

**From:** [David Broido](#)  
**To:** [Eguez, Judy](#)  
**Subject:** [External] Re: 166811 Wood Road - Bethesda Site Plan  
**Date:** Monday, April 19, 2021 4:18:49 PM

---

Hello Judy. Thanks again for taking my call this afternoon.

I have reviewed the plans, and I am in complete opposition to the plans under their current state. The only way I will be satisfied with any construction on this project taking place (Already not happy about ANY construction occurring directly in front of my generally quiet home) is if A. Both sides of the street, not just the green belt side, on Woodcrest Lane, get a concrete sidewalk & curb, as well as PAVED asphalt, all the way from Wood Road inwards up to (At the very least) the beginning of 18934 Woodcrest Lane, which is the property AFTER mine. I also request that the location of the secondary proposed driveway is moved FURTHER DOWN Woodcrest Lane towards Wood Road, but not placed anywhere directly in front of my property. I am in opposition of it being moved further up past my driveway as well. I am not okay with this portion of the plan, and am in complete opposition of it, even IF we get TWO sidewalks, curbs & an actual paved road, for a driveway to be placed directly in front of my property.

NOTHING is going to change the way that I feel about that. As far as I knew, the area directly in front of my property across the street was STILL MY PROPERTY. If the city hasn't come and maintained it as long as I've lived here, and it doesn't belong to the church, and I have personally been maintaining it for two years, then it should be my property, as the residents of this street all suggest, should it not? If it is in fact my property as I've been told, then obviously I'm not letting a driveway happen on it.

IF the residents of this street are NOT correct in telling me this info, and that piece of greenbelt does NOT belong to my property, then I am still totally in opposition of anything being built there directly across the street from my home on it, where I have guests and clients park right there on the greenbelt. Placing a driveway/sidewalk there would directly conflict with the area I have been having people park for two years, and will continue to have people park in the future.

I'm slightly flexible, but only if the residents of Woodcrest Lane who have been begging the city to do something about our crappy road actually get something out of this at no cost to them. Sidewalk on one side of the street is not going to cut it. Allowing and encouraging MORE business traffic to our VERY QUIET residential street without paving it, is also not going to cut it. You want us to deal with more traffic, pave our road. You want ME to deal with a business driveway directly in front of my own home, the owner of the Church is going to have to work that out with me in private.

I have highlighted the area in the attached photo (Taken facing South) with a red line just under the area across from my home that I have people park at, with an arrow at the end of that line signifying that the proposed secondary driveway should happen EAST of the point of that arrow, no further west.

What do you need next from me? I assume I will be attending this hearing in May?

On Mon, Apr 19, 2021 at 3:44 PM Eguez, Judy <[JEguez@riversideca.gov](mailto:JEguez@riversideca.gov)> wrote:

Hi David,

See the attached site plan for your reference.

Thank you,

**Judy Egüez**, Associate Planner

City of Riverside

Community & Economic Development, Planning Division

Main: 951.826.5371

Direct: 951.826.3969

Keep Riverside healthy: Wear a face covering, maintain healthy diet and exercise, wash your hands, and get vaccinated. [RiversideCA.gov/COVID-19](https://www.RiversideCA.gov/COVID-19)

--

David L. Broido