## DRAFT CHARTER REVIEW RUBRIC

| D 1       |            | ъ.    |
|-----------|------------|-------|
| Proposal: | Evaluator: | Date: |

| Criteria  | 4   | 3   | 2  | 1  | Evaluation Points |
|---|---|---|--|--|-------------------|
| Does the proposal provide<br>a significant benefit(s) to<br>residents and assess the<br>impact of the benefit(s)?   | Clear and concise<br>description of benefit to<br>residents and assesses<br>impact on residents.  | Identifies benefit and adequately assesses impact.  | Identifies benefit but is unable to assess the impact.   | Unable to identify and express the benefit.  Difficult to understand.  | Pts               |
| Does the proposal address<br>an issue that has a<br>documented history in the<br>City, and will the issue be<br>present for the<br>foreseeable future?                        | A clear history of the issue's impact on residents and previous attempts to address. Issue will be present for the foreseeable future.  | Identifiable impact on residents. Issue will be present for the foreseeable future.   | Identifies impact on residents. Some question as to whether the issue will be relevant in the future.  | Questionable impact on residents.  Not a long-term issue.  | Pts               |
| Does the proposal have an identifiable and measurable need that is not currently addressed effectively?   | Provides documentation of need from a variety of reliable sources.  Able to identify variables that influence the problem and analytically describe the influence of each on the problem. | Uses documentation from a credible source and provides sufficient information to understand the problem.  Able to identify most variables that influence the problem. | Documentation does not differentiate between opinions and facts. Limited documentation with sufficient sources. Able to identify some but not all variables that influence the need. | Provides irrelevant data. Relies upon opinions and/or unreliable sources. Unable to identify the variables that influence the need.        | Pts               |
| Does the proposal identify<br>an issue that would<br>benefit from City Charter<br>protection and provide<br>balanced governance<br>between residents and<br>the City Council? | Identifies demonstrated mismatch of community position and City Council actions.  Provides documentation of City's lack of ability to address.  | Identifies potential benefit of being included in City Charter. Inconclusive evidence of City efforts on the matter.  | Unclear benefit of being in City Charter.  No past history or documentation of City efforts on the matter.   | Speculation on benefit for inclusion in the Charter.  No past history or documentation of City efforts on the matter.                      | Pts               |
| Does the proposal improve transparency and confidence in City government, reveal fiscal impact, and document effectiveness in similar cities?                                 | Documented community support.  Provides examples of effectiveness in similar cities.  Clear analysis of potential fiscal impact.  | Documented community support. Inconclusive evidence of effectiveness in similar cities. Provides analysis of potential fiscal impact.                                 | Unclear community support.  Examples of effectiveness in similar cities.  Speculative analysis of potential fiscal impact.   | Speculation of community support.  No examples of effectiveness in similar cities.  No or speculative analysis of potential fiscal impact. | Pts               |

| Total Points: |  |
|---------------|--|
|---------------|--|