MATTER/CASE NAME BRIE			EXPENDITURES			
	BRIEF DESCRIPTION	Funding Source	Authorized Spending Limit	1/1/2020 - 3/31/20	TOTAL AS OF 3/31/20	BALANCE
dogs v CA State						
ssion	CEQA Lawsuit	520	5,000	-	-	5,000
nce Foundation v						0,000
nission	Re Songs		5,370	-	-	5,370
dogs v CA State ssion	Appeal	520	3,580	-	-	3,580
v Breach	Prevention/Advice	510	20,000	_	2,375	17,625
Security	Prevention/Advice	630	4,000	_	1,838	2,162
			,		,	, -
ication**	RTRP	520	410,000	32,972	447,213	(37,213)
king	PSPS	520	60,000	8,732	17,804	42,196
				41,704		
re	Miscellaneous	101	25,000	-	14,987	10,013
e	RPU	101	13,000	-	5,918	7,082
	Advice	510	16,455	-	8,326	8,129
	Anticipated litigation	630	65,000	471	60,085	4,915
) Foreclosure	Collection	101	10,000	-	2,645	7,355
	Advisory	101	20,000	-	17,548	2,452
e	HR/401(a) Advisory	101	45,000	10,141	14,841	34,859
ox Theatre	Advisory	101	5,000	-	-	5,000
	Bankruptcy		12,000	1,894	1,894	10,106
				12,506		
ox Tl	heatre		heatre Advisory 101	heatre Advisory 101 5,000	heatre   Advisory   101   5,000   -     Bankruptcy   12,000   1,894	heatre   Advisory   101   5,000   -   -     Bankruptcy   12,000   1,894   1,894

	MATTER/CASE NAME				EXPENDITURES		
LAW FIRM		BRIEF DESCRIPTION	Funding Source	Authorized Spending Limit	1/1/2020 - 3/31/20	TOTAL AS OF 3/31/20	BALANCE
BLAISDELL, CHURCH & JOHNSON							
	Bond Counsel*	IPP Generation Plant	510	5,000		1,000	4,000
Subtotal							
BURKE WILLIAMS & SORENSEN	Friends of Riverside Airport v City	Ag Park Litigation	630	350,000	36,347	36,347	313,653
Subtotal					36,347		
					00,047		
CHAPMAN AND CUTLER							
	Bond Counsel*						
COLANTUONO HIGHSMITH & WHATLEY							
	General matters	Prop 218 and conflicts advice	520	40,400	-	36,704	3,696
	General Advice	CAO	101	15,000	-	13,771	1,229
	General/RPU	Electric & Water Cost Service Study	520	25,000	-	65	24,935
	Parada II v City	GFT Challenge/Litigation	101	162,500	11,296	119,172	43,328
	Bailey, William R. v City***	Litigation Initiated by Mayor	101	338,000	11,027	316,460	21,540
	Clymer, R. Ben v City	Challenge to Russo Contract	101	155,000	6,196	131,077	23,923
	Nunez, J. v City	Claim	101	25,000	-	1,902	23,098
	Carl Pongs v City	Water Rate Challenge	520	100,000	7,373	7,373	92,627
	Simpson v City	Water GFT Challenge	520	60,000	9,774	9,774	50,226
Subtotal					45,666		
CONSTANTINE CANNON							
	State of California et al. ex rel. [Under Seal] v [Under Seal]	Litigation re wireless cell service/contingency		-		-	-
Subtotal							
COTCHETT PITRE & McCARTHY							
	Bond Derivative Litigation	Contingency*		-		-	-
Subtotal			Page 2				

	MATTER/CASE NAME				EXPEN	DITURES	BALANCE
LAW FIRM		BRIEF DESCRIPTION	Funding Source	Authorized Spending Limit	1/1/2020 - 3/31/20	TOTAL AS OF 3/31/20	
ELLISON SCHNEIDER							
	1969 Judgment	Bunker Hill Basin	510	15,000	12,249	12,249	2,751
Subtotal					12,249		
GIBBS GIDEON							
	General Public Contracting	Advisory	101	7,500	2,883	2,883	4,617
Subtotal					2,883		
GREINES MARTIN STEIN & RICHLAND							
	Clymer v City**	Challenge to Russo Contract	630	115,000	11,859	123,697	(8,697)
	Corona, Amador v City	Appellate advice	630	45,000	3,068	38,551	6,449
	Easley, Michael v. City	Federal civil rights action	630	137,695	-	117,736	19,959
	Brokaw, Dennis v City	Appellate advice	630	3,500		2,233	1,267
	Nichols, Raymond v City**	Federal civil rights action	630	99,962	10,354	102,893	(2,931)
	Burtis v City	Appellate advice	630	15,000	14,613	14,613	387
	Louis, Jean-Louis v City	Appellate advice	630	15,000	9,748	9,748	5,252
Subtotal					49,642		
JONES HALL							
	Bond Counsel*	SIFMA		40,000		-	40,000
	Bond Counsel*	Electric and Water Bonds 2018		45,000		-	45,000
	Bond Counsel*	2019 Electric & Water Bonds		90,000		-	90,000
Subtotal			_				
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH							
	Easley, Michael v City	Civil rights litigation	630	406,500	-	332,409	74,091
	Friends of Riverside Airport v Dept of the Army	CEQA Litigation/Environmental	630	35,000	115,483	115,483	(80,483)
Subtotal					115,483		

				EXPENDITURES			
MATTER/CASE NAME		Funding Source	Authorized Spending	1/1/2020 -	TOTAL AS OF	BALANCE	
IVIATTER/CASE NAIVIE	BRIEF DESCRIPTION		Limit	5/51/20	3/31/20	DALANCE	
General Advice	Misc	101	1,000	-	447	553	
Machowski v Bird Rides	ADA Complaint	630	225,000		139,957	85,043	
TCP Contaminataion	Advisory/contingency*						
City v Black & Decker (U.S), Inc.	Perchlorate litigation/contingency*						
SBCTA v City	Condemnation		100,000	5,157	13,413	86,587	
				5,157			
Clymer v City	Challenge to Russo Contract	630	75,000	7,360	17,416	57,584	
Louis, Jean-Louis v City	Civil rights litigation	630	176,000	-	16,330	159,670	
Nichols, Raymond v City	Civil rights litigation	630	120,000	1,160	49,389	70,611	
Wilder, S. v City	Wrongful Termination	630	100,000	2,360	2,360	97,640	
				10,880			
	Image: Second system   Second system	General Advice Misc   General Advice Misc   Machowski v Bird Rides ADA Complaint   Machowski v Bird Rides ADA Complaint   Machowski v Bird Rides ADA Complaint   TCP Contaminataion Advisory/contingency*   City v Black & Decker (U.S), Inc. Perchlorate litigation/contingency*   SBCTA v City Condemnation   SBCTA v City Condemnation   Clymer v City Challenge to Russo Contract   Louis, Jean-Louis v City Civil rights litigation   Nichols, Raymond v City Civil rights litigation	MATTER/CASE NAME BRIEF DESCRIPTION   Matter/CASE NAME BRIEF DESCRIPTION   General Advice Misc   General Advice Misc   Machowski v Bird Rides ADA Complaint   Machowski v Bird Rides Advisory/contingency*   Image: Mark V Bird Rides Perchlorate litigation/contingency*   Image: Mark V Bird Rides Image: Mark V Bird Rides   Image: Mark V Bird Rides Image: Mark V Bird Rides   Image: Mark V Bird Rides Image: Mark V Bird Rides   Imag	MATTER/CASE NAMEBRIEF DESCRIPTIONSourceSpending LimitGeneral AdviceMisc1011,000General AdviceMisc1011,000Image: Constraint of the second seco	MATTER/CASE NAMEBRIEF DESCRIPTIONAuthorized Spending Limit1/1/2020 - 3/31/20General AdviceMisc1011,000-General AdviceMisc1011,000-Machowski v Bird RidesADA Complaint630225,000-Machowski v Bird RidesADA Complaint630100,0005,157TCP ContaminataionAdvisory/contingency*SBCTA v CityCondemnationSBCTA v CityCondemnationSBCTA v CityChallenge to Russo Contract63075,000-Louis, Jean-Louis v CityCivil rights litigation630120,000-Nichols, Raymond v CityCivil	MATTER/CASE NAMEBRIEF DESCRIPTIONAuthorized SourceAuthorized Spending Limit1/1/2020 - 3/31/20TOTAL AS OF 3/31/20General AdviceMisc1011,000-447General AdviceMisc1011,000-447General AdviceMisc1011,000-447Machowski v Bird RidesADA Complaint630225,000139,957Machowski v Bird RidesADA Complaint630100,0005,157TCP ContaminataionAdvisory/contingency*Image: ContaminataionImage: ContaminataionImage: ContaminataionSBCTA v CityCondemnationImage: ContaminataionImage: ContaminataionImage: ContaminataionImage: ContaminataionSBCTA v CityChallenge to Russo ContractG3075,0007,36017,416Louis, Jean-Louis v CityCivil rights litigationG30120,0001,16049,339Wilder, S. v City <t< td=""></t<>	

					EXPEN	DITURES	
LAW FIRM	MATTER/CASE NAME	BRIEF DESCRIPTION	Funding Source	Authorized Spending Limit	1/1/2020 - 3/31/20	TOTAL AS OF 3/31/20	BALANCE
STRADLING YOCCA							
CARLSON & RAUTH							
	Bond Counsel	2006 Lease Revenue (Galleria)		55,000		-	55,000
	Bond Counsel	2018 Sewer Revenue Bonds		65,000		-	65,000
	Bond Counsel	2019 Electric and Water Bonds		140,000		-	140,000
	Bond Counsel	Debt Disclosure Reports		15,000		-	15,000
	Bond Counsel	CFDs and Assessment Districts		15,000		-	15,000
	Bond Counsel	2015A Sewer Revenue Bonds		10,000		-	10,000
Subtotal							
THINK LEGAL360							
	Harris, Bobby v. City	Employment Litigation	630	485,000	6,303	278,453	206,547
Subtotal					6,303		
		1					
THOMPSON COBURN							
	FERC**	Regulatory	510	637,000	156,980	981,954	(344,954)
	LADWP Transmission Agreements	Potential Extension	510	25,000		-	25,000
Subtotal					156,980		
TOTAL					495,800		

					EXPENDITURES		
			Funding	Authorized			
			Source	Spending	1/1/2020 -	TOTAL AS OF	
LAW FIRM	MATTER/CASE NAME	BRIEF DESCRIPTION		Limit	3/31/20	3/31/20	BALANCE
Note: Plaintiff's Case - Ou	utside Counsel Retained for Recover	ŷ					
BURKE WILLIAMS							
SORENSEN							
	City v. Jurupa/Rubidoux CSD, et	Recovering contribution obligation for					
	al.**	RWQCP project	550	4,782,326	120,698	4,195,205	587,121

Please note:

(1) Some totals may include costs

(2) Items shaded in blue are closed

(3)\*Contingency bond counsel payments are per individual bond transactions or recovery received

(4)\*\*Change order pending

(5)\*\*\*Litigation initiated by Mayor