence W/Order **Disposition:**
5/13/2018 11:35:39PM
Officer: Krotz, Robert

Report or Incident Number: P16200174 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
10/30/2016 12:35:55AM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P17007510 459A / Audible Burglary Alarm **Disposition:**
1/13/2017 5:27:20AM
Officer: Blevins, Edward Jr.

Report or Incident Number: P17229275 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/22/2017 11:33:10PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17229321 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
12/23/2017 12:51:53AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P17229338 25661(a) BP / 21Yrs Under W/ False ID **Disposition:** OPEN
12/23/2017 1:38:05AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P17229738 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/23/2017 8:05:04PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17229831 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
12/23/2017 10:22:57PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P17229876 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/23/2017 11:46:07PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18040004 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
3/2/2018 12:24:23AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18040027 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
3/2/2018 1:22:35AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18040028 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/2/2018 1:24:51AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18040516 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/2/2018 9:26:39PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18040543 SS / Subject Stop **Disposition:**
3/2/2018 10:58:40PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18040962 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/3/2018 6:51:52PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18041027 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/3/2018 9:13:07PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18041075 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/3/2018 10:43:13PM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18041126 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/4/2018 12:09:44AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18041159 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/4/2018 1:08:07AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18041110 647(F) / Public Intoxication **Disposition:** ARR
3/3/2018 11:48:12PM
Officer: Monreal, Robert

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P16145214 CUSTOM / Customer Problem **Disposition:**
8/10/2016 1:19:29AM
Officer: Gepford, Matthew

Report or Incident Number: P16198812 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
10/27/2016 11:57:12PM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P17052984 243(d) / Battery W/Serious Bodily Injury **Disposition:** ARR
3/25/2017 2:10:43AM
Officer: Joseph, Jason

Report or Incident Number: P17190085 FUP / Follow Up Investigation **Disposition:**
10/20/2017 7:17:21PM
Officer: Stennett, Brett

Report or Incident Number: P17190845 SS / Subject Stop **Disposition:**
10/22/2017 1:49:03AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17232788 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/29/2017 12:43:21AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17232811 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/29/2017 1:40:38AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P18036793 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
2/25/2018 12:30:18AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18036796 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
2/25/2018 12:38:34AM
Officer: Pap, Jeffrey

Report or Incident Number: P18037166 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
2/25/2018 4:58:42PM
Officer: Smith, Aaron

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18081576 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
5/3/2018 10:54:18PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18081606 3056 PC / Parole Hold **Disposition:** ARR
5/4/2018 12:20:41AM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18082998 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
5/5/2018 11:45:04PM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P16216549 415F / Disturbance - Fight **Disposition:**
11/24/2016 12:10:20AM
Officer: Kopitch, Derek

Report or Incident Number: P17152954 415F / Disturbance - Fight **Disposition:**
8/24/2017 1:34:20AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17195462 25661(a) B&P / Minor in Poss. of False **Disposition:** ARR
10/29/2017 12:55:49AM
Officer: Barrette, James

Report or Incident Number: P17195488 1181 / Minor Injury Accident **Disposition:**
10/29/2017 1:44:00AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17195487 243D PC / Battery W SBI **Disposition:** OPEN
10/29/2017 1:43:48AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18006081 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/10/2018 7:29:00PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18006176 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/10/2018 11:25:13PM
Officer: Simons, James

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18006855 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/11/2018 8:40:00PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18006909 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/11/2018 11:10:03PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18006947 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/12/2018 12:52:30AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18007571 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/12/2018 11:51:00PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18007600 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/13/2018 12:42:41AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18048141 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/14/2018 10:44:27PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18048195 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/15/2018 1:08:52AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18048199 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/15/2018 1:21:53AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18048729 647FD / Intoxicated Subject On Drugs **Disposition:**
3/15/2018 6:27:39PM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18048849 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/15/2018 9:44:04PM
Officer: Simons, James

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18048929 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/16/2018 1:17:39AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18048935 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
3/16/2018 1:31:51AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18092064 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
5/18/2018 8:33:53PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P16147424 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
8/13/2016 2:03:47AM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P16148028 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
8/14/2016 1:32:12AM
Officer: Kleveno, Taylor J

Report or Incident Number: P16148000 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
8/14/2016 1:00:43AM
Officer: Blevins, Edward Jr.

Report or Incident Number: P16148045 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
8/14/2016 2:26:40AM
Officer: Garcia, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P16189638 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
10/13/2016 11:06:55PM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P17225695 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/17/2017 12:23:53AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17226853 647(f) PC / Public Intoxication **Disposition:** ARR
12/19/2017 1:24:48AM
Officer: Morgan, Kyle

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18039334 242 / Battery **Disposition:** SUS
3/1/2018 1:44:11AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18129356 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/11/2018 11:04:58PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18129417 23152 / Driving Under Influence **Disposition:**
7/12/2018 1:52:05AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18130106 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/12/2018 10:55:54PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18130166 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/13/2018 1:11:23AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17113489 PARK / Parking Problem **Disposition:**
6/24/2017 4:58:23PM
Officer: Cupido, Michael

Report or Incident Number: P17113520 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:**
6/24/2017 5:51:17PM
Officer: Garcia, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P18010794 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/17/2018 11:48:16PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18010833 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
1/18/2018 1:06:33AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P18051812 Lost Property **Disposition:** EXC
3/20/2018 4:22:51PM
Officer: Desormeau, Amber

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18052696 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
3/22/2018 12:42:02AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18102620 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
6/2/2018 11:16:08PM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18145030 SS / Subject Stop **Disposition:**
8/3/2018 9:34:32PM
Officer: Munoz, Juan

Report or Incident Number: P16172242 594 / Malicious Mischief **Disposition:** SUS
9/19/2016 1:38:55AM
Officer: Flores, Anthony

Report or Incident Number: P17024403 9.04.28(b) RMC / Annoy Pedestrians **Disposition:** ARR
2/8/2017 8:08:23PM
Officer: Joseph, Jason

Report or Incident Number: P17025166 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
2/9/2017 9:19:59PM
Officer: Loera, Jose

Report or Incident Number: P17125909 647F / Intoxicated In Public **Disposition:** ARR
7/12/2017 11:34:47PM
Officer: Joseph, Jason

Report or Incident Number: P17216207 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/1/2017 1:32:00AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P18030930 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/15/2018 9:25:32PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18031014 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
2/16/2018 1:06:09AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18031013 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
2/16/2018 1:05:23AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18031616 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/16/2018 11:31:33PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18032266 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/18/2018 1:43:03AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18076082 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
4/25/2018 9:27:58PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18076920 23152 / Driving Under Influence **Disposition:**
4/27/2018 3:08:48AM
Officer: Cunningham, David

Report or Incident Number: P18126106 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/6/2018 10:10:47PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18126187 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
7/7/2018 12:05:48AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P16142870 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:** EXC
8/6/2016 7:32:36PM
Officer: Avila, Jessica

Report or Incident Number: P16188950 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
10/13/2016 12:28:04AM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P16188968 415 / Disturbance - Generic **Disposition:**
10/13/2016 1:18:14AM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P17129550 FUP / Follow Up Investigation **Disposition:**
7/18/2017 4:48:02PM
Officer: Mann, Justin

Report or Incident Number: P18033361 CUSTOM / Customer Problem **Disposition:**
2/19/2018 10:55:51PM
Officer: Morgan, Kyle

Report or Incident Number: P18120876 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
6/30/2018 1:41:27AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18121577 PARK / Parking Problem **Disposition:**
7/1/2018 12:29:01AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P16194115 SS / Subject Stop **Disposition:**
10/20/2016 10:17:51PM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P17087420 THREAT / Threat Of Violence W/Order **Disposition:**
5/17/2017 1:49:09AM
Officer: Garcia, Edward D

Report or Incident Number: P17132515 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
7/23/2017 1:26:31AM
Officer: Hirdler, Gary

Report or Incident Number: P17175148 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
9/27/2017 6:06:54PM
Officer: Smith, Brian

Report or Incident Number: P17223838 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/14/2017 1:34:57AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17224443 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/14/2017 10:36:33PM
Officer: Simons, James

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P17224448 242 / Battery **Disposition:** OPEN
12/14/2017 10:48:58PM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17224488 242 PC / Battery *AWD for S/Sa **Disposition:** ARR
12/15/2017 12:35:28AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P17225009 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/15/2017 8:34:50PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17225093 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/15/2017 11:10:44PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17225150 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/16/2017 12:54:15AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P18034842 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/21/2018 9:54:24PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18035482 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/22/2018 8:54:45PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P16141064 5150 / Mental Subject **Disposition:**
8/3/2016 9:51:48PM
Officer: Runstuck, Kevin

Report or Incident Number: P16186274 BOYGIRL / Boyfriend/Girlfriend Disturb: **Disposition:**
10/9/2016 12:53:06AM
Officer: Hardin, Vanessa

Report or Incident Number: P17035852 UNKTRB / Unknown Trouble **Disposition:**
2/27/2017 1:12:56AM
Officer: Gepford, Matthew

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P17177263 CIVPRB / Civil Problem **Disposition:**
9/30/2017 11:49:20PM
Officer: Cleary, Joseph

Report or Incident Number: P17220324 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
12/8/2017 1:53:29AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P17220892 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/9/2017 12:02:04AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17220928 242 / Battery **Disposition:** OPEN
12/9/2017 1:24:32AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P17220946 FUP / Follow Up Investigation **Disposition:**
12/9/2017 2:23:55AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17221427 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/9/2017 11:32:28PM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17221511 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
12/10/2017 2:02:10AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P18030257 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/14/2018 10:14:15PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18030277 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/14/2018 10:51:46PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18030315 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/15/2018 1:22:10AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18072151 415F / Disturbance - Fight **Disposition:**
4/20/2018 12:29:48AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18072759 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
4/20/2018 9:15:22PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18072865 647(F) / Drunk in Public **Disposition:** ARR
4/21/2018 12:20:25AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18073329 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
4/21/2018 9:07:14PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P18073481 UNKTRB / Unknown Trouble **Disposition:**
4/22/2018 1:39:56AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18119443 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
6/27/2018 11:55:56PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18119464 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
6/28/2018 1:21:40AM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18120176 594 PC / Vandalism - Unfounded **Disposition:** UNF
6/29/2018 2:11:09AM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P16184923 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
10/7/2016 1:02:10AM
Officer: Miller, Jeremy

Report or Incident Number: P17207767 BIKE / Bike Stop **Disposition:**
11/16/2017 10:05:53PM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P17207828 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/17/2017 12:30:47AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17208388 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
11/17/2017 9:25:43PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18021350 242 / Battery **Disposition:** EXC
2/1/2018 11:36:55PM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18021925 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/2/2018 9:44:59PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18022009 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/3/2018 12:02:23AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18022050 242 / Battery **Disposition:** ARR
2/3/2018 1:18:38AM
Officer: Glover, Richard

Report or Incident Number: P18022667 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/4/2018 12:32:12AM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18022702 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/4/2018 1:03:06AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18068732 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
4/14/2018 11:51:35PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P17045340 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
3/13/2017 6:14:01PM
Officer: Tryon, Michele

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P17219022 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
12/6/2017 12:08:23AM
Officer: Escobedo, Genero

Report or Incident Number: P17219577 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/6/2017 8:40:58PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P17219593 SS / Subject Stop **Disposition:**
12/6/2017 9:19:46PM
Officer: Fishell, Zach

Report or Incident Number: P17219612 XPAT / Extra Patrol Request **Disposition:**
12/6/2017 10:01:09PM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17219670 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
12/7/2017 1:10:28AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P18027538 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/10/2018 9:11:06PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18027615 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/10/2018 11:08:31PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18028135 SUBDN / Person Down **Disposition:**
2/11/2018 9:38:00PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18073756 ADVISED / Advised Complaint **Disposition:**
4/22/2018 4:27:38PM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P18073848 242 / Battery **Disposition:**
4/22/2018 7:54:46PM
Officer: Caton, Brian

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18074645 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
4/24/2018 12:31:23AM
Officer: Morgan, Kyle

Report or Incident Number: P18117259 415V / Disturbance - Verbal **Disposition:**
6/24/2018 11:37:52PM
Officer: Meisner, Justin A

Report or Incident Number: P16226780 488 / Petty Theft **Disposition:**
12/11/2016 1:31:37AM
Officer: Williamson, Trevor

Report or Incident Number: P16226783 INC/ Incident Report **Disposition:** EXC
12/11/2016 1:35:54AM
Officer: Delarosa, Mark

Report or Incident Number: P17212199 RMC / Riverside Muni Code Violation **Disposition:**
11/24/2017 4:54:54PM
Officer: Milby, Chad

Report or Incident Number: P17212435 SUBBOT / Subject Bothering **Disposition:**
11/25/2017 12:43:38AM
Officer:

Report or Incident Number: P17212434 242 / Battery **Disposition:** EXC
11/25/2017 12:42:46AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P18025476 647(f) PC / Public Intoxication **Disposition:** ARR
2/8/2018 1:14:40AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18026113 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/8/2018 9:12:35PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18026191 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/8/2018 11:38:34PM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P18026226 415F / Disturbance - Fight **Disposition:**
2/9/2018 12:59:44AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18026234 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
2/9/2018 1:21:00AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18115256 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
6/21/2018 9:36:47PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18115323 415 / Disturbance - Generic **Disposition:**
6/22/2018 1:10:25AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18115925 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
6/22/2018 10:10:47PM
Officer: Simons, James

Report or Incident Number: P18115942 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
6/22/2018 10:42:08PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18115995 SS / Subject Stop **Disposition:**
6/22/2018 11:48:32PM
Officer: Barrette, James

Report or Incident Number: P18116503 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
6/23/2018 8:32:23PM
Officer: Schmitz, Victor

Report or Incident Number: P18116729 BARCK / Bar Check **Disposition:**
6/24/2018 12:40:44AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18116772 FD / Flagdown To Officer **Disposition:**
6/24/2018 2:01:43AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Riverside Police Department

Calls for Service Report
From: 7/13/2016 12:00:58AM to 12/2/2018 11:59:58PM
Address: 3535 University

Report or Incident Number: P17105354 — LPROP / Lost Property — **Disposition:**
6/12/2017 11:53:58AM
Officer: Navar Jr, Alfonso

Report or Incident Number: P17143426 — T / Traffic Stop — **Disposition:**
8/8/2017 6:37:19PM
Officer: Williams, Russell

Report or Incident Number: P17185907 — FD / Flagdown To Officer — **Disposition:**
10/14/2017 1:15:49AM
Officer: Sears, Phillip

Report or Incident Number: P17186489 — 245(a)(2) PC / Assualt with a Deadly W — **Disposition:** OPEN
10/15/2017 2:51:05AM
Officer: Wilcox, Christian

Report or Incident Number: P17186816 — SUPP / Supplemental Information — **Disposition:**
10/15/2017 8:01:48PM
Officer: Johansen, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P18000004 — 242 / Battery — **Disposition:** SUS
1/1/2018 12:02:03AM
Officer: Garcia, Eric

Report or Incident Number: P18110697 — BARCK / Bar Check — **Disposition:**
6/15/2018 12:54:15AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

Report or Incident Number: P18110725 — 415V / Disturbance - Verbal — **Disposition:**
6/15/2018 2:00:24AM
Officer: Romero, Edwin

Report or Incident Number: P18111368 — XPAT / Extra Patrol Request — **Disposition:**
6/16/2018 1:07:13AM
Officer: Lin, Joey

EXHIBIT 7

Frequently Asked Questions

These are ABC's most frequently asked questions about: ENFORCEMENT AND VIOIATIONS (continued)

Q. 78. May minors be employed in "on-sale" premises?

A. In a bona fide public eating place, minors between 18 and 21 years of age may serve alcoholic beverages in an area primarily designed and used for the sale and service of food for consumption on the premises as an incidental part of their overall duties. These minors cannot act as bartenders. (Section 25667)
No minor can be employed during business hours on the portion of any premises which is primarily designed and used for the sale and service of alcoholic beverages for consumption on the premises. There are exceptions, under limited circumstances, with respect to musicians. (Sections 25663 and 25663.5)

Q. 79. May a minor enter and remain in a licensed premises even though the minor does not purchase or consume any alcoholic beverages?

A. Not if the premises are licensed as an on-sale general public premises, on-sale beer and wine public premises or on-sale beer public premises. There are no restrictions regarding minors entering or remaining on premises licensed for off-sale of alcoholic beverages or premises licensed and maintained and operated as a bona fide public eating place. (Section 25665)

Q. 80. May an off-sale licensee hire minors or use the services of a person under 18 years of age for the sale of alcoholic beverages?

A. Yes, if the person under 18 years of age is under the continuous supervision of a person 21 years of age or older. (Section 25663[b])

Q. 81. May a habitual drunkard or an obviously intoxicated person be sold alcoholic beverages?

A. No. Every person who sells, furnishes, gives or causes to be sold, furnished or given away, any alcoholic beverages to any habitual drunkard, or to any obviously intoxicated person is guilty of a misdemeanor. (Section 25602)

Q. 82. How may a licensee determine whether a customer is obviously intoxicated?

A. A customer is obviously intoxicated when an average person can plainly observe that the patron is intoxicated. The usual signs are staggering, alcoholic breath, slurred speech, poor muscular coordination, etc. (Section 25602)

Q. 83. Is a retail licensee required to close the doors of the licensed premises

and not serve alcoholic beverages during the hours that an election is being held?

A. No. The Legislature has repealed the law which prohibited sales on election days.

Q. 84. What are the lawful hours for retail sale of alcoholic beverages?

A. From 6 a.m. to 2 a.m. of the following day. In other words, it is unlawful to sell alcoholic beverages either by the drink or by the package, between the hours of 2 a.m. and 6 a.m. of the same day.
It is also unlawful for any person to knowingly purchase any alcoholic beverages between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m. (Section 25631)
ABC may further restrict the operating hours of licensed premises. Such restrictions may be imposed in the following situations:

1. If grounds exist for the denial of an application for a license or where a protest against the issuance of a license is filed and if ABC finds that those grounds may be removed by imposition of those conditions;
2. Where findings are made by ABC which would justify a suspension or revocation of a license, and where the imposition of a condition is reasonably related to those findings. In the case of a suspension, the conditions may be in lieu of or in addition to the suspension;
3. Where ABC issues an order suspending or revoking only a portion of the privileges to be exercised under the license;
4. Where findings are made by ABC that the licensee has failed to correct objectionable conditions within a reasonable time after receipt of notice to make corrections given pursuant to subdivision (e) of Section 24200.

Q. 85. At what time must a licensee cease sales of alcoholic beverages when the time changes from standard time to daylight savings time and vice versa?

A. On the day that a time change occurs from Pacific Standard time to Pacific Daylight time or back again to Pacific Standard time, "2 o'clock a.m." means two hours after 12 o'clock a.m. of the day preceding the day such change occurs. (Section 25631)

Q. 86. May an on-sale licensee stack drinks or sell and serve drinks a few minutes before 2 a.m. and permit patrons to remain on the premises consuming alcoholic beverages after that hour?

A. No. It is a misdemeanor for any retail licensee or employee of the licensee to permit any person, including himself, to consume alcoholic beverages on the licensed premises between 2 a.m. and 6 a.m. of the same day. (Section 25632)

Q. 87. May a retail licensee sell alcoholic beverages to another retailer for resale?

A. No. The law provides that only a licensed wholesaler, beer manufacturer, winegrower, rectifier or brandy manufacturer may sell alcoholic beverages to retailers, with the exception that an off-sale general licensee may sell distilled spirits

to a holder of a daily on-sale general license. (Sections 23402 and 24045.1)

Q. 88. May a licensee sell beer in unlabeled cans or bottles?

A. No. Beer containers must be labeled and the labels must show the name and address of the manufacturer of the beer and also the bottler of the beer, if other than the manufacturer. Reasonable allowance will be made if the labels slough off in the water cooler, but care should be taken to avoid this. (Section 25200 and Rule 130)

Q. 89. Does the customer who requests an alcoholic drink by brand name have any assurance that the brand ordered will be served?

A. State law requires that the licensee or employee of the licensee must first inform the purchaser of a substitution of a different type or brand of beverage than that ordered by the patron. The patron then, of course, has the choice of refusing to accept the substitute. If the purchaser is not informed, the licensee or employee is guilty of a misdemeanor. (Section 25609)

Q. 90. May any person have on a licensed premises any alcoholic beverage other than the type authorized by the license?

A. Generally, licensees may not have or allow customers to have any alcoholic beverage on the premises that are stronger than those named on the license. An exception is made for a bona fide public eating place with an on-sale beer and wine license to have brandy, rum or liqueurs solely for cooking purposes. (Section 25607)

Q. 91. May wine be placed in decanting bottles by an on-sale licensee?

A. Yes. This may be done at the time of service to patrons for consumption on the premises.

Q. 92. May partially consumed wine bottles be removed from an on-sale licensed premises?

A. Yes, but only from on-sale licensed premises maintaining a bona fide eating place. (Section 23396.5)

Q. 93. Is the refilling of a distilled spirits bottle unlawful?

A. Any person who refills a bottle with distilled spirits is guilty of a misdemeanor. It is also unlawful to offer to keep for sale any distilled spirits in a bottle which has been refilled or partly refilled. (Sections 25176 and 25177)
The law which required that empty bottles be broken has been repealed.

Q. 94. May empty distilled spirits bottles be sold by an on-sale general licensee or his employees?

A. No. (Section 25178)

Q. 95. Is there any law prohibiting the use of open pouring spouts?

A. No, but it should be remembered that the use of open pouring spouts, particularly on slow moving items, can cause either or both of the following violations:

- o Contamination of the beverage by insects. (Insects are particularly attracted to sweet alcoholic beverages.)
- o Loss of proof by evaporation (approximately 4 to 5 proof per year).

Q. 96. Must keg beer sold to consumers be registered?

A. Every retailer selling keg beer to consumers must place an identification tag on all kegs of beer at the time of sale, and the purchaser must sign a receipt. Keg identification allows kegs to be traced.
Possession of a keg with knowledge that the keg is not identified OR providing false information by the purchaser on the Beer Keg Registration Receipt is a misdemeanor. (Section 25659.5)

Q. 97. Are there any special restrictions for licensees who sell both alcoholic beverages and gasoline?

A. Yes. Outlets that sell both alcoholic beverages and gasoline must abide by these conditions:

1. No beer or wine shall be displayed within five feet of the cash register or the front door unless it is in a permanently affixed cooler as of January 1, 1988.
2. No advertisement of alcoholic beverages shall be displayed at motor fuel islands.
3. No display or sale of beer or wine shall be made from a drive-in window.
4. No display or sale of beer or wine shall be made from an ice tub.
5. No beer or wine advertising shall be located on motor fuel islands and no self-illuminated advertising for beer or wine shall be located on buildings or windows.
6. Employees on duty between the hours of 10 p.m. and 2 a.m. who sell beer or wine shall be at least 21 years of age. (Note: Non-selling employees are unregulated.) (Section 23790.5[d])

Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control

State of California

QUICK SUMMARY OF SELECTED LAWS FOR RETAIL LICENSEES

Introduction

This pamphlet explains, in simple terms, some State laws and rules that retail licensees must follow. There are other State and local laws not listed here. When in doubt, call your local ABC office. You can also buy the entire set of ABC laws and rules from your local ABC office for $11.50 plus tax.

ABC Penalties. ABC decides penalties for licensees on a case-by-case basis. ABC gives consideration to the type of violation, the licensee's past record, and the facts of each case. ABC penalties may be probation, suspension of the ABC license, a fine of $750-$6,000, or revocation of the ABC license.

Definitions. "B&P" means the Business and Professions Code. "CCR" means the California Code of Regulations. "PC" means the Penal Code. "H&S" means the Health and Safety Code. The term "licensee" as used here, means licensees, their agents, and employees. "Alcohol" means an alcoholic beverage. "On-sale" means bars, restaurants, taverns, clubs, hotels, motels, etc. "Off-sale" means liquor stores, grocery stores, convenience stores, etc. "Minor" means person under age 21.

Subject	Possible Penalties
1. After Hours Licensees may not sell, give, or deliver alcohol (by the drink or by the package) between 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m. of the same day. No person may knowingly purchase alcohol between 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m. (Sec. 25631 B&P) Licensees may not permit patrons or employees to consume alcohol between 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m. of the same day (even if someone bought the drinks before 2:00 a.m.). (Sec. 25632 B&P) Some ABC licenses have special conditions (restrictions) as to hours of sale that are stricter than the law. Those licenses are marked "Conditional." (23800-23805 B&P)	*Criminal:* For the licensee or employee who sells or permits consumption after hours and for the patron who knowingly purchases after hours, the penalty is a maximum $1,000 fine and/or six months in county jail. (Sec. 25617 B&P) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
2. Attire and Conduct On-sale licensees may not permit these acts: "(1) To employ or use any person in the sale or service of alcoholic beverages in or upon the licensed premises while such person is unclothed or in such attire, costume or clothing as to expose to view any portion of the female breast below the top of the areola or of any portion of the pubic hair, anus, cleft of the buttocks, vulva or genitals. (2) To employ or use the services of any hostess or other person to mingle with the patrons while such hostess or other person is unclothed or in such attire, costume or clothing as described in paragraph (1) above. (3) To encourage or permit any person on the licensed premises to touch, caress, or fondle the breasts, buttocks, anus or genitals of any other person. (4) To permit any employee or person to wear or use any device or covering, exposed to view, which simulates the breast, genitals, anus, pubic hair or any portion thereof." (Rule 143.2 CCR. Also violates Sec. 311.6 PC if conduct is "obscene;" e.g., intercourse, sodomy, masturbation, etc.)	*Criminal:* Violation of Rule 143.2 CCR carries no criminal penalty. For violation of Sec. 311.6 PC, the penalty is a maximum six months in county jail and/or a maximum $1,000 fine. (Sec. 19 PC) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis

3. Authority of Peace Officers/Refusing Inspection Police officers, sheriffs' deputies, and ABC investigators are sworn law enforcement officers (peace officers) with powers of arrest. Whether in plainclothes or uniform, peace officers have the legal right to visit and inspect any licensed premises at any time during business hours *without a search warrant or probable cause.* This includes inspecting the bar and back bar, store room, office, closed or locked cabinets, safes, kitchen, or any other area within the licensed premises. It is legal and reasonable for licensees to exclude the *public* from some areas of the premises. However, licensees *cannot and must not* deny entry to, resist, delay, obstruct, or assault a peace officer. (Secs. 25616, 25753, and 25755 B&P; 148 and 241(b) PC)	*Criminal:* For refusing to permit an inspection, the penalty is a $100-$1,000 fine and/or one to six months in county jail. (Sec. 25616 B&P) For resisting, delaying, or obstructing a peace officer, the penalty is a maximum $1,000 fine and/or maximum one year in county jail. (Sec. 148(a) PC) For assaulting a peace officer, the penalty is a maximum $2,000 fine and/or a maximum one year in county jail. (Sec. 241(b) PC) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
4. Beer Keg Registration Licensees selling keg beer (six gallon capacity or larger): (a) Must tag all kegs and have the customer sign a receipt; (b) Must retain the receipts on the premises for six months and make them available to peace officers; (c) May not return any deposit upon the return of any keg that does not have an identification tag. It is against the law for a customer to: (a) Possess a keg containing beer knowing that the keg does not have an identification tag; or (b) Provide false information to the licensee. (Section 25659.5 B&P)	*Criminal:* The penalty is a maximum $1,000 fine and/or six months in county jail for (1) the licensee, (2) the person who possesses the unidentified keg; and (3) the customer who provides false information to the licensee. (Sec. 25617 B&P). *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
5. Clerk's Affidavit; Posting of Sign Any person selling alcohol at an off-sale premises must sign a statement that he or she understands basic ABC laws and must disclose any ABC law convictions. The licensee must post signs in the store that warn customers. (See Form ABC-299 for wording.) (Sec. 25658.4 B&P)	*Criminal:* None *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
6. Concurrent Sales of Alcohol and Gasoline Licensees who sell both gasoline and alcohol must abide by the following conditions: 1. No beer or wine within five feet of the cash register or front door (unless in a permanently affixed cooler since 1/1/88); 2. No alcohol advertisements at the fuel islands; 3. No alcohol sales from a drive-in window; 4. No alcohol sales from an ice tub; 5. No self-illuminated beer or wine advertisements on buildings or windows; and 6. Cashiers selling beer or wine between 10:00 p.m. and 2:00 a.m. must be at least age 21. (Section 23790.5(d) B&P)	*Criminal:* None *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
7. Conditional Licenses Some ABC licenses have special restrictions (conditions) limiting the hours of alcohol sales, type of entertainment, etc. Licensees must keep a copy of any conditions on the premises, abide by them, and show them to any peace officer upon request. (Secs. 23800-23805 B&P)	*Criminal:* None *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
8. Contaminated Beverages Licensees and their employees may not sell, furnish or give away alcoholic beverages containing any deleterious or poisonous substance. (Sec. 347(b) PC) Licensees may not allow open bottles of alcoholic beverages to become contaminated with insects or other foreign matter. (Secs. 25620, 25623 and 25634 H&S)	*Criminal:* For the licensee or employee who violates the penal code, the penalty is a fine up to $2,000 and/or up to one year in county jail. (Sec. 347(b) PC) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis

9. Discrimination A licensee, other than certain exempt club licensees, who refuses to provide full and equal accomodations, facilities, privileges, or services in the licensed premises by reason of one's sex, color, race, religion, ancestry, etc., may be subject to disciplinary action. There may be no discrimination as to the price of drinks based on race, religion, sex, marital status, membership or non-membership in an organization, or on any other conditions which would result in discrimination against the general public. (Sec. 51 Civil Code and Sec. 125.6 B&P)	*Criminal:* None *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
10. Disorderly Conduct Licensees may not permit these acts in or about their licensed premises: (a) Lewd conduct in public (b) Prostitution (c) Accosting others for the purpose of begging (d) Loitering in or about public toilets for a lewd or lascivious purpose (e) Loitering without apparent reason and refusing to identify oneself upon the request of any peace officer (f) Being under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs in public and unable to exercise care for one's own safety or the safety of others. (647 PC)	*Criminal:* For the person committing the illegal act, the penalty is a maximum six months in county jail and/or a maximum $1,000 fine. (Sec. 19 PC) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
11. Disorderly House Licensees may not permit their licensed premises to become a disorderly house. A disorderly house is a licensed outlet (on- or off-sale) that (a) disturbs neighbors with noise, loud music, loitering, littering, vandalism, urination or defecation, graffiti, etc., and/or (b) has many ongoing crimes inside such as drunks, fights, assaults, prostitution, narcotics, etc. The licensed premises includes the parking lot. (Sec. 25601 B&P; 316 PC)	*Criminal:* The penalty is a maximum $1,000 fine and/or six months in county jail. (Sec. 25617 B&P) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
12. Drink Solicitation On-sale licensees may not: (a) Employ hosts, hostesses, or entertainers who solicit others to buy them drinks, alcoholic or non-alcoholic (b) Pay or agree to pay such an employee a percentage of the receipts from the sales of drinks solicited (c) Permit any person, whether an employee or not, to loiter for the purpose of soliciting an alcoholic drink (Secs. 24200.5(b) and 25657(a)(b) B&P; Rule 143 CCR; Sec. 303(a) PC)	*Criminal:* For the licensee, the penalty is a maximum $1,000 fine and/or six months in county jail. (Sec. 25617 B&P) For the drink solicitor, the penalty is a maximum $1,000 fine and/or six months in county jail unless specific penalty. (Sec. 303(a) PC) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
13. Drug Paraphernalia Licensees may not sell any product knowing, or under circumstances where one reasonably should know, that the customer intends to use the product for illegal drug purposes. This includes, but is not limited to, scales and balances, diluents and adulterants, balloons, envelopes, containers, pipes, screens, syringes, needles, scouring pads, blow torches, or cigarette papers. (Secs. 11014.5, 11364.5, and 11364.7(a) H&S) The law presumes that a licensee, or his/her agent(s), knows that an item is drug paraphernalia if ABC or any other state or local law enforcement agency notifies the licensee in writing that a thing (e.g., a glass vial, pipe screen, wiry sponge or scouring pad, roach clips, etc.) is commonly sold or marketed as drug paraphernalia. (See also Form ABC-546-A, Notice to Licensees Concerning Drug Paraphernalia Under Section 24200.6 Business and Professions Code) (Sec. 24200.6 B&P)	*Criminal:* The penalty is a maximum six months in county jail and/or a maximum $1,000 fine. (Sec. 19 PC) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis

14. Entertainers and Conduct On-sale licensees who offer entertainment must abide by the following rules: "(1) No licensee shall permit any person to perform acts of or acts which simulate: (a) Sexual intercourse, masturbation, sodomy, bestiality, oral copulation, flagellation or any sexual acts which are prohibited by law. (b) The touching, caressing or fondling on the breast, buttocks, anus or genitals. (c) The displaying of the pubic hair, anus, vulva or genitals. (2) Subject to the provisions of subdivision (1) hereof, entertainers whose breasts and/or buttocks are exposed to view shall perform only upon a stage at least 18 inches above the immediate floor level and removed at least six feet from the nearest patron. No licensee shall permit any person to use artificial devices or inanimate objects to depict any of the prohibited activities described above. No licensee shall permit any person to remain in or upon the licensed premises who exposes to public view any portion of his or her genitals or anus." (Rule 143.3 CCR. Also violates Sec. 311.6 PC if conduct is "obscene;" e.g., intercourse, sodomy, masturbation, etc.)	*Criminal:* Violation of Rule 143.3 CCR carries no criminal penalty. For a violation of Sec. 311.6 PC, the penalty is a maximum six months in county jail and/or a maximum $1,000 fine. (Sec. 19 PC) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
15. False I.D. (Possession or Use of) Minors may not possess or use identification that is altered, borrowed, stolen, counterfeit, or fraudulently obtained using false birth documents. (Sec. 25661 B&P)	*Criminal:* For the minor, the penalty is a minimum $250 fine and/or 24-32 hours of community service. Second offense is a maximum $500 fine and/or 36-48 hours community service. (Sec. 25661 B&P) *ABC:* Not applicable
16. Food Requirements Type 41, 47, and 49 licensees must operate and maintain their licensed premises as a bona fide eating place. They must make actual and substantial sales of meals, during the normal meal hours that they are open, at least five days a week. Normal meal hours are: Breakfast 6:00 a.m. - 9:00 a.m lunch 11:00 a.m. - 2:00 p.m.; and dinner 6:00 p.m. - 9:00 p.m. Premises that are not open five days a week must serve meals on the days they are open. The premises must be equipped and maintained in good faith. This means the premises must possess working refrigeration and cooking devices, pots, pans, utensils, table service, condiment dispensers, menus, posters, signs, and enough goods to make substantial meals. The premises must comply with all regulations of the local health department. Incidental, sporadic or infrequent sales of meals or a mere offering of meals without actual sales is not compliance. "Meals" means the usual assortment of food commonly ordered at various hours of the day. The service of only sandwiches or salads is not considered compliance. However, certain specialty entrees, such as pizza, fish or ribs, and an assortment of other foods, such as soups, salads or desserts, may be considered a meal. The Department will presume that a licensee is operating as a bona fide eating place if the gross sales of food prepared and sold to guests on the premises exceeds the gross sales of alcoholic beverages. "Prepared" means any processing preliminary to the final serving of food. (Note: Some licensees have a "conditional" license that requires food sales to be 50% or more of the total gross sales.) (Secs. 23038 and 23787 B&P)	*Criminal:* None *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis

17. Harmful Matter Licensees may not rent, sell, give, or show harmful matter to persons under age 18. Harmful matter includes, but is not limited to, a book, magazine, newspaper, or video tape that ". . . depicts or describes in a patently offensive way sexual conduct and . . . lacks serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value for minors." If licensees sell harmful matter, they must use reasonable care in ascertaining the true age of a minor. If licensees sell or rent videos of harmful matter, they must create an area within their licensed premises for the placement of the videos and advertising material and label it, "Adults Only." Minors must not be able to readily access the videos or view the video box covers. If licensees sell books or magazines of harmful matter, they should contact their local police or sheriff's department about any local ordinances that may apply. Some cities and counties require licensees to place "blinder racks" in front of such material so that the lower two-thirds of the material is not exposed to view. (Secs. 313 and 313.1 PC and Sec. 25612.5(c)(9) B&P)	*Criminal:* The penalty for a first offense of selling, giving, or showing harmful matter to a juvenile is a maximum $2,000 fine and/or one year in county jail. The penalty for a second offense is State prison. Failure to create and label the "adults only" area is an infraction punishable by a maximum $100 fine. (Sec. 313.4 PC and Sec. 25612.5(c)(9) B&P) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
18. Law Enforcement Problem Licensees may not permit their licensed premises to be a problem for the local law enforcement agency by needing an undue number of calls for service. The licensed premises includes the parking lot. (Sec. 24200(a) B&P)	*Criminal:* None *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
19. Minors (Attempt to Purchase by) Minors may not even try to buy alcohol. (Sec. 25658.5 B&P)	*Criminal:* For the minor, the penalty is a maximum $100 fine and/or 24-32 hours community service. For the second offense, the penalty for the minor is a maximum $250 fine and/or 36-48 hours of community service. (Sec. 25658.5 B&P) *ABC:* Not applicable
20. Minors (Employment of) **On-Sale Licensed Premises.** Licensees may not employ minors on the portion of any premises which is primarily designed and used for the sale and service of alcohol for consumption on the premises. (Secs. 25663(a) and 25667 B&P) *Exception:* Minor musicians (see #14) *Restaurants:* In a bona fide public eating place, persons age 18 or older may serve alcohol in an area primarily designed and used for the sale and service of food for consumption on the premises as an incidental part of their overall duties. Bartenders and cocktail waitresses must be 21. *Concession Stands:* A person who is at least 18 but not yet 21 may serve alcohol, as an incidental part of his or her duties, at a fixed concession stand that sells food products, soft drinks, and alcohol. *Pizza Parlors:* A person under age 21 may not serve alcohol while working behind a fixed counter where only soft drinks, alcohol, and other beverages are dispensed and food items are served at another counter within the premises. **Off-Sale Licensed Premises.** Persons age 18 and older may sell alcohol unsupervised. Persons age 17 and younger may sell alcohol if under the continuous supervision of a person age 21 or older. (Sec. 25663(b) B&P)	*Criminal:* For the licensee, the penalty is a maximum $1,000 fine and/or six months in county jail. No penalty for the minor employee. (Sec. 25617 B&P) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis

21. Minors (Entering and Remaining in Bars/Taverns) Licensees may not permit minors to enter and remain in any bar or tavern (license Types 42, 48, and 61), even during hours when the premises is closed. Both the licensee and the minor may be cited. *Exception:* Minors may enter and remain at any time for lawful business. For example, delivery and repair persons. (Sec. 25665 B&P)	*Criminal:* For the minor, the penalty is a minimum $200 fine; a maximum $1,000 and/or six months in county jail. For the licensee, the penalty is a maximum $1,000 fine and/or six months in county jail. (Secs. 25665 and 25617 B&P) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
22. Minors (Possession of Alcohol by) Minors may not possess alcohol in public. *Exceptions:* A minor may possess alcohol in public if (a) the minor is making a delivery for his licensed employer, or (b) a parent, guardian, or adult relative has given alcohol to a minor and asked the minor to deliver it to some other adult. A licensee may not give alcohol to a minor on the telephoned or written order of a parent. (Sec. 25662(a) B&P)	*Criminal:* For the minor, the penalty for a first offense is a $250 fine or 24-32 hours community service. For a second offense, the penalty is a maximum $500 fine and/or 36-48 hours community service. (Section 25662(a) B&P) *ABC:* Not applicable
23. Minors (Purchase or Consumption by) Minors may not purchase alcohol. Minors may not consume alcohol in an on-sale licensed premises. (Sec. 25658(b) B&P)	*Criminal:* For the minor, the penalty is a $250 fine and/or 24-32 hours of community service. For a second or subsequent offense the penalty is a maximum $500 fine and/or 36-48 hours community service. (Sec. 25658(e)(1) B&P) *ABC:* For a first offense, the penalty is a $750-$3,000 fine, license suspension, or probation. For a second offense within three years, the penalty is a mandatory license suspension. For a third offense within three years, the license may be revoked. (Sec. 25658.1 B&P)
24. Minors (Sales/Furnishing to) No person may sell, furnish, or give alcohol to a minor. No person may cause or permit this to occur. This includes sales to minor "decoys" used by local law enforcement agencies. (Sec. 25658(a) B&P)	*Criminal:* For the seller, the penalty is a $250 fine and/or 24-32 hours of community service. For a second or subsequent offense the penalty is a maximum $500 fine and/or 36-48 hours community service. (Sec. 25658(e)(1) B&P) For the furnisher, the penalty is a $1,000 fine and a minimum 24 hours community service. (Sec. 25658(e)(2) B&P) If the minor consumes the beverage and thereafter causes great bodily injury or death to the minor or any other person, the person who purchases and furnishes to the minor faces 6-12 months in county jail and/or a maximum $1,000 fine. (Sec. 25658(e)(3) B&P) *ABC:* For a first offense, the penalty is a $750-$3,000 fine, license suspension, or probation. For a second offense within three years, the penalty is a mandatory license suspension. For a third offense within three years, the license may be revoked.

25. Musicians (Minors) Musicians who are at least age 18 but not yet 21 can be employed in all types of on-sale premises, only if the following conditions exist: (a) There is no topless or nude entertainment, either live or on film; (b) The area of employment is restricted for the exclusive use of musicians and entertainers; (c) No alcohol is sold, served, or consumed in the restricted entertainment area; (d) The restricted area is readily identifiable. It must be a room, a stage, or an area bounded by partitions or other barriers at least 30 inches high; (e) While performing, the musician must remain in the restricted area. At a bar or tavern (license Type 42, 48, or 61) the minor musician must remain in the restricted area at all times, except when: (a) Entering or leaving the premises, (b) Setting up equipment, (c) Visiting restrooms, (d) Resting or changing clothing in a room which is not used for sale, service, or consumption of alcohol by the public, (e) Auditioning when the place is not open for business. An entertainer is a musician if the bulk of his or her performance involves making music with an instrument or his or her voice. (Sec. 25663.5 B&P)	*Criminal:* None *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
26. Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs Licensees may not knowingly permit illegal sales, negotiations, or use of narcotics or dangerous drugs on the licensed premises. (Secs. 24200.5(a) and 24200(a) B&P; various H&S)	*Criminal:* Most drug offenses are felonies, punishable by imprisonment in State prison *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
27. Objectionable Conditions (Failure to Correct) Upon notice, licensees must take reasonable steps to correct objectionable, nuisance conditions on or about the licensed premises and on abutting public sidewalks up to 20 feet from the premises, within a reasonable period of time. The conditions include disturbance of the peace, public drunkenness, drinking in public, harassment of passersby, gambling, prostitution, loitering, public urination, lewd conduct, drug trafficking, or excessive loud noise. *Exception:* Restaurants (license Types 41 and 47), hotels, motels, wineries, and beer manufacturers are not responsible for correcting nuisance conditions on abutting public sidewalks. (Sec. 24200[e][f][g] B&P).	*Criminal:* The penalty is a maximum $1,000 fine and/or six months in county jail. (Sec. 25617 B&P) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
28. Obviously Intoxicated Persons and Habitual Drunkards No person may sell or give alcohol to anyone who is obviously intoxicated. No person may cause or permit this to occur. A person is obviously intoxicated when the average person can plainly see that the person is intoxicated. In other words, the person looks or acts drunk. This includes regular customers who "always act that way." It does not matter that the person is not driving. For there to be a violation of law, the prosecutor must prove that the server either saw or had the chance to see the signs of intoxication before the service. Some of the signs of intoxication are: being overly friendly, using foul language, argumentative, belligerent, slurred speech, slow, deliberate movements, swaying, drowsy, stumbling, red, watery eyes, or alcoholic breath. No person may sell or give alcohol to anyone who is a habitual drunkard (a person who has lost control over his or her drinking). No person may cause or permit this to occur. A server may discover a habitual drunkard in one of two ways: (a) A family member tells you the person has a drinking problem and asks you not to serve, or (b) the patron is a regular and unable to handle drinking on a regular basis. A licensee or server who has been warned and still serves a habitual drunkard faces possible ABC disciplinary action and criminal prosecution. (Secs. 25602(a) and 23001 B&P; 397 PC)	*Criminal:* The penalty is a maximum $1,000 fine and/or six months in county jail. (Sec. 25617 B&P) *ABC:* The first offense is decided on a case-by-case basis. For a second offense within three years, the penalty is a mandatory license suspension. *Civil:* Lawsuit (for service to an underage, obviously intoxicated person) (Sec. 25602.1 B&P). Civil penalties are money judgments or settlements, usually against everyone (seller or server, licensee, licensee's insurer, etc.). They are determined by the court or jury during a civil lawsuit.

29. Off-Sale Beer and Wine License Privileges Type 20 licensees cannot sell more than 52 gallons of wine at one time or sell alcohol for resale. (Sec. 23393 B&P)	*Criminal:* The penalty is a maximum $1,000 fine and/or six months in county jail. (Sec. 25617 B&P) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
30. Off-Sale General License Privileges Type 21 licensees cannot (a) sell more than 52 gallons of wine at one time, or (b) sell alcohol for resale. *Exception:* Licensees may sell alcohol for resale to the holder of a Daily On-Sale General license. (Secs. 23393 and 23394 B&P)	*Criminal:* For the violator, the penalty is a maximum $1,000 fine and/or six months in county jail. (Secs. 25351 and 25617 B&P) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
31. Operating Standards, Retail The following requirements apply to stores (license Type 20 and 21), bars and taverns (license Types 40, 42, 48, and 61). These requirements do not apply to restaurants (license Types 41 and 47), convention centers, exhibit halls, auditoriums, ballparks, stadiums, coliseums, hotels, motels, a certain marine park, wineries, or beer manufacturers. 1. Post "No Loitering" signs upon written notice from the ABC; 2. Post "No Open Container" signs upon written notice from the ABC; 3. No alcohol consumption inside a store or outside a bar or tavern; 4. Illuminate the exterior of the premises, including adjacent public sidewalks and parking lots under the licensee's control, during all hours of darkness when open for business; 5. Remove litter daily from the premises, adjacent sidewalks and parking lots under licensee's control and sweep/clean these areas weekly; 6. Remove graffiti from premises and parking lot within 72 hours (3 days) of application; 7. Have no more than 33% of windows covered with advertising or signs; 8. Have incoming calls blocked at pay phones upon request of local law enforcement or ABC; and 9. Create a separate area for any video recordings of harmful matter (as defined in Penal Code Section 313). The area must be labeled "Adults Only." Minors may not be able to readily access the videos or view the video box covers. 10. Have a copy of the operating standards available during normal business hours for viewing by the general public. (Section 25612.5(c) B&P)	*Criminal:* The licensee only (not employees) may be cited for each violation of the operating standards. For the licensee, the penalty is a maximum $1,000 fine and/or six months in county jail. (Sec. 25617 B&P) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
32. Removal of Opened Wine Bottle Restaurants (license Type 41 and 47) may allow patrons to remove a partly-consumed bottle of wine from the premises upon departure. (Customers should place any open bottles in the trunk area of their vehicle to avoid violating the Vehicle Code.) (Sec. 23396.5 B&P)	Not applicable. This is a permissive section of law.
33. Retail Delivery Orders Employees cannot deliver alcohol without a delivery order. It must state the quantity, brand, proof, price, name and address of the customer, and name and address of the store. The licensee must keep the order on file for two years after delivery. (Rule 17(e) CCR)	*Criminal:* None *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-base basis
34. Retail Store Qualifications Licensees may sell alcohol only in the original package for consumption off the premises. Licensees must conveniently display all alcohol. Licensees must make any alcohol deliveries from the licensed premises. Licensees may only take telephone orders for alcohol when the store is open to the general public. (Rule 27 CCR)	*Criminal:* None *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis

35. Retail-to-Retail Sales Retail licensees may not purchase alcohol for resale from another retail licensee. Licensees must purchase alcohol for resale from a non-retail licensee only. Warehouse-type discount stores are licensed retailers, and they cannot sell alcohol for resale to other retailers. For example, a retail licensed store may not sell to the bar down the street who has run out of a certain brand of liquor. It would be illegal for both the store and the bar to do so. The borrowing of alcohol between retail licensees is an illegal, retail-to-retail sale. *Exception:* A licensee who has three or more outlets licensed exactly the same may transfer products between that licensee's stores. Also, type 21 licensees may sell distilled spirits for resale to the holder of a Daily On-Sale General license. (Sec. 23402 B&P)	*Criminal:* The penalty is a maximum $1,000 fine and/or six months in county jail. (Secs. 25351 and 25617 B&P) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
36. Returns by Consumers to Retailers Returns of all types of alcoholic beverages by consumers to sellers were once ruled to be illegal under both State and federal law. In 1961, the Federal Government approved the return by consumers of alcoholic beverages which were spoiled, deteriorated, contaminated, or otherwise unfit for human consumption. This approval was made on the condition that there would be a bottle-for-bottle exchange or cash refund for the unsatisfactory merchandise. It was necessary for a consumer to bring back a partially emptied bottle or a bottle which clearly showed deterioration of the product, such as sediment, to qualify for the refund. The Department adopted the federal policy that same year. Later, the Department modified its approval to the extent that other types of alcoholic beverages could he exchanged for those which were unfit for human consumption. A consumer may also make an exchange or receive a refund on an item purchased in error if the unopened container is returned to the seller. The advertising of "money-back guarantees" is specifically disapproved, however. A consumer cannot overbuy for a party and then return any of the unused alcoholic beverages. Neither can the recipient of a gift exchange it for other merchandise or be given a credit, because the recipient is not returning alcoholic beverages; if the retailer gave anything of value for the beverages, the retailer would be buying from other than a wholesaler. Sales to consumers are final except as previously set forth. The Department and federal law agree in this respect. (Sec. 25600(a)(2))	*ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
37. Right to Refuse Service to Minors Licensees and their employees have the legal right to refuse service to anyone who cannot show adequate written evidence of age. A licensee or employee may seize any identification presented by a person that shows the person to be under the age of 21 years or that is false, so long as a receipt is given to the person from whom the identification is seized and the seized identification is given within 24 hours of seizure to the local law enforcement agency that has jurisdiction over the licensed premises. A licensee, his or her agent or employee's decision to not seize a license shall not create any civil or criminal liability. (Sec. 25659 B&P)	Not applicable. This is a permissive section of law.

38. Signs Bars and taverns (License Types 42, 48, and 61) must post signs reading, "No Person Under 21 Allowed." Licensees must post one at or near each public entrance, visible from the exterior, and one inside in a prominent place. The signs must be at least 7" x 11" and have lettering at least 1" in height. (Rule 107 CCR)[1] No more than 33% of the square footage of the windows and clear doors of an off-sale premises may have advertising or signs of any sort. (Sec. 25612.5(c)(7) B&P)[2] Stores (license Types 20 and 21) must post one or more customer warning signs in the store (see form ABC-299 for wording). (Sec. 25658.4 B&P)[2] Upon written notice from the ABC, licensees must post "No Loitering" and "No Open Container" signs. *Exception:* This law does not apply to restaurants (license Types 41 and 47), convention centers, exhibit halls, auditoriums, ballparks, stadiums, coliseums, hotels, motels, a certain marine park, wineries, or beer manufacturers. (Sec. 25612.5(c)(1)(2) B&P)[2] Signs may not be obnoxious, gaudy, blatant, or offensive, and must not obstruct the view of the interior of the premises from the street. (Sec. 25612 B&P)[2] All on- and off-sale licensees must post warning signs reading, "Warning: Drinking spirits, beer, coolers, wine, and other alcoholic beverages may increase cancer risk and, during pregnancy, can cause birth defects." (Sec. 12601(b)(1)(D)(1) and 12601(b)(4)(E) CCR)[3]	[1]*Criminal:* None *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis [2]*Criminal:* The penalty is a maximum $1,000 fine and/or six months in county jail. (Sec. 25617 B&P) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis [3]*Criminal:* None *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis *Civil:* A civil lawsuit may be brought against an alcohol manufacturer or distributor by the Attorney General, a district attorney, or a private citizen. Judgments are a maximum of $2,500 per day per violation, with 25% of the sum going to the plaintiff. Civil penalties do not apply to the retail licensee. (Sec. 12601 CCR)
39. Substitution of Brands No person may substitute types or brands of alcohol without first informing the purchaser. (Sec. 25609 B&P)	*Criminal:* For the licensee or employee, the penalty is a maximum $1,000 and/or six months in county jail. (Sec. 25617 B&P) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
40. Undisclosed Ownership; Changes in Ownership The ABC license must reflect the true ownership of the licensed business. There can be no hidden owners or silent partners. Licensees must report any and all ownership changes to the ABC. (Secs. 23300 and 23355 B&P; Rule 68.5 CCR)	*Criminal:* The penalty is a maximum $1,000 fine and/or six months in county jail. (Sec. 25617 B&P) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
41. Unlawful Possession on Licensed Premises Licensees may not allow any alcohol on the premises other than what they are licensed to sell. *Exception:* Type 41 licensees may possess brandy, rum, or liqueurs for cooking purposes. (Section 25607(a)(b) B&P)	*Criminal:* For the licensee, employee or patron, the penalty is a maximum $1,000 fine and/or six months in county jail. (Sec. 25617 B&P) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis
42. Weapons Licensees may not possess or sell certain firearms and/or weapons, including but not limited to, undetectable firearms, nunchakus, short-barreled shotguns, short-barreled rifles, metal knuckles, billy clubs, dirks, and daggers. (Sec. 12020 PC)	*Criminal:* The penalty is imprisonment in the county jail not exceeding one year or in a State prison. (Sec. 12020(a) PC) *ABC:* Decided on a case-by-case basis

EXHIBIT 8

SUPERIOR COURT - STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, vs. JEFFREY GLENN LACHANCE, Defendant.	)	Case No. RIF1880070, RIM1402912, RIM1508299, RIF1404870, RIF1105361

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF ORAL PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE HONORABLE BAMBI J. MOYER

November 30, 2018

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
BY: GERALD W. FINEMAN
3960 Orange Street
Riverside, California 92501

For the Defendant:
VMB, INC.
LAW OFFICE OF GREGORY H. COMINGS
BY: GREGORY H. COMINGS
3850 Vine Street, Suite 100
Riverside, California 92507-4225

LAW OFFICES OF RAYCHELE B. STERLING
BY: RAYCHELE B. STERLING
17130 Van Buren Boulevard, Suite 363
Riverside, California 92504

APPEARANCES (Continued):

For the Riverside Police Department:	CITY OF RIVERSIDE OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY BY: REBECCA L. MCKEE 3750 University Avenue, Suite 250 Riverside, California 92501
For the San Bernardino Police Department:	LAW OFFICE OF JONES & MAYER BY: GREGORY P. PALMER 3777 N. Harbor Boulevard Fullerton, California 92835

Reported by: Denise K. Fringer, CSR No. 10283

1 the investigation done by the district attorney's office
2 thereafter.
3 After that Detective Williams writes a supplemental.
4 But interestingly enough in the supplemental, Detective Williams
5 says, I went back and I talked to everybody else and I looked at
6 my notes, and no, Officer Jones wasn't there. From a logical
7 point of view, if this were a large conspiracy between all of
8 these individuals, the more logical result would have been that
9 Officer Williams would have gone back, looked at his original
10 report and said, you know what, my bad, I should have told you
11 that Officer Jones really was there, and it would've bolstered
12 his testimony. But he did not do that, so I don't have
13 misconduct on his part. What I have is actually something
14 that's helpful to the Defense, and that is that Officer Jones'
15 testimony in the preliminary hearing of December 19, 2016, was
16 wrong. So I'm going to deny the motion as to Detective
17 Williams.
18 Now, Officer Jones is another thing. Here's the
19 sequence of events. The preliminary hearing occurs on
20 December 19, 2016. Officer Jones not only testified that he was
21 at The Hideaway with the informant on March 24, 2016, he
22 testifies to specific instances exactly of what happened that he
23 observed at that time. Then I get the report from Allison Gray
24 that is attached dated September 26, 2017, and she realized that
25 when she was speaking with him -- actually, I think the report
26 was later than that. But when she was speaking with him on
27 September 26, 2017, he said, you know what, I got the dates
28 mixed up; I was there on March 17, 2016, not March 24, 2016, and

1 I let the deputy district attorney -- and that would be I
2 believe Mr. Kliebert -- know at the time of the preliminary
3 hearing that I messed up. But then oddly enough, on
4 November 26, 2017, Officer Jones writes a supplemental report
5 saying that he was there on March 24, 2016, and observed all of
6 this stuff.
7 Now, I go back to the CALCRIM that says that if an
8 individual is willfully false in one area of testimony, that a
9 jury may conclude that they should disregard all of his
10 testimony. Which means they have the job of figuring out
11 whether, one, he was just mistaken or, two, whether he wasn't
12 there, was lying at the preliminary hearing and subsequently
13 filed a fabricated report in order to bolster that lie, and
14 whether or not if that is true it permeates all of his testimony
15 regarding every single instance wherein he says that
16 Mr. LaChance facilitated these particular drug sales.
17 I have to keep in mind that the operative people who
18 would have had the interaction between this defendant and
19 themselves in order to make the case for the People are, one,
20 the informant who the People have already said they are not
21 calling as a witness and who is not being disclosed --
22 MR. FINEMAN: Actually, that's not true, Your Honor.
23 THE COURT: Okay. Well, maybe it's true now, but I'm
24 only going by what I had from the last date, and if that's
25 changed, we can cross that bridge when we get there.
26 MR. FINEMAN: All right. The informant has been
27 disclosed, just so the record is clear, Your Honor.
28 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Because nobody told me

EXHIBIT 9

Bruce Blomdahl

We did meet with the Hideaway folks, Planning , Code and Vice yesterday at City Hall... It was more of the same from the Hideaway folks...they claimed ignorance ref CUP issues... 1:13 PM

Mike Gardner

If they had teeth they would lie through them. 1:59 PM

Bruce Blomdahl

Oh they did! 4:59 PM

Monday, July 4, 2016

Mike Gardner

Bruce are you with the team working Mount Rubidoux? I have a complaint of illegally parked cars in Alis Pl between Miramonte and Glenwood. Supposed to be blocking a fire hydrant and possibly traffic. I can call dispatch or the WC if you prefer.

Thanks Bruce

Mike 8:55 PM

Mike Gardner

Also Indian Hill which has no parking in most areas is supposed to be very congested with vehicles parked on fire hydrants. 8:58 PM

Bruce Blomdahl

yeah I'm down here working...traffic, traffic, traffic...there's over 70 calls holding so once we get the park cleared we will send someone over 10:29 PM

Tuesday, July 5, 2016

Mike Gardner

Do we have a shooting near Iowa and Spruce? Facebook says multiple victims. 8:40 PM

Bruce Blomdahl

I don't know, I'm not at work...let me see what I can find out... 8:41 PM

Mike Gardner

Thanks 8:41 PM

Mike Gardner

He has it. Red car shooting on Massachusetts by the shopping center. Two hospitalized. Doesn't sound life threatening. No word on suspects. 8:47 PM

Mike Gardner

[illegible] 7:51 PM

Phil Pitchford

He just asked me to go to Del taco! 7:56 PM

Mike Gardner

[illegible] 7:57 PM

Phil Pitchford

PLD told me she found out about this meeting from reading about it in the paper. 7:59 PM

Mike Gardner

→ [illegible] 8:00 PM

Phil Pitchford

This lady is really angry. Then again, her CSD's leader makes more than Jay Orr. 8:02 PM

Mike Gardner

[illegible] 8:03 PM

Phil Pitchford

I'm actually disappointed she and raychelle are not here 8:03 PM

Mike Gardner

[illegible] 8:04 PM

Mike Gardner

[illegible] 8:04 PM

Phil Pitchford

Again with the fire alarm! 8:05 PM

Tuesday, April 19, 2016

Mike Gardner

[illegible] 7:32 PM

Phil Pitchford

Kill me now 7:38 PM

Mike Gardner

[illegible] 7:39 PM

EXHIBIT 10

LAW OFFICES OF RAYCHELE B. STERLING
17130 VAN BUREN BLVD. #363
RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92504 | 951-316-8772

April 16, 2019

Office of the Attorney General
of California
300 S. Spring Street #700
Los Angeles, CA 90013

US Department of Justice
888 S. Figueroa Street #1880
Los Angeles, CA 90017

Subject: Racial Discrimination by City of Riverside, Riverside Planning Department and Riverside Police Department against Hideaway Café due to Racial Composition of Patronage

Officials of the Office of Attorney General and U.S. Department of Justice:

I write to you on behalf of my client, Hideaway Café, regarding continued racial discrimination and retaliation it has suffered at the hands of the City of Riverside ("City") and its Planning and Police Departments. As you are likely aware, the City of Riverside is no stranger to government intervention when it comes to matters of racial discrimination. In the late 1990's, the City was embroiled in racial discord following the shooting of a young African-American woman by three Riverside Police Department officers. The City remained under the watchful eye of the Department of Justice for several years following this tragic event. Since that time, the City has also been sued by an African American sergeant of the Riverside Police Department for racial discrimination. Sergeant V. Graham had been passed up by the Riverside Police Department for lieutenant numerous times, despite his scores exceeding those who were eventually given the promotion. The City ultimately settled the lawsuit, paying Mr. Graham a large settlement and promoting him to Lieutenant. Unfortunately, the culture of racial discrimination and retaliation still plagues the City and its Planning and Police Departments.

My client has been the victim of selective enforcement and retaliation due to the racial composition of his patronage, which is predominately African-American. Members of the Riverside Police Department have made statements to my client's manager that the reason the Hideaway is having problems with the City is because of "ALL THE BLACKS". My client's establishment is treated far differently than those establishments that cater to wealthy white patrons, such as the Mission Inn Hotel and Mario's restaurant. I originally brought the issue of racial discrimination and retaliation concerning my client to the City's attention back in 2016. I

had hoped that the City could resolve the issue internally. However, the City has done nothing and the racial discrimination and retaliation continues and appears to be rewarded by police advancements.

As a showing of good faith and honesty, I had my client's manager sit for a polygraph examination regarding the comments made to him by Riverside Police Department personnel that the problem with the Hideaway is "ALL THE BLACKS". I selected an examiner that was highly credentialed and under contract by both the state and federal government. As the results reveal, my client showed no deception and the examiner deemed him truthful.

I have taken up this cause for my client at no charge because I feel so strongly about the issue of racial discrimination and police abuse. I hope that your agencies can see the urgency of this disturbing matter and conduct all necessary investigations and take all necessary actions against the City of Riverside, its Planning Department and Police Department.

Thank you for your time and cooperation regarding this matter. It is very much appreciated.

Regards,

Raychele B. Sterling, Esq.
Pro-Bono Attorney for Hideaway Café

Copies to:

Congressman Mark Takano
3403 10th Street #610
Riverside, CA 92501

American Civil Liberties Union
1313 W. 8th Street
Los Angeles, CA 90017

NAACP
Alice A. Hoffman
1215 K Street #1609
Sacramento, CA 95814

NAACP – Riverside
rivnaacp@gmail.com

NAACP - Los Angeles
4929 Wilshire Blvd., #310
Los Angeles, CA 90010

Police Watch
611 S. Catalina, #409
Los Angeles, CA 90005

Riverside County District Attorney
3960 Orange Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Report #: 14252



CONFIDENTIAL – POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION REPORT

Requested by

Raychele Sterling, Attorney

POLYGRAPH REPORT

CONFIDENTIAL

Date of Exam:	April 15, 2019
Subjects Name:	Freselli, David
Type of Exam:	Specific Issue
Attn:	Raychele, Sterling, Attorney at Law
Polygraph Examiner:	David Rios, CPE

THIS REPORT IS CONFIDENTIAL and PROTECTED BY OMEGA POLYGRAPH, LLC
Reproduction, distribution or copying of this report is prohibited without the written consent of the Treatment Provider or Supervising Agent of Record.

OMEGA POLYGRAPH

Office: 951.520.5020
Fax: 888.544.3073

855 N. Lark Ellen Ave., Suite K., West Covina, CA. 91791
1849 Commercenter East, Suite B, San Bernardino CA 92408

Client: Freselli, David

Date: April 15, 2019

Mr. Freselli voluntarily came to the office of Omega Polygraph located at 1849 Commercenter East., San Bernardino, CA for a polygraph examination concerning a specific issue polygraph examination.

Mr. Freselli presented in casual attire. He was alert and responsive and stated he was in good health and not taking any medications. It was this examiners opinion that Mr. Freselli was a good candidate to undergo a polygraph exam.

Before beginning the examination, the client reviewed and signed a voluntary consent form allowing the completion of this examination, and releasing Omega Polygraph, LLC, including any agents, employees, employers, or affiliates of any liability resulting from the is polygraph examination. The signed statement assures that the examinee was advised that the entire test would be electronically recorded; that all information findings and examination data would be subsequently disseminated to the above-named client and /or lawful authority, and that the examinee could terminate the examination at any time and/or speak with an attorney if desired.

Before starting the examination, a verbal pretest interview was conducted to summarize the issues as reported by Mr. Freselli. The following was explained; polygraph components and related physiological processes, debrief the examinee of any information regarding the issues and circumstances under investigation, and thoroughly review the test questions and the examinee's intended answers for each particular portion of the testing process.

Pre-Examination Disclosure(s):

Mr. Freselli stated he is the bar manager at the Hideaway Café located in the city of Riverside. He stated the Hideaway Café has a valid liquor license however the city of Riverside has denied granting a Conditional Use Permit to allow him to sell alcoholic beverages on site. Mr. Freselli believes the denial by the city of Riverside is racially motivated because of comments made by two officials from the Riverside Police Department on two separate occasions.

Mr. Freselli stated the first incident occurred about three years ago outside a Riverside Police Department sub-station. He said he went to the sub-station to speak with Sgt. Michael Crawford regarding the city's denial to grant the Conditional Use Permit but was told he was not there. Mr. Freselli stated he did speak to Officer Pete Elliott and explained to him the reason he was there, and Officer Pete Elliot told him the reason is because of the "Problems with all the blacks." He stated the conversation occurred outside the sub-station.

Mr. Freselli stated the second incident occurred about a year ago. He said Lt. Townsend agreed to meet with him at the Hideaway café and before he arrived, he sent him a text message stating that Sgt. Mike Crawford will also be attending the meeting when Mr. Freselli expressed his concerns about being denied the Conditional Use Permit because officers from the Riverside Police Department made comments about the predominately African American clientele at the Hideaway. Mr. Freselli said Sgt. Crawford admitted to commenting about "All the blacks" in the presence of Lt. Townsend. Mr. Freselli stated he was shocked that Sgt. Crawford admitted to this because it was

THIS REPORT IS CONFIDENTIAL and PROTECTED BY OMEGA POLYGRAPH, LLC
Reproduction, distribution or copying of this report is prohibited without the written consent of the Treatment Provider or Supervising Agent of Record.

Officer Pete Elliot who made the comment. He stated he told Sgt. Crawford he did not hear him make any comments about his clientele before today, but Sgt. Crawford awkwardly replied, "Yeah, that was me."

The Federal You Phase (Bi-Zone) technique was administered during this portion of the data collection phase.

Relevant Questions:

The relevant questions were developed from the above information. Prior to the beginning of the examination, each of the questions was reviewed with Mr. Freselli, and he stated he understood each of the questions.

The following relevant questions were asked, and the examinee answered, "Yes" during this portion of the testing phase:

R1: Did Pete Elliott tell you the problem is with the blacks at the Highway cafe?

R2: Did Mike Crawford admit to making a comment about the black clientele at the Highway Café in the presence of Lt. Townsend?

ANALYSIS:

The subject did not produce any significant physiological responses to the relevant questions. This procedure resulted in a finding of No Significant Response.

Data Collection

A Limestone Technologies computerized multichannel polygraph instrument, that is capable of simultaneously recording both thoracic and abdominal respiration, along with changes in cardiovascular and electrodermal activity, was used. The polygraph instrument also includes dedicated components to monitor test subject behavior and assist in the accurate differentiation of test data that represents authentic sympathetic/autonomic response activity to test stimulus questions, from data that includes adulterated autonomic and peripheral/behavioral nervous system activities intended to alter or defeat one's polygraph test results. The polygraph data was evaluated using the Empirical Scoring System, Multinomial (ESS-M). *The Empirical Scoring System, Multinomial (ESS-M) is an evidence-based, standardized protocol for polygraph test data analysis.*

TEST RESULTS

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:
The Client's written and signed statement is attached: YES ( ) NO (X)

COUNTERMEASURES: YES ( ) NO (X) UNK ( )

POST TEST STATEMENTS:

After being informed of the results of the examination, the examinee did not provide any additional information.

At this time, the client can clear this polygraph interview.

Overall Test results: No Deception Indicated

Examiner Opinion: Truthful

THIS REPORT IS CONFIDENTIAL and PROTECTED BY OMEGA POLYGRAPH, LLC
Reproduction, distribution or copying of this report is prohibited without the written consent of the Treatment Provider or Supervising Agent of Record.

END OF REPORT

David Rios
Certified Polygraph Examiner

THIS REPORT IS CONFIDENTIAL and PROTECTED BY OMEGA POLYGRAPH, LLC
Reproduction, distribution or copying of this report is prohibited without the written consent of the Treatment Provider or Supervising Agent of Record.

EXHIBIT 11

44 Liquormart, Inc. v. Rhode Island

Supreme Court of the United States • May 13, 1996 • 517 U.S. 484 • 116 S.Ct. 1495 • 134 L.Ed.2d 711 • See All Citations (Approx. 58 pa...

Synopsis
West Headnotes
Syllabus
Attorneys and Law Firms
Opinion
Concurrence in Part
Concurrence in Part
Concurrence
All Citations
Footnotes

Declined to Extend by Expressions Hair Design v. Schneiderman, U.S., March 29, 2017

Original Image of 116 S.Ct. 1495 (PDF)

116 S.Ct. 1495
Supreme Court of the United States

44 LIQUORMART, INC. and Peoples Super Liquor Stores, Inc., Petitioners, v. State of RHODE ISLAND and Rhode Island Liquor Stores Association.

No. 94–1140.
Argued Nov. 1, 1995.
Decided May 13, 1996.

Synopsis

Liquor retailers brought declaratory judgment action challenging Rhode Island statutes prohibiting advertisement of liquor prices. The United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island, Raymond J. Pettine, Senior District Judge, 829 F.Supp. 543, held statutes were invalid. Appeal was taken. The Court of Appeals for the First Circuit, 39 F.3d 5,reversed. Certiorari was granted. The Supreme Court, Justice Stevens, held that: (1) state's complete statutory ban on price advertising for alcoholic beverages abridged speech in violation of First Amendment, and (2) Twenty-

Justice Scalia and Justice Thomas filed opinions concurring in part and concurring in the judgment.

Justice O'Connor filed an opinion concurring in the judgment, in which Chief Justice Rehnquist and Justices Souter and Breyer joined.

West Headnotes (18)

1 **Constitutional Law** First Amendment

First Amendment applies to states under due process clause of Fourteenth Amendment. U.S.C.A. Const.Amends. 1, 14.

45 Cases that cite this headnote

2 **Constitutional Law** Unlawful speech or activities

First Amendment does not protect commercial speech about unlawful activities. (Per Justice Stevens, with three Justices concurring and five Justices concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1.

16 Cases that cite this headnote

3 **Constitutional Law** Commercial Speech in General

Mere fact that messages propose commercial transactions does not in and of itself dictate form of constitutional analysis that should apply to decisions to suppress them. (Per Justice Stevens, with two Justices concurring and six Justices concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1.

9 Cases that cite this headnote

4 **Constitutional Law** Strict or exacting scrutiny; compelling interest test
Constitutional Law Difference in protection given to other speech

commercial messages for reasons unrelated to preservation of fair bargaining process, there is far less reason to depart from rigorous review that First Amendment generally demands. (Per Justice Stevens, with two Justices concurring and six Justices concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1.

79 Cases that cite this headnote

5 **Constitutional Law** Content-Based Regulations or Restrictions

Complete speech bans, unlike content-neutral restrictions on time, place, or manner of expression, foreclose alternative means of disseminating certain information and, thus, are particularly dangerous and warrant more careful constitutional review. (Per Justice Stevens, with two Justices concurring and six Justices concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1.

8 Cases that cite this headnote

6 **Constitutional Law** Commercial Speech in General

Neither "greater objectivity" nor "greater hardiness" of truthful, nonmisleading commercial speech justifies reviewing its complete suppression with added deference. (Per Justice Stevens, with two Justices concurring and six Justices concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1.

5 Cases that cite this headnote

7 **Constitutional Law** Commercial Speech in General
Constitutional Law Matters of public concern

Complete bans against truthful, nonmisleading commercial messages rarely protect consumers from "commercial harms," but rather often serve only to obscure underlying governmental policy that could be implemented without regulating speech, and thus not only hinder consumer choice, but also impede debate over central issues of public policy. (Per Justice Stevens, with two Justices concurring and six Justices concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1.

of accurate information about their chosen products. (Per Justice Stevens, with two Justices concurring and six Justices concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1.

22 Cases that cite this headnote

9 **Constitutional Law** Intoxicating liquors

State statutory ban against advertising of retail prices of alcoholic beverages constituted blanket prohibition against truthful, nonmisleading speech about lawful product and served end unrelated to consumer protection and, thus, would be subject to constitutional review with "special care." (Per Justice Stevens, with three Justices concurring and five Justices concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1; R.I.Gen.Laws 1956, §§ 3-8-7, 3-8-8.1.

21 Cases that cite this headnote

10 **Constitutional Law** Reasonableness; relationship to governmental interest

Commercial speech regulation may not be sustained if it provides only ineffective or remote support for government's purpose, and state bears burden of showing not merely that regulation will advance state's interest, but also that it will do so to material degree. (Per Justice Stevens, with three Justices concurring and five Justices concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1.

14 Cases that cite this headnote

11 **Alcoholic Beverages** Constitutional and Statutory Provisions in General
Alcoholic Beverages Price
Constitutional Law Intoxicating liquors

State's statutory ban against advertising of retail prices of alcoholic beverages violated First Amendment, absent evidence that ban would significantly advance state's interest in reducing alcohol consumption; although ban could have had some impact on purchasing patterns of temperate drinkers of modest means and liquor retailers expected increase in profits if ban was lifted, no

in the judgment.) U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1; R.I.Gen.Laws 1956, §§ 3-8-7, 3-8-8.1.

12 Cases that cite this headnote

12 **Alcoholic Beverages** Constitutional and Statutory Provisions in General
Alcoholic Beverages Advertising, marketing, and promotion
Constitutional Law Intoxicating liquors

State statutory ban against advertising of retail prices of alcoholic beverages violated First Amendment by imposing more extensive restrictions on truthful, nonmisleading commercial speech than necessary to achieve state's goal of promoting temperance; higher prices could be maintained either by direct regulation or by increased taxation, per capita purchases of alcohol could be limited as with prescription drugs, and even educational campaigns focused on problems of drinking could prove to be more effective. (Per Justice Stevens, with three Justices concurring and five Justices concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1; R.I.Gen.Laws 1956, §§ 3-8-7, 3-8-8.1.

93 Cases that cite this headnote

13 **Constitutional Law** Narrow tailoring
Constitutional Law Commercial Speech in General

State legislature does not have broad discretion to suppress truthful, nonmisleading commercial information for paternalistic purposes in lieu of less speech-restrictive alternatives. (Per Justice Stevens, with three Justices concurring and five Justices concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1.

17 Cases that cite this headnote

14 **Constitutional Law** Intoxicating liquors

State's power to ban sale of alcoholic beverages entirely did not include power to censor all advertisements that contained accurate and nonmisleading information about retail price of product; power to prohibit sales was not logically greater than power to suppress speech about it, and

15 **Alcoholic Beverages** Advertising, marketing, and promotion
Constitutional Law Intoxicating liquors

Fact that state chose to license its liquor retailers did not authorize state to condition conferral of that benefit upon surrender of constitutional right to freedom of speech and, thus, did not justify state's ban on advertising of retail prices of alcoholic beverages. (Per Justice Stevens, with three Justices concurring and five Justices concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1; R.I.Gen.Laws 1956, §§ 3–8–7, 3–8–8.1.

6 Cases that cite this headnote

16 **Alcoholic Beverages** Advertising, marketing, and promotion
Constitutional Law Intoxicating liquors

Fact that state's statutory ban on advertising of retail prices of alcoholic beverages pertained to "vice" activity did not except ban from First Amendment protections for commercial speech. (Per Justice Stevens, with three Justices concurring and five Justices concurring in the judgment.) U.S.C.A. Const.Amend. 1; R.I.Gen.Laws 1956, §§ 3–8–7, 3–8–8.1.

32 Cases that cite this headnote

17 **Alcoholic Beverages** Twenty-first Amendment
Alcoholic Beverages Advertising, marketing, and promotion
Constitutional Law Intoxicating liquors

Although Twenty-First Amendment limits effect of dormant commerce clause on state's regulatory power over delivery or use of intoxicating beverages within its borders, Twenty-First Amendment does not qualify constitutional prohibition against laws abridging freedom of speech embodied in First Amendment and, thus, cannot save state's statutory ban on liquor price advertising. U.S.C.A. Const.Amends. 1, 21.

85 Cases that cite this headnote

process clause of Fourteenth Amendment; abrogating *S & S Liquor Mart, Inc. v. Pastore,* 497 A.2d 729; *Rhode Island Liquor Stores Assn. v. Evening Call Pub. Co.,* 497 A.2d 331. U.S.C.A. Const.Amends. 1, 14; R.I.Gen.Laws 1956, §§ 3–8–7, 3–8–8.1.

42 Cases that cite this headnote

**1498 *484 Syllabus *

Petitioners, a licensed Rhode Island liquor retailer and a licensed Massachusetts liquor retailer patronized by Rhode Island residents, filed this action seeking a declaratory judgment that Rhode Island laws banning the advertisement of retail liquor prices except at the place of sale violate the First Amendment. In concluding that the ban was unconstitutional because it did not directly advance the State's asserted interest in the promotion of temperance and was more extensive than necessary to serve that interest, the District Court reasoned that the party seeking to uphold a restriction on commercial speech carries the burden of justifying it and that the Twenty-first Amendment did not shift or diminish that burden. In reversing, the Court of Appeals, inter alia, found "inherent merit" in the State's submission that competitive price advertising would ultimately increase sales, and agreed with it that the Twenty-first Amendment gave its advertising ban an added presumption of validity.

Held: The judgment is reversed.

39 F.3d 5 (C.A.1 1994), reversed.

Justice STEVENS delivered the opinion of the Court with respect to Parts I, II, VII, and VIII, concluding:

commerce in, or the use of, alcoholic beverages—limits the dormant Commerce Clause's effect on a State's regulatory power over the delivery or use of liquor within its borders, the Amendment does not license the States to ignore their obligations under other constitutional provisions. See, e.g., Capital Cities Cable, Inc. v. Crisp, 467 U.S. 691, 712, 104 S.Ct. 2694, 2707, 81 L.Ed.2d 580. California v. LaRue, 409 U.S. 109, 118–119, 93 S.Ct. 390, 397–398, 34 L.Ed.2d 342, disavowed. Because the First Amendment must be included among those other provisions, the Twenty-first Amendment does not shield the advertising ban from constitutional scrutiny. Pp. 1514–1515.

2. Because Rhode Island has failed to carry its heavy burden of justifying its complete ban on price advertising, that ban is invalid. P. 1515.

[*485 Justice STEVENS delivered the principal opinion with respect to Parts III–VI, concluding that Rhode Island's ban on advertisements that provide the public with accurate information about retail liquor prices is an unconstitutional abridgment of the freedom of speech. Pp. 1504–1514.

(a) Justice STEVENS, joined by Justice KENNEDY, Justice SOUTER, and Justice GINSBURG, concluded in Part III that although the First Amendment protects the dissemination of truthful and nonmisleading commercial messages about lawful products and services in order to ensure that consumers [**1499 receive accurate information, see, e.g., Virginia Bd. of Pharmacy v. Virginia Citizens Consumer Council, Inc., 425 U.S. 748, 765, 96 S.Ct. 1817, 1827, 48 L.Ed.2d 346, the special nature of commercial speech, including its "greater objectivity" and "greater hardiness," authorizes

9, 100 S.Ct. 2343, 2351, n. 9, 65 L.Ed.2d 341. However, regulations that entirely suppress commercial speech in order to pursue a policy not related to consumer protection must be reviewed with "special care," and such blanket bans should not be approved unless the speech itself was flawed in some way, either because it was deceptive or related to unlawful activity. See ibid. Pp. 1504–1507.

(b) Justice STEVENS, joined by Justice KENNEDY and Justice GINSBURG, concluded in Part IV that a review of the case law reveals that commercial speech regulations are not all subject to a similar form of constitutional review simply because they target a similar category of expression. When a State regulates commercial messages to protect consumers from misleading, deceptive, or aggressive sales practices, or requires the disclosure of beneficial consumer information, the regulation's purpose is consistent with the reasons for according constitutional protection to commercial speech and therefore justifies less than strict review. However, where a State entirely prohibits the dissemination of truthful, nonmisleading commercial messages for reasons unrelated to the preservation of a fair bargaining process, there is far less reason to depart from the rigorous review that the First Amendment generally demands. The special dangers that attend such complete bans—including, most obviously, the fact that they all but foreclose alternative channels of communication—present sound reasons that justify more careful review. Pp. 1507–1508.

(c) Justice STEVENS, joined by Justice KENNEDY, Justice SOUTER, and Justice GINSBURG, concluded in Part V that because Rhode Island's advertising ban constitutes a blanket prohibition against truthful, nonmisleading

strict standard that generally applies in commercial speech cases under Central Hudson, id., at 566, 100 S.Ct., at 2351. First, the advertising ban does not directly advance the State's substantial interest in promoting temperance. See ibid. Because a commercial speech regulation may not be sustained if it provides only ineffective or remote support for the government's purpose, id., at 564, 100 S.Ct., at 2350, the State bears the burden of showing not merely that its regulation will advance its interest, but also that it will do so "to a material degree," see, e.g., Edenfield v. Fane, 507 U.S. 761, 767, 113 S.Ct. 1792, 1798, 123 L.Ed.2d 543. In this case, therefore, the State must show that the ban will significantly reduce alcohol consumption, but has presented no evidence to suggest a significant reduction. Second, the ban is more extensive than necessary to serve its stated interest, see 447 U.S., at 566, 100 S.Ct., at 2351, since alternative forms of regulation that would not involve any speech restrictions—e.g., the maintenance of higher prices either by direct regulation or by increased taxation, the rationing of per capita purchases, or the use of educational campaigns focused on drinking problems—would be more likely to achieve the goal of promoting temperance. Thus, the State has failed to establish the requisite "reasonable fit" between its regulation and its goal. See, e.g., Board of Trustees, State Univ. of N.Y. v. Fox, 492 U.S. 469, 480, 109 S.Ct. 3028, 3034–3035, 106 L.Ed.2d 388. Pp. 1508–1510.

(d) Justice STEVENS, joined by Justice KENNEDY, Justice THOMAS, and Justice GINSBURG, concluded in Part VI that the State's arguments in support of its claim that it merely exercised appropriate "legislative judgment" in determining that a price advertising ban would best

the State could, if it chose, ban the sale of alcoholic beverages outright; and (3) that deference is appropriate because alcoholic beverages are so-called "vice" products—must be rejected. See Rubin v. Coors Brewing Company, 514 U.S. 476, 482–483, n. 2, 115 S.Ct. 1585, 1589–1590, n. 2, 131 L.Ed.2d 532. United States v. Edge Broadcasting, 509 U.S. 418, 113 S.Ct. 2696, 125 L.Ed.2d 345, distinguished; Posadas de Puerto Rico Associates v. Tourism Co. of P.R., 478 U.S. 328, 106 S.Ct. 2968, 92 L.Ed.2d 266, distinguished and disavowed in part. Pp. 1510–1514.

Justice SCALIA concluded that guidance as to what the First Amendment forbids, where the core offense of suppressing particular political ideas is not at issue, must be taken from the long accepted practices of the American people. See McIntyre v. Ohio Elections Comm'n, 514 U.S. 334, 375, 115 S.Ct. 1511, 1532, 131 L.Ed.2d 426 (SCALIA, J., dissenting). Since, however, the Court has before it no evidence as to state legislative practices regarding regulation *487 of commercial speech when the First and Fourteenth Amendments were adopted, or even as to any national consensus on the subject later developed, he would simply adhere to the Court's existing jurisprudence, which renders the Rhode Island regulation invalid. P. 1515.

Justice THOMAS concluded that in cases such as this, in which the government's asserted interest is to keep legal users of a product or service ignorant in order to manipulate their choices in the marketplace, the Central Hudson balancing test should not be applied. Rather, such an "interest" is per se illegitimate, cf., e.g., Virginia Bd. of Pharmacy v. Virginia Citizens Consumer Council, Inc., 425 U.S. 748, 768–770, 96 S.Ct. 1817, 1828–1830, 48 L.Ed.2d 346, and can no more justify regulation of

alcohol-price advertising is invalid and cannot be saved by the Twenty-first Amendment, but concluded that the First Amendment question must be resolved more narrowly by applying the test established in Central Hudson Gas & Elec. Corp. v. Public Serv. Comm'n of N.Y., 447 U.S. 557, 566, 100 S.Ct. 2343, 2351, 65 L.Ed.2d 341. Assuming that the prohibition satisfies the test's first three prongs—i.e., that (1) the speech at issue concerns lawful activity and is not misleading, (2) the asserted governmental interest is substantial, and (3) the regulation directly advances the governmental interest —Rhode Island's regulation fails the final fourth prong because its ban is more extensive than necessary to serve its stated interest. Rhode Island justifies its ban on price advertising on the ground that the ban is intended to keep alcohol prices high as a way to keep consumption low. In order for a speech restriction to pass muster under the fourth prong, there must be a reasonable fit between the legislature's goal and method. Board of Trustees of State Univ. of N.Y. v. Fox, 492 U.S. 469, 480, 109 S.Ct. 3028, 3034–3035, 106 L.Ed.2d 388. The fit here is not reasonable, since the State has other methods at its disposal—e.g., establishing minimum prices and/or increasing sales taxes on alcoholic beverages—that would more directly accomplish its stated goal without intruding on sellers' ability to provide truthful, nonmisleading information to customers. Posadas de Puerto Rico Associates v. Tourism Co. of P.R., 478 U.S. 328, 341–344, 106 S.Ct. 2968, 2976–2978, 92 L.Ed.2d 266, distinguished. The principal opinion errs in adopting a new analysis for the evaluation of commercial speech regulation. Pp. 1520–1523.

STEVENS, J., announced the judgment of the Court, and

KENNEDY, SOUTER, and **1501 GINSBURG, JJ., joined, an opinion with respect to Part VI, in which KENNEDY, THOMAS, and GINSBURG, JJ., joined, and an opinion with respect to Part IV, in which KENNEDY and GINSBURG, JJ., joined. SCALIA, J., post, p. 1515, and THOMAS, J., post, p. 1515, filed opinions concurring in part and concurring in the judgment. O'CONNOR, J., filed an opinion concurring in the judgment, in which REHNQUIST, C. J., and SOUTER and BREYER, JJ., joined, post, p. 1520.

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit.

Attorneys and Law Firms

Evan T. Lawson, Boston, MA, for petitioners.

Rebecca T. Partington, Providence, RI, for respondents.

Opinion

Justice STEVENS announced the judgment of the Court and delivered the opinion of the Court with respect to Parts I, II, VII, and VIII, an opinion with respect to Parts III and V, in which Justice KENNEDY, Justice SOUTER, and *489 Justice GINSBURG join, an opinion with respect to Part VI, in which Justice KENNEDY, Justice THOMAS, and Justice GINSBURG join, and an opinion with respect to Part IV, in which Justice KENNEDY and Justice GINSBURG join.

1 Last Term we held that a federal law abridging a brewer's right to provide the public with accurate information about the alcoholic content of malt

the conclusion that such an advertising ban is an abridgment of speech protected by the First Amendment and that it is not shielded from constitutional scrutiny by the Twenty-first Amendment. [1]

I

In 1956, the Rhode Island Legislature enacted two separate prohibitions against advertising the retail price of alcoholic beverages. The first applies to vendors licensed in Rhode Island as well as to out-of-state manufacturers, wholesalers, and shippers. It prohibits them from "advertising in any manner whatsoever" the price of any alcoholic beverage offered for sale in the State; the only exception is for price tags or signs displayed with the merchandise within licensed premises and not visible from the street. [2] The second statute [*490] applies to the Rhode Island news media. It contains a categorical prohibition against the publication or broadcast of any advertisements—even those referring to sales in other States—that "make reference to the price of any alcoholic beverages." [3]

[**1502] In two cases decided in 1985, the Rhode Island Supreme Court reviewed the constitutionality of these two statutes. In *S & S Liquor Mart, Inc. v. Pastore,* 497 A.2d 729, a liquor retailer located in Westerly, Rhode Island, a town that borders the State of Connecticut, having been advised that his license would be revoked if he advertised his prices in a Connecticut paper, sought to enjoin enforcement of the first statute. Over the dissent of one justice, the court upheld the statute. It concluded that the statute served the substantial state interest in " 'the promotion of temperance.' " [4] [*491] *Id.,* at 737. Because the plaintiff failed to prove that the statute did not serve that interest, the court held that he

had not been overcome in that case, the State Supreme Court assumed that in a future case the record might "support the proposition that these advertising restrictions do not further temperance objectives." *Id.,* at 734.

In *Rhode Island Liquor Stores Assn. v. Evening Call Pub. Co.,* 497 A.2d 331, the plaintiff association [5] sought to enjoin the publisher of the local newspaper in Woonsocket, Rhode Island, from accepting advertisements disclosing the retail price of alcoholic beverages being sold across the state line in Millville, Massachusetts. In upholding the injunction, the *492 State Supreme Court adhered to its reasoning in the *Pastore* case and rejected the argument that the statute neither "directly advanced" the state interest in promoting temperance, nor was "more extensive than necessary to serve that interest" as required by this Court's decision in *Central Hudson Gas & Elec. Corp. v. Public Serv. Comm'n of N.Y.,* 447 U.S. 557, 591, 100 S.Ct. 2343, 2364, 65 L.Ed.2d 341 (1980). It assumed the existence of other, "perhaps more effective means" of achieving the State's "goal of temperance," but concluded that it was "not unreasonable for the State of Rhode Island to believe that price advertising will result in increased sales of alcoholic beverages generally." *Rhode Island Liquor Stores Assn. v. Evening Call Pub. Co.,* 497 A.2d, at 336.

II

Petitioners 44 Liquormart, Inc. (44 Liquormart), and Peoples Super Liquor Stores, Inc. **1503 (Peoples), are licensed retailers of alcoholic beverages. Petitioner 44 Liquormart operates a store in Rhode Island and petitioner Peoples operates several stores in Massachusetts that are patronized by Rhode Island

Complaints from competitors about an advertisement placed by 44 Liquormart in a Rhode Island newspaper in 1991 generated enforcement proceedings that in turn led to the initiation of this litigation. The advertisement did not state the price of any alcoholic beverages. Indeed, it noted that "State law prohibits advertising liquor prices." The ad did, however, state the low prices at which peanuts, potato chips, and Schweppes mixers were being offered, identify various brands of packaged liquor, and include the word "WOW" in large letters next to pictures of vodka and rum bottles. Based on the conclusion that the implied reference to bargain prices for liquor violated the statutory ban on *493 price advertising, the Rhode Island Liquor Control Administrator assessed a $400 fine.

After paying the fine, 44 Liquormart, joined by Peoples, filed this action against the administrator in the Federal District Court seeking a declaratory judgment that the two statutes and the administrator's implementing regulations violate the First Amendment and other provisions of federal law. The Rhode Island Liquor Stores Association was allowed to intervene as a defendant and in due course the State of Rhode Island replaced the administrator as the principal defendant. The parties stipulated that the price advertising ban is vigorously enforced, that Rhode Island permits "all advertising of alcoholic beverages excepting references to price outside the licensed premises," and that petitioners' proposed ads do not concern an illegal activity and presumably would not be false or misleading. *44 Liquor Mart, Inc. v. Racine,* 829 F.Supp. 543, 545 (D.R.I.1993). The parties disagreed, however, about the impact of the ban on the promotion of temperance in Rhode Island. On that question the

on the level of consumption of alcoholic beverages. He referred to a 1985 Federal Trade Commission study that found no evidence that alcohol advertising significantly affects alcohol abuse. Another study indicated that Rhode Island ranks in the upper 30% of States in per capita consumption of alcoholic beverages; alcohol consumption is lower in other States that allow price advertising. After summarizing the testimony of the expert witnesses for both parties, he found "as a fact that Rhode Island's off-premises liquor price advertising ban has no significant impact on levels of alcohol consumption in Rhode Island." *Id.,* at 549.

*494 As a matter of law, he concluded that the price advertising ban was unconstitutional because it did not "directly advance" the State's interest in reducing alcohol consumption and was "more extensive than necessary to serve that interest." *Id.,* at 555. He reasoned that the party seeking to uphold a restriction on commercial speech carries the burden of justifying it and that the Twenty-first Amendment did not shift or diminish that burden. Acknowledging that it might have been reasonable for the state legislature to "assume a correlation between the price advertising ban and reduced consumption," he held that more than a rational basis was required to justify the speech restriction, and that the State had failed to demonstrate a reasonable " 'fit' " between its policy objectives and its chosen means. *Ibid.*

The Court of Appeals reversed. 39 F.3d 5 (C.A.1 1994). It found "inherent merit" in the State's submission that competitive price advertising would lower prices and that lower prices would produce more sales. *Id.,* at 7. Moreover, it agreed with the reasoning of the Rhode Island Supreme Court that the Twenty-first Amendment

39 F.3d, at 8. In that case the Court dismissed the appeal from a decision of the Ohio Supreme Court upholding a prohibition against off-premises advertising of the prices of alcoholic beverages sold by the drink. See *Queensgate Investment Co. v. Liquor Control Comm'n of Ohio,* 69 Ohio St.2d 361, 433 N.E.2d 138 (1982).

Queensgate has been both followed and distinguished in subsequent cases reviewing the validity of similar advertising bans. [6] We are now persuaded that the importance of [*495] the First Amendment issue, as well the suggested relevance of the Twenty-first Amendment, merits more thorough analysis than it received when we refused to accept jurisdiction of the *Queensgate* appeal. We therefore granted certiorari. 514 U.S. 1095, 115 S.Ct. 1821, 131 L.Ed.2d 743 (1995).

III

Advertising has been a part of our culture throughout our history. Even in colonial days, the public relied on "commercial speech" for vital information about the market. Early newspapers displayed advertisements for goods and services on their front pages, and town criers called out prices in public squares. See J. Wood, The Story of Advertising 21, 45–69, 85 (1958); J. Smith, Printers and Press Freedom 49 (1988). Indeed, commercial messages played such a central role in public life prior to the founding that Benjamin Franklin authored his early defense of a free press in support of his decision to print, of all things, an advertisement for voyages to Barbados. Franklin, An Apology for Printers, [*496] June 10, 1731, reprinted in 2 Writings of Benjamin Franklin 172 (1907).

In accord with the role that commercial messages have long played, the law has developed to ensure that advertising provides consumers with accurate

the 1970's, however, that this Court held that the First Amendment protected the dissemination of truthful and nonmisleading commercial messages about lawful products and services. See generally Kozinski & Banner, The Anti–History and Pre–History of Commercial Speech, 71 Texas L.Rev. 747 (1993).

In *Bigelow v. Virginia,* 421 U.S. 809, 95 S.Ct. 2222, 44 L.Ed.2d 600 (1975), we held that it was error to assume that commercial speech was entitled to no First Amendment protection or that it was without value in the marketplace of ideas. *Id.,* at 825–826, 95 S.Ct., at 2234–2235. The following Term in *Virginia Bd. of Pharmacy v. Virginia Citizens Consumer Council, Inc.,* 425 U.S. 748, 96 S.Ct. 1817, 48 L.Ed.2d 346 (1976), we expanded on our holding in *Bigelow* and held that the State's blanket ban on advertising the price of prescription drugs violated the First Amendment.

2 **1505 *Virginia Bd. of Pharmacy* reflected the conclusion that the same interest that supports regulation of potentially misleading advertising, namely, the public's interest in receiving accurate commercial information, also supports an interpretation of the First Amendment that provides constitutional protection for the dissemination of accurate and nonmisleading commercial messages. We explained:

> "Advertising, however tasteless and excessive it sometimes may seem, is nonetheless dissemination of information as to who is producing and selling what product, for what reason, and at what price. So long as we preserve *497 a predominantly free enterprise economy, the allocation of our resources in large measure will be made through numerous private economic decisions. It is a matter of public interest

The opinion further explained that a State's paternalistic assumption that the public will use truthful, nonmisleading commercial information unwisely cannot justify a decision to suppress it:

> "There is, of course, an alternative to this highly paternalistic approach. That alternative is to assume that this information is not in itself harmful, that people will perceive their own best interests if only they are well enough informed, and that the best means to that end is to open the channels of communication rather than to close them. If they are truly open, nothing prevents the 'professional' pharmacist from marketing his own assertedly superior product, and contrasting it with that of the low-cost, high-volume prescription drug retailer. But the choice among these alternative approaches is not ours to make or the Virginia General Assembly's. It is precisely this kind of choice, between the dangers of suppressing information, and the dangers of its misuse if it is freely available, that the First Amendment makes for us." *Id.,* at 770, 96 S.Ct., at 1829.

On the basis of these principles, our early cases uniformly struck down several broadly based bans on truthful, nonmisleading commercial speech, each of which served ends unrelated [*498] to consumer protection. [8] Indeed, one of those cases expressly likened the rationale that *Virginia Bd. of Pharmacy* employed to the one that Justice Brandeis adopted in his concurrence in *Whitney v. California,* 274 U.S. 357, 47 S.Ct. 641, 71 L.Ed. 1095 (1927). See *Linmark Associates, Inc. v. Willingboro,* 431 U.S. 85, 97, 97 S.Ct. 1614, 1620–1621, 52 L.Ed.2d 155 (1977). There, Justice Brandeis wrote, in explaining his objection to a

dangerous because they all but foreclose alternative means of disseminating certain information.

Our commercial speech cases have recognized the dangers that attend governmental attempts to single out certain messages for suppression. For example, in *Linmark,* 431 U.S., at 92–94, 97 S.Ct., at 1618–1619, we concluded that a ban on "For Sale" signs [*502 was "content based" and failed to leave open "satisfactory" alternative channels of communication; see also *Virginia Bd. of Pharmacy,* 425 U.S., at 771, 96 S.Ct., at 1830. Moreover, last Term we upheld a 30–day prohibition against a certain form of legal solicitation largely because it left so many channels of communication open to Florida lawyers. *Florida Bar v. Went For It, Inc.,* 515 U.S. 618, 633–634, 115 S.Ct. 2371, 2380–2381, 132 L.Ed.2d 541 (1995). [11]

6 The special dangers that attend complete bans on truthful, nonmisleading commercial speech cannot be explained away by appeals to the "commonsense distinctions" that exist between commercial and noncommercial speech. *Virginia Bd. of Pharmacy,* 425 U.S., at 771, n. 24, 96 S.Ct., at 1830, n. 24. Regulations that suppress the truth are [**1508 no less troubling because they target objectively verifiable information, nor are they less effective because they aim at durable messages. As a result, neither the "greater objectivity" nor the "greater hardiness" of truthful, nonmisleading commercial speech justifies reviewing its complete suppression with added deference. *Ibid.*

7 It is the State's interest in protecting consumers from "commercial harms" that provides "the typical reason why commercial speech can be subject to greater governmental regulation than noncommercial

be implemented without regulating speech. *Central Hudson,* 447 U.S., at 566, n. 9, 100 S.Ct., at 2351, n. 9. In this way, these commercial speech bans not only hinder consumer choice, but also impede debate over central issues of public policy. See *id.,* at 575, 100 S.Ct., at 2356 (Blackmun, J., concurring in judgment). [13]

[8] Precisely because bans against truthful, nonmisleading commercial speech rarely seek to protect consumers from either deception or overreaching, they usually rest solely on the offensive assumption that the public will respond "irrationally" to the truth. *Linmark,* 431 U.S., at 96, 97 S.Ct., at 1620. The First Amendment directs us to be especially skeptical of regulations that seek to keep people in the dark for what the government perceives to be their own good. That teaching applies equally to state attempts to deprive consumers of accurate information about their chosen products:

> "The commercial marketplace, like other spheres of our social and cultural life, provides a forum where ideas and information flourish. Some of the ideas and information are vital, some of slight worth. But the general rule is that the speaker and the audience, not the government, [*504] assess the value of the information presented. Thus, even a communication that does no more than propose a commercial transaction is entitled to the coverage of the First Amendment. See *Virginia State Bd. of Pharmacy, supra,* at 762 [96 S.Ct., at 1825]." *Edenfield v. Fane,* 507 U.S. 761, 767, 113 S.Ct. 1792, 1798, 123 L.Ed.2d 543 (1993).

See also *Linmark,* 431 U.S., at 96, 97 S.Ct., at 1620 (1977); *Rubin v. Coors Brewing Co.,* 514 U.S., at 497–498, 115

against truthful, nonmisleading speech about a lawful product. There is also no question that the ban serves an end unrelated to consumer protection. Accordingly, we must review the price advertising ban with "special care," *Central Hudson,* 447 U.S., at 566, n. 9, 100 S.Ct., at 2351, n. 9, mindful that speech prohibitions of this type rarely survive constitutional review, *ibid.*

The State argues that the price advertising prohibition should nevertheless be upheld because **1509 it directly advances the State's substantial interest in promoting temperance, and because it is no more extensive than necessary. Cf. *id.,* at 566, 100 S.Ct., at 2351. Although there is some confusion as to what Rhode Island means by temperance, we assume that the State asserts an interest in reducing alcohol consumption. [14]

10 *505 In evaluating the ban's effectiveness in advancing the State's interest, we note that a commercial speech regulation "may not be sustained if it provides only ineffective or remote support for the government's purpose." *Id.,* at 564, 100 S.Ct., at 2350. For that reason, the State bears the burden of showing not merely that its regulation will advance its interest, but also that it will do so "to a material degree." *Edenfield,* 507 U.S., at 771, 113 S.Ct., at 1800–1801; see also *Rubin v. Coors Brewing Co.,* 514 U.S., at 486–488, 115 S.Ct., at 1591–1592. The need for the State to make such a showing is particularly great given the drastic nature of its chosen means—the wholesale suppression of truthful, nonmisleading information. Accordingly, we must determine whether the State has shown that the price advertising ban will *significantly* reduce alcohol consumption.

absence of proof on the point, we can even agree with the State's contention that it is reasonable to assume that demand, and hence consumption throughout the market, is somewhat lower whenever a higher, noncompetitive price level prevails. However, without any findings of fact, or indeed any evidentiary support whatsoever, we cannot agree with the assertion that the price advertising ban will significantly advance the State's interest in promoting temperance.

[*506] Although the record suggests that the price advertising ban may have some impact on the purchasing patterns of temperate drinkers of modest means, 829 F.Supp., at 546, the State has presented no evidence to suggest that its speech prohibition will *significantly* reduce marketwide consumption. [16] Indeed, the District Court's considered and uncontradicted finding on this point is directly [**1510] to the contrary. *Id.,* at 549. [17] Moreover, the evidence suggests that the abusive drinker will probably not be deterred by a marginal price increase, and that the true alcoholic may simply reduce his purchases of other necessities.

In addition, as the District Court noted, the State has not identified what price level would lead to a significant reduction in alcohol consumption, nor has it identified the amount [*507] that it believes prices would decrease without the ban. *Ibid.* Thus, the State's own showing reveals that any connection between the ban and a significant change in alcohol consumption would be purely fortuitous.

As is evident, any conclusion that elimination of the ban would significantly increase alcohol consumption would require us to engage in the sort of "speculation or

takes aim at accurate commercial information for paternalistic ends.

12 The State also cannot satisfy the requirement that its restriction on speech be no more extensive than necessary. It is perfectly obvious that alternative forms of regulation that would not involve any restriction on speech would be more likely to achieve the State's goal of promoting temperance. As the State's own expert conceded, higher prices can be maintained either by direct regulation or by increased taxation. 829 F.Supp., at 549. Per capita purchases could be limited as is the case with prescription drugs. Even educational campaigns focused on the problems of excessive, or even moderate, drinking might prove to be more effective.

As a result, even under the less than strict standard that generally applies in commercial speech cases, the State has failed to establish a "reasonable fit" between its abridgment of speech and its temperance goal. *Board of Trustees of State Univ. of N.Y. v. Fox,* 492 U.S. 469, 480, 109 S.Ct. 3028, 3034–3035, 106 L.Ed.2d 388 (1989); see also *508 *Rubin v. Coors Brewing Co.,* 514 U.S., at 491, 115 S.Ct., at 1594 (explaining that defects in a federal ban on alcohol advertising are "further highlighted by the availability of alternatives that would prove less intrusive to the First Amendment's protections for commercial speech"); *Linmark,* 431 U.S., at 97, 97 S.Ct., at 1620–1621 (suggesting that the State use financial incentives or counter-speech, rather than speech restrictions, to advance its interests). It necessarily follows that the price advertising ban cannot survive the more stringent constitutional review that *Central Hudson* itself concluded was appropriate for the complete suppression of truthful, nonmisleading

price advertising ban would best promote temperance. Relying on the *Central Hudson* analysis set forth in *Posadas de Puerto Rico Associates v. Tourism Co. of P. R.,* 478 U.S. 328, 106 S.Ct. 2968, 92 L.Ed.2d 266 (1986), and **1511 *United States v. Edge Broadcasting Co.,* 509 U.S. 418, 113 S.Ct. 2696, 125 L.Ed.2d 345 (1993), Rhode Island first argues that, because expert opinions as to the effectiveness of the price advertising ban "go both ways," the Court of Appeals correctly concluded that the ban constituted a "reasonable choice" by the legislature. 39 F.3d, at 7. The State next contends that precedent requires us to give particular deference to that legislative choice because the State could, if it chose, ban the sale of alcoholic beverages outright. See *Posadas,* 478 U.S., at 345–346, 106 S.Ct., at 2979. Finally, the State argues that deference is appropriate because alcoholic beverages are so-called "vice" products. See *Edge,* 509 U.S., at 426, 113 S.Ct., at 2703; *Posadas,* 478 U.S., at 346–347, 106 S.Ct., at 2979–2980. We consider each of these contentions in turn.

13 The State's first argument fails to justify the speech prohibition at issue. Our commercial speech cases recognize some room for the exercise of legislative judgment. See *Metromedia, Inc. v. San Diego,* 453 U.S. 490, 507–508, 101 S.Ct. 2882, 2892–2893, 69 L.Ed.2d 800 (1981). However, Rhode Island errs in concluding that *Edge* and *509 *Posadas* establish the degree of deference that its decision to impose a price advertising ban warrants.

In *Edge,* we upheld a federal statute that permitted only those broadcasters located in States that had legalized lotteries to air lottery advertising. The statute was designed to regulate advertising about an activity that had been deemed illegal in the jurisdiction in which the

"up to the legislature" to choose to reduce gambling by suppressing in-state casino advertising rather than engaging in educational speech. *Posadas,* 478 U.S., at 344, 106 S.Ct., at 2978. Rhode Island argues that this logic demonstrates the constitutionality of its own decision to ban price advertising in lieu of raising taxes or employing some other less speech-restrictive means of promoting temperance.

The reasoning in *Posadas* does support the State's argument, but, on reflection, we are now persuaded that *Posadas* erroneously performed the First Amendment analysis. The casino advertising ban was designed to keep truthful, nonmisleading speech from members of the public for fear that they would be more likely to gamble if they received it. As a result, the advertising ban served to shield the State's antigambling policy from the public scrutiny that more direct, nonspeech regulation would draw. See *Posadas, id.,* at 351, 106 S.Ct., at 2982 (Brennan, J., dissenting).

Given our longstanding hostility to commercial speech regulation of this type, *Posadas* clearly erred in concluding that it was "up to the legislature" to choose suppression over a less speech-restrictive policy. The *Posadas* majority's conclusion on that point cannot be reconciled with the unbroken line of prior cases striking down similarly broad regulations on truthful, nonmisleading advertising when non-speech-related [*510] alternatives were available. See *id.,* at 350, 106 S.Ct., at 2981–2982 (Brennan, J., dissenting) (listing cases); Kurland, Posadas de Puerto Rico v. Tourism Company: " 'Twas Strange, 'Twas Passing Strange; 'Twas Pitiful, 'Twas Wondrous Pitiful," 1986 S.Ct. Rev. 1, 12–15.

Because the 5–to–4 decision in *Posadas* marked such a

Instead, in keeping with our prior holdings, we conclude that a state legislature does not have the broad discretion to suppress truthful, nonmisleading information for paternalistic purposes that the *Posadas* majority was willing to tolerate. As we explained in *Virginia Bd. of Pharmacy,* "[i]t is precisely this kind of choice, between the dangers of suppressing information, and the dangers of its misuse if it is freely available, **1512 that the First Amendment makes for us." 425 U.S., at 770, 96 S.Ct., at 1829.

14 We also cannot accept the State's second contention, which is premised entirely on the "greater-includes-the-lesser" reasoning endorsed toward the end of the majority's opinion in *Posadas.* There, the majority stated that "the greater power to completely ban casino gambling necessarily includes the lesser power to ban advertising of casino gambling." 478 U.S., at 345–346, 106 S.Ct., at 2979. It went on to state that "*because* the government could have enacted a wholesale prohibition of [casino gambling] it is permissible for the government to take the less intrusive step of allowing the conduct, but reducing the demand through restrictions on advertising." *Id.,* at 346, 106 S.Ct., at 2979. The majority concluded that it would "surely be a strange constitutional doctrine which would concede to the legislature the authority to totally ban a product or activity, but deny to the legislature the authority to forbid the stimulation of demand for the product or activity through advertising on behalf of those who would profit from such increased demand." *Ibid.* On the basis of *511 these statements, the State reasons that its undisputed authority to ban alcoholic beverages must include the power to restrict advertisements offering them for sale.

rejected the argument, noting that the statement in the *Posadas* opinion was made only after the majority had concluded that the Puerto Rican regulation "survived the *Central Hudson* test." 514 U.S., at 483, n. 2, 115 S.Ct., at 1589–1590, n. 2. Further consideration persuades us that the "greater-includes-the-lesser" argument should be rejected for the additional and more important reason that it is inconsistent with both logic and well-settled doctrine.

Although we do not dispute the proposition that greater powers include lesser ones, we fail to see how that syllogism requires the conclusion that the State's power to regulate commercial *activity* is "greater" than its power to ban truthful, nonmisleading commercial *speech.* Contrary to the assumption made in *Posadas,* we think it quite clear that banning speech may sometimes prove far more intrusive than banning conduct. As a venerable proverb teaches, it may prove more injurious to prevent people from teaching others how to fish than to prevent fish from being sold. [19] Similarly, a local ordinance banning bicycle lessons may curtail freedom far more than one that prohibits bicycle riding within city limits. In short, we reject the assumption that words are necessarily less vital to freedom than actions, or that logic somehow proves that the power to prohibit an activity is necessarily "greater" than the power to suppress speech about it.

[*512 As a matter of First Amendment doctrine, the *Posadas* syllogism is even less defensible. The text of the First Amendment makes clear that the Constitution presumes that attempts to regulate speech are more dangerous than attempts to regulate conduct. That presumption accords with the essential role that the free flow of information plays in a democratic society. As

These basic First Amendment principles clearly apply to commercial speech; indeed, the *Posadas* majority impliedly conceded as much by applying the *Central Hudson* test. Thus, it is no answer that commercial speech concerns products and services that the government may freely regulate. Our decisions from *Virginia Bd. of Pharmacy* have made plain that a State's regulation of the sale of goods differs in kind from a State's regulation of accurate information about those goods. The distinction that our cases have consistently drawn between these two types **1513 of governmental action is fundamentally incompatible with the absolutist view that the State may ban commercial speech simply because it may constitutionally prohibit the underlying conduct. [20]

15 *513 That the State has chosen to license its liquor retailers does not change the analysis. Even though government is under no obligation to provide a person, or the public, a particular benefit, it does not follow that conferral of the benefit may be conditioned on the surrender of a constitutional right. See, *e.g., Frost & Frost Trucking Co. v. Railroad Comm'n of Cal.,* 271 U.S. 583, 594, 46 S.Ct. 605, 607, 70 L.Ed. 1101 (1926). In *Perry v. Sindermann,* 408 U.S. 593, 92 S.Ct. 2694, 33 L.Ed.2d 570 (1972), relying on a host of cases applying that principle during the preceding quarter century, the Court explained that government "may not deny a benefit to a person on a basis that infringes his constitutionally protected interests—especially his interest in freedom of speech." *Id.,* at 597, 92 S.Ct., at 2697. That teaching clearly applies to state attempts to regulate commercial speech, as our cases striking down bans on truthful, nonmisleading speech by licensed professionals attest. See, *e.g., Bates v. State Bar of Ariz.,* 433 U.S., at 355, 97

advocated temperance, we think it equally clear that its power to ban the sale of liquor entirely does not include a power to censor all advertisements that contain accurate and nonmisleading information about the price of the product. As the entire Court apparently now agrees, the statements in the *Posadas* opinion on which Rhode Island relies are no longer persuasive.

16 Finally, we find unpersuasive the State's contention that, under *Posadas* and *Edge,* the price advertising ban should be upheld because it targets commercial speech that pertains to a "vice" activity. Respondents premise their request for a so-called "vice" exception to our commercial speech doctrine on language in *Edge* which characterized gambling as a "vice." *Edge,* 509 U.S., at 426, 113 S.Ct., at 2703; see also *Posadas,* 478 U.S., at 346–347, 106 S.Ct., at 2979–2980. Respondents misread our precedent. Our decision last Term striking down an alcohol-related advertising *514 restriction effectively rejected the very contention respondents now make. See *Rubin v. Coors Brewing Co.,* 514 U.S., at 478, 482, n. 2, 115 S.Ct., at 1587, 1589–1590, n. 2.

Moreover, the scope of any "vice" exception to the protection afforded by the First Amendment would be difficult, if not impossible, to define. Almost any product that poses some threat to public health or public morals might reasonably be characterized by a state legislature as relating to "vice activity." Such characterization, however, is anomalous when applied to products such as alcoholic beverages, lottery tickets, or playing cards, that may be lawfully purchased on the open market. The recognition of such an exception would also have the unfortunate consequence of either allowing state legislatures to justify censorship by the simple expedient of placing the "vice" label on selected lawful

principled justification for [**1514] the regulation of commercial speech about that activity.

VII

From 1919 until 1933, the Eighteenth Amendment to the Constitution totally prohibited "the manufacture, sale, or transportation of intoxicating liquors" in the United States and its territories. Section 1 of the Twenty-first Amendment repealed that prohibition, and § 2 delegated to the several States the power to prohibit commerce in, or the use of, alcoholic beverages. [21] The States' regulatory power over this segment of commerce is therefore largely "unfettered [*515] by the Commerce Clause." *Ziffrin, Inc. v. Reeves,* 308 U.S. 132, 138, 60 S.Ct. 163, 167, 84 L.Ed. 128 (1939).

As is clear, the text of the Twenty-first Amendment supports the view that, while it grants the States authority over commerce that might otherwise be reserved to the Federal Government, it places no limit whatsoever on other constitutional provisions. Nevertheless, Rhode Island argues, and the Court of Appeals agreed, that in this case the Twenty-first Amendment tilts the First Amendment analysis in the State's favor. See 39 F.3d, at 7–8.

In reaching its conclusion, the Court of Appeals relied on our decision in *California v. LaRue,* 409 U.S. 109, 93 S.Ct. 390, 34 L.Ed.2d 342 (1972). [22] In *LaRue,* five Members of the Court relied on the Twenty-first Amendment to buttress the conclusion that the First Amendment did not invalidate California's prohibition of certain grossly sexual exhibitions in premises licensed to serve alcoholic beverages. Specifically, the opinion stated that the Twenty-first Amendment required that the prohibition be given an added presumption in favor of its validity. See *id.,* at 118–119, 93 S.Ct., at 397–398.

beverages in inappropriate locations. Moreover, in subsequent cases, the Court has recognized that the States' inherent police powers provide ample authority to restrict the kind of "bacchanalian revelries" described in the *LaRue* opinion regardless of whether alcoholic beverages are involved. *Id.,* at 118, 93 S.Ct., at 397; see, *e.g., Young v. American Mini Theatres, Inc.,* 427 U.S. 50, 96 S.Ct. 2440, 49 L.Ed.2d 310 (1976); *Barnes v. Glen Theatre, Inc.,* 501 U.S. 560, 111 S.Ct. 2456, 115 L.Ed.2d 504 (1991). As we recently noted: "*LaRue* did not involve *516 commercial speech about alcohol, but instead concerned the regulation of nude dancing in places where alcohol was served." *Rubin v. Coors Brewing Co.,* 514 U.S., at 483, n. 2, 115 S.Ct., at 1589–1590, n. 2.

17 Without questioning the holding in *LaRue,* we now disavow its reasoning insofar as it relied on the Twenty-first Amendment. As we explained in a case decided more than a decade after *LaRue,* although the Twenty-first Amendment limits the effect of the dormant Commerce Clause on a State's regulatory power over the delivery or use of intoxicating beverages within its borders, "the Amendment does not license the States to ignore their obligations under other provisions of the Constitution." *Capital Cities Cable, Inc. v. Crisp,* 467 U.S. 691, 712, 104 S.Ct. 2694, 2707, 81 L.Ed.2d 580 (1984). That general conclusion reflects our specific holdings that the Twenty-first Amendment does not in any way diminish the force of the Supremacy Clause, *ibid.;* *California Retail Liquor Dealers Assn. v. Midcal Aluminum, Inc.,* 445 U.S. 97, 112–114, 100 S.Ct. 937, 946–48, 63 L.Ed.2d 233 (1980), the Establishment Clause, **1515 *Larkin v. Grendel's Den, Inc.,* 459 U.S. 116, 122, n. 5, 103 S.Ct. 505, 510, n. 5, 74 L.Ed.2d 297 (1982), or the Equal Protection Clause, *Craig v. Boren,* 429 U.S. 190, 209, 97

first Amendment, therefore, cannot save Rhode Island's ban on liquor price advertising.

VIII

18 Because Rhode Island has failed to carry its heavy burden of justifying its complete ban on price advertising, we conclude that R.I. Gen. Laws §§ 3–8–7 and 3–8–8.1 (1989), as well as Regulation 32 of the Rhode Island Liquor Control Administration, abridge speech in violation of the First Amendment as made applicable to the States by the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. The judgment of the Court of Appeals is therefore reversed.

It is so ordered.

*517 Justice SCALIA, concurring in part and concurring in the judgment.

I share Justice THOMAS's discomfort with the *Central Hudson* test, which seems to me to have nothing more than policy intuition to support it. I also share Justice STEVENS's aversion towards paternalistic governmental policies that prevent men and women from hearing facts that might not be good for them. On the other hand, it would also be paternalism for us to prevent the people of the States from enacting laws that we consider paternalistic, unless we have good reason to believe that the Constitution itself forbids them. I will take my guidance as to what the Constitution forbids, with regard to a text as indeterminate as the First Amendment's preservation of "the freedom of speech," and where the core offense of suppressing particular political ideas is not at issue, from the long accepted practices of the American people. See *McIntyre v. Ohio Elections Comm'n,* 514 U.S. 334, 375, 115 S.Ct. 1511, 1532–1533, 131 L.Ed.2d 426 (1995) (SCALIA, J.,

Advertising Federation et al. did examine various expressions of view at the time the First Amendment was adopted; they are consistent with First Amendment protection for commercial speech, but certainly not dispositive. I consider more relevant the state legislative practices prevalent at the time the First Amendment was adopted, since almost all of the States had free speech constitutional guarantees of their own, whose meaning was not likely to have been different from the federal constitutional provision derived from them. Perhaps more relevant still are the state legislative practices at the time the Fourteenth Amendment was adopted, since it is most improbable that that adoption was meant to overturn any existing national consensus regarding free speech. Indeed, it is rare that any nationwide practice would develop contrary to a proper understanding of the First Amendment [*518] itself—for which reason I think also relevant any national consensus that had formed regarding state regulation of advertising *after* the Fourteenth Amendment, and before this Court's entry into the field. The parties and their *amici* provide no evidence on these points.

Since I do not believe we have before us the wherewithal to declare *Central Hudson* wrong—or at least the wherewithal to say what ought to replace it—I must resolve this case in accord with our existing jurisprudence, which all except Justice THOMAS agree would prohibit the challenged regulation. I am not disposed to develop new law, or reinforce old, on this issue, and accordingly I merely concur in the judgment of the Court. I believe, however, that Justice STEVENS's treatment of the application of the Twenty-first Amendment to this case is correct, and accordingly join Parts I, II, VII, and VIII of Justice STEVENS's opinion.

in *Central Hudson Gas & Elec. Corp. v. Public Serv. Comm'n of N.Y.,* 447 U.S. 557, 100 S.Ct. 2343, 65 L.Ed.2d 341 (1980), should not be applied, in my view. Rather, such an "interest" is *per se* illegitimate and can no more justify regulation of "commercial" speech than it can justify regulation of "noncommercial" speech.

I

In *Virginia Bd. of Pharmacy v. Virginia Citizens Consumer Council, Inc.,* 425 U.S. 748, 762, 96 S.Ct. 1817, 1825–1826, 48 L.Ed.2d 346 (1976), this Court held that speech that does " 'no more than propose a commercial transaction' " was protected by the First Amendment, and struck down a ban on price advertising regarding prescription drugs. The Court asserted that a "particular consumer's interest in the free flow of commercial information" may *519 be as keen as, or keener than, his interest in "the day's most urgent political debate," *id.,* at 763, 96 S.Ct., at 1826, and that "the proper allocation of resources" in our free enterprise system requires that consumer decisions be "intelligent and well informed," *id.,* at 765, 96 S.Ct., at 1827. The Court also explained that, unless consumers are kept informed about the operations of the free market system, they cannot form "intelligent opinions as to how that system ought to be regulated or altered." *Ibid.* See also *id.,* at 765–766, 96 S.Ct., at 1827–1828, nn. 19–20. [1] The Court sharply rebuffed the State's argument that consumers would make irresponsible choices if they were able to choose between higher priced but higher quality pharmaceuticals accompanied by high quality prescription monitoring services resulting from a "stable pharmacist-customer relationshi[p]," *id.,* at 768, 96 S.Ct., at 1828, on the one hand, and cheaper but lower quality pharmaceuticals unaccompanied by such services, on the other:

. . . . .

> "There is, of course, an alternative to this highly paternalistic approach. That alternative is to assume that this information is not in itself harmful, that people will perceive their own best interests, if only they are well enough informed, and that the best means to that end is to open the channels of communication rather than to close them.... It is precisely this kind of choice, between the dangers of suppressing information, and the dangers of its misuse if it is freely available, that the *520 First Amendment makes for us. Virginia is free to require whatever professional standards it wishes of its pharmacists; it may subsidize them or protect them from competition in other ways. But it may not do so by keeping the public in ignorance of the entirely lawful terms that competing pharmacists are offering. In this sense, the justifications Virginia has offered for suppressing the flow of prescription drug price information, far from persuading us that the flow is not protected by the First Amendment, have reinforced our view that it is." *Id.,* at 769–770, 96 S.Ct., at 1829–1830 (citation omitted).

The Court opined that *false or misleading* advertising was not protected, on the grounds that the accuracy of advertising claims may be more readily verifiable than is the accuracy of political or other claims, and that "commercial" speech is made more durable by its profit motive. *Id.,* at 771, and n. 24, 96 S.Ct., at 1830, and n. 24. The Court also made clear that it did not envision protection for advertising that proposes an illegal transaction. *Id.,* at 772–773, 96 S.Ct., at 1831 (distinguishing *Pittsburgh Press Co. v.* ***1517 *Pittsburgh Comm'n on Human Relations,* 413 U.S. 376, 93 S.Ct. 2553,

L.Ed.2d 675 (1977) (applying test for suppressing political speech set forth in *Brandenburg v. Ohio,* 395 U.S. 444, 447, 89 S.Ct. 1827, 1829, 23 L.Ed.2d 430 (1969)).

At the same time, our early cases recognized that the State may regulate some types of commercial advertising more freely than other forms of protected speech. Specifically, **1506 we explained that the State may require commercial messages to "appear in such a form, or include such additional information, warnings, and disclaimers, as are necessary to prevent its being deceptive," *Virginia Bd. of Pharmacy,* 425 U.S., at 772, n. 24, 96 S.Ct., at 1831, n. 24, and that it may restrict some forms of aggressive sales practices that have the potential to exert "undue influence" over consumers, see *Bates v. State Bar of Ariz.,* 433 U.S. 350, 366, 97 S.Ct. 2691, 2700, 53 L.Ed.2d 810 (1977).

Virginia Bd. of Pharmacy attributed the State's authority to impose these regulations in part to certain "commonsense *499 differences" that exist between commercial messages and other types of protected expression. 425 U.S., at 771, n. 24, 96 S.Ct., at 1830, n. 24. Our opinion noted that the greater "objectivity" of commercial speech justifies affording the State more freedom to distinguish false commercial advertisements from true ones, *ibid.,* and that the greater "hardiness" of commercial speech, inspired as it is by the profit motive, likely diminishes the chilling effect that may attend its regulation, *ibid.*

Subsequent cases explained that the State's power to regulate commercial transactions justifies its concomitant power to regulate commercial speech that is "linked inextricably" to those transactions. *Friedman v. Rogers,* 440 U.S. 1, 10, n. 9, 99 S.Ct. 887, 894, n. 9, 59

between the right to speak and hear expression *about* goods and services and the right of government to regulate the sales *of* such goods and services." L. Tribe, American Constitutional Law § 12–15, p. 903 (2d ed.1988). Nevertheless, as we explained in *Linmark,* the State retains less regulatory authority when its commercial speech restrictions strike at "the substance of the information communicated" rather than the "commercial aspect of [it]—with offerors communicating offers to offerees." 431 U.S., at 96, 97 S.Ct., at 1620; *Carey v. Population Services Int'l,* 431 U.S., at 701, n. 28, 97 S.Ct., at 2025, n. 28.

In *Central Hudson Gas & Elec. Corp. v. Public Serv. Comm'n of N.Y.,* 447 U.S. 557, 100 S.Ct. 2343, 65 L.Ed.2d 341 (1980), we took stock of our developing commercial speech jurisprudence. In that case, we considered a regulation "completely" banning all promotional advertising by electric utilities. *Ibid.* Our decision acknowledged the special features of commercial speech but identified the serious First Amendment concerns that attend blanket advertising prohibitions that do not protect consumers from commercial harms.

[*500 Five Members of the Court recognized that the state interest in the conservation of energy was substantial, and that there was "an immediate connection between advertising and demand for electricity." *Id.,* at 569, 100 S.Ct., at 2353. Nevertheless, they concluded that the regulation was invalid because respondent commission had failed to make a showing that a more limited speech regulation would not have adequately served the State's interest. *Id.,* at 571, 100 S.Ct., at 2354. [9]

In reaching its conclusion, the majority explained that

screen from public view the underlying governmental policy." *Ibid.* As a result, the Court concluded that "special care" should attend the review of such blanket bans, and it pointedly remarked that "in recent years this Court has not approved a blanket ban on commercial speech unless the expression itself was flawed in some way, either because it was deceptive or related to unlawful activity." *Ibid.* [10]

*501 IV

3 As our review of the case law reveals, Rhode Island errs in concluding that *all* commercial speech regulations are subject to a similar form of constitutional review simply because they target a similar category of expression. The mere fact that messages propose commercial transactions does not in and of itself dictate the constitutional analysis that should apply to decisions to suppress them. See *Rubin v. Coors Brewing Co.,* 514 U.S., at 491–492, 115 S.Ct., at 1587–1588 (STEVENS, J., concurring in judgment).

4 When a State regulates commercial messages to protect consumers from misleading, deceptive, or aggressive sales practices, or requires the disclosure of beneficial consumer information, the purpose of its regulation is consistent with the reasons for according constitutional protection to commercial speech and therefore justifies less than strict review. However, when a State entirely prohibits the dissemination of truthful, nonmisleading commercial messages for reasons unrelated to the preservation of a fair bargaining process, there is far less reason to depart from the rigorous review that the First Amendment generally demands.

5 Sound reasons justify reviewing the latter type of commercial speech regulation more carefully. Most

in a market economy; the antipaternalistic premises of the First Amendment; the impropriety of manipulating consumer choices or public opinion through the suppression of accurate "commercial" information; the near impossibility of severing "commercial" speech from speech necessary to democratic decisionmaking; and the dangers of permitting the government to do covertly what it might not have been able to muster the political support to do openly. [2]

*521 In other decisions, however, the Court has appeared to accept the legitimacy of laws that suppress information in order to manipulate the choices of consumers—so long as the government could show that the manipulation was in fact successful. *Central Hudson Gas & Elec. Corp. v. Public Serv. Comm'n of N.Y.,* 447 U.S. 557, 100 S.Ct. 2343, 65 L.Ed.2d 341 (1980), was the first decision to clearly embrace this position, although the Court applied a very strict overbreadth analysis to strike down the advertising ban at issue. [3] In two other decisions, *Posadas de Puerto Rico Associates v. Tourism Co. of P.R.,* 478 U.S. 328, 106 S.Ct. 2968, 92 L.Ed.2d 266 (1986), and *United States v. Edge Broadcasting Co.,* 509 U.S. 418, 113 S.Ct. 2696, 125 L.Ed.2d 345 (1993), the Court simply presumed that advertising of a product or service leads to increased consumption; since, as in *Central Hudson,* the Court saw nothing impermissible in the government's suppressing information in order to discourage consumption, it upheld the advertising restrictions *522 in those cases. *Posadas, supra,* at 341–342, 106 S.Ct., at 2976–2977; *Edge, supra,* at 425, 433–434, 113 S.Ct., at 2706–2707.

The Court has at times appeared to assume that "commercial" speech could be censored in a variety of ways for any of a variety of reasons because, as was said

State Univ. of N.Y. v. Fox, 492 U.S. 469, 478, 109 S.Ct. 3028, 3033–3034, 106 L.Ed.2d 388 (1989); *Florida Bar v. Went For It, Inc.,* 515 U.S. 618, 623, 115 S.Ct. 2371, 2375–2376, 132 L.Ed.2d 541 (1995), or of "less constitutional moment," *Central Hudson, supra,* at 562–563, n. 5, 100 S.Ct., at 2349–2350, n. 5. But see *Cincinnati v. Discovery Network, Inc.,* 507 U.S. 410, 418–419, 113 S.Ct. 1505, 1510–1511, 123 L.Ed.2d 99 (1993) (rejecting this assertion); *id.,* at 431, 113 S.Ct., at 1517–1518 (Blackmun, J., concurring) (same). I do not see a philosophical or historical basis for asserting that "commercial" speech is of "lower value" than "noncommercial" speech. Indeed, some historical materials suggest to the contrary. See, *e.g., ante,* at 1504 (citing Franklin's Apology for Printers); *Ex parte Jackson,* 96 U.S. 727, 733, 24 L.Ed. 877 (1878) (dictum that Congress could not, consistent with freedom of the press, prevent the circulation of lottery advertising through methods other than the United States mail); see also *In re Rapier,* 143 U.S. 110, 134–135, 12 S.Ct. 374, 374–375, 36 L.Ed. 93 (1892) (continuing to assume that freedom of the press prevents Congress from prohibiting circulation of newspapers containing lottery advertisements); *Lewis Publishing Co. v. Morgan,* 229 U.S. 288, 315, 33 S.Ct. 867, 875, 57 L.Ed. 1190 (1913) (same); see generally Brief for American Advertising Federation et al. as *Amici Curiae* 12–24 (citing authorities for propositions that commercial activity and advertising were integral to life in colonial America and that Framers' political philosophy equated liberty and property and did not distinguish between commercial and noncommercial messages). Nor do I believe that the only explanations that the Court has ever advanced for treating "commercial" speech differently from other speech can justify restricting "commercial" speech in

I do not join the principal opinion's application of the *Central Hudson* balancing test because I do not believe that such a test should be applied to a restriction of "commercial" speech, at least when, as here, the asserted interest is one that is to be achieved through keeping would-be recipients of the speech in the dark. [5] Application of the advancement-of-state-interest prong of *Central Hudson* makes little sense to me in such circumstances. Faulting the State for failing to show that its price advertising ban decreases alcohol consumption "significantly," as Justice STEVENS does, *ante,* at 1510 (emphasis deleted), seems to imply that if the State had been *more successful* at keeping consumers ignorant and thereby decreasing their consumption, then the restriction might have been upheld. This contradicts *Virginia Bd. of* [*524 *Pharmacy's* rationale for protecting "commercial" speech in the first instance.

Both Justice STEVENS and Justice O'CONNOR appear to adopt a stricter, more categorical interpretation of the fourth prong of *Central Hudson* than that suggested in some of our other opinions, [6] one that could, as a practical matter, go a long way toward [**1519 the position I take. The State argues that keeping information about lower priced alcohol from consumers will tend to raise the total price of alcohol to consumers (defined as money price plus the costs of searching out lower priced alcohol, see Brief for Respondents 23), thus discouraging alcohol consumption. In their application of the fourth prong, both Justice STEVENS and Justice O'CONNOR hold that because the State can ban the sale of lower priced alcohol altogether by instituting minimum prices or levying taxes, it cannot ban advertising regarding lower priced liquor. Although the tenor of Justice O'CONNOR's opinion (and, to a lesser

a direct regulation (*i.e.*, a regulation involving no restriction on speech regarding lawful activity at all) would be an equally effective method of dampening demand by legal users. But it would seem that directly banning a product (or rationing it, taxing it, controlling its price, or otherwise restricting its sale in specific ways) would virtually always be at least as effective in discouraging consumption as merely restricting advertising regarding the product would be, and thus virtually all restrictions with such a purpose would fail the fourth prong of the *Central Hudson* test. [*525 This would be so even if the direct regulation is, in one sense, more restrictive of *conduct* generally. In this case, for example, adoption of minimum prices or taxes will mean that those who, under the current legal system, would have happened across cheap liquor or would have sought it out, will be forced to pay more. Similarly, a State seeking to discourage liquor sales would have to ban sales by convenience stores rather than banning convenience store liquor advertising; it would have to ban liquor sales after midnight, rather than banning advertising by late-night liquor sellers; and so on.

The upshot of the application of the fourth prong in the opinions of Justice STEVENS and of Justice O'CONNOR seems to be that the government may not, for the purpose of keeping would-be consumers ignorant and thus decreasing demand, restrict advertising regarding commercial transactions—or at least that it may not restrict advertising regarding commercial transactions except to the extent that it outlaws or otherwise directly restricts the same transactions within its own borders. [7] I welcome this outcome; but, [**1520 [*526 rather than "applying" the fourth prong of *Central Hudson* to reach the inevitable result that all or most such advertising

Although the Court took a sudden turn away from *Virginia Bd. of Pharmacy* in *Central Hudson,* it has never explained why manipulating the choices of consumers by keeping them ignorant is more legitimate when the ignorance is maintained through suppression of "commercial" speech than when the same ignorance is maintained through suppression of "noncommercial" speech. The courts, including this *527 Court, have found the *Central Hudson* "test" to be, as a general matter, very difficult to apply with any uniformity. [8] This may result in part from the inherently nondeterminative nature of a case-by-case balancing "test" unaccompanied by any categorical rules, and the consequent likelihood that individual judicial preferences will govern application of the test. [9] Moreover, the second prong of *Central Hudson,* as applied to the facts of that case and to those here, apparently *528 requires judges to delineate those situations in which citizens cannot be trusted with information, and invites judges to decide whether they themselves think that consumption of a product is harmful enough that it *should* be discouraged. [10] In my view, the *Central Hudson* test asks the courts to weigh incommensurables—the value of knowledge versus the value of ignorance—and to apply contradictory premises—that informed adults are the best judges of their own interests, and that they are not. Rather than continuing to apply a test that makes no sense to me when the asserted state interest is of the type involved here, I would return to the reasoning and holding of *Virginia Bd. of Pharmacy.* Under that decision, these restrictions fall.

Justice O'CONNOR, with whom THE CHIEF JUSTICE, Justice SOUTER, and Justice BREYER join, concurring in the judgment.

Rhode Island 22. I agree with the Court that Rhode Island's price-advertising ban is invalid. I would resolve this case more narrowly, however, by applying our established *Central Hudson* test to determine whether this commercial speech regulation survives First Amendment scrutiny.

Under that test, we first determine whether the speech at issue concerns lawful activity and is not misleading, and whether the asserted governmental interest is substantial. If both these conditions are met, we must decide whether the regulation "directly advances the governmental interest asserted, and whether it is not more extensive than is necessary to serve that interest." *Central Hudson Gas & Elec.* [*529] *Corp. v. Public Serv. Comm'n of N.Y.,* 447 U.S. 557, 566, 100 S.Ct. 2343, 2351, 65 L.Ed.2d 341 (1980).

Given the means by which this regulation purportedly serves the State's interest, our conclusion is plain: Rhode Island's regulation fails First Amendment scrutiny.

Both parties agree that the first two prongs of the *Central Hudson* test are met. Even if we assume, *arguendo,* that Rhode Island's regulation also satisfies the requirement that it directly advance the governmental interest, Rhode Island's regulation fails the final prong; that is, its ban is more extensive than necessary to serve the State's interest.

As we have explained, in order for a speech restriction to pass muster under the final prong, there must be a fit between the legislature's goal and method, "a fit that is not necessarily perfect, but reasonable; that represents not necessarily the single best disposition but one whose scope is in proportion to the interest served."

must be "narrowly tailored." *Ibid.* The scope of the restriction on speech must be reasonably, though it need not be perfectly, targeted to address the harm intended to be regulated. See *Florida Bar v. Went For It, Inc.,* 515 U.S. 618, 632–634, 115 S.Ct. 2371, 2380–2381, 132 L.Ed.2d 541 (1995). The State's regulation must indicate a "carefu[l] calculat[ion of] the costs and benefits associated with the burden on speech imposed by its prohibition." *Cincinnati v. Discovery Network, Inc.,* 507 U.S. 410, 417, 113 S.Ct. 1505, 1510, 123 L.Ed.2d 99 (1993) (internal quotation marks omitted). The availability of less burdensome alternatives to reach the stated goal signals that the fit between the legislature's ends and the means chosen to accomplish those ends may be too imprecise to withstand First Amendment scrutiny. See *Rubin v. Coors Brewing Co.,* 514 U.S. 476, 486–487, 115 S.Ct. 1585, 1591–1592, 131 L.Ed.2d 532 (1995); *Cincinnati, supra,* at 417, n. 13, 113 S.Ct., at 1510, n. 13. If alternative channels permit communication of the restricted speech, the regulation is [*530] more likely to be considered reasonable. See *Florida Bar, supra,* at 632–634, 115 S.Ct., at 2380–2381.

Rhode Island offers one, and only one, justification for its ban on price advertising. Rhode Island says that the ban is intended to keep alcohol prices high as a way to keep consumption low. By preventing sellers from informing customers of prices, the regulation prevents competition from driving prices down and requires consumers to spend more time to find the best price for alcohol. Brief for Respondent State of Rhode Island 22. The higher cost of obtaining alcohol, Rhode Island argues, will lead to reduced consumption.

The fit between Rhode Island's method and this particular goal is not reasonable. If the target is simply

intruding on sellers' ability to provide truthful, nonmisleading information to customers. Indeed, Rhode Island's own expert conceded that " 'the objective of lowering consumption of alcohol by [**1522] banning price advertising could be accomplished by establishing minimum prices and/or by increasing sales taxes on alcoholic beverages.' " 39 F.3d 5, 7 (C.A.1 1994). A tax, for example, is not normally very difficult to administer and would have a far more certain and direct effect on prices, without any restriction on speech. The principal opinion suggests further alternatives, such as limiting per capita purchases or conducting an educational campaign about the dangers of alcohol consumption. *Ante,* at 1510. The ready availability of such alternatives—at least some of which would far more effectively achieve Rhode Island's only professed goal, at comparatively small additional administrative cost—demonstrates that the fit between ends and means is not narrowly tailored. Too, this regulation prevents sellers of alcohol from communicating price information anywhere but at the point of purchase. No channels [*531] exist at all to permit them to publicize the price of their products.

Respondents point for support to *Posadas de Puerto Rico Associates v. Tourism Co. of P.R.,* 478 U.S. 328, 106 S.Ct. 2968, 92 L.Ed.2d 266 (1986), where, applying the *Central Hudson* test, we upheld the constitutionality of a Puerto Rico law that prohibited the advertising of casino gambling aimed at residents of Puerto Rico, but permitted such advertising aimed at tourists.

The Court there accepted as reasonable the legislature's belief that the regulation would be effective, and concluded that, because the restriction affected only advertising of casino gambling aimed at residents of

restriction. Respondents ask us to make a similar presumption here to uphold the validity of Rhode Island's law.

It is true that *Posadas* accepted as reasonable, without further inquiry, Puerto Rico's assertions that the regulations furthered the government's interest and were no more extensive than necessary to serve that interest. Since *Posadas,* however, this Court has examined more searchingly the State's professed goal, and the speech restriction put into place to further it, before accepting a State's claim that the speech restriction satisfies First Amendment scrutiny. See, *e.g., Florida Bar v. Went For It, Inc., supra; Rubin v. Coors Brewing Co., supra; Ibanez v. Florida Dept. of Business and Professional Regulation, Bd. of Accountancy,* 512 U.S. 136, 114 S.Ct. 2084, 129 L.Ed.2d 118 (1994); *Edenfield v. Fane,* 507 U.S. 761, 113 S.Ct. 1792, 123 L.Ed.2d 543 (1993); *Cincinnati v. Discovery Network, Inc., supra.* In each of these cases we declined to accept at face value the proffered justification for the State's regulation, but examined carefully the relationship between the asserted goal and the speech restriction used to reach that goal. The closer look that we have required since *Posadas* comports better with the purpose of [*532] the analysis set out in *Central Hudson,* by requiring the State to show that the speech restriction directly advances its interest and is narrowly tailored. Under such a closer look, Rhode Island's price-advertising ban clearly fails to pass muster.

Because Rhode Island's regulation fails even the less stringent standard set out in *Central Hudson,* nothing here requires adoption of a new analysis for the evaluation of commercial speech regulation. The principal opinion acknowledges that "even under the

further, I would not here undertake the question whether the test we have employed since *Central Hudson* should be displaced.

Respondents argue that an additional factor, the Twenty-first Amendment, tips the First Amendment analysis in Rhode Island's favor.

The Twenty-first Amendment repealed the prohibition on the manufacture, sale, or transportation of intoxicating liquors that **1523 had been established by the Eighteenth Amendment. Section 2 of the Twenty-first Amendment created an exception to the normal operation of the Commerce Clause, to permit States to prohibit commerce in, or the use of, alcoholic beverages. *Craig v. Boren,* 429 U.S. 190, 206, 97 S.Ct. 451, 461–462, 50 L.Ed.2d 397 (1976).

In its examination of Rhode Island's statute, the Court of Appeals erroneously concluded that the Twenty-first Amendment provided an "added presumption in favor of the validity of the state regulation." 39 F.3d, at 7–9 (internal quotation marks omitted). The Twenty-first Amendment cannot save an otherwise invalid restriction on speech.

Nothing in the Amendment's text or history justifies its use to alter the application of the First Amendment. "[O]ur prior cases have made clear that the [Twenty-first] Amendment *533 does not license the States to ignore their obligations under other provisions of the Constitution." *Capital Cities Cable, Inc. v. Crisp,* 467 U.S. 691, 712, 104 S.Ct. 2694, 2707, 81 L.Ed.2d 580 (1984). See also *Larkin v. Grendel's Den, Inc.,* 459 U.S. 116, 122, n. 5, 103 S.Ct. 505, 510, n. 5, 74 L.Ed.2d 297 (1982) ("The State may not exercise its power under the Twenty-first Amendment in a way which impinges upon the

or use of liquor is concerned" (internal quotation marks omitted)). The Twenty-first Amendment does not trump First Amendment rights or add a presumption of validity to a regulation that cannot otherwise satisfy First Amendment requirements.

The Court of Appeals relied on *California v. LaRue,* 409 U.S. 109, 118–119, 93 S.Ct. 390, 397, 34 L.Ed.2d 342 (1972), for its determination that the Twenty-first Amendment provided an "added presumption" of the regulation's validity. There, this Court upheld a State's regulations prohibiting establishments licensed to sell liquor by the drink from offering explicitly sexual entertainment. As we recently explained in *Coors,* "*LaRue* did not involve commercial speech about alcohol, but instead concerned the regulation of nude dancing in places where alcohol was served." 514 U.S., at 483, n. 2, 115 S.Ct., at 1590, n. 2. The cases following *LaRue* similarly involved the regulation of nude or nearly nude dancing in establishments licensed to serve alcohol. *New York State Liquor Authority v. Bellanca,* 452 U.S. 714, 101 S.Ct. 2599, 69 L.Ed.2d 357 (1981) *(per curiam); Newport v. Iacobucci,* 479 U.S. 92, 107 S.Ct. 383, 93 L.Ed.2d 334 (1986) *(per curiam).* Nothing in *LaRue* suggested that the Twenty-first Amendment would permit a State to prohibit the kind of speech at issue here, and as discussed above, the text and history of the Twenty-first Amendment clearly indicate that the Amendment was *not* intended to supplant the general application of constitutional provisions, except for its limited exception to the Commerce Clause's normal [*534 operation. Indeed, *LaRue* notes that prior decisions "did not go so far as to hold or say that the Twenty-first Amendment supersedes all other provisions of the United States Constitution in the area

consumption low, cannot survive First Amendment scrutiny. The Twenty-first Amendment cannot save this otherwise invalid regulation. While I agree with the Court's finding that the regulation is invalid, I would decide that issue on narrower grounds. I therefore concur in the judgment.

All Citations

517 U.S. 484, 116 S.Ct. 1495, 134 L.Ed.2d 711, 64 USLW 4313, 24 Media L. Rep. 1673, 96 Cal. Daily Op. Serv. 3338, 96 Daily Journal D.A.R. 5459

Footnotes

* The syllabus constitutes no part of the opinion of the Court but has been prepared by the Reporter of Decisions for the convenience of the reader. See *United States v. Detroit Lumber Co.,* 200 U.S. 321, 337, 26 S.Ct. 282, 287, 50 L.Ed. 499.

1 Although the text of the First Amendment states that "Congress shall make no law ... abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press," the Amendment applies to the States under the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. See *Board of Ed., Island Trees Union Free School Dist. No. 26 v. Pico,* 457 U.S. 853, 855, n. 1, 102 S.Ct. 2799, 2802, n. 1, 73 L.Ed.2d 435 (1982); *Grosjean v. American Press Co.,* 297 U.S. 233, 244, 56 S.Ct. 444, 446–447, 80 L.Ed. 660 (1936); *Gitlow v. New York,* 268 U.S. 652, 666, 45 S.Ct. 625, 629–630, 69 L.Ed. 1138 (1925).

2 Rhode Island Gen. Laws § 3–8–7 (1987) provides:

> "Advertising price of malt beverages, cordials, wine or distilled liquor.—No manufacturer, wholesaler, or shipper from without this state and no holder of a license issued under the provisions of this title and chapter shall cause or permit the advertising in any manner

premises in accordance with rules and regulations of the department."

Regulation 32 of the Rules and Regulations of the Liquor Control Administrator provides that no placard or sign that is visible from the exterior of a package store may make any reference to the price of any alcoholic beverage. App. 2 to Brief for Petitioners.

3 Rhode Island Gen. Laws § 3–8–8.1 (1987) provides:

"Price advertising by media or advertising companies unlawful.—No newspaper, periodical, radio or television broadcaster or broadcasting company or any other person, firm or corporation with a principal place of business in the state of Rhode Island which is engaged in the business of advertising or selling advertising time or space shall accept, publish, or broadcast any advertisement in this state of the price or make reference to the price of any alcoholic beverages. Any person who shall violate any of the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor...." The statute authorizes the liquor control administrator to exempt trade journals from its coverage. *Ibid.*

4 "We also have little difficulty in finding that the asserted governmental interests, herein described as the promotion of temperance and the reasonable control of the traffic in alcoholic beverages, are substantial. We note, parenthetically, that the word 'temperance' is oftentimes mistaken as a synonym for 'abstinence.' It is not. Webster's Third New International Dictionary (1961) defines 'temperance' as 'moderation in or abstinence from the use of intoxicating drink.' The Rhode Island Legislature has the authority, derived from the state's inherent police power, to enact a variety of laws designed to suppress intemperance or to minimize the acknowledged evils of liquor traffic. Thus, there can be no question that these asserted interests are indeed substantial. *Oklahoma Telecasters Association v. Crisp,* 699 F.2d at 500." *S & S Liquor Mart, Inc. v. Pastore,* 497 A.2d, at 733–734.

In her dissent in *Rhode Island Liquor Stores Assn. v. Evening Call Pub. Co.,* 497 A.2d 331 (R.I.1985), Justice Murray suggested that the advertising ban was motivated, at least in part, by an interest in protecting

5 The plaintiff in that case is a respondent in this case and has filed other actions enforcing the price advertising ban. See *id.*, at 333.

6 In *Dunagin v. Oxford,* 718 F.2d 738 (1983), the Fifth Circuit distinguished our summary action in *Queensgate* in considering the constitutionality of a sweeping state restriction on outdoor liquor advertising. The court explained that *Queensgate* did not control because it involved a far narrower alcohol advertising regulation. *Id.,* at 745–746. By contrast, in *Oklahoma Telecasters Assn. v. Crisp,* 699 F.2d 490, 495–497 (1983), rev'd on other grounds *sub nom. Capital Cities Cable, Inc. v. Crisp,* 467 U.S. 691, 697, 104 S.Ct. 2694, 2699, 81 L.Ed.2d 580 (1984), the Tenth Circuit relied on *Queensgate* in considering a prohibition against broadcasting alcohol advertisements. The Court of Appeals concluded that *Queensgate* stood for the proposition that the Twenty-first Amendment gives the State greater authority to regulate liquor advertising than the First Amendment would otherwise allow. 699 F.2d, at 495–497.

Other than the two Rhode Island Supreme Court decisions upholding the constitutionality of the statutes at issue in this case, only one published state court opinion has considered our summary action in *Queensgate* in passing on a liquor advertising restriction. See *Michigan Beer & Wine Wholesalers Assn. v. Attorney General,* 142 Mich.App. 294, 370 N.W.2d 328 (1985). There, the Michigan Court of Appeals concluded that *Queensgate* did not control because it involved a far narrower restriction on liquor advertising than the one that Michigan had imposed. 142 Mich.App., at 304–305, 370 N.W.2d, at 333–335.

7 By contrast, the First Amendment does not protect commercial speech about unlawful activities. See *Pittsburgh Press Co. v. Pittsburgh Comm'n on Human Relations,* 413 U.S. 376, 93 S.Ct. 2553, 37 L.Ed.2d 669 (1973).

8 See *Bates v. State Bar of Ariz.,* 433 U.S. 350, 355, 97 S.Ct. 2691, 2694, 53 L.Ed.2d 810 (1977) (ban on lawyer advertising); *Carey v. Population Services Int'l,* 431 U.S. 678, 700, 97 S.Ct. 2010, 2024, 52 L.Ed.2d 675 (1977)

advertising). Although *Linmark* involved a prohibition against a particular means of advertising the sale of one's home, we treated the restriction as if it were a complete ban because it did not leave open "satisfactory" alternative channels of communication. 431 U.S., at 92–94, 97 S.Ct., at 1618–1619.

9 In other words, the regulation failed the fourth step in the four-part inquiry that the majority announced in its opinion. It wrote:

"In commercial speech cases, then, a four-part analysis has developed. At the outset, we must determine whether the expression is protected by the First Amendment. For commercial speech to come within that provision, it at least must concern lawful activity and not be misleading. Next, we ask whether the asserted governmental interest is substantial. If both inquiries yield positive answers, we must determine whether the regulation directly advances the governmental interest asserted, and whether it is not more extensive than is necessary to serve that interest." *Central Hudson,* 447 U.S., at 566, 100 S.Ct., at 2351.

10 The Justices concurring in the judgment adopted a somewhat broader view. They expressed "doubt whether suppression of information concerning the availability and price of a legally offered product is ever a permissible way for the State to 'dampen' the demand for or use of the product." *Id.,* at 574, 100 S.Ct., at 2356. Indeed, Justice Blackmun believed that even "though 'commercial' speech is involved, such a regulation strikes at the heart of the First Amendment." *Ibid.*

11 "Florida permits lawyers to advertise on prime-time television and radio as well as in newspapers and other media. They may rent space on billboards. They may send untargeted letters to the general population, or to discrete segments thereof. There are, of course, pages upon pages devoted to lawyers in the Yellow Pages of Florida telephone directories. These listings are organized alphabetically and by area of specialty. See generally Rule 4–7.2(a), Rules Regulating The Florida Bar ('[A] lawyer may advertise services through public media, such as a telephone directory, legal directory, newspaper or

12 In *Discovery Network,* we held that the city's categorical ban on commercial newsracks attached too much importance to the distinction between commercial and noncommercial speech. After concluding that the esthetic and safety interests served by the newsrack ban bore no relationship whatsoever to the prevention of commercial harms, we rejected the State's attempt to justify its ban on the sole ground that it targeted commercial speech. See 507 U.S., at 428, 113 S.Ct., at 1516.

13 This case bears out the point. Rhode Island seeks to reduce alcohol consumption by increasing alcohol price; yet its means of achieving that goal deprives the public of their chief source of information about the reigning price level of alcohol. As a result, the State's price advertising ban keeps the public ignorant of the key barometer of the ban's effectiveness: the alcohol beverages' prices.

14 Before the District Court, the State argued that it sought to reduce consumption among irresponsible drinkers. App. 67. In its brief to this Court, it equates its interest in promoting temperance with an interest in reducing alcohol consumption among all drinkers. See, *e.g.,* Brief for Respondents 28. The Rhode Island Supreme Court has characterized the State's interest in "promoting temperance" as both "the state's interest in reducing the consumption of liquor," *S & S Liquor Mart, Inc. v. Pastore,* 497 A.2d 729, 734 (1985), and the State's interest in discouraging "excessive consumption of alcoholic beverages," *id.,* at 735. A state statute declares the ban's purpose to be "the promotion of temperance and for the reasonable control of the traffic in alcoholic beverages." R.I. Gen. Laws § 3–1–5 (1987).

15 See, *e.g., Business Electronics Corp. v. Sharp Electronics Corp.,* 485 U.S. 717, 735, 108 S.Ct. 1515, 1525, 99 L.Ed.2d 808 (1988) (considering restriction on price advertising as evidence of Sherman Act violation); *United States v. Sealy, Inc.,* 388 U.S. 350, 355, 87 S.Ct. 1847, 1851, 18 L.Ed.2d 1238 (1967) (same); *Blackburn v. Sweeney,* 53 F.3d 825, 828 (C.A.7 1995) (considering restrictions on the location of advertising as evidence of Sherman Act violation).

by those consumers, will increase in the ban's absence. Indeed, the State's own expert conceded that "plaintiffs' expectation of realizing additional profits through price advertising has no necessary relationship to increased overall consumption." 829 F.Supp., at 549.

Moreover, we attach little significance to the fact that some studies suggest that people budget the amount of money that they will spend on alcohol. 39 F.3d 5, 7 (C.A.1 1994). These studies show only that, in a competitive market, people will tend to search for the cheapest product in order to meet their budgets. The studies do not suggest that the amount of money budgeted for alcohol consumption will remain fixed in the face of a marketwide price increase.

17 Although the Court of Appeals concluded that the regulation directly advanced the State's interest, it did not dispute the District Court's conclusion that the evidence suggested that, at most, a price advertising ban would have a marginal impact on overall alcohol consumption. *Id.,* at 7–8; cf. *Michigan Beer & Wine Wholesalers Assn. v. Attorney General,* 142 Mich.App., at 311, 370 N.W.2d, at 336 (explaining that "any additional impact on the level of consumption attributable to the absence of price advertisements would be negligible").

18 Outside the First Amendment context, we have refused to uphold alcohol advertising bans premised on similarly speculative assertions about their impact on consumption. See *Capital Cities Cable, Inc. v. Crisp,* 467 U.S., at 715–716, 104 S.Ct., at 2708–2709 (holding ban pre-empted by Federal Communications Commission regulations); *California Retail Liquor Dealers Assn. v. Midcal Aluminum, Inc.,* 445 U.S. 97, 100 S.Ct. 937, 63 L.Ed.2d 233 (1980) (holding ban violated the Sherman Act). It would be anomalous if the First Amendment were more tolerant of speech bans than federal regulations and statutes.

19 "Give a man a fish, and you feed him for a day. Teach a man to fish, and you feed him for a lifetime." The International Thesaurus of Quotations 646 (compiled by R. Tripp 1970).

to obscene or fighting words that expressed a point of view with which the government disagrees. *R.A.V. v. St. Paul,* 505 U.S. 377, 112 S.Ct. 2538, 120 L.Ed.2d 305 (1992). Similarly, in *Cincinnati v. Discovery Network, Inc.,* 507 U.S. 410, 113 S.Ct. 1505, 123 L.Ed.2d 99 (1993), we assumed that States could prevent all newsracks from being placed on public sidewalks, but nevertheless concluded that they could not ban only those newsracks that contained certain commercial publications. *Id.,* at 428, 113 S.Ct., at 1516.

21 "Section 2. The transportation or importation into any State, Territory, or possession of the United States for delivery or use therein of intoxicating liquors, in violation of the laws thereof, is hereby prohibited." U.S. Const., Amdt. 21, § 2.

22 The State also relies on two *per curiam* opinions that followed the Twenty-first Amendment analysis set forth in *LaRue.* See *New York State Liquor Authority v. Bellanca,* 452 U.S. 714, 101 S.Ct. 2599, 69 L.Ed.2d 357 (1981), and *Newport v. Iacobucci,* 479 U.S. 92, 107 S.Ct. 383, 93 L.Ed.2d 334 (1986).

1 Accord, *Virginia Bd. of Pharmacy,* 425 U.S., at 780, n. 8, 96 S.Ct., at 1835, n. 8 (Stewart, J., concurring) (information about price and products conveyed by advertising may stimulate thought and debate about political questions).

2 See *Linmark Associates, Inc. v. Willingboro,* 431 U.S. 85, 96–97, 97 S.Ct. 1614, 1620, 52 L.Ed.2d 155 (1977); *Bates v. State Bar of Ariz.,* 433 U.S. 350, 364–365, 368–369, 374–375, 376–377, 97 S.Ct. 2691, 2699–2700, 2701, 2704, 2705, 53 L.Ed.2d 810 (1977); *Friedman v. Rogers,* 440 U.S. 1, 8–9, 99 S.Ct. 887, 893–894, 59 L.Ed.2d 100 (1979); *id.,* at 23–24, 99 S.Ct., at 901 (Blackmun, J., for two Justices, concurring in part and dissenting in part); *Central Hudson Gas & Elec. Corp. v. Public Serv. Comm'n of N. Y.,* 447 U.S. 557, 561–562, 100 S.Ct. 2343, 2349, 65 L.Ed.2d 341 (1980); *id.,* at 566, n. 9, 100 S.Ct., at 2351, n. 9; *id.,* at 575, 100 S.Ct., at 2356 (Blackmun, J., joined by Brennan, J., concurring in judgment); *id.,* at 581, 100 S.Ct., at 2359 (STEVENS, J., also joined by Brennan, J., concurring in judgment); *Bolger v. Youngs Drug Products Corp.,* 463 U.S. 60, 79, 103 S.Ct. 2875, 2887, 77 L.Ed.2d 469 (1983)

421–422, n. 17, 113 S.Ct. 1505, 1512–1513, n. 17, 123 L.Ed.2d 99 (1993); *id.,* at 432, 113 S.Ct., at 1518 (Blackmun, J., concurring); *Edenfield v. Fane,* 507 U.S. 761, 767, 770, 113 S.Ct. 1792, 1798, 1800, 123 L.Ed.2d 543 (1993); *United States v. Edge Broadcasting Co.,* 509 U.S. 418, 437–439, and nn. 1, 3, 4, 113 S.Ct. 2696, 2709, and nn. 1, 3, 4, 125 L.Ed.2d 345 (1993) (STEVENS, J., for two Justices, dissenting); *Ibanez v. Florida Dept. of Business and Professional Regulation, Bd. of Accountancy,* 512 U.S. 136, 142–143, 114 S.Ct. 2084, 2088–2089, 129 L.Ed.2d 118 (1994); *Rubin v. Coors Brewing Co.,* 514 U.S. 476, 481–482, 115 S.Ct. 1585, 1589, 131 L.Ed.2d 532 (1995); *id.,* at 492–493, 494, 115 S.Ct., at 1594–1595, 1597 (STEVENS, J., concurring in judgment); *Florida Bar v. Went For It, Inc.,* 515 U.S. 618, 639–640, 644–645, 115 S.Ct. 2371, 2383–2384, 2385–2386, 132 L.Ed.2d 541 (1995) (KENNEDY, J., for four Justices, dissenting).

3 The Court found that although the total effect of the advertising ban would be to decrease consumption, the advertising ban impermissibly extended to some advertising that itself might not increase consumption. *Central Hudson, supra,* 447 U.S., at 569–571, 100 S.Ct., at 2353–2354.

4 As noted above, the asserted rationales for differentiating "commercial" speech from other speech are (1) that the truth of "commercial" speech is supposedly more verifiable, and (2) that "commercial speech, the offspring of economic self-interest" is supposedly a "hardy breed of expression that is not particularly susceptible to being crushed by overbroad regulation." *Central Hudson, supra,* at 564, n. 6, 100 S.Ct., at 2350, n. 6 (internal quotation marks omitted). The degree to which these rationales truly justify treating "commercial" speech differently from other speech (or indeed, whether the requisite distinction can even be drawn) is open to question, in my view. See Kozinski & Banner, Who's Afraid of Commercial Speech, 76 Va. L.Rev. 627, 634–638 (1990) (questioning basis for drawing distinction); *id.,* at 638–650 (questioning coherence of distinction). In any event, neither of these rationales provides any basis for permitting government to keep citizens ignorant as a means of manipulating their choices in the commercial or political marketplace.

6 *E.g., Cincinnati v. Discovery Network,* 507 U.S., at 417, n. 1, 113 S.Ct., at 1510, n. 1 (commercial speech restrictions impermissible if alternatives are "numerous" and obvious).

7 The two most obvious situations in which no equally effective direct regulation will be available for discouraging consumption (and thus, the two situations in which the Court and I might differ on the outcome) are: (1) When a law directly regulating conduct would violate the Constitution (*e.g.,* because the item is constitutionally protected), or (2) when the sale is to occur outside the State's borders.

As to the first situation: Although the Court's application of the fourth prong today does not specifically foreclose regulations or bans of advertising regarding items that cannot constitutionally be banned, it would seem strange to hold that the government's power to interfere with transmission of information regarding these items, in order to dampen demand for them, is more extensive than its power to restrict, for the same purpose, advertising of items that are not constitutionally protected. Cf. *Bigelow v. Virginia,* 421 U.S. 809, 822, 95 S.Ct. 2222, 2232–2233, 44 L.Ed.2d 600 (1975).

As to the second situation: When a State seeks to dampen consumption by its citizens of products or services outside its borders, it does not have the option of direct regulation. Here, a respondent correctly points out that alternatives such as taxes will *not* be effective in discouraging sales to Rhode Island residents of lower priced alcohol outside the State, see Brief for Respondent Rhode Island Liquor Stores Association 27; yet the Court strikes down the ban against price advertising even as applied to out-of-state liquor sellers such as petitioner Peoples Super Liquor Stores. Perhaps Justice STEVENS and Justice O'CONNOR would distinguish a situation in which a State had actually banned sales of lower priced alcohol within the State and had then, through a ban of advertising by out-of-state sellers, sought to keep residents ignorant of the fact that lower priced alcohol was legally available in other States. Cf. *United States v. Edge Broadcasting Co.,* 509 U.S. 418, 113 S.Ct. 2696, 125 L.Ed.2d 345 (1993). See *ante,* at 1510–1511.

The outcome in *Edge* may well be in conflict with the

products or services to be purchased legally outside a State that has itself banned or regulated the same purchases within the State is not squarely presented in this case, I will not address here whether the decision in *Edge* can be reconciled with the position I take today.

8 See, *e.g.,* Kozinski & Banner, 76 Va. L.Rev., at 630–631 (citing cases); Wright, Freedom and Culture: Why We Should Not Buy Commercial Speech, 72 Denver U.L.Rev. 137, 162–166 (1994) (citing cases); Kasakove, *New York State Association of Realtors, Inc. v. Shaffer:* When the Second Circuit Chooses Between Free Speech and Fair Housing, Who Wins?, 61 Brooklyn L.Rev. 397, 409–410, and nn. 71, 73, 418 (1995); Note, *Dunagin v. City of Oxford:* Mississippi's Suppression of Liquor Advertising, 63 Detroit L.Rev. 175, 184–187 (1985); Faille, Spinning the Roulette Wheel: Commercial Speech and Philosophical Cogency, Fed. B.N. & J. 58, 60–62 (1994); Margulies, Connecticut's Free Speech Clauses: A Framework and an Agenda, 65 Conn. Bar J. 437, 440, n. 20 (1991) (citing cases).

9 The third prong of *Central Hudson* is far from a mechanical one. In *Posadas, Edge,* and other cases, the Court has presumed that advertising bans decrease consumption. Here, by contrast, the principal opinion demands *proof* of a "significant" decrease in consumption, and finds it lacking. But petitioners' own expert testified at one point that, taking into account disposable income, price was a "potent" influence on alcohol consumption, see App. 79; and the American Medical Association had apparently concluded that advertising of alcohol in general increased total alcohol consumption sufficiently to make a ban on advertising worthwhile, see *44 Liquor Mart, Inc. v. Racine,* 829 F.Supp. 543, 548 (D.R.I.1993). A court more inclined to uphold the ban here could have pointed to these facts in support.

The courts have also had difficulty applying the fourth prong because the outcome has depended upon the level of generality with which the interest was described. See Faille, *supra,* at 58, 60. If today's strict application of the fourth prong survives, it will clarify the prong's application in a large number of cases, since, as noted above, it will simply invalidate most restrictions in which the government attempts to manipulate consumption through enforced ignorance

End of Document

© 2019 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.

Related documents

Selected topics | Secondary Sources | Briefs | Trial Court Documents

- Freedom of Speech, Expression, and Press
  - Reasonable Regulation of Commercial Speech
  - Commercial Speech Merits First Amendment Protection

EXHIBIT 12

DECLARATION OF DAVID FRESELLI

I, David Freselli, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am the night manager for the Hideaway Café located at 3700 Main Street, Riverside, California.

2. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and if called as a witness could competently testify thereto.

3. The first time I meet Pete Elliot ("Elliot") of the Riverside Police Department to discuss the Hideaway Café, Elliot informed me that the problem with the Hideaway Café is "the blacks".

4. During a meeting with Mike Crawford ("Crawford") and Lt. Townsend of the Riverside Police Department to discuss the Hideaway Café, Crawford admitted to me that he himself had previously stated that the problem with the Hideaway is "the blacks". Following Crawford's admission, Townsend said nothing.

5. During the same meeting described in paragraph 4, Crawford complimented the Hideaway and stated that it had "improved" its operations during the time he was assigned to his position.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the forgoing is true and correct.

Dated: 4/17/19

David Freselli

EXHIBIT 13

DECLARATION OF KENNETH CRAIG JOHNSTON

I, Kenneth Craig Johnston, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am a corporate officer of Mission Impossible Antiques, Inc. which owns and operates the Hideaway Café located at 3700 Main Street, Riverside, California.

2. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and if called as a witness could competently testify thereto.

3. During the time period in which Ward 1 Councilman, Mike Gardner, was running for Mayor of the City of Riverside, he stated to me personally that the problem with the Hideaway Café was that it "serviced the bottom feeders". My understanding of Mr. Gardner's statement was that he was disparaging the Hideaway's patronage, which is predominantly African-American.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the forgoing is true and correct.

Dated: 4-17-19

Kenneth Craig Johnston

EXHIBIT 14

Crane, Stephen

From: Miller, Chay
Sent: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 12:16 PM
To: Crane, Stephen
Cc: ExecutiveStaff
Subject: ABC App for Back Alley
Attachments: ABC App Back Alley.pdf

FYI

Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control

State of California

APPLICATION FOR ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE(S)

ABC 211 (6/99)

TO: Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control
3737 MAIN ST
STE 900
RIVERSIDE, CA 92501
(951) 782-4400

File Number: 594531
Receipt Number: 2504351
Geographical Code: 3608
Copies Mailed Date: June 4, 2018
Issued Date:

DISTRICT SERVING LOCATION: **RIVERSIDE**

First Owner: **FRESELLI , DAVID JOSEPH**

Name of Business: **BACK ALLEY**

Location of Business: **113 N 5TH ST**
REDLANDS, CA 92373-4735

County: **SAN BERNARDINO**

Is Premise inside city limits? **Yes** Census Tract **0081.00**

Mailing Address: (If different from premises address) **2292 ADRIENNE AVE**
CORONA, CA 92882

Type of license(s): **47**

Transferor's license/name: **471234 / BRANDONS DINER INC** Dropping Partner: Yes___ No X

License Type	Transaction Type	Fee Type	Master	Dup	Date	Fee
47 - On-Sale General Eating	PERSON-TO-PERSON TRANSFER	P40	Y	0	06/04/18	$1,250.00
47 - On-Sale General Eating	PREMISE TO PREMISE TRANSFER	P40	Y	0	06/04/18	$100.00
47 - On-Sale General Eating	ANNUAL FEE	P40	Y	0	06/04/18	$976.00
NA	FEDERAL FINGERPRINTS	NA	N	1	06/04/18	$24.00
NA	STATE FINGERPRINTS	NA	N	1	06/04/18	$39.00
					Total	$2,389.00

Have you ever been convicted of a felony? No

Have you ever violated any provisions of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Act, or regulations of the Department pertaining to the Act? No

Explain any "Yes" answer to the above questions on an attachment which shall be deemed part of this application

Applicant agrees (a) that any manager employed in an on-sale licensed premises will have all the qualifications of a licensee, and (b) that he will not violate or cause or permit to be violated any of the provisions of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Act.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA County of SAN BERNARDINO Date: June 4, 2018

Under penalty of perjury, each person whose signature appears below, certifies and says: (1) He is an applicant, or one of the applicants, or an executive officer of the applicant corporation, named in the foregoing application, duly authorized to make this application on its behalf; (2) that he has read the foregoing and knows the contents thereof and that each of the above statements therein made are true, (3) that no person other than the applicant or applicants has any direct or indirect interest in the applicant or applicant's business to be conducted under the license(s) for which this application is made; (4) that the transfer application or proposed transfer is not made to satisfy the payment of a loan or to fulfill an agreement entered into more than ninety (90) days preceding the day on which the transfer application is filed with the Department or to gain or establish a preference to or for any creditor or transferor or to defraud or injure any creditor of transferor; (5) that the transfer application may be withdrawn by either the applicant or the licensee with no resulting liability to the Department.

Effective July 1, 2012, Revenue and Taxation Code Section 7057, authorizes the State Board of Equalization and the Franchise Tax Board to share taxpayer information with Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control. The Department may suspend, revoke, and refuse to issue a license if the licensee's name appears in the 500 largest tax delinquencies list. (Business and Professions Code Section 494.5.)

Applicant Name(s) Applicant Signature(s)

See 211 Signature Page

FRESELLI , DAVID JOSEPH

ABC - 227 CORRECTED TO FOLLOW
ABC-211-A
ABC-211-SIG

Crane, Stephen

From: Martinez, Travis
Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2018 4:50 PM
To: NE Martinez
Cc: Catren, Chris; Boatman, Chris; drains@cityofredlands.org; Nelia Bendana (nbendana@cityofredlands.org); Miller, Chay; Crane, Stephen; Erik Reeves (ereeves2 @cityofredlands.org); Boatman, Chris; Jimmy Nguyen (jnguyen@cityofredlands.org)
Subject: FW: Mayor's Phone Call Request

Enrique,

Today, at the request of Jimmy Nguyen, I contacted the Mayor via his home phone (see below email). The Mayor had two concerns that he would like information on. Per your past request, I am sending you the information he has requested.

1) Apparently, John Darby and Billy from the State were complaining about a BMA that has been removing trash from the trash cans and throwing it all around Downtown. Last night, Erik Reeves had reached out to me regarding the same concern but his people were telling him that it was actually Crystal Hendrickson (known female transient) that was throwing trash all over. Erik stated Staff has spent about 87 hours cleaning up after her. It just so happens that we arrested Crystal yesterday for indecent exposure after she stripped down and sat outside the Annex. She was transported to county jail but has since been released. I suspect she will make her way back to Redlands. In any event, our camera operators will be keeping an eye out for both her and the BMA. I did contact both John Darby and Billy and updated them. They were appreciative and will have their people contact us if they witness any crimes by the BMA or Crystal.
2) The Mayor heard that the new owner of the proposed bar (Back Alley) that is moving into the location where Augie's Coffee Shop was located also owns the Hideaway Café in downtown Riverside. The Mayor had heard that the Hideaway Café was a significant source of calls for service for Riverside PD. I contacted a lieutenant at Riverside PD who I have worked with in the past and trust. He advises the Hideaway Café has been a significant drain on police resources. According to the lieutenant, there have been shootings and stabbings at the location. The business does not have a dress code, sells very cheap drinks, and routinely has gangster rap artists attend his business. Last year, Riverside PD busted a bartender for selling drugs at the location. The owner (Craig unknown last name) fights every violation, often filing Pitchess Motions for the most minor violations. The lieutenant said that all of the nearby bar owners are complaining due to the way Craig runs the business.

   With this information, we contacted ABC and determined the owner will need to obtain a PCN (Public Convenience Necessity) due to oversaturation (If you recall, Escape had to obtain a PCN if they were going to open up the Downtown brewery). The name on the ABC license notice posted in the window of Augie's is David Joseph Freselli. Often, if people are not in good standing with ABC, they will apply for ABC permits using a business associate's name. I have sent this to you prior to obtaining all of the information so that other City departments can start looking into the matter and be aware of what may be coming down. When the request for a PCN comes through the PD, we will do our due diligence and make the appropriate recommendation.

   Please let me know if you have any questions.

Travis Martinez
Assistant Chief of Police
Redlands Police Department
909-557-6583(cell)

909-798-7675 (Fax)
www.cityofredlands.org/police



From: Jimmy Nguyen [mailto:jnguyen@cityofredlands.org]
Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2018 12:08 PM
To: Martinez, Travis
Subject: Mayor's Phone Call Request

Good Afternoon Asst. Chief Martinez,

At the request of the Mayor, would you please contact him when you're available. He can be reached at his home line at 909-794-5155.

Regards,

Jimmy Nguyen
Sr. Administrative Analyst
Office of the City Manager
City of Redlands
(909) 335-4732

Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control

State of California

APPLICATION FOR ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE(S)

ABC 211 (6/99)

TO: Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control
3737 MAIN ST
STE 900
RIVERSIDE, CA 92501
(951) 782-4400

File Number: 594531
Receipt Number: 2504351
Geographical Code: 3608
Copies Mailed Date: June 4, 2018
Issued Date:

DISTRICT SERVING LOCATION: **RIVERSIDE**

First Owner: **FRESELLI , DAVID JOSEPH**

Name of Business: **BACK ALLEY**

Location of Business: **113 N 5TH ST**
REDLANDS, CA 92373-4735

County: **SAN BERNARDINO**

Is Premise inside city limits? **Yes** Census Tract **0081.00**

Mailing Address: (If different from premises address) **2292 ADRIENNE AVE**
CORONA, CA 92882

Type of license(s): **47**

Transferor's license/name: **471234 / BRANDONS DINER INC** Dropping Partner: Yes___ No X

License Type	Transaction Type	Fee Type	Master	Dup	Date	Fee
47 - On-Sale General Eating	PERSON-TO-PERSON TRANSFER	P40	Y	0	06/04/18	$1,250.00
47 - On-Sale General Eating	PREMISE TO PREMISE TRANSFER	P40	Y	0	06/04/18	$100.00
47 - On-Sale General Eating	ANNUAL FEE	P40	Y	0	06/04/18	$976.00
NA	FEDERAL FINGERPRINTS	NA	N	1	06/04/18	$24.00
NA	STATE FINGERPRINTS	NA	N	1	06/04/18	$39.00
					Total	$2,389.00

Have you ever been convicted of a felony? **No**

Have you ever violated any provisions of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Act, or regulations of the Department pertaining to the Act? **No**

Explain any "Yes" answer to the above questions on an attachment which shall be deemed part of this application

Applicant agrees (a) that any manager employed in an on-sale licensed premises will have all the qualifications of a licensee, and (b) that he will not violate or cause or permit to be violated any of the provisions of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Act.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA County of SAN BERNARDINO Date: June 4, 2018

Under penalty of perjury, each person whose signature appears below, certifies and says: (1) He is an applicant, or one of the applicants, or an executive officer of the applicant corporation, named in the foregoing application, duly authorized to make this application on its behalf; (2) that he has read the foregoing and knows the contents thereof and that each of the above statements therein made are true. (3) that no person other than the applicant or applicants has any direct or indirect interest in the applicant or applicant's business to be conducted under the license(s) for which this application is made; (4) that the transfer application or proposed transfer is not made to satisfy the payment of a loan or to fulfill an agreement entered into more than ninety (90) days preceding the day on which the transfer application is filed with the Department or to gain or establish a preference to or for any creditor or transferor or to defraud or injure any creditor of transferor; (5) that the transfer application may be withdrawn by either the applicant or the licensee with no resulting liability to the Department.

Effective July 1, 2012, Revenue and Taxation Code Section 7057, authorizes the State Board of Equalization and the Franchise Tax Board to share taxpayer information with Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control. The Department may suspend, revoke, and refuse to issue a license if the licensee's name appears in the 500 largest tax delinquencies list. (Business and Professions Code Section 494.5.)

Applicant Name(s) Applicant Signature(s)

See 211 Signature Page

FRESELLI , DAVID JOSEPH

ABC - 227 CORRECTED TO FOLLOW
ABC-211-A
ABC-211-SIG

Crane, Stephen

From: Martinez, Travis
Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2018 4:51 PM
To: ExecutiveStaff
Subject: FW: Mayor's Phone Call Request

FYI...Just that you are all in the loop. See below.

From: Martinez, Travis
Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2018 4:50 PM
To: NE Martinez
Cc: Catren, Chris; Boatman, Chris; drains@cityofredlands.org; Nella Bendana (nbendana@cityofredlands.org); Miller, Chay; Crane, Stephen; Erik Reeves (ereeves2@cityofredlands.org); Boatman, Chris; Jimmy Nguyen (jnguyen@cityofredlands.org)
Subject: FW: Mayor's Phone Call Request

Enrique,

Today, at the request of Jimmy Nguyen, I contacted the Mayor via his home phone (see below email). The Mayor had two concerns that he would like information on. Per your past request, I am sending you the information he has requested.

1) Apparently, John Darby and Billy from the State were complaining about a BMA that has been removing trash from the trash cans and throwing it all around Downtown. Last night, Erik Reeves had reached out to me regarding the same concern but his people were telling him that it was actually Crystal Hendrickson (known female transient) that was throwing trash all over. Erik stated Staff has spent about 87 hours cleaning up after her. It just so happens that we arrested Crystal yesterday for indecent exposure after she stripped down and sat outside the Annex. She was transported to county jail but has since been released. I suspect she will make her way back to Redlands. In any event, our camera operators will be keeping an eye out for both her and the BMA. I did contact both John Darby and Billy and updated them. They were appreciative and will have their people contact us if they witness any crimes by the BMA or Crystal.
2) The Mayor heard that the new owner of the proposed bar (Back Alley) that is moving into the location where Augie's Coffee Shop was located also owns the Hideaway Café in downtown Riverside. The Mayor had heard that the Hideaway Café was a significant source of calls for service for Riverside PD. I contacted a lieutenant at Riverside PD who I have worked with in the past and trust. He advises the Hideaway Café has been a significant drain on police resources. According to the lieutenant, there have been shootings and stabbings at the location. The business does not have a dress code, sells very cheap drinks, and routinely has gangster rap artists attend his business. Last year, Riverside PD busted a bartender for selling drugs at the location. The owner (Craig unknown last name) fights every violation, often filing Pitchess Motions for the most minor violations. The lieutenant said that all of the nearby bar owners are complaining due to the way Craig runs the business.

   With this information, we contacted ABC and determined the owner will need to obtain a PCN (Public Convenience Necessity) due to oversaturation (If you recall, Escape had to obtain a PCN if they were going to open up the Downtown brewery). The name on the ABC license notice posted in the window of Augie's is David Joseph Freselli. Often, if people are not in good standing with ABC, they will apply for ABC permits using a business associate's name. I have sent this to you prior to obtaining all of the information so that other City departments can start looking into the matter and be aware of what may be coming down. When the request for a PCN comes through the PD, we will do our due diligence and make the appropriate recommendation.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Travis Martinez
Assistant Chief of Police
Redlands Police Department
909-557-6583(cell)
909-798-7675 (Fax)
www.cityofredlands.org/police

From: Jimmy Nguyen [mailto:jnguyen@cityofredlands.org]
Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2018 12:08 PM
To: Martinez, Travis
Subject: Mayor's Phone Call Request

Good Afternoon Asst. Chief Martinez,

At the request of the Mayor, would you please contact him when you're available. He can be reached at his home line at 909-794-5155.

Regards,

Jimmy Nguyen
Sr. Administrative Analyst
Office of the City Manager
City of Redlands
(909) 335-4732

Crane, Stephen

From: N. Enrique Martinez <nemartinez@cityofredlands.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2018 4:59 PM
To: Paul Foster; City Council
Cc: Catren, Chris; Boatman, Chris; Diana Rains; Nelia Bendana; Miller, Chay; Crane, Stephen; Erik Reeves; Jimmy Nguyen; Martinez, Travis; Dan McHugh; Janice McConnell
Subject: Re: Mayor's Phone Call Request

Fyi

Sent from my iPad

On Jun 12, 2018, at 1:52 PM, Travis Martinez <tmartinez@redlandspolice.org> wrote:

Enrique,

Today, at the request of Jimmy Nguyen, I contacted the Mayor via his home phone (see below email). The Mayor had two concerns that he would like information on. Per your past request, I am sending you the information he has requested.

1) Apparently, John Darby and Billy from the State were complaining about a BMA that has been removing trash from the trash cans and throwing it all around Downtown. Last night, Erik Reeves had reached out to me regarding the same concern but his people were telling him that it was actually Crystal Hendrickson (known female transient) that was throwing trash all over. Erik stated Staff has spent about 87 hours cleaning up after her. It just so happens that we arrested Crystal yesterday for indecent exposure after she stripped down and sat outside the Annex. She was transported to county jail but has since been released. I suspect she will make her way back to Redlands. In any event, our camera operators will be keeping an eye out for both her and the BMA. I did contact both John Darby and Billy and updated them. They were appreciative and will have their people contact us if they witness any crimes by the BMA or Crystal.
2) The Mayor heard that the new owner of the proposed bar (Back Alley) that is moving into the location where Augie's Coffee Shop was located also owns the Hideaway Café in downtown Riverside. The Mayor had heard that the Hideaway Café was a significant source of calls for service for Riverside PD. I contacted a lieutenant at Riverside PD who I have worked with in the past and trust. He advises the Hideaway Café has been a significant drain on police resources. According to the lieutenant, there have been shootings and stabbings at the location. The business does not have a dress code, sells very cheap drinks, and routinely has gangster rap artists attend his business. Last year, Riverside PD busted a bartender for selling drugs at the location. The owner (Craig unknown last name) fights every violation, often filing Pitchess Motions for the most minor violations. The lieutenant said that all of the nearby bar owners are complaining due to the way Craig runs the business.

With this information, we contacted ABC and determined the owner will need to obtain a PCN (Public Convenience Necessity) due to oversaturation (If you recall, Escape had to obtain a PCN if they were going to open up the Downtown brewery). The name on the ABC license notice posted in the window of Augie's is David Joseph Freselli. Often, if people are not in good standing with ABC, they will apply for ABC permits using a business associate's name. I have sent this to you prior to obtaining all of the information so that other City departments can start

looking into the matter and be aware of what may be coming down. When the request for a PCN comes through the PD, we will do our due diligence and make the appropriate recommendation.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Travis Martinez
Assistant Chief of Police
Redlands Police Department
909-557-6583(cell)
909-798-7675 (Fax)
www.cityofredlands.org/police

<image001.jpg>
<image002.png> <image003.png> <image004.png>

From: Jimmy Nguyen [mailto:jnguyen@cityofredlands.org]
Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2018 12:08 PM
To: Martinez, Travis
Subject: Mayor's Phone Call Request

Good Afternoon Asst. Chief Martinez,

At the request of the Mayor, would you please contact him when you're available. He can be reached at his home line at 909-794-5155.

Regards,

Jimmy Nguyen
Sr. Administrative Analyst
Office of the City Manager
City of Redlands
(909) 335-4732

Crane, Stephen

From: PAUL FOSTER <pwesley1@msn.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2018 5:02 PM
To: NE Martinez
Cc: Paul Foster; City Council; Catren, Chris; Boatman, Chris; Diana Rains; Nelia Bendana; Miller, Chay; Crane, Stephen; Erik Reeves; Jimmy Nguyen; Martinez, Travis; Dan McHugh; Janice McConnell
Subject: Re: Mayor's Phone Call Request

Travis, thank you for the follow-up.
Regrettably, it is clear that we will need to be aggressive relative to this potential new business going into Augies.
Dan, if we find that these facts pose a real issue for us what are our options ?
Thank you !
Paul
M

Sent from my iPad

On Jun 12, 2018, at 4:59 PM, N. Enrique Martinez <nemartinez@cityofredlands.org> wrote:

> Fyi
>
> Sent from my iPad
>
> On Jun 12, 2018, at 1:52 PM, Travis Martinez <tmartinez@redlandspolice.org> wrote:
>
> > Enrique,
> >
> > Today, at the request of Jimmy Nguyen, I contacted the Mayor via his home phone (see below email). The Mayor had two concerns that he would like information on. Per your past request, I am sending you the information he has requested.
> >
> > 1) Apparently, John Darby and Billy from the State were complaining about a BMA that has been removing trash from the trash cans and throwing it all around Downtown. Last night, Erik Reeves had reached out to me regarding the same concern but his people were telling him that it was actually Crystal Hendrickson (known female transient) that was throwing trash all over. Erik stated Staff has spent about 87 hours cleaning up after her. It just so happens that we arrested Crystal yesterday for indecent exposure after she stripped down and sat outside the Annex. She was transported to county jail but has since been released. I suspect she will make her way back to Redlands. In any event, our camera operators will be keeping an eye out for both her and the BMA. I did contact both John Darby and Billy and updated them. They were appreciative and will have their people contact us if they witness any crimes by the BMA or Crystal.
> > 2) The Mayor heard that the new owner of the proposed bar (Back Alley) that is moving into the location where Augie's Coffee Shop was located also owns the Hideaway Café in downtown Riverside. The Mayor had heard that the Hideaway Café was a significant source of calls for service for Riverside PD. I contacted a lieutenant at Riverside PD who I have worked with in the past and trust. He advises the Hideaway Café has been a significant drain on police

resources. According to the lieutenant, there have been shootings and stabbings at the location. The business does not have a dress code, sells very cheap drinks, and routinely has gangster rap artists attend his business. Last year, Riverside PD busted a bartender for selling drugs at the location. The owner (Craig unknown last name) fights every violation, often filing Pitchess Motions for the most minor violations. The lieutenant said that all of the nearby bar owners are complaining due to the way Craig runs the business.

With this information, we contacted ABC and determined the owner will need to obtain a PCN (Public Convenience Necessity) due to oversaturation (If you recall, Escape had to obtain a PCN if they were going to open up the Downtown brewery). The name on the ABC license notice posted in the window of Augie's is David Joseph Freselli. Often, if people are not in good standing with ABC, they will apply for ABC permits using a business associate's name. I have sent this to you prior to obtaining all of the information so that other City departments can start looking into the matter and be aware of what may be coming down. When the request for a PCN comes through the PD, we will do our due diligence and make the appropriate recommendation.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Travis Martinez
Assistant Chief of Police
Redlands Police Department
909-557-6583(cell)
909-798-7675 (Fax)
www.cityofredlands.org/police

<image001.jpg>
<image002.png> <image003.png> <image004.png>

From: Jimmy Nguyen [mailto:jnguyen@cityofredlands.org]
Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2018 12:08 PM
To: Martinez, Travis
Subject: Mayor's Phone Call Request

Good Afternoon Asst. Chief Martinez,

At the request of the Mayor, would you please contact him when you're available. He can be reached at his home line at 909-794-5155.

Regards,

Jimmy Nguyen
Sr. Administrative Analyst
Office of the City Manager
City of Redlands
(909) 335-4732

Crane, Stephen

From: Crane, Stephen
Sent: Wednesday, June 20, 2018 8:49 AM
To: 'joseph.perezjr@abc.ca.gov'
Subject: Back Alley (113 N. 5th Street Redlands,CA)

Good morning, it has come to my attention that David Joseph Freselli has submitted an application to the Department of Alcohol Beverage Control for a license to sell alcoholic beverages in the city of Redlands. The business name is called Back Alley, located at 113 N. 5th Street Redlands. I would like to officially protest the license at this point in order to conduct further investigation for the City of Redlands. Let me know if you have any questions. Thanks for your time.

Stephen W. Crane
Lieutenant
Redlands Police Department
(909) 335-4794 – desk
scrane@redlandspolice.org

DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL
3927 Lennane Drive, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95834
www.abc.ca.gov

NOTICE

July 23, 2018

STEPHEN CRANE
REDLANDS POLICE DEPARTMENT
PO BOX 1025
REDLANDS, CALIFORNIA 92373

DAVID JOSEPH FRESELLI
BACK ALLEY
113 N 5TH ST
REDLANDS, CA 92373-4735
File: 47-594531

Your protest has been received and accepted. A copy has been sent to the applicant.

If the applicant withdraws the application for licensure or if Department denies issuance of the license and the applicant does not request a hearing, you will receive no further notice from the Department.

If the application for licensure is recommended for approval, you will be contacted to see if you wish to request a protest hearing.

If the Department denies issuance of the license, and the applicant requests a hearing, the protest hearing will be held at the same time. You will be notified of the date, time and place of the hearing. You will be expected to attend the hearing and to testify regarding your protest. If you are unable to attend the hearing, you may appoint someone of your choice to represent you at the hearing. Failure to do so will result in the dismissal of your protest by the Administrative Law Judge. If you cannot or choose not to attend the hearing, please notify the District Office, below.

Please contact the Riverside District Office at (951) 782-4400 if you have any questions.

dw

Copy: x Riverside District office
x Applicant(s) with Enclosure
___ Representative(s) with Enclosure

DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL
RIVERSIDE DISTRICT OFFICE
3737 MAIN ST, STE 900
RIVERSIDE, CA 92501
(951) 782-4400

Redlands Police Dept.
PO Box 1025
Redlands, CA 92373

June 8, 2018

An application has been made for a license to sell alcoholic beverages in your jurisdiction.

DAVID JOSEPH FRESELLI
Applicant(s) Name(s)

BACK ALLEY
Doing Business As

113 N 5TH ST , REDLANDS, CA 92373-4735
Premises Address

Type of Business:

- [x] Restaurant
- [ ] Bar/Tavern
- [ ] Deli
- [ ] Mini Mart
- [ ] Liquor Store
- [ ] Gas Station
- [ ] Other:

Entertainment: [ ] Yes [x] No

Proposed hours of alcoholic beverage sales/service: 6:00 am to 2:00 am

(Hours and entertainment are decided by the business owner and may change.)

Type(s) of alcoholic beverages to be sold:

- [ ] Beer
- [ ] Wine
- [ ] Beer & Wine
- [x] All Types (Beer, Wine and Distilled Spirits)

If you have any questions or require additional information concerning the issuance of the license, please contact me at (951)782-4404 or sadie.gomez@abc.ca.gov within twenty (20) days.

Sincerely,

S. Gomez
Licensing Representative II

EXHIBIT 15

Gmail

Raychele Sterling <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com>

Robert Mirshafiee Incident at Hideaway

Raychele Sterling <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com> Thu, Aug 10, 2017 at 10:29 AM
To: "Smith, Dave" <davidsmith@riversideca.gov>

Ok, let me get that for you from Dave Freselli. I'll see if Jeff is free Tuesday. We will get it done, so you can move on to the shooting that occurred at ProAbition --- things are getting crazy downtown.

Thanks again

Raychele
[Quoted text hidden]

Gmail

Raychele Sterling <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com>

Robert Mirshafiee Incident at Hideaway

Smith, Dave <davidsmith@riversideca.gov> Thu, Aug 10, 2017 at 2:17 PM
To: Raychele Sterling <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com>

And a stabbing at Mission Inn and Orange

[Quoted text hidden]

Gmail

Raychele Sterling <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com>

RE: Riverside Police report P16206467

2 messages

Smith, Dave <davidsmith@riversideca.gov> Wed, Dec 7, 2016 at 3:14 PM
To: "rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com" <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com>

I want to confirm that you received this email. If Hideaway has no knowledge I want to close the case. Then I believe you can get the video you requested. Thank you.

From: Smith, Dave
Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2016 10:43 AM
To: 'rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com'
Subject: Riverside Police report P16206467

Hi. I am Det David Smith. I am Det. O'Boyle's partner in the Robbery/Homicide Unit at Riverside PD.

I understand you are requesting some video from 10-16-16 from City Cameras in the area of the Hideaway and Marios. I reviewed the cameras and found an assault that takes place near Marios on 10-16-16 around 02:10 AM. It looks like one of the Hideaway Security guys gets sucker punched and knocked out and then the suspect's friends stop other security from going after the suspect. No report was made and officers were in the immediate area and none were flagged down. Does anyone over there want to make a report about this or have any knowledge of it? I would love to arrest the idiots that assaulted the guard.

I attached a short video of the incident. Let me know if you can play it.

Thanks.

Detective David Smith

Riverside Police Department

Robbery/Homicide

(951) 353-7103

Raychele Sterling <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com> Mon, Dec 12, 2016 at 12:00 PM
To: "Smith, Dave" <davidsmith@riversideca.gov>

Dave, it was the owner of Mario's, I think his name is Andre, that was sucker punched, not Hideaway security personnel. There was no attached "short video" as indicated in your e-mail. I believe Hideaway's only involvement was assisting in the incident to help Mario's out.

[Quoted text hidden]

Gmail

Raychele Sterling <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com>

Telephone Message for Det. O'Boyle

1 message

Raychele Sterling <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com> Tue, Oct 18, 2016 at 5:40 PM
To: Neil Okazaki <nokazaki@riversideca.gov>, "White, Ted" <twhite@riversideca.gov>, "Russo, John A." <jrusso@riversideca.gov>, sdiaz@riversideca.gov, "Geuss, Gary" <ggeuss@riversideca.gov>, "Randel, Travis" <trandel@riversideca.gov>

Please be advised that at 5:28pm on October 18, 2016, I contacted Detective O'Boyle at the number which he provided to Hideaway management and indicated that I would make myself available at any time tonight to facilitate his investigatory needs concerning Hideaway footage. I will have my phone by my side for the entire evening and will promptly respond to any request to meet Sgt. O'Boyle at the Hideaway this evening, or any time thereafter that is convenient for him. Please also be advised that given the fact that the incident occurred 9 days ago, I requested the report number so that the Hideaway duplicate copy of the footage can be marked appropriately. The report number of course is a matter of public record.

Thank you.

Raychele B. Sterling, Esq.
Attorney for the Hideaway

THIS CORRESPONDENCE SHALL BE MADE A PART OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD FOR ANY PROCEEDING CONCERNING THE HIDEAWAY.

Gmail

Raychele Sterling <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com>

RPD Request for video footage of Hideaway for 10/09/16

1 message

Raychele Sterling <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com> Tue, Oct 18, 2016 at 5:17 PM
To: Neil Okazaki <nokazaki@riversideca.gov>, "Russo, John A." <jrusso@riversideca.gov>, "White, Ted" <twhite@riversideca.gov>, "Randel, Travis" <trandel@riversideca.gov>, sdiaz@riversideca.gov

Mr. Okazaki,

Please be advised that at approximately 3:25pm or thereabout, Detective O'Boyle spoke with the manager of the Hideaway regarding an alleged shooting that occurred behind the Riverside Library on October 9, 2016. Allegedly, a victim was injured in the shooting and O'Boyle wanted to have the video footage to confirm whether the victim was in the Hideaway. This of course is completely contradictory to Lt. Blumdahl's representation to Ted White who sought a meeting to discuss the Hideaway CUP because the alleged shooters were in the Hideaway. Nonetheless, in an effort to provide the most useful information, the manager suggested that O'Boyle review the patronscan, as it would provide a clear picture of the individual's face as well as their license information. Despite his representation that he needed video footage to determine if the victims were in the Hideaway, O'Boyle declined to review the patronscan. If O'Boyle was at the Hideaway for the reason he provided to the manager, he would most definitely want to review partonscan. These inconsistencies, coupled with the fact that the alleged shooting took place 9 days ago (RPD would have requested the footage the same night as the shooting if this was being properly investigated, just like it did for the City owned parking garage shooting) leads me to believe that RPD is not interested in investigating this alleged shooting, but rather to engage in a fishing expedition for violations at the Hideaway by requesting video footage. My client is more than willing to provide video footage for a true law enforcement purpose, but not to facilitate the continued retaliation and harassment of the Hideaway, its owners and employees, for reporting racial bias on the part of RPD.

That being said, I will make myself available anytime this evening to meet with Sgt. Boyle and provide him with what ever information he needs. I actually went to the Hideaway to assist Detective Boyle. I arrive at approximately 3:40pm. However, he had already left the premise.

Please be further advised that all conversations with any RPD personnel will be taped recorded. All video footage will be duplicated before being turned over to RPD to ensure that footage is not tampered with. No footage will provided unless a legitimate law enforcement reason is provided.

Raychele B. Sterling, Esq.
Attorney for Hideaway

THIS CORRESPONDENCE SHALL BE MADE PART OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD FOR ANY PROCEEDING CONCERNING THE HIDEAWAY.

Gmail

Raychele Sterling <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com>

Request for Video Footage from Hideaway for Alleged Stolen Cellphone

7 messages

Raychele Sterling <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com> Tue, Apr 18, 2017 at 4:21 PM
To: sdiaz@riversideca.gov
Cc: "Gardner, Mike" <mgardner@riversideca.gov>, "Russo, John A." <jrusso@riversideca.gov>, Neil Okazaki <nokazaki@riversideca.gov>, "Geuss, Gary" <ggeuss@riversideca.gov>, "Soubirous, Mike" <msoubirous@riversideca.gov>, "Davis, Paul" <pdavis@riversideca.gov>, "Perry, Jim" <jperry@riversideca.gov>, "Melendrez, Andy" <asmelendrez@riversideca.gov>, "MacArthur, Chris" <cmacarthur@riversideca.gov>, "Burnard, John" <jburnard@riversideca.gov>, zfishell@riversideca.gov

Good Afternoon Chief Diaz,

As I'm sure you are aware, I am counsel for the Hideaway Cafe which has alleged a history of discrimination and retaliation by your Department and presently has an open complaint with the Police Review Commission which has been investigated by Internal Affairs. I am awaiting the findings of the investigation to determine if I will be escalating this matter to the Department of Justice.

Today, my client's manager advised me that he received a request from a Detective Zacharaih Fishell for video footage for an alleged stolen cell phone from the Hideaway in the early morning of 3-31-2017. I found this quite interesting considering that at a recent meeting with all the downtown entertainment establishments held on the 7th floor of City Hall, the owners were advised by Lt. Blumdahl that there was not sufficient police personnel to respond to calls of homeless men exposing themselves and masturbating in public in front of their establishments. Nor was there sufficient personnel to respond to or investigate vehicle theft and vandalism. So, imagine my surprise when my client's manager contacted me to advise that the understaffed police department had a detective working on a stolen cell phone case. Is this yet another example of harassment of my client? Is the police department still engaging in a fishing expedition, or does the police department really prioritize stolen cell phones above sex crimes and vehicle theft? If your department is in need of the footage to solve a "serious case" of a "stolen cell phone", please have Mr. Okazaki contact me directly. Otherwise, I would recommend that Det.Fishell concentrate on more serious investigations before someone is raped, seriously injured or killed downtown. Thank you.

Raychele B. Sterling, Esq.
Attorney for Hideaway Cafe

Okazaki, Neil <NOkazaki@riversideca.gov> Mon, May 1, 2017 at 10:40 AM
To: Raychele Sterling <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com>

Good Morning Raychele,

So that the lines of communication are open and both sides talk through these issues, I think it would be prudent to invite you to a meeting to discuss the handling of these video footage requests. I will ask City Planner Ted White and RPD Lt. Val Graham (who oversees the Vice Unit) to attend with me. Can you let me know what your availability is over the next couple weeks? It seems that it would be helpful to have a constructive conversation on this topic.

I did hear that you called me to give me a heads-up on this email. I appreciate the notification.

Thanks.

Neil

From: Raychele Sterling [mailto:rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 4:22 PM
To: Diaz, Sergio <SDiaz@riversideca.gov>
Cc: Gardner, Mike <MGardner@riversideca.gov>; Russo, John A. <jrusso@riversideca.gov>; Okazaki, Neil <NOkazaki@riversideca.gov>; Geuss, Gary <GGeuss@riversideca.gov>; Soubirous, Mike <msoubirous@riversideca.gov>; Davis, Paul <PDavis@riversideca.gov>; Perry, Jim <JPerry@riversideca.gov>; Melendrez, Andy <ASMelendrez@riversideca.gov>; MacArthur, Chris <CMacArthur@riversideca.gov>; Burnard, John <JBurnard@riversideca.gov>; Fishell, Zachariah <ZFishell@riversideca.gov>
Subject: [External] Request for Video Footage from Hideaway for Alleged Stolen Cellphone

[Quoted text hidden]

Raychele Sterling <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com> Mon, May 1, 2017 at 2:14 PM
To: "Okazaki, Neil" <NOkazaki@riversideca.gov>

That is fine Neil. I think I already understand how it should work and it has worked successfully up until this point. Hideaway management has expediently provided footage and have even been personally thanked by RPD officers. I would love the opportunity to meet with Val Graham considering it was his case and the horrific discrimination he suffered at the hands of the City that inspired me to take this case to begin with. He is an inspiration to all who have fallen victim to the abuse and mistreatment of minorities by the City of Riverside. You should also be aware that I am sending another complaint to Internal Affairs for review which has also been copied to the CLETS Division of the DOJ. You should receive it shortly. I am available Wed, Thurs. and Friday of this week. Next week is pretty open. Let me know what works for you and I will adjust my schedule accordingly. Thank you.
[Quoted text hidden]

Okazaki, Neil <NOkazaki@riversideca.gov> Mon, May 1, 2017 at 2:24 PM
To: Raychele Sterling <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com>

Raychele,

If we are on the same page, then hopefully the meeting won't take long. I appreciate your availability. I will get back to you in the couple days with a suggested meeting time.

Thanks.

Neil

From: Raychele Sterling [mailto:rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, May 01, 2017 2:14 PM
To: Okazaki, Neil <NOkazaki@riversideca.gov>
Subject: Re: [External] Request for Video Footage from Hideaway for Alleged Stolen Cellphone

[Quoted text hidden]

Okazaki, Neil <NOkazaki@riversideca.gov> Tue, May 2, 2017 at 6:36 PM
To: "rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com" <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com>

Raychele,

How about this Thursday at 2:00 at City Hall?

Neil

-----Original Message-----
From: Raychele Sterling [rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com]
Received: Monday, 01 May 2017, 2:14PM
To: Okazaki, Neil [NOkazaki@riversideca.gov]
[Quoted text hidden]
[Quoted text hidden]

Raychele Sterling <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com> Wed, May 3, 2017 at 1:27 PM
To: "Okazaki, Neil" <NOkazaki@riversideca.gov>

I'm leaving for LA around 2:30. So, I don't think that will work. How does your schedule look next week
[Quoted text hidden]

Okazaki, Neil <NOkazaki@riversideca.gov> Wed, May 3, 2017 at 4:13 PM
To: Raychele Sterling <rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com>

How would Wednesday, May 10 or Friday, May 12 work for you from 2:00 to 3:00?

Neil

From: Raychele Sterling [mailto:rbsterlinglaw@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 03, 2017 1:27 PM

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]