



City of Arts & Innovation

# City Council Memorandum

**TO: HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL                      DATE: JULY 2, 2024**

**FROM: FINANCE DEPARTMENT    WARDS: ALL**

**SUBJECT: ASSESSING CITY'S FINANCIAL HEALTH THROUGH THE USE OF FINANCIAL HEALTH INDICATORS TO MAINTAIN FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY**

**ISSUE:**

Receive the Financial Health Indicators used to report on and manage the overall financial fiscal health of the City and maintain fiscal responsibility.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council receive the Financial Health Indicators discussed herein.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:**

The Finance Committee met on June 12, 2024, with Chair Hemenway, Vice Chair Robillard and Member Falcone present, to consider and provide input on the use of Financial Health Indicators to report on and manage the overall financial fiscal health of the City and maintain fiscal responsibility. Following discussion, there was no formal action taken by the Committee.

**COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:**

On June 13, 2024, the Budget Engagement Commission received a presentation on the Financial Health Indicators used to report on and manage the overall financial fiscal health of the City. Following discussion, there was no formal action taken by the Commission.

**BACKGROUND:**

To maintain the City's goal of fiscal responsibility, Financial Health Indicators (FHI) have been produced to measure the City's overall financial health compared to similar and surrounding cities.

There are many ways to evaluate a city's financial health. The City prepares numerous financial and budgetary reports every year. In addition, there are continuous rating agency analyses, as well as several different proposed measures of financial condition.

Financial Health Indicators are a proactive approach to monitoring or assisting local governments in identifying early signs of fiscal stress. The City can use FHIs to recognize early signs of fiscal stress and take a proactive approach while monitoring the fiscal health of the City.

This report explores one perspective on how to measure the City's financial condition. It does not give a grade to the City's financial condition, but rather looks at 12 different aspects of the City's finances to see how the City was doing as of the end of the 2022-23 fiscal year. This includes the City's trend over the previous five (5) years and how the City compares to other similar California jurisdictions. Since the comparative data used for this analysis is only produced on an annual basis and is derived from the Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR) of each City, an annual update is presented after all comparative reports are made available to the public. Cities are required to complete and issue the ACFR by December 31 of each year; however, it is not unusual for a City to complete a report after the required due date. The Finance Department will return each year following the publication and availability of all comparative ACFR's with an updated FHIs report.

The cities used for comparative purposes were selected due to their similar size and characteristics to that of the City of Riverside. They were also selected from the Market Basket recommendations presented by Human Resources to the City Council on October 1, 2019. The comparison data from the other cities is derived from each respective City's ACFR. This is audited information and is used for comparative purposes against the City's financial results as presented in the ACFR for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2023. The City of Ontario has not issued its ACFR for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2023, and therefore, they are excluded from the analysis this year to avoid delays in this reporting. Trend information is provided to show the City's performance over the last five (5) fiscal years (Attachment 1).

This report is intended for the City's government leaders, decision-makers, residents, businesses, and taxpayers. It provides financial context for the impacts on services and maintenance that the City has seen over the last five years. It simplifies the detailed information contained in the City's Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR) and gives residents a sense of how the City is faring compared to its peers.

## **DISCUSSION:**

Sound fiscal health is imperative to ensuring the effective operation of the City. It is critical that the City continuously assesses its financial condition and fiscal health. On a quarterly basis, staff reports the financial condition analysis of the City which contains valuable information on the current and future state of City finances. Regular analysis can highlight potential fiscal problems and provide information necessary for timely corrective action. By taking action to address weaknesses and strengthen fiscal health, the City can better ensure that resources are available to fund the level and quality of services expected by our citizens.

The FHIs discussed below correlate to the City's ability to meet its financial commitments now and into the future and have been identified as useful in determining signs of fiscal stress. The FHIs are based on information derived from the City's Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR).

These financial health indicators are designed to open a dialogue and provide clear and useful information. Staff has centered the discussion of financial condition on three (3) basic questions and 11 measures to evaluate financial condition. At the core of each question is an evaluation of

the City's ability to provide services to the residents of Riverside.

## FINANCIAL POSITION - Can the City Pay its Bills Now?

---

The City's financial position is strong if it has plenty of cash and other liquid resources available. Without those resources, it will have to borrow money, delay payments, or liquidate some of its other assets, all of which carry significant financial costs.

### *FHI #1 General Fund Reserve Ratio*

**What it means:** This indicator identifies changes (increases or decreases) in General Fund reserves from the prior year to the current year and is useful in identifying any deterioration in the City's fund balance reserves.

**Why it is important:** A declining fund balance reserve can be a sign of fiscal stress. This indicator is important in identifying a trend of a deteriorating fund balance reserves as well as how rapidly it is deteriorating. A **higher ratio** suggests larger reserves for dealing with unexpected resource needs in the long run.

### *FHI #2 General Fund Liquidity Ratio*

**What it means:** This indicator identifies changes (increases or decreases) in available cash and is useful in identifying the City's ability to pay bills on time by measuring readily available cash, such as unrestricted cash and investments.

**Why it is important:** A negative ratio may indicate a city does not have sufficient cash available to meet its current obligations as they come due. This indicator is important in identifying a trend of deteriorating cash as well as how rapidly it is deteriorating. A **higher ratio** suggests a greater capacity for paying off short-term obligations.

## FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE - Can the City's Revenues Cover its Expenses?

---

The City not only needs to pay its bills promptly, but also ensure that the revenue it brings in regularly is adequate to cover its annual expenses. Missing this mark can adversely affect service levels and the City's credit rating, which is important for existing loan covenants and any future potential debt financing.

### *FHI #3 General Government Growth in Net Position Ratio*

**What it means:** A growth in net position indicates that the City can pay its expenses with its revenue and is able to establish appropriate reserves for future allocation.

**Why it is important:** Ideally, revenues from the City's programs should cover the expenses incurred for those programs; otherwise, reserves may be required to cover the excess costs. A **higher ratio** suggests that annual costs are adequately funded, and an increasing ratio indicates an improving financial condition.

### *FHI #4 General Government Operating Margin Ratio*

**What it means:** The City funds certain programs via grants and intergovernmental aid from other government agencies (e.g. Federal and State) and also charges for

services that are offered to its residents. This measurement illustrates how much of the City's program expenditures are funded by charges, fees, and grants rather than general tax dollars.

Why it is important: The City charges for services and may receive grants and aid from other governments (e.g. Federal and State). A **higher ratio** suggests that basic government services are more self-sufficient through charges, fees, and grants, and are less reliant on general tax dollars to fund program expenditures.

#### *FHI #5 General Government Own Source Revenue Ratio*

What it means: The City receives grants and intergovernmental aid from other government agencies, such as the state and federal governments. While the City welcomes grants and aid to support City services, being less reliant on these sources makes the City more financially autonomous.

Why it is important: Revenues from grants are used to support some City functions. Other functions, such as public safety, are mainly funded by general tax dollars. This ratio illustrates the extent to which general government revenues were supported by grants. A **lower ratio** suggests that the City is not heavily reliant on grants and more reliant on general tax dollars and charges for services.

#### LONG TERM SOLVENCY – Can the City Pay its Bills in the Future?

A city will have bills in the future, and its current financial condition will influence its ability to pay them. For the long-term future, a city needs to ensure that its revenue sources can cover long-term spending needs and provide services to a growing and changing population.

#### *FHI #6 General Government Near-Term Solvency Ratio*

What it means: The City has both short-term and long-term obligations that must be paid in the future. The fewer number of years of annual revenue needed to pay the City's obligations, the stronger the City's financial condition.

Why it is important: This ratio demonstrates a city's ability to pay a larger portion of its debts with annual revenues. A **lower ratio** indicates a stronger financial condition.

#### *FHI #7 General Government Debt, Pension Liability, and OPEB Burden per Resident Ratio*

What it means: The City issues debt for a variety of reasons and pays for employees' pensions, including other post-employment benefits (OPEB). A low debt per capita indicates a stronger financial position.

Why it is important: Lower debts, pension liability, and other post-employment benefits (OPEB) per capita result in a smaller debt burden on taxpayers. A **lower ratio** indicates a stronger financial condition.

#### *FHI #8 Governmental Funds Coverage Ratio*

**What it means:** If a large portion of the City's expenses go toward paying debt principal and interest, it indicates that the City has less capacity to spend money on services and capital improvements.

**Why it is important:** The City has principal and interest payments on debt. The lower the amount of these payments compared to all its other expenditures, the stronger its financial condition. A **lower ratio** indicates a stronger financial condition.

#### *FHI #9 Enterprise Funds Coverage Ratio*

**What it means:** This measure compares the annual interest expense owed on debts to the ongoing operating revenues from which that expense will be paid. This is similar to a small business owner ensuring that the interest payments on the mortgage for their office aren't too large compared to the revenues they bring in each year.

**Why it is important:** Just as a city's governmental services need to pay their debts (e.g., bonds) in the long term, its Enterprise Funds need to do so as well. The City's Enterprise Funds include Electric, Water, Sewer, Airport, Refuse, Special Transportation, Public Parking, and Civic Entertainment Funds. A **higher ratio** indicates a stronger financial condition.

#### *FHI #10 General Government Capital Asset Value Ratio*

**What it means:** This ratio assesses changes in the value of a city's assets over time. A negative ratio indicates that a city's capital assets decreased in value, suggesting that the depreciation of capital assets exceeded the value of capital assets added. This highlights the potential need for renovation or replacement of aging assets.

**Why it is important:** Capital assets include land, buildings, vehicles, and public infrastructure. Most of the City's capital assets decrease in value over time due to depreciation. A declining ratio means that the overall value of a city's assets decreased over the year, indicating some assets may need to be renovated or replaced. A **higher ratio** indicates a stronger financial condition.

#### *FHI #11 Enterprise Funds Capital Asset Age Ratio*

**What it means:** This ratio indicates the percentage of Enterprise Funds capital assets that have been depreciated.

**Why it is important:** Depreciable capital assets include buildings, vehicles, and public infrastructure. These assets are depreciated over their useful life as they age, resulting in a reduction in their value. A **lower ratio** indicates Enterprise Funds' capital assets are newer and may not require as much replacement and/or maintenance costs compared to older capital assets.

A resource allocation indicator has been included to provide a better understanding of how the City is allocating its resources, and is for informational purposes only.

#### *Indicator #12 General Fund Public Safety Costs Ratio*

What it means: This ratio compares the total costs of General Fund public safety, which includes police and fire, to total General Fund expenditures and transfers out. A **higher ratio** indicates more funds are dedicated to public safety.

Financial health is more than a grade; it is about whether a local government has sufficient resources to deliver the services its residents expect. The FHIs described herein are designed to explain certain measures of financial condition and contribute to an ongoing conversation about financial health and service delivery in the City.

### **STRATEGIC PLAN ALIGNMENT:**

This item contributes to **Strategic Priority No. 5 High Performing Government** and the following four Goals:

- **5.2** – Utilize technology, data, and process improvement strategies to increase efficiencies, guide decision making, and improve access to and delivery of financially sustainable city services.
- **5.3** – Enhance communication and collaboration with community members to improve transparency, build public trust, and encourage shared decision making.
- **5.4** – Achieve and maintain financial health by addressing gaps between revenues and expenditures and aligning resources with strategic priorities to yield the greatest impact.
- **5.5** – Foster a culture of safety, well-being, resilience, and sustainability across the city organization.

The Report utilizes City and comparative data to present informative measures of the City's financial health that can help guide decision making related to providing City services in alignment with strategic priorities. The information is provided publicly to enhance transparency surrounding City finances and encourage community input.

This item aligns with each of the five Cross-Cutting Threads as follows:

1. **Community Trust** – The Financial Health Indicators Report enhances transparency regarding City finances and shares important information about the City's fiscal health with the public in relation to comparative cities.
2. **Equity** – The Financial Health Indicators Report is available to all members of the public and provides data that can help guide financial decision making regarding the equitable distribution and fiscal sustainability of city services.
3. **Fiscal Responsibility** – The Financial Health Indicators Report demonstrates fiscal responsibility through the monitoring of the City's financial health. The Report is designed to facilitate open dialogue and provide clear and useful information using 12 fiscal health measures to evaluate the financial condition of the City.
4. **Innovation** – The Financial Health Indicators Report utilizes the most currently available data alongside that of comparative cities to help guide decision making for the delivery of financially sustainable City services.
5. **Sustainability & Resiliency** – The Financial Health Indicators Report uses 12 fiscal health

measures to evaluate the City's fiscal sustainability and resiliency and provide useful information for decision making related to the provision of city services and funding of long-term needs.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

There is no fiscal impact associated with this report.

Prepared by: Ryan Carter, Interim Controller  
Approved by: Kristie Thomas, Finance Director/Assistant Chief Financial Officer  
Certified as to availability of funds: Kristie Thomas, Finance Director/Assistant Chief Financial Officer  
Approved by: Edward Enriquez, Assistant City Manager/Chief Financial Officer/City Treasurer  
Approved as to form: Phaedra A. Norton, City Attorney

Concurs with:



Steve Hemenway, Chair  
Finance Committee

**Attachments:**

1. Financial Health Indicators 5-Year Trend and Comparable Cities
2. Presentation