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## POB Summary

|  |  | Option 2a | Option 3a | Option 3b |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ■ | POB and Contribution |  |  |  |
|  | $\bullet$ | Total POB | $\$ 352,250,000$ | $\$ 439,095,000$ |$) \$ 439,095,000$



POB Summary

|  | Option 2a | Option 3a | Option 3b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UAAL Percent Paid Off ${ }^{1}$ <br> - Miscellaneous Plan <br> - Safety Plan <br> - Total | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \% \\ & 60 \% \\ & 55 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \% \\ & 70 \% \\ & 68 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \% \\ & 70 \% \\ & 68 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Average POB Duration <br> - Miscellaneous Plan <br> - Safety Plan <br> - Total | $\begin{aligned} & 10.6 \\ & 13.4 \\ & 12.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.6 \\ 11.4 \\ 10.5 \end{array}$ | 9.5 13.5 11.6 |

[^0]
## POB Summary

|  | Option 2a | Option 3a | Option 3b |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ■ Average Interest Rate |  |  |  |
| $\bullet \quad$ Miscellaneous Plan | $2.77 \%$ | $2.47 \%$ | $3.46 \%$ |
| $\bullet \quad$ Safety Plan | $3.07 \%$ | $2.62 \%$ | $3.58 \%$ |
| $\bullet \quad$ Total | $2.95 \%$ | $2.55 \%$ | $3.53 \%$ |
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## Option 2a

Miscellaneous Plan Bases to be Paid Off

|  | Amortization <br> Years | $\mathbf{6} / 30 / 20$ <br> Balance | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0} / \mathbf{2 1}$ <br> Payments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Assumption Change 2009 | 11 | $\$ 38,953,472$ | $\$ 4,449,324$ |
| Special (Gain)/Loss 2009 | 21 | $24,848,702$ | $1,781,537$ |
| Assumption Change 2014 | 16 | $78,127,462$ | $7,429,078$ |
| Method Change 2018 | 20 | $12,700,282$ | 236,792 |
| Total |  | $154,629,918$ | $13,896,731$ |

## Proposed 2020 POB Option 2a

Option 2a
Safety Plan Bases to be Paid Off

|  | Amortization <br> Years | $\mathbf{6} / \mathbf{3 0 / 2 0}$ <br> Balance | 2020/21 <br> Payments |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arnett Case 2003 | 5 | $\$ 72,978$ | $\$ \mathbf{1 6 , 3 4 6}$ |
| Benefit Change 2003 | 4 | $5,785,655$ | $1,588,333$ |
| Benefit Change 2004 | 6 | $4,850,670$ | 923,225 |
| Assumption Change 2009 | 11 | $13,436,571$ | $1,534,745$ |
| Special (Gain)/Loss 2009 | 21 | $18,471,506$ | $1,324,322$ |
| Assumption Change 2011 | 13 | $14,411,857$ | $1,445,766$ |
| Assumption Change 2014 | 16 | $53,055,391$ | $5,044,995$ |
| Assumption Change 2016 | 18 | $19,881,580$ | $1,082,450$ |
| Assumption Change 2017 | 19 | $22,047,465$ | 803,970 |
| Method Change 2018 | 20 | $6,570,652$ | 122,507 |
| Assumption Change 2018 | 20 | $37,599,289$ | 701,025 |
| Total |  | $196,183,614$ | $14,587,684$ |
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## Option 3a

Miscellaneous Plan Bases to be Paid Off

|  | Amortization <br> Years | $\mathbf{6} / 30 / 20$ <br> Balance | 2020/21 <br> Payments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assumption Change 2009 | 11 | $\$ 38,953,472$ | $\$ 4,449,324$ |
| Special (Gain)/Loss 2009 | 21 | $24,848,702$ | $1,781,537$ |
| Assumption Change 2014 | 16 | $78,127,462$ | $7,429,078$ |
| Assumption Change 2016 | 18 | $26,035,125$ | $1,417,478$ |
| Method Change 2018 | 20 | $12,700,282$ | 236,792 |
| Assumption Change 2018 | 20 | $26,911,574$ | 501,756 |
| Total |  | $207,576,617$ | $15,815,965$ |

## Proposed 2020 POB OPTION 3a

## Option 3a

Safety Plan Bases to be Paid Off

|  | Amortization <br> Years | $\mathbf{6} / \mathbf{3 0} / \mathbf{2 0}$ <br> Balance | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 / 2 1}$ <br> Payments |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arnett Case 2003 | 5 | $\$ 72,978$ | $\$ 16,346$ |
| Assumption Change 2009 | 11 | $13,436,571$ | $1,534,745$ |
| Special (Gain)/Loss 2009 | 21 | $18,471,506$ | $1,324,322$ |
| Assumption Change 2011 | 13 | $14,411,857$ | $1,445,766$ |
| Assumption Change 2014 | 16 | $53,055,391$ | $5,044,995$ |
| (Gain)/Loss 2015 | 27 | $44,322,049$ | $2,318,224$ |
| Assumption Change 2016 | 18 | $19,881,580$ | $1,082,450$ |
| Assumption Change 2017 | 19 | $22,047,465$ | 803,970 |
| Method Change 2018 | 20 | $6,570,652$ | 122,507 |
| Assumption Change 2018 | 20 | $37,599,289$ | 701,025 |
| Total |  | $229,869,338$ | $14,394,350$ |

Option 3a
Debt Service
(in \$millions)

$\square$ Miscellaneous $\square$ Safety

## Proposed 2020 POB OPTION 3a
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Option 3b
Miscellaneous Plan Bases to be Paid Off
(same as Option 3a)

|  | Amortization <br> Years | $\mathbf{6} / 30 / \mathbf{2 0}$ <br> Balance | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0} / \mathbf{2 1}$ <br> Payments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assumption Change 2009 | 11 | $\$ 38,953,472$ | $\$ 4,449,324$ |
| Special (Gain)/Loss 2009 | 21 | $24,848,702$ | $1,781,537$ |
| Assumption Change 2014 | 16 | $78,127,462$ | $7,429,078$ |
| Assumption Change 2016 | 18 | $26,035,125$ | $1,417,478$ |
| Method Change 2018 | 20 | $12,700,282$ | 236,792 |
| Assumption Change 2018 | 20 | $26,911,574$ | 501,756 |
| Total |  | $207,576,617$ | $15,815,965$ |

## Proposed 2020 POB OPTION 3b

## Option 3b

## Miscellaneous Plan Bases to be Paid Off

(same as Option 3a)

|  | Amortization <br> Years | $\mathbf{6 / 3 0 / 2 0}$ <br> Balance | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 / 2 1}$ <br> Payments |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arnett Case 2003 | 5 | $\$ 72,978$ | $\$ 16,346$ |
| Assumption Change 2009 | 11 | $13,436,571$ | $1,534,745$ |
| Special (Gain)/Loss 2009 | 21 | $18,471,506$ | $1,324,322$ |
| Assumption Change 2011 | 13 | $14,411,857$ | $1,445,766$ |
| Assumption Change 2014 | 16 | $53,055,391$ | $5,044,995$ |
| (Gain)/Loss 2015 | 27 | $44,322,049$ | $2,318,224$ |
| Assumption Change 2016 | 18 | $19,881,580$ | $1,082,450$ |
| Assumption Change 2017 | 19 | $22,047,465$ | 803,970 |
| Method Change 2018 | 20 | $6,570,652$ | 122,507 |
| Assumption Change 2018 | 20 | $37,599,289$ | 701,025 |
| Total |  | $229,869,338$ | $14,394,350$ |

## Option \#3b <br> Debt Service

(in \$millions)


Proposed 2020 POB Debt Service Summary

All Options
Debt Service (Miscellaneous + Safety)
(in \$millions)


- Market Value Investment Return:
- June 30, 2019
- Future returns based on stochastic analysis using 1,000 trials

| Single Year Returns at $^{3}$ | $\frac{25^{\text {th }} \text { Percentile }}{}$ |  | $50^{\text {th }}$ Percentile |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $75^{\text {th }}$ Percentile |  |  |
| Current Investment Mix | $\mathbf{0 . 1 \%}$ |  | $\mathbf{7 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| Ultimate Investment Mix | $\mathbf{0 . 8 \%}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 4 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| U.0\% |  | $\mathbf{1 1 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |

- Assumes investment returns will, generally be $6.5 \%$ (as compared to $7.0 \%$ ) over the next 9 years and higher beyond that.
- Discount Rate decreases due to Risk Mitigation policy
- No Other: Gains/Losses, Method/Assumption Changes, Benefit Improvements
- Different from CalPERS projection

2 Gross return based on July 2019 CalPERS press release
$\mathrm{N}^{\text {th }}$ percentile means N percentage of our trials result in returns lower than the indicated rates.

CONTRIBUTION Projections - Miscellaneous

New hire assumptions:

- $92.5 \%$ of 2019/20 new hires are PEPRA members and $7.5 \%$ are Classic members
- Percentage of PEPRA member future hires to increase from $92.5 \%$ to $100 \%$ over 3 years
■ 6/30/18 employee distribution:

| Benefit Tier | Count | $\mathbf{6 / 3 0 / 1 8}$ Payroll |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2.7 \% @ 55$ FAE1 | 944 | $\$ 79,314,600$ |
| $2.7 \% @ 55$ FAE3 | 159 | $11,869,200$ |
| $2 \% @ 62$ FAE3 (PEPRA) | 504 | $28,986,300$ |

Tier 1 Employer Paid Member Contributions (EPMC):

- SEIU: 2\% prior to January 1, 2019, 1\% from January 1, 2019 through January 1, 2020, and $0 \%$ thereafter
- Unrepresented: 6\% from January 1, 2018 through January 1, 2019, 4\% from January 1, 2019 through January 1, 2020, 2\% from January 1, 2020 through January 1, 2021, and 0\% thereafter
- Elected Officials: 8\%
- IBEW: 4\% from November 1, 2018 through November 1, 2019, 2\% from November 1, 2019 through November 1, 2020, and 0\% thereafter
- Combined: $1.8 \%$ for $2019 / 20,0.5 \%$ for $2020 / 21$ and $0 \%$ for $2021 / 22$ and thereafter
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## IT <br> Fiversid



- Market Value Investment Return:
- June 30, 2019
- Future returns based on stochastic analysis using 1,000 trials

| Single Year Returns at ${ }^{5}$ | $25^{\text {th }}$ Percen | $50^{\text {th }}$ Percentile | $75^{\text {th }}$ Percentile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Investment Mix | 0.1\% | 7.0\% | 14.8\% |
| Ultimate Investment Mix | 0.8\% | 6.0\% | 11.4\% |

- Assumes investment returns will, generally be $6.5 \%$ (as compared to $7.0 \%$ ) over the next 9 years and higher beyond that.
- Discount Rate decreases due to Risk Mitigation policy
- No Other: Gains/Losses, Method/Assumption Changes, Benefit Improvements
- Different from CalPERS projection
${ }^{4}$ Gross return based on July 2019 CalPERS press release
$5 \mathrm{~N}^{\text {th }}$ percentile means N percentage of our trials result in returns lower than the indicated rates.
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## Contribution Projections - Safety

New hire assumptions:

- $92.5 \%$ of 2019/20 new hires are PEPRA members and $7.5 \%$ are Classic members
- Percentage of PEPRA member future hires to increase from $92.5 \%$ to $100 \%$ over 3 years
- 6/30/18 employee distribution:

| Benefit Tier | Count | 6/30/18 Payroll |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 3\%@50 FAE1 (Fire) | 2 | $\$ 375,000$ |
| $3 \% @ 50$ FAE1 (Fire) | 157 | $19,705,900$ |
| $3 \% @ 55$ FAE3 (Fire) | 16 | $1,414,600$ |
| 2.7\%@57 FAE3 (Fire PEPRA) | 41 | $3,483,700$ |
| 3\%@50 FAE1 (Police) | 252 | $34,102,600$ |
| 3\%@50 FAE3 (Police) | 42 | $4,242,900$ |
| 2.7\%@57 FAE3 (Police PEPRA) | 58 | $4,636,400$ |

- Tier 1 Employer Paid Member Contributions (EPMC):
- 9\% for all years
- Tier 1 Employee Cost Sharing
- RPOA, RPOA Supervisory, and RPAA: 3\% from January 1, 2019 through January 1, 2020, 4.5\% from January 1, 2020 through January 1, 2021, 6\% thereafter
- RCFA: 2.5\% from January 1, 2019 through January 1, 2020, 5\% from January 1, 2020 through January 1, 2021, 7\% from January 1, 2021 through December 30, 2021, and 8\% thereafter
- Combined: $3.8 \%$ for $2019 / 20,5.5 \%$ for $2020 / 21,6.6 \%$ for $2021 / 22,6.8 \%$ for 2022/23 and thereafter


## CONTRIBUTION Projections - SAFETY
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- Capital Market Assumptions:

| Asset Class | Target <br> Asset <br> Allocation |
| :--- | ---: |
| -Public Equity <br> - Private Equity | $50 \%$ |
| - Income | $8 \%$ |
| - Real Assets | $28 \%$ |
| - Liquidity | $13 \%$ |
| - Total | $\underline{100}$ |

Geometric Real Average Return Deviation 4.82\% 17.84\% 6.70\% 25.50\% 1.47\% 4.24\% 12.55\%
0.97\%
4.30\%
0.06\%

Geometric
Nominal
Average Return 7.44\% 9.37\%
4.00\%
6.91\%
2.57\%

- Assumptions based on study of investment consultant and investment bank 2017 short and long-term capital market assumptions adjusted in some cases for long-term trends in investment returns
■ No adjustment for recent COVID 19 market downturn
- Inflation

- 9 Year Compounded Annualized returns ${ }^{6}$ :
- $50^{\text {th }}$ Percentile ${ }^{7}$
6.42\%
- $33^{\text {th }}$ Percentile
4.63
- $30^{\text {th }}$ Percentile 4.26
- $25^{\text {th }}$ Percentile 3.61
- $20^{\text {th }}$ Percentile 2.96
- $15^{\text {th }}$ Percentile 2.38
- 20 Year Compounded Annualized returns:
- $50^{\text {th }}$ Percentile
6.93\%
- $33^{\text {th }}$ Percentile 5.66
- $30^{\text {th }}$ Percentile 5.46
- $25^{\text {th }}$ Percentile 5.07
- $20^{\text {th }}$ Percentile 4.73
- $15^{\text {th }}$ Percentile 4.22
${ }^{6}$ Based on capital market assumptions shown previously net of $0.15 \%$ adjustment for administrative expenses.
$7 \mathrm{~N}^{\text {th }}$ percentile means N percentage of our trials result in returns lower than the indicated rates.

POB ANALYSIS ASSUMPTIONS

POB Cost Reduction (success) or Increase (failure) calculated as the present value of:

- Employer CalPERS contributions without POB for 30 years, minus
- Employer CalPERS contributions with POB \& debt service for 30 years, plus
- CalPERS assets with POB minus assets without POB after 30 years
- Discount rate for cash flow differences 3.0\%

■ Discount rate for asset difference at 30 years 7.0\%
■ Investment return assumptions include lower (than expected) returns for next 9 years followed by higher (than expected) returns

- PEPRA requires employer contributions be not less than Normal Cost

■ Risk Mitigation Strategy not included

Present Value of Contributions
Miscellaneous Plan
(in \$millions)

$\qquad$

POB ANALYSIS OPTION 2a

Present Value of Contributions
Safety Plan
(in \$millions)


Present Value of Contributions Combined Miscellaneous and Safety Plan (in \$millions)

POB ANALYSIS OPTION 2a

| Summary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plan | POB <br> Interest <br> Rate | Likelihood of Success |
| Miscellaneous | $2.77 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| Safety | $3.07 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Combined | $2.95 \%$ | $81 \%$ |



Present Value of Contributions Combined Miscellaneous and Safety Plan (in \$millions)

POB ANALYSIS OPTION 3a

| Pummary |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Plan | POB <br> Interest <br> Rate | Likelihood of Success |
| Miscellaneous | $2.47 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| Safety | $2.62 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Combined | $2.55 \%$ | $82 \%$ |

Present Value of Contributions
Miscellaneous Plan
(in \$millions)



Present Value of Contributions
Safety Plan
(in \$millions)


Present Value of Contributions Combined Miscellaneous and Safety Plan (in \$millions)


## POB ANALYSIS OPTION 3b

Summary

| Plan | POB <br> Interest <br> Rate | Likelihood of Success |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Miscellaneous | $3.46 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Safety | $3.58 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Combined | $3.53 \%$ | $75 \%$ |

Option 2a
(in \$millions)

|  | Miscellaneous | Safety | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - POB Interest Rate | 2.77\% | 3.07\% | 2.95\% |
| - Success <br> - Portion of Outcomes <br> - Expected Outcome | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \% \\ \$ 50.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ \$ 65.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \% \\ \$ 116.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Failure <br> - Portion of Outcomes <br> - Expected Outcome | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \% \\ \$(21.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \% \\ \$(28.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \% \\ \$(48.7) \end{array}$ |
| Total <br> - Portion of Outcomes <br> - Expected Outcome | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \$ 36.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \$ 48.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \$ 85.2 \end{aligned}$ |
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| POB ANALYSIS SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Option 3a <br> (in \$millions) |  |  |  |
|  | Miscellaneous | Safety | Total |
| ■ POB Interest Rate | 2.47\% | 2.62\% | 2.55\% |
| Success <br> - Portion of Outcomes <br> - Expected Outcome | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \% \\ \$ 71.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \% \\ \$ 80.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \% \\ \$ 152.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Failure <br> - Portion of Outcomes <br> - Expected Outcome | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \% \\ \$(27.8) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \% \\ \$(30.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \% \\ \$(58.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total <br> - Portion of Outcomes <br> - Expected Outcome | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \$ 53.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \$ 61.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \% \\ \$ 114.8 \end{array}$ |

Option 3b
(in \$millions)

|  | Miscellaneous | Safety | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - POB Interest Rate | 3.46\% | 3.58\% | 3.53\% |
| - Success <br> - Portion of Outcomes <br> - Expected Outcome | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \% \\ \$ 64.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \% \\ \$ 69.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \% \\ \$ 133.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Failure <br> - Portion of Outcomes <br> - Expected Outcome | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \% \\ \$(31.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \% \\ \$(36.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \% \\ \$(68.1) \end{array}$ |
| Total <br> - Portion of Outcomes <br> - Expected Outcome | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \$ 40.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \$ 43.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \$ 83.8 \end{aligned}$ |

## ACTUARIAL CERTIFICATION

This report presents analysis on the City of Riverside CalPERS pension plans. The purpose of this report is to provide the City:

- Projections of likely future contributions and the impact of investment volatility
- Pension Obligation Bond (POB) analysis, including anticipated benefits, risks and volatility.

Our POB analysis focuses on the significant inherent investment risk. The economic benefit to the City is measured by the present value of the difference in contributions plus debt service with, and without the POB. Our analysis uses the 3 proposed 2020 POBs summarized in the first section of this report.

With respect to the POB analysis in this report for the combined Miscellaneous and Safety plans:

- The likelihood of success is approximately $81 \%, 82 \%$ and $75 \%$ for Options 2 a, 3 a, and 3 b, respectively (with $19 \%$, $18 \%$, and $25 \%$ likelihood of failure, respectively). This information is on pages 35,39 , and 43 .
- While the median present value economic benefit to the City is approximately $\$ 79.4$ million, $\$ 108.9$ million and $\$ 78.0$ million, the extreme outcomes (as measured by the 5 th percentile investment returns) are economic losses of $\$ 72.9$ million, $\$ 86.8$ million , and $\$ 117.8$ million. This information is on pages 35,39 , and 43 .
- The overall expected (mean) present value economic benefit is $\$ 85.2$ million, $\$ 114.8$ million and $\$ 83.8$ million for Options 2a, 3a, and 3b, respectively. This information is on pages 45-47. However, using this single metric does not quantify risk. For example, for Option 3b, there is a $25 \%$ probability of a present value economic loss (page 47), with an expected loss of $\$ 68.1$ million.
The calculations and projections in this report are based on information contained in the City's June 30, 2018 CalPERS actuarial valuation reports. We reviewed this information for reasonableness, but do not make any representation on the accuracy of the CalPERS reports. We are not financial advisors - the City should consult with qualified financial advisors to evaluate a POB.

Investment risk is not the only consideration in issuing a POB. The Government Finance Officers Association advisory paper recommends agencies do not issue PBs for a number of reasons, including, but not limited to, investment risk.

Future investment returns and volatility are based on Bartel Associates Capital Market model (as summarized on page 29), which results in long term returns summarized on pages 30-31.

To the best of our knowledge, this report is complete and accurate and has been conducted using generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. As members of the American Academy of Actuaries meeting the Academy Qualification Standards, we certify the actuarial results and opinions herein.

Respectfully submitted,



Bianca Lin, FSA, EA, FSA, MAAA
Assistant Vice President
Cartel Associates, LLC
April 1, 2020


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Based on projected June 30, 2020 unfunded liability assuming 7\% annual return for 18/19 and 19/20, and 7\% discount rate

