

PR-2024-001656 (TM) Exhibit 8 - MND and Technical Studies









Project Specific Water Quality Management Plan

A Template for Projects located within the Santa Ana Watershed Region of Riverside County

Project Title: TRACT 38921

Preliminary

Development No: P24-XXXX

Design Review/Case No: DP-2023-01293



Contact Information:

Prepared for:

La Sierra Victoria Development LLC 19215 Wild Mustang Court Apple Valley, CA 92307



6879 AIRPORT DRIVE RIVERSIDE, CA, 92504 TEL: 951.688.0241 EMAIL: <u>mbrendecke@adkan.com</u> Michael R. Brendecke

- 1 -

Original Date Prepared: February 22, 2024

Regional Board Order No. R8-2010-0033

Revision Date(s): Insert text here

Prepared for Compliance with

Template revised June 30, 2016

OWNER'S CERTIFICATION

This Project-Specific Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) has been prepared for La Sierra Victoria Development LLC by Adkan Engineers for the Tract 38921, located on southeast corner of Victoria Avenue and La Sierra Avenue.

This WQMP is intended to comply with the requirements of City of Riverside for Tentative tract map. Planning Case No. DP-2023-01293 which includes the requirement for the preparation and implementation of a Project-Specific WQMP.

The undersigned, while owning the property/project described in the preceding paragraph, shall be responsible for the implementation and funding of this WQMP and will ensure that this WQMP is amended as appropriate to reflect up-to-date conditions on the site. In addition, the property owner accepts responsibility for interim operation and maintenance of Stormwater BMPs until such time as this responsibility is formally transferred to a subsequent owner. This WQMP will be reviewed with the facility operator, facility supervisors, employees, tenants, maintenance and service contractors, or any other party (or parties) having responsibility for implementing portions of this WQMP. At least one copy of this WQMP will be maintained at the project site or project office in perpetuity. The undersigned is authorized to certify and to approve implementation of this WQMP. The undersigned is aware that implementation of this WQMP is enforceable under city of riverside Water Quality Ordinance (Municipal Code Section 14.12.315).

"I, the undersigned, certify under penalty of law that the provisions of this WQMP have been reviewed and accepted and that the WQMP will be transferred to future successors in interest."

Owner's Signature

Owner's Printed Name

Owner's Title/Position

Date

PREPARER'S CERTIFICATION

"The selection, sizing and design of stormwater treatment and other stormwater quality and quantity control measures in this plan meet the requirements of Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. **R8-2010-0033** and any subsequent amendments thereto."

Preparer's Signature

Michael Brendecke Preparer's Printed Name

Preparer's Licensure:



Date

Senior Project Manager Preparer's Title/Position

Table of Contents

Section A: Project and Site Information	5
A.1 Maps and Site Plans A.2 Identify Receiving Waters A.3 Additional Permits/Approvals required for the Project:	; , ,
Section B: Optimize Site Utilization (LID Principles)	3
Section C: Delineate Drainage Management Areas (DMAs))
Section D: Implement LID BMPs	L
D.1 Infiltration Applicability	<u>}</u>
D.4 Feasibility Assessment Summaries	57
E.1 Identify Pollutants of Concern18E.2 Stormwater Credits19E.3 Sizing Criteria19E.4 Treatment Control BMP Selection20	; ; ;
Section F: Hydromodification22	Ĺ
F.1 Hydrologic Conditions of Concern (HCOC) Analysis	-
	•
Section H: Construction Plan Checklist25)
Section I: Operation, Maintenance and Funding26	;

List of Tables

Table A.1 Identification of Receiving Waters	7
Table A.2 Other Applicable Permits	7
Table C.1 DMA Classifications	9
Table C.2 Type 'A', Self-Treating Areas	9
Table C.3 Type 'B', Self-Retaining Areas	9
Table C.4 Type 'C', Areas that Drain to Self-Retaining Areas	10
Table C.5 Type 'D', Areas Draining to BMPs	10
Table D.1 Infiltration Feasibility	11
Table D.2 LID Prioritization Summary Matrix	15
Table D.3 DCV Calculations for LID BMPs	16
Table E.1 Potential Pollutants by Land Use Type	18
Table E.2 Water Quality Credits	19
Table E.3 Treatment Control BMP Sizing	19
Table E.4 Treatment Control BMP Selection	20
Table F.1 Hydrologic Conditions of Concern Summary	21
Table G.1 Permanent and Operational Source Control Measures	24
Table H.1 Construction Plan Cross-reference	25

List of Appendices

Appendix 1: Maps and Site Plans	27
Appendix 2: Construction Plans	28
Appendix 3: Soils Information	29
Appendix 4: Historical Site Conditions	
Appendix 5: LID Infeasibility	31
Appendix 6: BMP Design Details	32
Appendix 7: Hydromodification	
Appendix 8: Source Control	34
Appendix 9: O&M	35
Appendix 10: Educational Materials	36 -

Section A: Project and Site Information

PROJECT INFORMATION		
Type of Project:	Residential	
Planning Area:	La Sierra South	
Community Name:	La Sierra South	
Development Name:	TRACT 38921	
PROJECT LOCATION		
Latitude & Longitude (DMS):	33°88'76"N 117°27'43"W	
Project Watershed and Sub-	Watershed: Prado Basin/Temescal Creek, Reach 1	
Gross Acres: 10.8		
APN(s): 136-220-016		
Man Book and Page No · Tho	mas Guide 2008 Edition Page 744 Grid G-3	
PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS		
Proposed or Potential Land L	Jse(s)	Residential
Proposed or Potential SIC Co	de(s)	1521
Area of Impervious Project F	ootprint (SF)	341,993
Total Area of <u>proposed</u> Impe	rvious Surfaces within the Project Footprint (SF)/or Replacement	341,993
Does the project consist of o	ffsite road improvements?	🛛 Y 🗌 N
Does the project propose to	construct unpaved roads?	🗌 Y 🛛 N
Is the project part of a larger	common plan of development (phased project)?	🗌 Y 🛛 🕅 N
EXISTING SITE CHARACTERISTICS		
Total area of <u>existing</u> Imperv	ious Surfaces within the Project limits Footprint (SF)	4943
Is the project located within	any MSHCP Criteria Cell?	🗌 Y 🛛 N
If so, identify the Cell numbe	r:	
Are there any natural hydrol	ogic features on the project site?	🗌 Y 🛛 N
Is a Geotechnical Report atta	🛛 Y 🗌 N	
If no Geotech. Report, list the	e NRCS soils type(s) present on the site (A, B, C and/or D)	
What is the Water Quality De	esign Storm Depth for the project?	0.56 in

A.1 Maps and Site Plans

When completing your Project-Specific WQMP, include a map of the local vicinity and existing site. In addition, include all grading, drainage, landscape/plant palette and other pertinent construction plans in Appendix 2. At a **minimum**, your WQMP Site Plan should include the following:

- Drainage Management Areas
- Proposed Structural BMPs
- Drainage Path
- Drainage Infrastructure, Inlets, Overflows
- Source Control BMPs
- Buildings, Roof Lines, Downspouts
- Impervious Surfaces
- Standard Labeling
- BMP Locations (Lat/Long)

A.2 Identify Receiving Waters

Using Table A.1 below, list in order of upstream to downstream, the receiving waters that the project site is tributary to. Continue to fill each row with the Receiving Water's 303(d) listed impairments (if any), designated beneficial uses, and proximity, if any, to a RARE beneficial use. Include a map of the receiving waters in Appendix 1.

	8		
Receiving Waters	EPA Approved 303(d) List Impairments	Designated Beneficial Uses	Proximity to RARE Beneficial Use
Arizona Channel			8.8 mi
Arlington Channel			7.5 mi
Temescal Creek, Reach 1	рН	REC1. REC2. WARM, WILD	2.7 mi
Prado Creek Basin	Nutrients, Pathogens, TSS	REC1. REC2. WARM, WILD, RARE	

Table A.1 Identification of Receiving Waters

A.3 Additional Permits/Approvals required for the Project:

 Table A.2 Other Applicable Permits

Agency	Permit Re	quired
State Department of Fish and Game, 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement	□ Y	N
State Water Resources Control Board, Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 401 Water Quality Cert.	□ Y	N
US Army Corps of Engineers, CWA Section 404 Permit	□ Y	N
US Fish and Wildlife, Endangered Species Act Section 7 Biological Opinion	□ Y	N
Statewide Construction General Permit Coverage	Υ	N
Statewide Industrial General Permit Coverage	□ Y	N
Western Riverside MSHCP Consistency Approval (e.g., JPR, DBESP)	□ Y	N
Other (please list in the space below as required)		
City of Riverside Building permit	Μv	
City of Riverside Grading permit		
City of Riverside Construction Permit		

If yes is answered to any of the questions above, the Co-Permittee may require proof of approval/coverage from those agencies as applicable including documentation of any associated requirements that may affect this Project-Specific WQMP.

Section B: Optimize Site Utilization (LID Principles)

Site Optimization

Did you identify and preserve existing drainage patterns? If so, how? If not, why?

Yes, the grading design preserves the historic drainage patterns north to Victoria Avenue.

Did you identify and protect existing vegetation? If so, how? If not, why?

Some, where possible. The project site is currently orange groves which will need to be removed in order to develop the site. The orange groves will be preserved at the northerly edge of site along Victoria Ave up to 100' from the edge of roadway in accordance with the Victoria Ave policy.

Did you identify and preserve natural infiltration capacity? If so, how? If not, why?

Natural infiltration capacity was preserved with the implementation of an onsite infiltration basin.

Did you identify and minimize impervious area? If so, how? If not, why?

Impervious areas were minimized to the maximum extent practicable. Landscaping is being incorporated into the site in all feasible areas.

Did you identify and disperse runoff to adjacent pervious areas? If so, how? If not, why?

Onsite drainage will be conveyed to a proposed infiltration basin at the north east corner of the site via surface drainage and a storm drain system.

Section C: Delineate Drainage Management Areas (DMAs)

Utilizing the procedure in Section 3.3 of the WQMP Guidance Document which discusses the methods of delineating and mapping your project site into individual DMAs, complete Table C.1 below to appropriately categorize the types of classification (e.g., Type A, Type B, etc.) per DMA for your project site. Upon completion of this table, this information will then be used to populate and tabulate the corresponding tables for their respective DMA classifications.

Table C.1 DMA Classifications

DMA Name or ID	Surface Type(s) ¹²	Area (Sq. Ft.)	DMA Туре
C1	Roofs	132,538	С
C2	Streets	65,141	С
C3	Landscaping	111,239	С
B1	Natural A Soil (infiltration basin)	3,674	В

¹Reference Table 2-1 in the WQMP Guidance Document to populate this column ²If multi-surface provide back-up

Table C.2 Type 'A', Self-Treating Areas

DMA Name or ID	Area (Sq. Ft.)	Stabilization Type	Irrigation Type (if any)
A1	29,400	Existing orange groves	

Table C.3 Type 'B', Self-Retaining Areas

Self-Retaining Area			Type 'C' DMAs that are draining to the Self-Retaining Area			
DMA Name/ ID	Post-project surface type	Area (square feet) [A]	Storm Depth (inches) [B]	DMA Name / ID	. <u>[C]</u> from Table C.4 = [C]	Required Retention Depth (inches) [D]
B1	Natural A Soil (infiltration basin)	3674	0.56	C1	132538	11.3
				C2	65141	5.6
				С3	11123.9	0.9

$$[D] = [B] + \frac{[B] \cdot [C]}{[A]}$$

DMA				Receiving Self-Retaining DMA			
A Name/ ID	Area (square feet)	-project ace type	Impervious fraction	Product		Area (square feet)	Ratio
DM/	[A]	Post surfa	[B]	[C] = [A] x [B]	DMA name /ID	[D]	[C]/[D]
C1	132538	Roofs	1.00	132538	B1	3674	36.07
C2	65141	Concrete/Asphalt	1.00	65141	B1	3674	17.73
С3	11139	Ornamental Landscaping	0.10	11123.9	B1	3674	3.03

Table C.4 Type 'C', Areas that Drain to Self-Retaining Areas

Table C.5 Type 'D', Areas Draining to BMPs

DMA Name or ID	BMP Name or ID

<u>Note</u>: More than one drainage management area can drain to a single LID BMP, however, one drainage management area may not drain to more than one BMP.

Section D: Implement LID BMPs

D.1 Infiltration Applicability

Is there an approved downstream 'Highest and Best Use' for stormwater runoff (see discussion in Chapter 2.4.4 of the WQMP Guidance Document for further details)? \Box Y \boxtimes N

If yes has been checked, Infiltration BMPs shall not be used for the site; proceed to section D.3

If no, continue working through this section to implement your LID BMPs. It is recommended that you contact your Co-Permittee to verify whether or not your project discharges to an approved downstream 'Highest and Best Use' feature.

Geotechnical Report

A Geotechnical Report or Phase I Environmental Site Assessment may be required by the Copermittee to confirm present and past site characteristics that may affect the use of Infiltration BMPs. In addition, the Co-Permittee, at their discretion, may not require a geotechnical report for small projects as described in Chapter 2 of the WQMP Guidance Document. If a geotechnical report has been prepared, include it in Appendix 3. In addition, if a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment has been prepared, include it in Appendix 4.

Is this project classified as a small project consistent with the requirements of Chapter 2 of the WQMP Guidance Document? \square Y \square N

Infiltration Feasibility

Table D.1 below is meant to provide a simple means of assessing which DMAs on your site support Infiltration BMPs and is discussed in the WQMP Guidance Document in Chapter 2.4.5. Check the appropriate box for each question and then list affected DMAs as applicable. If additional space is needed, add a row below the corresponding answer.

Does the project site	YES	NO
have any DMAs with a seasonal high groundwater mark shallower than 10 feet?		Х
If Yes, list affected DMAs:		
have any DMAs located within 100 feet of a water supply well?		Х
If Yes, list affected DMAs:		
have any areas identified by the geotechnical report as posing a public safety risk where infiltration of stormwater		Х
could have a negative impact?		
If Yes, list affected DMAs:		
have measured in-situ infiltration rates of less than 1.6 inches / hour?		Х
If Yes, list affected DMAs:		
have significant cut and/or fill conditions that would preclude in-situ testing of infiltration rates at the final		Х
infiltration surface?		
If Yes, list affected DMAs:		
geotechnical report identify other site-specific factors that would preclude effective and safe infiltration?		Х
Describe here:		

Table D.1 Infiltration Feasibility

If you answered "Yes" to any of the questions above for any DMA, Infiltration BMPs should not be used for those DMAs and you should proceed to the assessment for Harvest and Use below.

D.2 Harvest and Use Assessment

Please check what applies:

 \square Reclaimed water will be used for the non-potable water demands for the project.

Downstream water rights may be impacted by Harvest and Use as approved by the Regional Board (verify with the Copermittee).

 \Box The Design Capture Volume will be addressed using Infiltration Only BMPs. In such a case, Harvest and Use BMPs are still encouraged, but it would not be required if the Design Capture Volume will be infiltrated or evapotranspired.

If any of the above boxes have been checked, Harvest and Use BMPs need not be assessed for the site. If none of the above criteria applies, follow the steps below to assess the feasibility of irrigation use, toilet use and other non-potable uses (e.g., industrial use).

Irrigation Use Feasibility

Complete the following steps to determine the feasibility of harvesting stormwater runoff for Irrigation Use BMPs on your site:

Step 1: Identify the total area of irrigated landscape on the site, and the type of landscaping used.

Total Area of Irrigated Landscape: n/a

Type of Landscaping (Conservation Design or Active Turf): Conservation design

Step 2: Identify the planned total of all impervious areas on the proposed project from which runoff might be feasibly captured and stored for irrigation use. Depending on the configuration of buildings and other impervious areas on the site, you may consider the site as a whole, or parts of the site, to evaluate reasonable scenarios for capturing and storing runoff and directing the stored runoff to the potential use(s) identified in Step 1 above.

Total Area of Impervious Surfaces: n/a

Step 3: Cross reference the Design Storm depth for the project site (see Exhibit A of the WQMP Guidance Document) with the left column of Table 2-3 in Chapter 2 to determine the minimum area of Effective Irrigated Area per Tributary Impervious Area (EIATIA).

Enter your EIATIA factor: n/a

Step 4: Multiply the unit value obtained from Step 3 by the total of impervious areas from Step 2 to develop the minimum irrigated area that would be required.

Minimum required irrigated area: n/a

Step 5: Determine if harvesting stormwater runoff for irrigation use is feasible for the project by comparing the total area of irrigated landscape (Step 1) to the minimum required irrigated area (Step 4).

Minimum required irrigated area (Step 4)	Available Irrigated Landscape (Step 1)
n/a	n/a

Toilet Use Feasibility

Complete the following steps to determine the feasibility of harvesting stormwater runoff for toilet flushing uses on your site:

Step 1: Identify the projected total number of daily toilet users during the wet season, and account for any periodic shut downs or other lapses in occupancy:

Projected Number of Daily Toilet Users: n/a

Project Type: Residential

Step 2: Identify the planned total of all impervious areas on the proposed project from which runoff might be feasibly captured and stored for toilet use. Depending on the configuration of buildings and other impervious areas on the site, you may consider the site as a whole, or parts of the site, to evaluate reasonable scenarios for capturing and storing runoff and directing the stored runoff to the potential use(s) identified in Step 1 above.

Total Area of Impervious Surfaces: n/a

Step 3: Enter the Design Storm depth for the project site (see Exhibit A) into the left column of Table 2-2 in Chapter 2 to determine the minimum number or toilet users per tributary impervious acre (TUTIA).

Enter your TUTIA factor: n/a

Step 4: Multiply the unit value obtained from Step 3 by the total of impervious areas from Step 2 to develop the minimum number of toilet users that would be required.

Minimum number of toilet users: n/a

Step 5: Determine if harvesting stormwater runoff for toilet flushing use is feasible for the project by comparing the Number of Daily Toilet Users (Step 1) to the minimum required number of toilet users (Step 4).

Minimum required Toilet Users (Step 4)	Projected number of toilet users (Step 1)

Other Non-Potable Use Feasibility

Are there other non-potable uses for stormwater runoff on the site (e.g. industrial use)? See Chapter 2 of the Guidance for further information. If yes, describe below. If no, write N/A.

N/A

Step 1: Identify the projected average daily non-potable demand, in gallons per day, during the wet season and accounting for any periodic shut downs or other lapses in occupancy or operation.

Average Daily Demand: N/A

Step 2: Identify the planned total of all impervious areas on the proposed project from which runoff might be feasibly captured and stored for the identified non-potable use. Depending on the configuration of buildings and other impervious areas on the site, you may consider the site as a whole, or parts of the site, to evaluate reasonable scenarios for capturing and storing runoff and directing the stored runoff to the potential use(s) identified in Step 1 above.

Total Area of Impervious Surfaces: N/A

- 13 -

Step 3: Enter the Design Storm depth for the project site (see Exhibit A) into the left column of Table 2 4 in Chapter 2 to determine the minimum demand for non-potable uses per tributary impervious acre.

Enter the factor from Table 2-4: N/A

Step 4: Multiply the unit value obtained from Step 3 by the total of impervious areas from Step 2 to develop the minimum number of gallons per day of non-potable use that would be required.

Minimum required use: N/A

Step 5: Determine if harvesting stormwater runoff for other non-potable use is feasible for the project by comparing the projected average daily use (Step 1) to the minimum required non-potable use (Step 4).

Minimum required non-potable use (Step 4)	Projected average daily use (Step 1)
N/A	N/A

If Irrigation, Toilet and Other Use feasibility anticipated demands are less than the applicable minimum values, Harvest and Use BMPs are not required and you should proceed to utilize LID Bioretention and Biotreatment per Section 3.4.2 of the WQMP Guidance Document.

D.3 Bioretention and Biotreatment Assessment

Other LID Bioretention and Biotreatment BMPs as described in Chapter 2.4.7 of the WQMP Guidance Document are feasible on nearly all development sites with sufficient advance planning.

Select one of the following:

□ LID Bioretention/Biotreatment BMPs will be used for some or all DMAs of the project as noted below in Section D.4 (note the requirements of Section 3.4.2 in the WQMP Guidance Document).

□ A site-specific analysis demonstrating the technical infeasibility of all LID BMPs has been performed and is included in Appendix 5. If you plan to submit an analysis demonstrating the technical infeasibility of LID BMPs, request a pre-submittal meeting with the Copermittee to discuss this option. Proceed to Section E to document your alternative compliance measures.

D.4 Feasibility Assessment Summaries

From the Infiltration, Harvest and Use, Bioretention and Biotreatment Sections above, complete Table D.2 below to summarize which LID BMPs are technically feasible, and which are not, based upon the established hierarchy.

					N. UD
		LID BMP	Hierarchy		NO LID
DMA					(Alternative
Name/ID	1. Infiltration	2. Harvest and use	3. Bioretention	4. Biotreatment	Compliance)
B1	\square				
C1	\square				
C2	\square				
C3	\square				

Table D.2 LID Prioritization Summary Matrix

For those DMAs where LID BMPs are not feasible, provide a brief narrative below summarizing why they are not feasible, include your technical infeasibility criteria in Appendix 5, and proceed to Section E below to document Alternative Compliance measures for those DMAs. Recall that each proposed DMA must pass through the LID BMP hierarchy before alternative compliance measures may be considered.

N/A

D.5 LID BMP Sizing

Each LID BMP must be designed to ensure that the Design Capture Volume will be addressed by the selected BMPs. First, calculate the Design Capture Volume for each LID BMP using the V_{BMP} worksheet in Appendix F of the LID BMP Design Handbook. Second, design the LID BMP to meet the required V_{BMP} using a method approved by the Copermittee. Utilize the worksheets found in the LID BMP Design Handbook or consult with your Copermittee to assist you in correctly sizing your LID BMPs. Complete Table D.3 below to document the Design Capture Volume and the Proposed Volume for each LID BMP. Provide the completed design procedure sheets for each LID BMP in Appendix 6. You may add additional rows to the table below as needed.

DMA Type/ID	DMA Area (square feet) [A]	Post-Project Surface Type	Effective Impervious Fraction, I _f [B]	DMA Runoff Factor	DMA Areas x Runoff Factor [A] x [C]	В	1: Infiltration B	asin
C1	132538	ROOFS	1.00	0.89	118223.9			
C2	65141	CONCRETE OR ASPHALT	1.00	0.89	58105.8			
СЗ	111239	ORNAMENTAL LANDSCAPING	0.10	0.11	12287.2			
B1	3674	NATURAL (A SOIL)	0.03	0.06	229.8	Design	Design Capture	Proposed Volume
						Storm	Volume, Naura (cubic	on Plans
						(in)	feet)	feet)
	312592				188846.7	0.56	8812.8	9177.5

Table D.3 DCV Calculations for LID BMPs

[B], [C] is obtained as described in Section 2.3.1 of the WQMP Guidance Document

[E] is obtained from Exhibit A in the WQMP Guidance Document

[G] is obtained from a design procedure sheet, such as in LID BMP Design Handbook and placed in Appendix 6

Section E: Alternative Compliance (LID Waiver Program)

LID BMPs are expected to be feasible on virtually all projects. Where LID BMPs have been demonstrated to be infeasible as documented in Section D, other Treatment Control BMPs must be used (subject to LID waiver approval by the Copermittee). Check one of the following Boxes:

⊠ LID Principles and LID BMPs have been incorporated into the site design to fully address all Drainage Management Areas. No alternative compliance measures are required for this project and thus this Section is not required to be completed.

- Or -

□ The following Drainage Management Areas are unable to be addressed using LID BMPs. A sitespecific analysis demonstrating technical infeasibility of LID BMPs has been approved by the Co-Permittee and included in Appendix 5. Additionally, no downstream regional and/or sub-regional LID BMPs exist or are available for use by the project. The following alternative compliance measures on the following pages are being implemented to ensure that any pollutant loads expected to be discharged by not incorporating LID BMPs, are fully mitigated.

List DMAs here.

E.1 Identify Pollutants of Concern

Utilizing Table A.1 from Section A above which noted your project's receiving waters and their associated EPA approved 303(d) listed impairments, cross reference this information with that of your selected Priority Development Project Category in Table E.1 below. If the identified General Pollutant Categories are the same as those listed for your receiving waters, then these will be your Pollutants of Concern and the appropriate box or boxes will be checked on the last row. The purpose of this is to document compliance and to help you appropriately plan for mitigating your Pollutants of Concern in lieu of implementing LID BMPs.

Priority Development		General Pollutant Categories							
Proje Proje that a	ect Categories and/or ect Features (check those apply)	Bacterial Indicators	Metals	Nutrients	Pesticides	Toxic Organic Compounds	Sediments	Trash & Debris	Oil & Grease
	Detached Residential Development	Р	N	Р	Р	N	Р	Р	Ρ
	Attached Residential Development	Р	N	Р	Р	N	Р	Ρ	P ⁽²⁾
	Commercial/Industrial Development	P ⁽³⁾	Ρ	P ⁽¹⁾	P ⁽¹⁾	P ⁽⁵⁾	P ⁽¹⁾	Ρ	Ρ
	Automotive Repair Shops	N	Р	N	N	P ^(4, 5)	N	Р	Р
	Restaurants (>5,000 ft²)	Р	N	N	N	N	N	Ρ	Ρ
	Hillside Development (>5,000 ft ²)	Р	N	Р	Р	N	Р	Р	Р
	Parking Lots (>5,000 ft²)	P ⁽⁶⁾	Р	P ⁽¹⁾	P ⁽¹⁾	P ⁽⁴⁾	P ⁽¹⁾	Р	Р
	Retail Gasoline Outlets	N	Р	N	N	Р	N	Р	Р
Proj of C	ect Priority Pollutant(s) oncern								

Table E.1 Potential Pollutants by Land Use Type

P = Potential

N = Not Potential

⁽¹⁾ A potential Pollutant if non-native landscaping exists or is proposed onsite; otherwise not expected

⁽²⁾ A potential Pollutant if the project includes uncovered parking areas; otherwise not expected

⁽³⁾ A potential Pollutant is land use involving animal waste

⁽⁴⁾ Specifically petroleum hydrocarbons

⁽⁵⁾ Specifically solvents

⁽⁶⁾ Bacterial indicators are routinely detected in pavement runoff

E.2 Stormwater Credits

Projects that cannot implement LID BMPs but nevertheless implement smart growth principles are potentially eligible for Stormwater Credits. Utilize Table 3-8 within the WQMP Guidance Document to identify your Project Category and its associated Water Quality Credit. If not applicable, write N/A.

Table E.2 Water Quality Credits

Qualifying Project Categories	Credit Percentage ²
Total Credit Percentage ¹	

¹Cannot Exceed 50%

²Obtain corresponding data from Table 3-8 in the WQMP Guidance Document

E.3 Sizing Criteria

After you appropriately considered Stormwater Credits for your project, utilize Table E.3 below to appropriately size them to the DCV, or Design Flow Rate, as applicable. Please reference Chapter 3.5.2 of the WQMP Guidance Document for further information.

DMA Type/ID	DMA Area (square feet)	Post- Project Surface Type	Effective Impervious Fraction, I _f	DMA Runoff Factor	DMA Area x Runoff Factor		Enter BMP Na	me / Identifie	r Here
	[A]		[B]	[C]	[A] x [C]				
						Design Storm Depth (in)	Minimum Design Capture Volume or Design Flow Rate (cubic feet or cfs)	Total Storm Water Credit % Reduction	Proposed Volume or Flow on Plans (cubic feet or cfs)
	A _T = Σ[A]				Σ= [D]	[E]	$[F] = \frac{[D]x[E]}{[G]}$	[F] X (1-[H])	[1]

Table E.3 Treatment Control BMP Sizing

[B], [C] is obtained as described in Section 2.3.1 from the WQMP Guidance Document

[E] is for Flow-Based Treatment Control BMPs [E] = .2, for Volume-Based Control Treatment BMPs, [E] obtained from Exhibit A in the WQMP Guidance Document

[G] is for Flow-Based Treatment Control BMPs [G] = 43,560, for Volume-Based Control Treatment BMPs, [G] = 12

[H] is from the Total Credit Percentage as Calculated from Table E.2 above

[I] as obtained from a design procedure sheet from the BMP manufacturer and should be included in Appendix 6

E.4 Treatment Control BMP Selection

Treatment Control BMPs typically provide proprietary treatment mechanisms to treat potential pollutants in runoff, but do not sustain significant biological processes. Treatment Control BMPs must have a removal efficiency of a medium or high effectiveness as quantified below:

- High: equal to or greater than 80% removal efficiency
- **Medium**: between 40% and 80% removal efficiency

Such removal efficiency documentation (e.g., studies, reports, etc.) as further discussed in Chapter 3.5.2 of the WQMP Guidance Document, must be included in Appendix 6. In addition, ensure that proposed Treatment Control BMPs are properly identified on the WQMP Site Plan in Appendix 1.

able E.4 Treatment Control B	Sivip Selection	1					
Selected Treatment	Control B	MP	Priority	Pollutant(s)	of	Removal	Efficiency
Name or ID ¹			Concern t	o Mitigate ²		Percentage ³	

 Table E.4 Treatment Control BMP Selection

¹ Treatment Control BMPs must not be constructed within Receiving Waters. In addition, a proposed Treatment Control BMP may be listed more than once if they possess more than one qualifying pollutant removal efficiency.

² Cross Reference Table E.1 above to populate this column.

³ As documented in a Co-Permittee Approved Study and provided in Appendix 6.

Section F: Hydromodification

F.1 Hydrologic Conditions of Concern (HCOC) Analysis

Once you have determined that the LID design is adequate to address water quality requirements, you will need to assess if the proposed LID Design may still create a HCOC. Review Chapters 2 and 3 (including Figure 3-7) of the WQMP Guidance Document to determine if your project must mitigate for Hydromodification impacts. If your project meets one of the following criteria which will be indicated by the check boxes below, you do not need to address Hydromodification at this time. However, if the project does not qualify for Exemptions 1, 2 or 3, then additional measures must be added to the design to comply with HCOC criteria. This is discussed in further detail below in Section F.2.

HCOC EXEMPTION 1: The Priority Development Project disturbs less than one acre. The Copermittee has the discretion to require a Project-Specific WQMP to address HCOCs on projects less than one acre on a case by case basis. The disturbed area calculation should include all disturbances associated with larger common plans of development.

Does the project qualify for this HCOC Exemption? $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ If Yes, HCOC criteria do not apply.

HCOC EXEMPTION 2: The volume and time of concentration¹ of storm water runoff for the postdevelopment condition is not significantly different from the pre-development condition for a 2-year return frequency storm (a difference of 5% or less is considered insignificant) using one of the following methods to calculate:

- Riverside County Hydrology Manual
- Technical Release 55 (TR-55): Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds (NRCS 1986), or derivatives thereof, such as the Santa Barbara Urban Hydrograph Method
- Other methods acceptable to the Co-Permittee

Does the project qualify for this HCOC Exemption?

□ Y □ N

If Yes, report results in Table F.1 below and provide your substantiated hydrologic analysis in Appendix 7.

	2 year – 24 hour				
	Pre-condition	Post-condition	% Difference		
Time of			INSERT VALUE		
Concentration					
Volume (Cubic Feet)			INSERT VALUE		

Table F.1 Hydrologic Conditions of Concern Summa	Table F.1	. Hydrologic	Conditions	of Concern	Summar
--	-----------	--------------	------------	------------	--------

¹ Time of concentration is defined as the time after the beginning of the rainfall when all portions of the drainage basin are contributing to flow at the outlet.

HCOC EXEMPTION 3: All downstream conveyance channels to an adequate sump (for example, Prado Dam, Lake Elsinore, Canyon Lake, Santa Ana River, or other lake, reservoir or naturally erosion resistant feature) that will receive runoff from the project are engineered and regularly maintained to ensure design flow capacity; no sensitive stream habitat areas will be adversely affected; or are not identified on the Co-Permittees Hydromodification Susceptibility Maps.

Does the project qualify for this HCOC Exemption?

]Y 🛛 N

If Yes, HCOC criteria do not apply and note below which adequate sump applies to this HCOC qualifier:

INSERT TEXT HERE

F.2 HCOC Mitigation

If none of the above HCOC Exemption Criteria are applicable, HCOC criteria is considered mitigated if they meet one of the following conditions:

- a. Additional LID BMPS are implemented onsite or offsite to mitigate potential erosion or habitat impacts as a result of HCOCs. This can be conducted by an evaluation of site-specific conditions utilizing accepted professional methodologies published by entities such as the California Stormwater Quality Association (CASQA), the Southern California Coastal Water Research Project (SCCRWP), or other Co-Permittee approved methodologies for site-specific HCOC
- b. The project is developed consistent with an approved Watershed Action Plan that addresses HCOC in Receiving Waters.
- c. Mimicking the pre-development hydrograph with the post-development hydrograph, for a 2year return frequency storm. Generally, the hydrologic conditions of concern are not significant, if the post-development hydrograph is no more than 10% greater than predevelopment hydrograph. In cases where excess volume cannot be infiltrated or captured and reused, discharge from the site must be limited to a flow rate no greater than 110% of the pre-development 2-year peak flow.

Be sure to include all pertinent documentation used in your analysis of the items a, b or c in Appendix 7.

Section G: Source Control BMPs

Source control BMPs include permanent, structural features that may be required in your project plans — such as roofs over and berms around trash and recycling areas — and Operational BMPs, such as regular sweeping and "housekeeping", that must be implemented by the site's occupant or user. The MEP standard typically requires both types of BMPs. In general, Operational BMPs cannot be substituted for a feasible and effective permanent BMP. Using the Pollutant Sources/Source Control Checklist in Appendix 8, review the following procedure to specify Source Control BMPs for your site:

- 1. *Identify Pollutant Sources*: Review Column 1 in the Pollutant Sources/Source Control Checklist. Check off the potential sources of Pollutants that apply to your site.
- 2. **Note Locations on Project-Specific WQMP Exhibit:** Note the corresponding requirements listed in Column 2 of the Pollutant Sources/Source Control Checklist. Show the location of each Pollutant source and each permanent Source Control BMP in your Project-Specific WQMP Exhibit located in Appendix 1.
- 3. **Prepare a Table and Narrative:** Check off the corresponding requirements listed in Column 3 in the Pollutant Sources/Source Control Checklist. In the left column of Table G.1 below, list each potential source of runoff Pollutants on your site (from those that you checked in the Pollutant Sources/Source Control Checklist). In the middle column, list the corresponding permanent, Structural Source Control BMPs (from Columns 2 and 3 of the Pollutant Sources/Source Control Checklist) used to prevent Pollutants from entering runoff. **Add additional narrative** in this column that explains any special features, materials or methods of construction that will be used to implement these permanent, Structural Source Control BMPs.
- 4. Identify Operational Source Control BMPs: To complete your table, refer once again to the Pollutant Sources/Source Control Checklist. List in the right column of your table the Operational BMPs that should be implemented as long as the anticipated activities continue at the site. Copermittee stormwater ordinances require that applicable Source Control BMPs be implemented; the same BMPs may also be required as a condition of a use permit or other revocable Discretionary Approval for use of the site.

Table G.1 Permanent and Operational Source Control Measures

Potential Sources of Runoff	Permanent Structural Source	Operational Source Control BMPs
Politiants		- Maintain and parindically
Onsite storm drains inlets	 Mark all inlet with the 	• Maintain and periodically
	words only rain down	or replace inlet markings
	Storm drain of similar.	Drovide Stormwater pollution
	Catch basin markers may	Provide Stormwater polition provention information to now
	De available from the	site owners losses or
	Riverside County Flood	site owners, lessees, of
	Control and Water	operators.
	Conservation District, Call	• See applicable optional Bivies in
	951.55.1200 to verify	System Maintonance " in the
		CASOA Stormwater Quality
		Handbooks at www.
		cabmphandbooks com
		• Include the following in lease
		agreements: "Tenant shall not
		allow anyone to discharge
		anything to storm drains or to
		store or denosit materials so as to
		create a notential discharge to
		storm drains."
Landscape/Outdoor Pesticide Us	State that final landscape plans will	Maintain landscaping using
	accomplish all of the following.	minimum or no pesticides.
	 Preserve existing native trees, 	 See applicable operational BMPs
	shrubs, and ground cover to the	in "What you should know for
	maximum extent possible.	Landscape and Gardening" at
	Design landscaping to minimize	http://rcflood.org/stormwater/.
	surface infiltration where	• Provide IPM mornation to new
	appropriate, and to minimize the	owners, lessees and operators
	use of fertilizers and pesticides that	
	can contribute to	
	stormwater pollution.	
	 Where landscaped areas are used 	
	to retain or detain stormwater,	
	specify plants that are tolerant to	
	• Consider using pest-resistant	
	nlants, especially adjacent to	
	hardscape. To insure successful	
	establishment, select plants	
	appropriate to site soils, slopes,	
	climate, sun, wind,	
	rain, land use, air movement	
Roofing, gutters and trim	Avoid rooting, gutters, and trim made of conner or other	• See applicable operational BMPs
	made of copper or other	Vour Swimming Pool Jacuzzi and
		Garden Fountain" at
	leach into runoff.	
		http://rcflood.org/stormwater

Section H: Construction Plan Checklist

Populate Table H.1 below to assist the plan checker in an expeditious review of your project. The first two columns will contain information that was prepared in previous steps, while the last column will be populated with the corresponding plan sheets. This table is to be completed with the submittal of your final Project-Specific WQMP.

BMP No. or ID	BMP Identifier and Description	Corresponding Plan Sheet(s)	BMP Location (Lat/Long)
INFIL	INFILTRATION BASIN	CONCEPTUAL GRADE PLAN SHEET 1	33°88'76" North
			117°27'43" West

 Table H.1 Construction Plan Cross-reference

Note that the updated table — or Construction Plan WQMP Checklist — is **only a reference tool** to facilitate an easy comparison of the construction plans to your Project-Specific WQMP. Co-Permittee staff can advise you regarding the process required to propose changes to the approved Project-Specific WQMP.

Section I: Operation, Maintenance and Funding

The Copermittee will periodically verify that Stormwater BMPs on your site are maintained and continue to operate as designed. To make this possible, your Copermittee will require that you include in Appendix 9 of this Project-Specific WQMP:

- 1. A means to finance and implement facility maintenance in perpetuity, including replacement cost.
- 2. Acceptance of responsibility for maintenance from the time the BMPs are constructed until responsibility for operation and maintenance is legally transferred. A warranty covering a period following construction may also be required.
- 3. An outline of general maintenance requirements for the Stormwater BMPs you have selected.
- 4. Figures delineating and designating pervious and impervious areas, location, and type of Stormwater BMP, and tables of pervious and impervious areas served by each facility. Geolocating the BMPs using a coordinate system of latitude and longitude is recommended to help facilitate a future statewide database system.
- 5. A separate list and location of self-retaining areas or areas addressed by LID Principles that do not require specialized O&M or inspections but will require typical landscape maintenance as noted in Chapter 5, pages 85-86, in the WQMP Guidance. Include a brief description of typical landscape maintenance for these areas.

Your local Co-Permittee will also require that you prepare and submit a detailed Stormwater BMP Operation and Maintenance Plan that sets forth a maintenance schedule for each of the Stormwater BMPs built on your site. An agreement assigning responsibility for maintenance and providing for inspections and certification may also be required.

Details of these requirements and instructions for preparing a Stormwater BMP Operation and Maintenance Plan are in Chapter 5 of the WQMP Guidance Document.

Maintenance Mechanism: Insert text here.

Will the proposed BMPs be maintained by a Home Owners' Association (HOA) or Property Owners Association (POA)?



Include your Operation and Maintenance Plan and Maintenance Mechanism in Appendix 9. Additionally, include all pertinent forms of educational materials for those personnel that will be maintaining the proposed BMPs within this Project-Specific WQMP in Appendix 10.

Appendix 1: Maps and Site Plans

Location Map, WQMP Site Plan and Receiving Waters Map



SEC. 24 T.35. R.6W



