



# Community Police Review Commission

## Ad-Hoc Committee Review

March 25, 2026

RiversideCA.gov

1

## Data Analysis

Category	#	Points of Discussion/Proposed Policy	Rationale
Data Analysis	1	Run an additional analysis, having removed "Calls for service" from the data set.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data to better represent "stops" under officers' control or discretion and provides a more accurate view of problematic stops.</li> </ul>
	2	In addition to the above, run an additional analysis having removed "non-residents" from the data set, if said data is captured.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Helps to understand if higher frequency of stops, relative to available census data, is influenced by "commuter" population.</li> </ul>
	3	Determine if "flag downs" are included in the "Stop" data. If so, remove if statistically significant.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Improve quality of the data.</li> </ul>
	4	In the RIPA report, the CPRC requests that staff include data regarding stops resulting in no-arrest, verbal warning, or citations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Double check Riverside report. May already exist.</li> </ul>



2

RiversideCA.gov

2

## Data Interpretation

Category	#	Points of Discussion/Proposed Policy	Rationale
Data Interpretation	5	Propose that the city establishes deviation standards that define when the actual percentage of stops per race significantly deviates from the expected percentage of the population, thus problematic and requiring the consideration of new policy/changes? Report needs to come to a conclusion. Need to discuss type of policy changes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significant deviations in "stops" to the expected population norm should be predetermined so that there is corporate agreement on what constitutes an issue.</li> </ul>
	6	Should the CPRC arrive at their own conclusion based on the data and communicate this conclusion to city leadership?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Only necessary if the City does not establish deviation standards within a reasonable period of time.</li> </ul>
	7	Should the CPRC recommend the City Council adopt a standard/goal for RPD to meet for purposes of mitigating the disproportionate stops affecting the city's African American population?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Establishing a plan to reduce profiling allows for measuring progress toward improvement.</li> </ul>



3

RiversideCA.gov

3

## RIPA Audit

Category	#	Points of Discussion/Proposed Policy	Rationale
RIPA Audits	8	At the October CPRC meeting, Police Chief Gonzales indicated that if RPD identifies a RIPA issue, an audit is performed. Request clarification from Chief Gonzales as to (a) what they regard as a "RIPA issue", (b) the nature of the audit conducted, (c) actions taken based upon the audit results.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Partnership and collaboration. Help us to better understand where issues may lay and how best to address them via policy or procedures.</li> </ul>



4

RiversideCA.gov

4

## Action by CPCR

Category	#	Points of Discussion/Proposed Policy	Rationale
Actions by the CPCR	9	Encourage and support actions by the RPD Chief to address individual officers' issues.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Partnership and collaboration.</li> </ul>
	10	Based upon all RPD's RIPA data, should CPCR conclude their own finding whether data supports the finding of racial and identity profiling by RPD? CPCR to consider what actions should be taken to mitigate racial disparities in police stops.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Incorporate into items 5 and 6.</li> </ul>
	11	Recommend the City Council receive an annual update presentation by RPD regarding RIPA data, analysis, conclusions and actions.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Keeps everyone informed.</li> </ul>



5

RiversideCA.gov